

Trying to fuse the basic mathematical ideas of quantum TGD to a single coherent whole

M. Pitkänen,

February 2, 2024

Email: matpitka6@gmail.com.

http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/.

Postal address: Rinnekatu 2-4 A 8, 03620, Karkkila, Finland. ORCID: 0000-0002-8051-4364.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
1.1	Basic notions of HFFs from TGD perspective	5
1.2	Bird's eye view of HFFs in TGD	5
1.3	$M^8 - H$ duality and HFFS	7
1.4	Infinite primes	7
2	Basic notions related to hyperfinite factors of type II_1 from TGD point of view	8
2.1	Basic concepts related to von Neumann algebras	8
2.1.1	Inclusion/embedding as a basic aspect of physics	8
2.1.2	The notion of commutant and its physical interpretation in the TGD framework	8
2.1.3	More algebraic notions	9
2.1.4	Connes tensor product and category theoretic notions	9
2.1.5	The notions of factor and trace	10
2.2	Standard construction for the hierarchy of HFFs	11
2.3	Classification of inclusions of HFFs using extended ADE diagrams	11
3	TGD and hyperfinite factors of type II_1: a bird's eye of view	12
3.1	Identification of HFFs in the TGD framework	12
3.1.1	Inclusion hierarchies of extensions of rationals and of HFFs	12
3.1.2	How could HFFs emerge in TGD?	13
3.2	Could the notion of free probability be relevant in TGD?	14
3.3	Some objections against HFFs	16
3.3.1	Standard measurement theory and HFFs	16
3.3.2	Should density matrix be replaced with a more quantal object?	17
3.3.3	Problems with the interpretations of quantum theory	17

3.3.4	The notion of finite measurement resolution and probabilistic interpretation	17
3.3.5	Connes tensor product and finite measurement resolution	18
3.3.6	How finite measurement resolution could be realized using inclusions of HFFs?	18
4	$M^8 - H$ duality and HFFs	21
4.1	Number theoretical level: M^8 picture	21
4.1.1	Braided Galois group algebras	21
4.1.2	How could the degrees of prime polynomials associated with simple Galois groups and ramified primes relate to the symmetry algebras acting in H ?	23
4.2	Geometric level: H picture	24
4.2.1	The hierarchies of algebras SSA_n, Aff_n and I_n	24
4.2.2	Commutants for algebras A_n and braid group algebras	25
4.3	Wild speculations about McKay correspondence	25
5	About the selection of the action defining the Kähler function of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW)	28
5.1	Could twistor lift fix the choice of the action uniquely?	28
5.2	Two paradoxes	29
5.2.1	The hierarchy subalgebras of supersymplectic algebra implies the decomposition of WCW into sectors with different actions	29
5.2.2	Number theoretic vision implies the decomposition of WCW into sectors with different actions	30
5.2.3	Number theoretic discretization of WCW and maxima of WCW Kähler function	32
6	About the TGD based notions of mass, of twistors and hyperbolic counterpart of Fermi torus	32
6.1	Conformal confinement	33
6.1.1	Mass squared as conformal weight, conformal confinement and its breaking	33
6.1.2	Association of mass squared values to space-time regions	34
6.1.3	Riemann zeta, quantum criticality, and conformal confinement	34
6.2	About the notion of twistor space	35
6.2.1	The notion of twistor space for different different types of preferred extremals	35
6.2.2	The concrete definition of the twistor space of H as the space of light-like geodesics	36
6.2.3	The twistor space of the space-time surface	37
6.2.4	The geometric definition of the twistor space for CP_2	37
6.2.5	The description of particle reactions without vertices	38
6.3	About the analogies of Fermi torus and Fermi surface in H^3	39
6.3.1	Hyperbolic manifolds as analogies for Fermi torus?	39
6.3.2	De Sitter manifolds as tachyonic analogies of Fermi torus do not exist	40
6.3.3	Do complexified hyperbolic manifolds as analogies of Fermi torus exist?	40
7	The notion of generalized integer	41
7.1	The first reactions to the abstract	41
7.2	Fundamental discretization as a cognitive representation?	43
7.2.1	Something is discrete but what it is?	43
7.2.2	Could the cognitive Universe consist of generalized integers?	43
7.2.3	The algebraic extensions of p-adic number fields are discrete	45
7.2.4	But what about the real world?	45
8	Infinite primes as a basic mathematical building block	47
8.1	Construction of infinite primes	47
8.2	Questions about infinite primes	48
8.3	$P = Q$ hypothesis	48

9 Summary of the proposed big picture	49
9.1 The relation between $M^8 - H$ and $M - M'$ dualities	49
9.2 Basic mathematical building blocks	50
9.3 Basic algebraic structures at number theoretic side	50
9.4 Basic algebraic structures at the geometric side	50
10 Appendix: The reduction of quantum TGD to WCW geometry and spinor structure	51
10.1 The problems	51
10.2 3-D surfaces or 4-surfaces associated to them by holography replace point-like particles	51
10.3 WCW Kähler geometry as s geometrization of the entire quantum physics	52
10.4 Quantum physics as physics of free, classical spinor fields in WCW	53
10.5 Dirac equation for WCW spinor fields	53
10.6 $M^8 - H$ duality at the level of WCW	54

Abstract

The theoretical framework behind TGD involves several different strands and the goal is to unify them to a single coherent whole. TGD involves number theoretic and geometric visions about physics and $M^8 - H$ duality, analogous to Langlands duality, is proposed to unify them. Also quantum classical correspondence (QCC) is a central aspect of TGD. One should understand both the $M^8 - H$ duality and QCC at the level of detail.

The following mathematical notions are expected to be of relevance for this goal.

1. Von Neumann algebras, call them M , in particular hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (HFFs), are in a central role. Both the geometric and number theoretic side, QCC could mathematically correspond to the relationship between M and its commutant M' .
For instance, symplectic transformations leave induced Kähler form invariant and various fluxes of Kähler form are symplectic invariants and correspond to classical physics commuting with quantum physics coded by the super symplectic algebra (SSA). On the number theoretic side, the Galois invariants assignable to the polynomials determining space-time surfaces are analogous classical invariants.
2. The generalization of ordinary arithmetics to quantum arithmetics obtained by replacing $+$ and \times with \oplus and \otimes allows us to replace the notions of finite and p-adic number fields with their quantum variants. The same applies to various algebras.
3. Number theoretic vision leads to adelic physics involving a fusion of various p-adic physics and real physics and to hierarchies of extensions of rationals involving hierarchies of Galois groups involving inclusions of normal subgroups. The notion of adèle can be generalized by replacing various p-adic number fields with the p-adic representations of various algebras.
4. The physical interpretation of the notion of infinite prime has remained elusive although a formal interpretation in terms of a repeated quantization of a supersymmetric arithmetic QFT is highly suggestive. One can also generalize infinite primes to their quantum variants. The proposal is that the hierarchy of infinite primes generalizes the notion of adèle.

The formulation of physics as Kähler geometry of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW) involves 3 kinds of algebras A ; supersymplectic isometries SSA acting on $\delta M_+^4 \times CP_2$, affine algebras Aff acting on light-like partonic orbits, and isometries I of light-cone boundary δM_+^4 , allowing hierarchies A_n .

The braided Galois group algebras at the number theory side and algebras $\{A_n\}$ at the geometric side define excellent candidates for inclusion hierarchies of HFFs. $M^8 - H$ duality suggests that n corresponds to the degree nof the polynomial P defining space-time surface and that the n roots of P correspond to n braid strands at H side. Braided Galois group would act in A_n and hierarchies of Galois groups would induce hierarchies of inclusions of HFFs. The ramified primes of P would correspond to physically preferred p-adic primes in the adelic structure formed by p-adic variants of A_n with $+$ and \times replaced with \oplus and \otimes .

1 Introduction

I have had a very interesting discussions with Baba Ilya Iyo Azza about von Neumann algebras [A13]. I have a background of physicist and have suffered a lot of frustration in trying to understand hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (HFFs, <https://cutt.ly/OX8uP32>) by trying to read mathematicians' articles.

I cannot understand without a physical interpretation and associations to my own big vision TGD. Again I stared at the basic definitions, ideas and concepts trying to build a physical interpretation. This is not my first attempt to understand the possible role of HFFs in TGD: I have written already earlier of the possible role of von Neumann algebras in the TGD framework [K17, K4]. In the sequel I try to summarize what I have possibly understood with my meager technical background.

In the first section I will redescribe the basic notions and ideas related to von Neumann algebras as I see them now, in particular HFFs, which seem to be especially relevant for TGD because of their "hyperfiniteness" property implying that they are effectively finite-D matrix algebras.

There are also more general factors of type II_1 , in particular those related to the notion of free probability (<https://cutt.ly/SX2ftyx>), which is a notion related to a theory of non-commutative random variables. The free group generated by a finite number of generators is basic

notion and the group algebras associated with free groups are factors of type II_1 . The isomorphism problem asks whether these algebras are isomorphic for different numbers of generators. These algebras are not hyperfinite and from the physics point of view this is not a good news.

1.1 Basic notions of HFFs from TGD perspective

In this section I will describe my recent, still rather primitive physicist's understanding of HFFs. Factor M and its commutant M' are central notions in the theory of von Neumann algebras. An important question, not discussed earlier, concerns the physical counterparts of M and M' . I will not discuss technical details: I have made at least a noble attempt to do this earlier [K17, K4].

1. In the TGD framework, one can distinguish between quantum degrees of freedom and classical ones, and classical physics can be said to be an exact part of quantum physics.
2. The formulation of physics as Kähler geometry of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW) is briefly summarized in the Appendix. The formulation involves hierarchies A_n of 3 kinds of algebras; supersymplectic algebras SSA_n acting on $\delta M_+^4 \times CP_2$ and assumed to induce isometries of WCW, affine algebras Aff_n associated with isometries and holonomies of $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ acting on light-like partonic orbits, and isometries I_n of the light-cone boundary δM_+^4 .

At the H -side, quantum degrees of freedom are assignable to A_n , which would correspond to M .

In zero energy ontology (ZEO) [K19] states are quantum superpositions of preferred extremals. Preferred extremals depend on zero modes, which are symplectic invariants and do not appear in the line element of WCW. Zero modes serve as classical variables, which commute with super symplectic transformations and could correspond to M' for SSA_n at H -side. Similar identification of analogs of zero modes should be possible for Aff_n and I_n .

3. In the number theoretic sector at the M^8 -side, braided group algebras would correspond to quantum degrees of freedom, that is M . M' would correspond to some number theoretic invariants of polynomials P determining the space-time surface in H by $M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13]. The set of roots of P and ramified primes dividing the discriminant of P are such invariants.

1.2 Bird's eye view of HFFs in TGD

A rough bird's eye view of HFFs is discussed with an emphasis on their physical interpretation. There are two visions of TGD: the number theoretic view [L6, L7] and the geometric view [K5, K3, K18, K12] and $M^8 - H$ duality relates these views [L21, L12, L13].

1. At the M^8 side, the p-adic representations of braided group algebras of Galois groups associated with hierarchies of extensions of rationals define natural candidates for the inclusion hierarchies of HFFs.

Braid groups represent basically permutations of tensor factors and the same applies to the braided Galois groups with S_n restricted to the Galois group.

A good guess is that braid strands correspond to the roots of a polynomial labelling mass shells H^3 in $M^4 \subset M^8$.

2. The 3-D mass shells define a 4-surface in M^8 by holography based on associativity, which makes possible holography.

The condition that the normal space N of the 4-surface X^4 in M^8 is associative and contains a 2-D commutative sub-space X^2 , guarantees both holography and $M^8 - H$ duality mapping this 4-surface $X^4 \subset M^8$ to a space-time surface $Y^4 \subset H$.

The 2-D commutative space $X^2 \subset N$ can be regarded as a normal space of the 6-D counterpart of twistor space $T(M^4)$. $T(M^4)$ is mapped by $M^8 - H$ duality to a point of the twistor space $T(CP_2) = SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$ of CP_2 . This map is assumed to define the twistor space $T(Y^4) \subset T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$ as a preferred extremal [L23, L24].

3. The physical picture strongly suggests that also string world sheets and partonic 2-surfaces in $Y^4 \subset H$ are needed. They are assumed to correspond to singularities for the map to H . A natural conjecture is that the 2-D subspace $X^2 \subset N$ is mapped to a 2-D subspace $Y^2 \subset T$ of the tangent space T of X^4 by a multiplication with a preferred octonionic imaginary unit in T .

How could this preferred octonionic unit be determined?

- (a) Complexified octonionic units in the tangent space of M_c^8 decomposes under $SU(3) \subset G_2$, having interpretation as color group, to representations $1_1 \oplus 1_2 \oplus 3 \oplus \bar{3}$. 1_1 and 1_2 correspond to the real unit I_0 and imaginary unit I_1 and 3 and $\bar{3}$ correspond to color triplets analogous to quarks and antiquarks.
- (b) Complexified quaternionic sub-space defining N corresponds to color singlets I_0, I_1 , and quarks I_2, I_3 with $(Y = -1/3, I_3 = 1/2)$ and $Y = 2/3, I_3 = 0$). The complement T corresponds to quark I_4 ($Y = -1/3, I_3 = 1/2$) and 3 antiquarks (I_5, I_6, I_7). The octonionic multiplication of the units of quaternionic sub-space by quark I_4 gives T as the orthogonal complement of the quaternionic sub-space N .
- (c) This multiplication would assign to $X^2 \subset N$ 2-D subspace of T and also its orthogonal complement Y^2 in T . If the distributions of X^2 and Y^2 are integrable, they define the slicing of X^4 by partonic 2-surfaces and string world sheets. The tangent spaces for them would correspond to the local choice of I_0, I_1 and I_2, I_3 . X^2 and Y^2 at different points would differ by a local $SU(3)$ transformation. In fact, the 4-surface in M^8 would correspond to a complex color gauge transformation [L12, L13].

This choice could correspond to what I have called Hamilton-Jacobi (H-J) structure [K1] in X^4 defining a slicing of X^4 defined by an integrable distribution of pairs of orthonormal 2-surfaces analogous to the choice of massless wave vector and orthogonal polarization plane depending on the point of X^4 or equivalently on the point of M^4 as its projection. H-J structure would also define the analog of Kähler structure in M^4 strongly suggested by twistor lift.

The original proposal was that the H-J structure is associated with M^4 , and one cannot completely exclude the possibility that the projection of the proposed slicing to M^4 defines H-J. The idea about single H-J structure is not physical. Dynamical H-J structure does not conform with the idea that M^4 is completely non-dynamical. However, if the H-J structure is determined by the choice $X^2 \subset N$ and defines H-J structure in X^4 , this objection can be circumvented.

At the H side there are 3 algebras.

1. The subalgebras SSA_n of super-symplectic algebra (SSA) are assumed to induce isometries of WCW. Since SSA and also other algebras have non-negative conformal weights, it has a hierarchy of subalgebras SSA_n with conformal weights coming as n -multiples of those for SSA.
2. There are also affine algebras Aff associated with H isometries acting on light-like orbits of partonic 2-surfaces and having similar hierarchy of Aff_n . Both isometries and holonomies of H are involved.
3. Light-cone boundary allows infinite dimensional isometry group I consisting of generalized conformal transformation combined with a local scaling allowing similar hierarchy I_n .

One should understand how the number theoretic and geometric hierarchies relate to each other and a good guess is that braided group algebras act on braids assignable to SSA_n with n interpreted as the number of braid strands and thus the degree n of P .

Also the interpretational problems related to quantum measurement theory and probability interpretation are discussed from the TGD point of view, in which zero energy ontology (ZEO) allows us to solve the basic problem of quantum measurement theory.

1.3 $M^8 - H$ duality and HFFS

$M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13] suggests that the hierarchies of extensions of rationals at the number theoretic side and hierarchies of HFFs at the geometric side are closely related.

The key idea is that the braided Galois groups at M^8 -side interact on algebras $A_n \in \{SSA_n, Aff_n, I_n\}$ at H level as number theoretic braid groups permuting the tensor factors assignable to the braid strands, which correspond to the roots of the polynomial P .

The basic notions associated with a polynomial P with rational coefficients having degree n are its n roots, ramified primes as factors of the discriminant defined by the difference of its roots, and Galois group plus a set of Galois invariants such as symmetric polynomials of roots. The Galois group is the same for a very large number of polynomials P . The question concerns the counterparts of these notions at the level of H ?

An educated guess is that the n roots of P label the strands of an n -braid in H assignable to A_n , ramified primes correspond to physically preferred p-adic primes in the adelic structure formed by various p-adic representations $A_{n,p}$ of the algebras A_n and the Galois group algebra associated with the polynomial P with degree n .

This picture suggests a generalization of arithmetics to quantum arithmetics based on the replacement of $+$ and \times with \oplus and \otimes and replacement of numbers with representations of groups or algebras [L27]. This implies a generalization of adèle by replacing p-adic numbers with the p-adic quantum counterparts of algebras A_n .

The mysterious McKay correspondence [A16] has inspired several articles during years [L4, L11, L10, L27] but it is fair to say that I do not really understand it. Hence I could not avoid the temptation to attack this mystery also in this article.

1.4 Infinite primes

The notion of infinite primes [K16, K8] is one of the ideas inspired by TGD, which has waited for a long time for its application. Their construction is analogous to a quantization of supersymmetric arithmetic quantum field theory.

1. The analog of Dirac sea X is defined by the product of finite primes and one "kicks" from sea a subset of primes defining a square free integer n_F to get the sum $X/n_F + n_F$. One can also add bosons to X/n_F resp. n_F multiplying it with integer n_{B_1} resp. n_{B_2} , which is divisible only by primes dividing Z/n_F resp. n_F .
2. This construction generalizes and one can form polynomials of X to get infinite primes analogous to bound states. One can consider instead of $P(X)$ a polynomial $P(X, Y)$, where Y is the product of all primes at the first level thus involving the product of all infinite primes already constructed, and repeat the procedure. One can repeat the procedure indefinitely and the formal interpretation is as a repeated quantization. The interpretation could be in terms of many-sheeted space-time or abstraction process involving formation of logical statements about statements about ...
3. The polynomials Q could also be interpreted as ordinary polynomials. If $Q(X) = P(X)$, where $P(X)$ is the polynomial defining a 4-surface in M^8 , the space-time surface X^4 in H would correspond to infinite prime. This would give a "quantization" of P defining the space-time surface.

The polynomial P defining 4-surface in H would fix various quantum algebras associated with it. The polynomials $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ could be interpreted as $n - 1$ -parameter families defining surfaces in the "world of classical worlds" (WCW) [L21] (for the development of the notion see [K5, K3, K18, K12]).

4. X is analogous to adèle and infinite primes could be perhaps seen as a generalization of the notion of adèle. One could assign p-adic variants of various HFFs to the primes defining the adèle and $+$ and \times could be replaced with \oplus and \otimes . The physical interpretation of ramified primes of P is highly interesting.

In the last section, I try to guess how the fusion of these building blocks by using the ideas introduced in the previous sections could give rise to what might be called quantum TGD. It must be made clear that the twistor lift of TGD [L23, L24] is not considered in this work.

2 Basic notions related to hyperfinite factors of type II_1 from TGD point of view

In this section, the basic notions of hyperfinite factors (HFFs) as a physicists from the TGD point of view will be discussed. I have considered HFFs earlier several times [K17, K4] and will not discuss here the technical details of various notions.

2.1 Basic concepts related to von Neumann algebras

John von Neumann proposed that the algebras, which now carry his name are central for quantum theory [A13]. Von Neumann algebra decomposes to a direct integral of factors appearing and there are 3 types of factors corresponding to types I, II, and III.

2.1.1 Inclusion/embedding as a basic aspect of physics

Inclusion (<https://cutt.ly/NX8eWwa>, <https://cutt.ly/cX8eUuf>, <https://cutt.ly/4X8ePn6>) is a central notion in the theory of factors. Inclusion/embedding involving induction of various geometric structures is a key element of classical and quantum TGD.

One starts from the algebra $B(H)$ of bounded operators in Hilbert space. This algebra has naturally hermitian conjugation $*$ as an antiunitary operation and therefore one talks of C_* algebras. von Neumann algebra is a subalgebra of $B(H)$. Already here an analog of inclusion is involved (<https://cutt.ly/3XkP02s>). There are also inclusions between von Neumann algebras, in particular HFFs.

What could the inclusion of von Neumann algebra to $B(H)$ as subalgebra mean physically? In the TGD framework, one can identify several analogies.

1. Space-time is a 4-surface in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$: analog of inclusion reducing degrees of freedom.
2. Space-time is not only an extremal of an action [K1] [L22] but a preferred extremal (PE), which satisfies holography so that it is almost uniquely defined by a 3-surface. This guarantees general coordinate invariance at the level of H without path integral. I talk about preferred extremals (PEs) analogous to Bohr orbits. Space-time surface as PE is a 4-D minimal surface with singularities [L22]: there is an analogy with a soap film spanned by frames. This implies a small failure of determinism localizable at the analogs of frames so that holography is not completely unique.

Holography means that very few extremals are physically possible. This Bohr orbit property conforms with the Uncertainty Principle. Also HFFs correspond to small sub-spaces of $B(H)$. Quantum classical correspondence suggests that this analogy is not accidental.

2.1.2 The notion of commutant and its physical interpretation in the TGD framework

The notion of the commutant M' of M , which also defines HFF, is also essential. What could be the physical interpretation of M' ? TGD suggests 3 important hierarchies of HFFs as algebras A_n . A_n could correspond to super-symplectic algebras SSA_n acting at $\delta M^4_+ \times CP_2$; to an affine algebras Aff_n acting at the light-like partonic orbits; or to an isometry algebra I_n acting at δM^4_+ . All these HFF candidates have commutants and would have interpretation in terms of quantum-classical correspondence.

One can consider SSA as an example.

1. In TGD, one has indeed an excellent candidate for the commutant. Supersymplectic symmetry algebra (SSA) of $\delta M^4_+ \times CP_2$ (δM^4_+ denotes the boundary of a future directed light-cone) is proposed to act as isometries of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW) consisting of space-time surfaces as PEs (very, very roughly).

Symplectic symmetries would be generated by Hamiltonians, which are products of Hamiltonians associated with δM^4_+ (metrically sphere S^2) and CP_2 . Symplectic symmetries are conjectured to act as isometries of WCW and gamma matrices of WCW extend symplectic symmetries to super-symplectic ones.

Hamiltonians and their super-counterparts generate the super-symplectic algebra (SSA) and quantum states are created by using them. SSA is a candidate for HFF. Call it M . What about M' ?

2. The symplectic symmetries leave invariant the induced Kähler forms of CP_2 and contact form of δM_+^4 (assignable to the analog of Kähler structure in M^4).
3. The wave functions in WCW depending of magnetic fluxes defined by these Kähler forms over 2-surfaces are physically observables which commute SSA and with M . These fluxes are in a central role in the classical view about TGD and define what might perhaps be regarded as a dual description necessary to interpret quantum measurements.

Could M' correspond or at least include the WCW wave functions (actually the scalar parts multiplying WCW spinor fields with WCW spinor for a given 4-surface a fermionic Fock state) depending on these fluxes only? I have previously talked of these degrees of freedom as zero modes commuting with quantum degrees of freedom and of quantum classical correspondence.

4. There is $M-M'$ correspondence also for number theoretic degrees of freedom, which naturally appear from the number theoretic M^8 description mapped to H -description. Polynomials P associated with a given Galois group are analogous to symplectic degrees of freedom with given fluxes as symplectic invariants. Galois groups and Galois invariants are "classical" invariants at the M^8 side and should have counterparts on the H side. For instance, the degree n of polynomial P could correspond to the number of braid stran

2.1.3 More algebraic notions

There are further algebraic notions involved. The article of John Baez (<https://cutt.ly/VX1QyqD>) describes these notions nicely.

1. The condition $M'' = M$ is a defining algebraic condition for von Neumann algebras. What does this mean? Or what could its failure mean? Could M'' be larger than M ? It would seem that this condition is achieved by replacing M with M'' .

$M'' = M$ codes algebraically the notion of weak continuity, which is motivated by the idea that functions of operators obtained by replacing classical observable by its quantum counterpart are also observables. This requires the notion of continuity. Every sequence of operators must approach an operator belonging to the von Neumann algebra and this can be required in a weak sense, that is for matrix elements of the operators.

Does $M'' = M$ mean that the classical descriptions and quantum descriptions are somehow equivalent? At first, this looks nonsensical but when one notices that the scalar parts of WCW spinor fields correspond to wave functions in the zero mode of WCW which do not appear in the line element of WCW, this idea starts to look more sensible. In quantum measurements the outcome is indeed expressed in terms of classical variables. Zero modes and quantum fluctuating modes would provide dual descriptions of physics.

2. There is also the notion of hermitian conjugation defined by an antiunitary operator J : $a^\dagger = JAJ$. This operator is absolutely essential in quantum theory and in the TGD framework it is geometrized in terms of the Kähler form of WCW. The idea is that entire quantum theory, rather than only gravitation or gravitation and gauge interactions should be geometrized. Left multiplication by JaJ corresponds to right multiplication by a .

2.1.4 Connes tensor product and category theoretic notions

Connes tensor product (Connes fusion) [A2] appears in the construction of the hierarchy of inclusions of HFFs. For instance, matrix multiplication has an interpretation as Connes tensor product reduct tensor product of matrices to a matrix product. The number of degrees of freedom is reduced. The tensor product $A \otimes_R B$ depends on the coefficient ring R acting as right multiplication in A and left multiplication in B . If the dimension of R increases, the dimension of A (B) as a left/right R module is reduced. For instance, A as an A -module is 1-dimensional.

Also category theory related algebraic notions appear. I still do not have an intuitive grasp about category theory. In any case, one would have a so-called 2-category (<https://cutt.ly/3XkP02s>). M and N correspond to 0-morphisms (objects). One can multiply arguments of functions in $L^2(M)$ and $L^2(N)$ by M or N .

Bimodule (<https://cutt.ly/EX885WA>) is a key notion. For instance the set of $R_{m,n}$ of $m \times n$ matrices is a bimodule, which is a left (right) module with respect to $m \times m$ ($n \times n$) matrices. One can replace matrices with algebras. The bimodule ${}_M M_M$ resp. ${}_N N_N$ is analogous to $m \times m$ resp. $n \times n$ matrices. They correspond to 1-morphisms, which behave like units. The bimodule ${}_M N_N$ resp. ${}_N N_M$ is analogous to $m \times n$ resp. $n \times m$ matrices. These two bimodules correspond to a generating 1-morphisms mapping N to M resp. M to N . Bimodule map corresponds to 2-morphisms. Connes tensor product defines what category theorists call a tensor functor.

2.1.5 The notions of factor and trace

The notion of factor as a building block of more complex structures is central and analogous to the notion of simple group or prime. Factor is a von Neumann algebra, which is simple in the sense that it has a trivial center consisting of multiples of unit operators. The algebra is direct sum or integral over different factors.

The notion of trace is fundamental and highly counter intuitive. For the factors of type I, it is just the ordinary trace and the trace $Tr(I)$ of the unit operator is equal to the dimension n of the Hilbert space. This notion is natural when direct sum is the key notion. For the other factors, the situation is different.

Factors can be classified into three types: *I, II, and III*.

1. For factors of type I associated with three bosons, the trace equals n in the n -D case and ∞ in the infinite-D case.
2. A highly non-intuitive and non-trivial axiom relating to HFFs as hyperfinite factors of type II_1 is that the trace of the unit operator satisfies $Tr(Id) = 1$: for factors of type II (see the article of Popa at <https://cutt.ly/KX8y0Fs>). This definition is natural in the sense that being a subsystem means being a tensor factor rather than subspace.

The intuitive idea is that the density matrix for an infinite-D system identified as a unit operator gives as its trace total probability equal to one. These factors emerge naturally for free fermions. "Hyperfinite" expresses the fact that the approximation of a factor with its finite-D cutoff is an excellent approximation.

HFFs are extremely flexible and can look like arbitrarily high-dimensional factor I_n . For instance, one can extract any matrix algebra $M^n(C)$ as a tensor factor so that one has $M = M^n(C) \otimes M^{1/n}$ by the multiplicativity of dimensions in the tensor product. Should one interpret this by saying that measurement can separate from a factor an $n - D$ Hilbert space and that $M^{1/n}$ is something that remains inaccessible to the measurements considered? If one introduces the notion of measurement resolution in this manner, the description of measurement could be based on factors of type I_n .

3. The factors of type II_∞ are tensor products of infinite-D factors of type I and HFFs and could describe free bosons and fermions.
4. In quantum field theory (QFT), factors of type III appear and in this case the notion of trace becomes useless. These factors are pathological and in QFT they lead to divergence difficulties. The physical reason is the idea about point-like particles, which is too strong an idealization.

In the TGD framework, the generalization of a point-like particle to 3-surface saves from these difficulties and leads to factors of type I and HFFs. In TGD, finite measurement resolution is realized in terms of a unique number theoretic discretization, which further simplifies the situation in the TGD framework.

2.2 Standard construction for the hierarchy of HFFs

Consider now the standard construction leading to a hierarchy of HFFs and their inclusions.

1. One starts from an inclusion $M \subset N$ of HFFs. I will later consider what these algebras could be in the TGD framework.
2. One introduces the spaces $L^2(M)$ *resp.* $L^2(N)$ of square integrable functions in M *resp.* N .
From the physics point of view, bringing in "L²" is something extremely non-trivial. Space is replaced with wave functions in space: this corresponds to what is done in wave mechanics, that is quantization! One quantizes in M , particles as points of M are replaced by wave functions in M , one might say.

3. At the next step one introduces the projection operator e as a projection from $L^2(N)$ to $L^2(M)$: this is like projecting wave functions in N to wave functions in M . I wish I could really understand the physical meaning of this. The induction procedure for second quantized spinor fields in H to the space-time surface by restriction is completely analogous to this procedure.

After that one generates a HFF as an algebra generated by e and $L^2(N)$: call it $\langle L^2(N), e \rangle$. One has now 3 HFFs and their inclusions: $M_0 \equiv M$, $M_1 \equiv N$, and $\langle L^2(N), e \rangle \equiv M_2$.

An interesting question is whether this process could generalize to the level of induced spinor fields?

4. Even this is not enough! One constructs $L^2(M_2) \equiv M_3$ including M_2 . One can continue this indefinitely. Physically this means a repeated quantization.

One could ask whether one could build a hierarchy $M_0, L^2(M_0), \dots, L^2(L^2 \dots (M_0) \dots)$: why is this not done?

The hierarchy of projectors e_i to M_i defines what is called Temperley-Lieb algebra [A18] involving quantum phase $q = \exp(i\pi/n)$ as a parameter. This algebra resembles that of S_∞ but differs from it in that one has projectors instead of group elements. For the braid group $e_i^2 = 1$ is replaced with a sum of terms proportional to e_i and unit matrix: mixture of projector and permutation is in question.

5. There is a fascinating connection in TGD and theory of consciousness. The construction of what I call infinite primes [K16, K8] is structurally like a repeated second quantization of a supersymmetric arithmetic quantum field theory involving fermions and bosons labelled by the primes of a given level I conjectured that it corresponds physically to quantum theory in the many-sheeted space-time.

Also an interpretation in terms of a hierarchy of statements about statements about bringing in mind hierarchy of logics comes to mind. Cognition involves generation of reflective levels and this could have the quantization in the proposed sense as a quantum physical correlate.

2.3 Classification of inclusions of HFFs using extended ADE diagrams

Extended ADE Dynkin diagrams for ADE Lie groups, which correspond to finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ by McKay correspondence [A16, A15, A12], discussed from the TGD point of view in [L27], characterize inclusions of HFFs.

For a subset of ADE groups not containing E_7 and D_{2n+1} , there are inclusions, which correspond to Dynkin diagrams corresponding quantum groups. What is interesting that E_6 (tetrahedron) and E_8 (icosahedron/dodecahedron) appear in the TGD based model of bioharmony and genetic code but not E_7 (cube and octahedron) [L16].

1. Why finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ (or $SU(2)_q$) should characterize the inclusions in the tunnel hierarchies with the same value of the quantum dimension $M_{n+1} : M_n$ of quantum group?

In the TGD interpretation M_{n+1} reduces to a tensor product of M_n and quantum group, when M_n represents reduced measurement resolution and quantum group the added degrees

of freedom. Quantum groups would represent the reduced degrees of freedom. This has a number theoretical counterpart in terms of finite measurement resolution obtained when an extension of ... of rationals is reduced to a smaller extension. The braided relative Galois group would represent the new degrees of freedom.

2. One can algebraically identify HFF as a "tunnel" obtained by iterated standard construction as an infinite tensor power of $GL(2, c)$ or $GL(n, C)$. The analog of the McKay graph for the irreps of a closed subgroup of $GL(2, C)$ defines an invariant characterizing the fusion rules involved with the reduction of the Connes tensor products. This invariant reduces to the McKay graph for the tensor products of the canonical 2-D representation with the irreps of a *finite* rather than only closed subgroups of $SU(2)$. This must take place also for $GL(n, C)$. Why?

The reduction of degrees of freedom implied by the Connes tensor product seems to imply a discretization at the level of $SU(2)$ and replace closed subgroups of $SU(2)$ with finite subgroups. This conforms with the similarity of the representation theories of discrete and closed groups. In the case of quantum group representations only a finite number of ordinary finite-D group representations survive.

All this conforms with the TGD view about the equivalence of number-theoretic discretization and inclusions as descriptions of finite measurement resolution.

In the TGD framework, $SU(2)$ could correspond to a covering group of quaternionic automorphisms and number theoretic discretization (cognitive representations) would naturally lead to discrete and finite subgroups of $SU(2)$.

3 TGD and hyperfinite factors of type II_1 : a bird's eye of view

In this section, a tentative identification of hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (HFFS) in the TGD framework [K17, K4] is discussed. Also some general related to the interpretation of HFFs and their possible resolution in the TGD framework are considered.

3.1 Identification of HFFs in the TGD framework

3.1.1 Inclusion hierarchies of extensions of rationals and of HFFs

I have enjoyed discussions with Baba Ilya Iyo Azza about von Neumann algebras. Hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (HFF) (<https://cutt.ly/1Xp6MDB>) are the most interesting von Neumann algebras from the TGD point of view. One of the conjectures motivated by TGD based physics, is that the inclusion sequences of extensions of rationals defined by compositions of polynomials define inclusion sequences of hyperfinite factors. It seems that this conjecture might hold true!

Already von Neumann demonstrated that group algebras of groups G satisfying certain additional constraints give rise to von Neuman algebras. For finite groups they correspond to factors of type I in finite-D Hilbert spaces.

The group G must have an infinite number of elements and satisfy some additional conditions to give a HFF. First of all, all its conjugacy classes must have an infinite number of elements. Secondly, G must be amenable. This condition is not anymore algebraic. Braid groups define HFFs.

To see what is involved, let us start from the group algebra of a finite group G . It gives a finite-D Hilbert space, factor of type I.

1. Consider next the braid groups B_n , which are coverings of S_n . One can check from Wikipedia that the relations for the braid group B_n are obtained as a covering group of S_n by giving up the condition that the permutations σ_i of nearby elements e_i, e_{i+1} are idempotent. Could the corresponding braid group algebra define HFF?

It is. The number of conjugacy classes $g_i \sigma_i g_i^{-1}$, $g_i == \sigma_{i+1}$ is infinite. If one poses the additional condition $\sigma_i^2 = U \times 1$, U a root of unity, the number is finite. Amenability is too

technical a property for me but from Wikipedia one learns that all group algebras, also those of the braid group, are hyperfinite factors of type II₁ (HFFs).

2. Any finite group is a subgroup G of some S_n . Could one obtain the braid group of G and corresponding group algebra as a sub-algebra of group algebra of B_n , which is HFF. This looks plausible.
3. Could the inclusion for HFFs correspond to an inclusion for braid variants of corresponding finite group algebras? Or should some additional conditions be satisfied? What the conditions could be?

Here the number theoretic view of TGD could come to the rescue.

1. In the TGD framework, I am primarily interested in Galois groups. The vision/conjecture is that the inclusion hierarchies of extensions of rationals correspond to the inclusion hierarchies for hyperfinite factors. The hierarchies of extensions of rationals defined by the hierarchies of composite polynomials $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ have Galois groups, which define a hierarchy of relative Galois groups such that the Galois group G_k is a normal subgroup of G_{k+1} . One can say that the Galois group G is a semidirect product of the relative Galois groups.
2. One can decompose any finite subgroup to a maximal number of normal subgroups, which are simple and therefore do not have a further decomposition. They are primes in the category of groups.
3. Could the prime HFFs correspond to the braid group algebras of simple finite groups acting as Galois groups? Therefore prime groups would map to prime HFFs and the inclusion hierarchies of Galois groups induced by composite polynomials would define inclusion hierarchies of HFFs just as speculated.

One would have a deep connection between number theory and HFFs.

3.1.2 How could HFFs emerge in TGD?

What could HFFs correspond to in the TGD framework? Consider first the situation at the level of M^8 .

1. Braid group $B(G)$ of group (say Galois group as subgroup of S_n) and its group algebra would correspond to $B(G)$ and $L^2(B(G))$. Braided Galois group and its group algebra could correspond to $B(G)$ and $L^2(B(G))$.

The inclusion of Galois group algebra of extension to its extension could naturally define a Connes tensor product. The additional degrees of freedom brought in by extension of extension would be below measurement resolution.

2. Composite polynomials $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ are used instead of a product of polynomials naturally characterizing free n -particle states. Composition would describe interaction physically: the degree is the product of degrees of factors for a composite polynomial and sum for the product of polynomials.

The multiplication rule for the dimensions holds also for the tensor product so that functional composition could be also seen as a number theoretic correlate for the formation of interacting many particle states.

3. Compositeness implies correlations and formation of bound states so that the number of degrees of freedom is reduced. The interpretation as bound state entanglement is suggestive. This hierarchical entanglement could be assigned with directed attention in the TGD inspired theory of consciousness [L14].

An alternative interpretation is in terms of braids of braids of ... of braids with braid strands at a given level characterized by the roots of P_i . These interpretations could be actually consistent with each other.

4. Composite polynomials define hierarchies of Galois groups such that the included Galois group is a normal subgroup. This kind of hierarchy could define an increasing sequence of inclusions of braided Galois groups.

Consider the situation at the H level.

1. At the level of H , elements of the algebras $A \in \{SSA, Aff, I\}$ associated with super-symplectic symmetries acting at δM_+^4 , affine isometries acting at light-like partonic orbites, isometries of δM_+^4 , are labelled by conformal weights coming as non-negative integers. Also algebraic integers can be considered but for physical states conformal confinement requires integer valued conformal weights.
2. One can construct a hierarchy of representations of A such that subalgebras A_n with conformal weights $h \geq 0$ coming as multiples of n and the commutator $[A_n, A]$ annihilate the physical states. These representations are analogous to quantum groups and one can say that A_n defines a finite measurement resolution in A . A_{nk} , $k \geq 1$ is included by A_n for and one has a reversed sequence of inclusions.

One can construct inclusion hierarchies defined by the sequences $1 \div n_1 \div n_2 \div \dots$ " $n_{-1} = 1$ " corresponds to SSA. The factor spaces $A_{n_k}/A_{n_{k+1}}$ are analogs of quantum group-like objects associated with Jones inclusions and the interpretation is in terms of finite measurement resolution defined by $A_{n_{k+1}}$.

The factor spaces A/A_{n_k} define inclusion hierarchies with an increasing measurement resolution.

3.2 Could the notion of free probability be relevant in TGD?

In discussions with Baba Ilya Iyo Azza, I learned about the notion of free probability (<https://cutt.ly/LCY51sy>) assignable to von Neumann algebras. This algebra is II_1 factor. Originally, the notion was discovered by Voiculescu [A17] in order to attack some operator algebra problems, in particular free group factor isomorphism problem and Voiculescu demonstrated there is an infinity of von Neumann free group factors, which can be isomorphic. One can ask whether the free probability could have physical applications. In particular, whether the HFFs emerging naturally in TGD are consistent with this notion.

I try first to describe the notion of free probability as I understand it, on basis of what I learned in the discussions.

1. Free probability theory and classical probability theory differ because the latter is commutative and the former is highly noncommutative, and the notion of independence differs for them.

In the classical theory, the expectations of the variables X, Y, \dots are commutative whereas in free probability theory they become observables represented by operators, which in general are non-commutative. The expectations for independent variables satisfy $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$ and more generally $E(X^m Y^n) = E(X^m)E(Y^n)$. The expectations for powers are called moments.

The free probability theory generalizes independent variables to free, in general non-commutative, operators a, b, \dots of von Neumann algebra M . The mean value $E(X)$ is replaced with a vacuum expectation value $\tau(a)$ of a , as physicists would call it. The expectations define what mathematicians call a normal state. Here τ , defining the vacuum expectation, denotes a linear functional in M .

The random variable a can act on the argument of square integrable functions $F(m)$ defined in non-commutative von Neumann algebra M defining a commutative algebra $L^2(M)$. The action of a is non-commutative right or left multiplication of the argument of $F(m)$. One can speak of non-commutative probability space.

Could group algebras and braid group algebra represent free algebras? Unfortunately not. It is known that HFF probability is not consistent with free algebra property.

2. In the classical theory of independent random variables, one has $E(XY) = E(X)E(Y)$ and it is possible to express all expectations of monomials of X_1, X_2, \dots of polynomials of variables X_1, X_2, \dots in terms of moments $E(X_i^n)$.

For free probability theory an analogous situation prevails although the formulas are not identical. Consider factor M of type II_1 , which in the case of free algebras cannot be hyperfinite. A linear functional $\tau(a)$ corresponds to vacuum expectation value, using the language of physicists. One has $\tau(1) = 1$. This corresponds to the condition $Tr(Id) = 1$. One has pointlike space in the sense that the projector to a ray of Hilbert state defined by M has a vanishing trace. This corresponds to a finite measurement resolution requiring that the trace of the projector characterizing quantum measurement is a nonvanishing number.

$\tau(ab) = \tau(a)\tau(b)$ is true for the generators of the free algebra and states that there is no correlation between a and b . This is however not true in general.

[Note that an analogous condition holds true for the correlators of free quantum field fields at the level of momentum space: the n -point correlation functions reduce to products of momentum space propagators.]

For instance, one would have

$$\tau(abab) = \tau(a^2)\tau(b)^2 + \tau(a)^2\tau(b^2) - 2\tau(a)^2\tau(b)^2$$

instead of $E(XYXY) = E(X^2)E(Y^2)$ for classical independent variables. Also now however only powers of a and b appear in the formula. This reduction of the expectations to the momenta $\tau(a^n)$ would hold quite generally.

3. A more precise definition is as follows (<https://cutt.ly/LCY51sy>). Unital subalgebras A_1, \dots, A_m are said to be freely independent if the expectation of the product $a_1 \dots a_n$ is zero whenever each a_j has zero expectation, lies in an A_k , no *adjacent* a_j 's come from the same subalgebra A_k , and n is nonzero. Random variables are freely independent if they generate freely independent unital subalgebras.
4. The lattice of non-crossing partitions (<https://cutt.ly/jCY6jNe>) for a finite set ordered cyclically, distinguishes free probability theory from lattice of all partition in the theory of independent random variables. Two partitions ab and xy are non-crossing if their elements do not correspond to order $axby$. The subsets of a non-crossing partition consist of elements, which are adjacent in this ordering and form connected subsets with k_i elements in which a cyclic subgroup $Z_{k_i} \subset Z_n$ acts. The expression of an element of S_n as a product of elements of cyclic subgroups Z_{n_k} of S_n corresponds to this kind of partition.

Interestingly, in the construction of the non-planar parts of the twistor amplitudes similar cyclic ordering plays an important role. The problem of the twistor constructed are non-planar amplitudes which do not allow cyclic ordering. Could it be that the non-planar parts of the amplitudes do not have counterparts in a deeper theory utilizing HFFs? If so, free probability could code a very profound aspect of quantum theory.

Free random variables could correspond to the generators of von Neumann algebra of type II_1 . My un-educated guess was that also HFFs realize free probability. I was wrong: thanks for Baba Ilya Iyo Azza for noticing this. It however seems that something highly reminiscent of free probability emerges for the algebras involved with TGD.

1. In the TGD framework, the generators typically generate an algebra of observables having interpretation as algebra of symmetries, such as affine algebra or super symplectic algebra.
2. ab would correspond to the product of say affine algebra generators a and b labelled by quantum numbers which are additive in the product. $\tau(a)$ would vanish as a vacuum expectation value of a generator with non-vanishing quantum numbers so that for generators one should have $\tau(ab) = \tau(a)\tau(b) = 0$.

ab is expressible in terms of commutator and anticommutator as the sum $[a, b]/2 + \{a, b\}/2$. Both terms vanish if the quantum numbers of ab are non-vanishing. Only when the quantum

numbers of a and b are opposite, the vanishing need not take place. If a and its Hermitian conjugate a^\dagger with opposite quantum numbers belong to the set of generators of the free algebra, one has $\tau(aa^\dagger) > 0$ and is different from $\tau(a)\tau(a^\dagger) = 0$.

Therefore the hermitian conjugates of generators cannot belong to the generators of the algebra creating the physical states. This algebra is highly reminiscent of free algebra since all vacuum expectations for the products vanish.

3. For affine and conformal algebras this condition corresponds to the requirement that physical states are created using only the generators with non-negative conformal weight $n \geq 0$ analogous to the algebra of creation operators. Also the generators of this algebra, whose number is finite, satisfy this condition. One could speak of half-algebra.
4. In TGD half-algebras appear for a different reason. The TGD Universe is fractal in several senses of the word. Also the algebra A of observables is fractal in the sense that it contains an infinite hierarchy of sub-algebras A_n for which the conformal weights are n -multiples of those for A . The finite measurement resolution is realized by the conditions that A_n and $[A_n, A]$ annihilate physical states and that also the corresponding classical Noether charges vanish, which gives strong conditions on space-time surfaces. These sub-algebras define hierarchies of measurement resolutions related to inclusions of HFFs.

If the generators of super symplectic algebra and extensions of affine algebras indeed define free algebras, the rules of free probability theory could bring in dramatic computational simplifications if the scattering amplitudes correspond to expectations for the polynomials of the free-algebra generators.

5. In ZEO, zero energy states are generated by this kind of half-algebra and its hermitian conjugate as superpositions of state pairs assigned to the opposite boundaries of causal diamond ($CD = cd \times CP_2$, where cd is the intersection of future and past directed light-ones).

The members of the state pair are created by the half-algebra *resp.* its hermitian conjugate and are assigned with opposite boundaries of CD (intersection of light-ones with opposite time directions). The corresponding vacua are analogous to Dirac sea of negative energy fermions and its hermitian conjugate consisting of positive energy fermions. The zero energy states are analogous to pairs formed by Dirac's bras and kets. This allows to code the scattering matrix elements [L23, L24] as zero energy states.

3.3 Some objections against HFFs

One cannot avoid philosophical considerations related to the notion of probability and to the interpretations of quantum measurement theory (<https://cutt.ly/YXxSLS1>).

3.3.1 Standard measurement theory and HFFs

The standard interpretations of quantum measurement theory are known to lead to problems in the case of HFFs.

1. An important aspect related to the probabilistic interpretation is that physical states are characterized by a density matrix so that quantum theory reduces to a purely statistical theory. Therefore the phenomenon of interference central in the wave mechanics does not have a direct description.

Another problem is that for HFFs, pure states do not exist as so-called normal states, which are such that it is possible to assign a density operator to them. This is easy to understand intuitively since by the $Tr(Id) = 1$ property of the unit matrix, there is no minimal projection. Selection of a ray would correspond to an infinite precision and delta function type density operator. The axiom of choices in mathematics is quite a precise analogy.

One can of course argue that even if pure states as normal states are possible, in practice the studied system is entangled with the environment and that this forces the description in terms of a density matrix even when pure states are realized at the fundamental level.

2. In the purely statistical approach, the notion of quantum measurement must be formulated in terms of what occurs for the density matrix in quantum measurement. The expectation value of any observable A for the new density matrix generated in the measurement of observable O with a discrete spectrum must be a weighted sum for the expectations for the eigenstates of the observable with weights given by the state function reduction probabilities.

Problems are however encountered when the spectrum contains discrete parts. In the TGD framework, the number theoretic discretization would make it possible to avoid these problems.

3.3.2 Should density matrix be replaced with a more quantal object?

These problems force us to ask whether there could be something deeply wrong with the notion of density matrix? The TGD inspired view of HFFs [K17, K4] suggests a generalization of the state as a density matrix to a "complex square root" of the density matrix. At the level of WCW as vacuum functional, it would be proportional to exponent of a real valued Kähler function of WCW identified as Kähler action for the space-time region as a preferred extremal and a phase factor defined by the analog of of action exponential. Zero energy state would be proportional to an exponent of Kähler function of WCW identified as Kähler action for space-time surface as a preferred extrema.

3.3.3 Problems with the interpretations of quantum theory

HFFs based probability concept has also problems with the interpretations of quantum theory, which actually strongly suggest that something is badly wrong with the standard ontology.

1. In TGD, this requires a generalization of quantum measurement theory [L9] [K19] based on zero energy ontology (ZEO) and Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) [K9] [L3], which is consistent with the second law [L17]. What is essential is that physics is extended to what I call adelic physics [L6, L7] to describe also the correlates of cognition. This brings in a measure for conscious information based on a p-adic generalization of Shannon entropy.
2. ZEO [K19] is forced by an almost exact holography in turn implied by general coordinate invariance for space-time as 4-surface. States in ZEO are superpositions of classical time evolutions and is replaced by a new one in a state function reduction (SFR) [L9, L25]. The determinism of the unitary time evolution is consistent with the non-determinism of SFR. The basic problem of quantum measurement theory disappears since there are two times and two causalities. Causality of field equations and geometric time of physicists can be assigned to the classical time evolutions. The causality of free will and flow of experienced time can be assigned to a sequence of SFRs. The findings of Mineev et al [L8] provide support for ZEO [L8].

Quantum measurement as a reduction of entanglement can in principle occur for any entangled system pair if NMP favors it. There is no need to assume mysterious decoherence as a separate postulate. By NMP, entanglement negentropy can also increase by the formation of entangled states. Since entanglement negentropy is the sum of positive p-adic contribution and negative contribution from real entanglement and is positive, the increase of negentropy is consistent with the increase of real entanglement entropy.

However, since classical determinism is slightly broken [L22] (there is analogy with the non-uniqueness of the minimal surfaces spanned by frames), the holography is not quite exact. This has important implications for the understanding of the space-time correlates of cognition and intentionality in the TGD framework.

3.3.4 The notion of finite measurement resolution and probabilistic interpretation

One can also ask whether something could go wrong with the quantum measurement theory itself. This notion of quantum measurement does not take into account the fact that the measurement resolution is finite.

The notion of finite measurement resolution realized in terms of inclusion, replacing Hilbert space ray with the included factor and reducing state space to quantum group like object, could allow us to overcome the problems due to the absence of minimal projectors for HFFs implying that the notion of Hilbert space ray does not make sense.

Quantum group like object would represent the degrees of freedom modulo finite measurement resolution described by the included factor. The quantum group representations form a finite subset of corresponding group representations and the state function reductions could occur to quantum group representations and the standard quantum measurement theory for factors of type I would generalize.

3.3.5 Connes tensor product and finite measurement resolution

In the TGD framework Connes tensor product could provide a description of finite measurement resolution in terms of inclusion.

1. In the TGD framework, inclusion of HFFs are interpreted in terms of measurement resolution. The included factor $M \subset N$ would represent the degrees of freedom below measurement resolution. N as M module would mean that M degrees of freedom are absorbed to the coefficient ring and are not visible in the physical states. Complex numbers as a coefficient ring of the Hilbert space are effectively replaced with M . In the number theoretic description of the measurement resolution, the extension of extension is replaced with the extension. The quantum group, N as M , quantum group with quantum dimension $N : M$ would characterize the observable degrees of freedom.

This fits with the hierarchy of SSA_n :s. SSA_{n+1} would take the role of M and SSA_n that of N . This conforms with the physical intuition. Since n corresponds to conformal weight, the large values of n would naturally correspond to degrees of freedom below UV cutoff.

Could also IR cutoff have a description in the super symplectic hierarchy of SSA_n :s. It should correspond to a minimal value for conformal weight. The finite size of CD defining a momentum unit gives a natural IR cutoff. The proposal is that the total momentum assignable to the either half-cone of CD defines by $M^8 - H$ duality the size scale L as $L = h_{eff}/M$ [L12, L13].

2. For the hierarchies of extensions of rationals the upper levels of the extension hierarchy would not be observed. The larger the value of $n = h_{eff}/h_0$, n a dimension of extension of rationals associated with polynomial P defining the space-time region by $M^8 - H$ duality, the longer the quantum coherence scale.

In this case large values for the dimension of extension would correspond to IR cutoff. Therefore UV and IR cutoffs would correspond to number theoretic and geometric cutoffs. This conforms with the view that $M^8 - H$ duality as an analog of Langlands duality is between number theoretic and geometric descriptions.

3. Duality suggests that also UV cutoff should have a number theoretic description. In the number theoretic situation, Galois confinement for these levels might imply that they are indeed unobservable, just like color-confined quarks. In fact, the hypothesis $n = h_{eff}/h_0$, n a dimension of extension of rationals associated with polynomial P defining the space-time region by $M^8 - H$ duality, for the effective Planck constant leads to estimate for ordinary Planck constant as $h = n_0 h_0$ where n_0 corresponds to the order of permutation group S_7 .

Could the interpretation be that these degrees of freedom are Galois confined and unobservable in the scales at which measurements are performed. Smaller values of h_{eff} would appear only in length scales much below the electroweak scale and at the limit of CP_2 scale?

3.3.6 How finite measurement resolution could be realized using inclusions of HFFs?

The basic ideas are that finite measurement resolution corresponds to inclusions of HFFs on one hand, and to number theoretic discretizations defined by extensions of rationals. In both cases one has inclusion hierarchies.

One can consider realizations at the level of WCW (geometry) and at the level of number theory in terms of adelic structures assignable to the extensions of rationals. Space-time surfaces can be discretized and this induces discretization of WCW. Even more, WCW should be in some natural manner effectively discrete.

In [K5, K3, K12] the construction WCW Kähler metric is considered and the mere existence of the Kähler metric is expected to require infinite-D isometry group and imply constant curvature property. The Kähler function K is defined in terms of action consisting of the Kähler action and volume part for a preferred extremal (PE). There are however zero modes present and the metric depends on the zero modes. Twistor lift fixes the choices of H uniquely [L23, L24].

How to define WCW functional integral and how to discretize it? I have proposed that the Gaussian approximation to WCW integration is exact and allows to define a discretization in terms of the maxima (maybe also other extrema) of Kähler function. The proposal is that the exponential of Kähler function should correspond to a number theoretic invariant, perhaps the discriminant of the polynomial P defining PE by $M^8 - H$ duality.

Consider first the standard realization of the restriction $P : N \rightarrow M$ reducing the measurement resolution.

1. The definition of a unitary S-matrix for HFFs is non-trivial. Usually one considers only density matrices expressible in terms of projection operators P to subspaces of HFF.

I have earlier proposed the notion of a complex square root of the density matrix as a generalization of the density matrix. In a direct sum representation of S over projections, in which S-matrix is diagonal, and the projection operators would be multiplied by phase factors. This definition looks sensible at the level of WCW but perhaps as a generalization of the density matrix rather than the S-matrix.

The exponent of Kähler function could have a modulus multiplied by a phase factor. Also an additional state dependent phase factor can be considered. The mathematical existence of the WCW integral fixes the modulus essentially uniquely to an exponent of Kähler function K multiplied by the metric volume element. K could also have an imaginary part.

2. The projected S-matrix PSP is unitary if the projection operator P must commute with S . S-matrix is realized at the level of HFFs so that the matrix representation does not make sense in a strict sense since the notion of ray is not sensible.
3. Projection $N \rightarrow M$ respects unitarity only if P commutes with S and S^\dagger . The S-matrix does not have matrix elements between M and N . This is a very tough condition.

How the finite measurement resolution could be realized in the TGD framework?

1. In WCW spin degrees of freedom plus algebras A_n . Number theoretic degrees of freedom are discrete and correspond to various p-adic degrees of freedom. Continuous WCW is associated with the real part of the adelic structure. Its number theoretic parts correspond to the p-adic degrees of freedom, which are discrete.
2. Discretization could be a natural and necessary part of the definition of WCW. Could discrete WCW degrees of freedom be identified in terms of symplectic and number theoretic invariants? They would represent for WCW spinor fields scalar degrees of freedom separable from spin degrees of freedom representable in terms of algebras A_n . These two kinds of degrees of freedom correspond to M and M' if the proposed general picture is correct.

Measurement resolution would be realized in terms of braid group algebras and algebras A_n defining the measurement resolution. What does this mean at the level of WCW?

1. Bosonic generators of SSA_n and possible other algebras A_n define tangent space basis for WCW. The gauge conditions stating that A_n and $[A_n, A]$ annihilate WCW spinor fields define a finite measurement resolution selecting only a subset of tangent space-generators and their super counterparts.

2. Consider first ideal measurement resolution in a function space. There is a complete basis of scalar functions Φ_m in a given space. The sum $\sum \Phi_m(x)\Phi_m(y) = \delta(x, y)$ would hold true for an infinite measurement resolution.

In a finite measurement resolution one uses only a finite subset of the scalar function basis, and completeness relation becomes non-local and is smoothed out: $\delta(x, y) \rightarrow D(x, y)$, which is non-vanishing for different point pairs x, y .

3. The condition of finite measurement resolution should define a partition of WCW to disjoint sets. In real topology, the condition $|x - y|^2$ would define a natural measurement resolution but would not define a partition.

In p-adic topology, the situation is different: the p-adic distance function $d(x - y)$ has values p^{-n} and the sets $d(x - y) < d$ are either disjoint or identical. One would have the desired partition. Therefore it seems that p-adicization is essential and the p-adic variants of WCW, or rather regions of WCW, obtained by discretization could allow partitions corresponding to various p-adic number fields forming the adèle. Different p-adic representations of algebras A_n would define measurement resolutions.

There is a connection with spin glasses where spin energy landscape consisting of free energy minima allows ultrametric topology: p-adic topologies are indeed ultrametric. The TGD view of spin glasses is discussed in [L19]. One expects the decomposition of WCW to different p-adic topologies with ramified primes of polynomial P defining the p-adic sectors to which a given space-time surface can belong.

4. The consistency condition is that the transition probabilities $P(m \rightarrow n)$ between the states satisfying the gauge conditions representing finite measurement resolution, predicted by S-matrix or its TGD counterpart, should be constant in the subsets of WCW for which the completeness relation gives a non-vanishing $D(x, y)$ for the point pairs (x, y) .
5. Does WCW have hierarchies of partitions such that the constancy of $P(m \rightarrow n)$ holds true within each partition?

Do these partitions correspond to hierarchies of inclusions of HFFs defining increasing resolution? $M^8 - H$ duality does not allow all kinds of hierarchies. The hierarchies should be induced by the hierarchies of extensions of rationals. As the measurement precision increases, the partition involves an increasing number of sets and at the limit of ideal measurement resolution, the partition consists of algebraic points of WCW and of space-time surfaces.

6. $P = Q$ condition implying that space-time surfaces correspond to infinite prime, could appear as a consistency condition for allowed hierarchies. Preferred extremals and preferred polynomials would correspond to each other. Note that $P = Q$ conditions fixes the scaling of P .

In the TGD framework, one can challenge the idea, originally due to Wheeler, that transition probabilities are given by a unitary S-matrix.

1. The TGD based proposal is that in spin degrees of freedom, that is for many-fermion states for a given space-time surface, the counterpart of S-matrix could be given by the analog of Kähler metric in the fermionic Hilbert space [L15]. This would mean a geometrization of quantum theory, at least in fermionic degrees of freedom.

The transition probabilities would be given by $P(m \rightarrow n) = K_{\bar{m}n} K^{\bar{m}m}$ and the properties of Kähler metric K give analogs of unitary conditions and probability conservation plus some prediction distinguishing the proposal from the standard view.

2. In the infinite-D situation, the existence of Hilbert space Kähler metric in the fermionic sector is an extremely powerful condition and one expects that the Kähler metric is a unique constant curvature metric allowing a maximal group of isometries. This, together with p-adicization, would help to satisfy the constancy conditions for $P(m \rightarrow n)$ inside the sets

for which $D(x, y)$ is non-vanishing. In fact, one expects that since super-generators are proportional to isometry generators contracted with WCW gamma matrices the metric in the fermionic degrees of freedom is induced by Kähler metric in the basis of isometry generators.

3. This condition could allow a generalization to include the states obtained by application of the bosonic generations of A_n to the ground state. This would mean that in bosonic degrees of freedom Kähler metric of WCW in the isometry basis defines the transition probabilities. Tangent vectors of WCW correspond to the isometry generators. An arbitrary number of isometry generators is involved in the definition of the state. However, the Kähler metric of WCW induces a Kähler metric in the algebra generated by the isometry generators, which is analogous to the algebra of tensors.

4 $M^8 - H$ duality and HFFs

$M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13] gives strong constraints on the interpretation of HFFs at the number theoretic M^8 side and the geometric H side of the duality. One must also understand the relation between $M^8 - H$ duality and $M - M'$ duality, identifiable as quantum-classical correspondence (QCC).

Although McKay correspondence [A16, A15, A12, A9, A8] is not quite at the core of $M^8 - H$ duality, it is difficult to avoid its discussion. I have considered McKay correspondence also before [L4, L10, L11, L27].

4.1 Number theoretical level: M^8 picture

4.1.1 Braided Galois group algebras

For n -braids the permutation group has extension to a braid group B_n defining an infinite covering of S_n for which permutation corresponds to a geometric operation exchanging the two strands of a braid. There are also hierarchies of finite coverings.

S_n is replaced with the Galois group which is a subgroup of S_n and the property of being a subgroup of S_n allows to identify a braided Galois group as a braided Galois subgroup of braided S_n . In the same way one can identify the braided Galois group algebra defining HFF as a sub-algebra of HFF associated with braid group algebra defined by S_n . One can ask whether the property of being a number theoretic braid could be interpreted as a kind of symmetry breaking to S_n to the Galois group of P .

$M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13] suggests that the roots correspond to braid strands of geometric braids in H . If so, the braided Galois group would be both topological and number theoretic: topology, natural at the level of H , and number theory, natural at the level of M^8 , would meet by $M^8 - H$ duality.

This picture looks nice but one can make critical questions.

1. Can the n roots really correspond to n braid strands at the level of H ? The n roots correspond to, in general complex, algebraic numbers associated with the extension of rationals. The real projections correspond to mass shells with different mass values mapped to light-cone proper time surfaces in H by $M^8 - H$ duality. Therefore the action of the Galois group changes mass squared values and does not commute with Lorentz transformations. This suggests a violation of causality.

Should one restrict the Galois group to the isotropy group of a given root? This would mean number theoretic symmetry breaking and could relate to massivation. This restriction would however trivialize the braid.

2. Zero energy ontology (ZEO) could come to the rescue here. In fact, ZEO implies space-time surfaces are the basic objects rather than 3-surfaces so that quantum states are superpositions of space-time surfaces as preferred extremals (PEs). This is forced by the slight violation of determinism of field equations implying also a slight violation of ideal holography.

Space-time surfaces are minimal surfaces [L22] analogous to soap films spanned by frames and there can be a slight violation of the strict determinism localized to frames as already

2-D case suggests. This could be also seen as violation of classical causality. At the level of consciousness theory it would be a classical correlate for the non-determinism of intentional free will.

In particular, time-like braids for which the braiding is time-like and corresponds to a dynamical dance pattern, make sense. For these braids one can in principle select the mass squared value mapped to a value of light-cone proper time a to belong to the braid. The values of a need not be the same.

Also Galois confinement, which is a key aspect of the number theoretic vision, is involved.

1. Galois confinement states that physical states transform trivially under the Galois group of extension. This condition for physical states follows as a consequence of periodic boundary conditions for causal diamond (CD), which takes the role of box for a particle in a box.

A weaker condition would be that singlet property holds only for the isotropy group of a given root of the polynomial P characterizing the space-time region and corresponding to mass squared value and at the level of H to a value of the light-cone proper time a .

2. In M^8 , the momenta of particles are points at the mass shells of $M^4 \subset M^8$ identifiable as hyperbolic spaces $H^3 \subset M^4$ defined with mass squared values defined as the roots of P . The momenta correspond to algebraic integers (the momentum unit is defined by CD) for the extension defined by P , and in general they are complex. The interpretation is as virtual particles which form physical particles as composites. The physical states must have total momenta, which are ordinary integers. This gives the simplest form of Galois confinement.
3. Commutativity with the Lorentz group would favor the isotropy group instead of the full Galois group. One must be however very cautious since in zero energy ontology (ZEO) physical states correspond to a superposition of space-time surfaces and time-like braids are natural. There is a small violation of strict determinism at the level of preferred extremas. The labelling of braid strands based on the images of roots as mass squared values at level of H is quite natural and is not in conflict with causality.

The Galois group for a polynomial $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ has a decomposition to normal subgroups GA_i acting as Galois groups for the i :th sub-extension.

1. The number of roots is a product of the numbers of roots for P_i . Therefore the natural identification is that number theoretic braid groups allow a natural interpretation in terms of braids of braids ... of braids.
2. This hierarchy defines an inclusion hierarchy for the braided HFFs assignable to the polynomials $P_k \circ \dots \circ P_1$, $k = 1, \dots, n$. It is not quite clear to me whether these inclusions reduce to Jones inclusions and whether one can characterize the inclusions in the sequence by the same invariants as in the case of Jones inclusions.
3. In this picture the Connes tensor product would correspond to formation of composite polynomials $P \circ Q$. The reduction in the number of degrees of freedom from that for the ordinary tensor product of braided Galois group algebras would be due to interactions described in terms of polynomial decomposition. Various braids in the hierarchy could correspond to braids at different sheets of the many-sheeted space-time.
4. Any normal subgroup Gal_i of Galois group Gal defining a sequence of inclusions of normal sub-groups Gal_i can be trivially represented. By normal subgroup property, the elements of Gal can be represented as semidirect products of elements of the factor groups $G_i = Gal_i / Gal_{i-1}$. Any representation of Gal can be decomposed to a direct sum of tensor products of representations of G_i .

From this decomposition it is clear that any group G_i in the decomposition can be trivially represented so that one obtains a rich structure of representation in which some G_i :s are trivially represented.

A possible interpretation is that in TGD, rational polynomials give discrete cognitive representations as approximations for physics. Cognitive representations are in the intersection of p-adicities and reality defined by the intersection of reals and extension of p-adics defined by the algebraic extension of the polynomial P defining a given space-time surface. Continuum theory would represent real numbers as a factor of the adele.

One can ask whether the various zeta functions consistent with the integer spectrum for the conformal weights and possibly also with conformal confinement, appear at the continuum limit and provide representations for the space-time surfaces at this limit? In this framework, it would be natural for the roots of zeta to be algebraic numbers [K13]. Also in the case of ζ , the virtual momenta of fermions would be algebraic integers for virtual fermions and integers for the physical states. This makes sense if the notions of Galois group and Galois confinement are sensible for ζ .

As noticed, the notion of ζ generalizes. The so-called global L-functions (<https://cutt.ly/3VNPYmp>) are formally similar to ζ and the extended Riemann Hypothesis could be true for them. The physical motivation for RH would be that it would allow fermion with any conformal weight to appear in a state which is conformal singlet. Algebraic integers for a finite extension of rationals replace integers in the ordinary ζ and one has an entire hierarchy of L-functions. Could one think that the global L-functions could define preferred extremals at the continuum limit?

4.1.2 How could the degrees of prime polynomials associated with simple Galois groups and ramified primes relate to the symmetry algebras acting in H ?

The goal is to relate various parameters characterizing polynomials P for which braided Galois group algebras define HFFs to the parameters labelling the symmetry algebras defining hierarchies of HFFs at the level H . There are good reasons to believe that polynomial composition defines inclusion of HFFs and that this inclusion induces the inclusions for the symmetry algebras A_n at the level of H .

One can identify simple Galois groups as prime groups having no normal subgroups. The polynomial P associated with a simple Galois group cannot have no non-trivial functional decomposition $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ if one stays in the field of rationals (say). This leads to the notion of prime polynomials. Note that this notion of primeness does not correspond to the irreducibility stating that polynomials with coefficients in a given number field do not allow decomposition to lower degree polynomials.

A polynomial P is also partially characterized by ramified primes and discriminant defines a Galois invariant for the polynomial as also the symmetric polynomials formed from the roots.

How do these two notions of primeness relate to the p-adic prime decomposition of adelic structures defined by the algebras A_n , which act at the level of H and decomposed adelically to a tensor product of all $A_{n,p}$'s?

Simple Galois groups correspond to prime polynomials. This notion looks fundamental concerning the understanding of the situation at the level of H .

1. Polynomials can be factorized into composites of prime polynomials [A3, A14] (<https://cutt.ly/HXAKDzT> and <https://cutt.ly/5XAKCe2>). A polynomial, which does not have a functional composition to lower degree polynomials, is called a prime polynomial. It is not possible to assign to prime polynomials prime degrees except in special cases. Simple Galois groups with no normal subgroups must correspond to prime polynomials.
2. For a non-prime polynomial, the number N of the factors P_i , their degrees n_i are fixed and only their order can vary so that n_i and $n = \prod n_i$ is an invariant of a prime polynomial and of simple Galois group [A3, A14]. Note that this composition need not exist for monic polynomials even if the Galois group is not simple so that polynomial primes in the monic sense need not correspond to simple Galois groups.
3. The number of the roots of P_i is given by its order n_i , and since Galois group and its braided variant permute the roots as subgroup of S_{n_i} , it is natural to assume that the roots define an n_i -braid. The composite polynomial would define braid of braids of ... of braids. At the level of H the braid strands would correspond to flux tubes and braiding would have a geometric interpretation.

4. The integer n characterizing the algebra A_n acting in H would naturally correspond to the degree of n of P and the decomposition of P to polynomial primes would naturally correspond to an inclusion hierarchy $A_{n_i} \subset A_{n_1} \subset A_{n_1 n_2} \subset \dots \subset A_n$ with improving resolution allowing to see braids and braids of braids.

The corresponding factor spaces realizing the notion of finite measurement resolution, would be analogous to quantum groups obtained when some number of the highest levels in the hierarchy of braids in the braid of braids of ... braids are neglected and the entire algebra is replaced with a quantum group-like structure. This means cutting off some number of the highest levels in the tree-like hierarchy. The trunk is described by a quantum group-like object.

5. This hierarchy corresponds to the hierarchy of Galois groups as normal subgroups assignable to braids in the decomposition and the hierarchy of corresponding braided Galois group algebras defining inclusions of HFFs. Galois group algebras would act as braid groups in corresponding algebras A_n . Therefore number theoretic and geometric views would fuse together.
6. Connes tensor product is a central notion in the theory of HFFs and it could be naturally associated with the inclusions of braided Galois group algebras. The counterpart for the quantum group as factor space N/M of the factors would correspond to the inclusion $Gal_{i-1} \subset Gal_i$ as a normal subgroup. The inclusion defines group $G_i = Gal_i/Gl_{i-1}$. Also its braided variant is defined. The factor space of braided group algebras would be the counterpart of the quantum group G_i .

Note that these quantum group-like objects could be much more general than the quantum groups defined by subgroups of $SU(2)$ appearing in Jones inclusions.

What about the interpretation of the ramified primes, which are Galois invariants as also the root spectrum (but not the roots themselves) and depends on the polynomial.

In accordance with the proposed physical interpretation of the ramified primes as preferred p-adic primes labelling particles in p-adic thermodynamics, ramified primes p_i would define preferred p-adic primes for the p-adic variants of the algebras A_n in the adelic generalization of A_n as tensor product of p-adic representations of $A_{n,p}$ of A_n . A_{n,p_i} would be physically and also mathematically special.

Both the degree n as the number of braids of P and the ramified primes of P would dictate the physically especially relevant algebras A_{n,p_i} . For instance, un-ramified primes could be such that corresponding p-adic degrees of freedom are not excited.

4.2 Geometric level: H picture

4.2.1 The hierarchies of algebras SSA_n , Aff_n and I_n

The algebras $A_n \in \{SSA_n, Aff_n, I_n\}$ for $n = p$ acting at the level of WCW seem to have special properties since the values of the conformal weights for the factor algebras defined by the conditions that A_n and $[A_n, A]$ annihilate physical states, allow the structure of finite field $G(p)$ or even its extension $G(p, k)$ for conformal weights in extension of rationals. The representations would be finite-D. Also the values $n = p^k$ seem special and the finite field representations of SSA_p could be extended to p-adic representations.

This raises the question, whether one could regard n as a p-adic number? The interpretation of n as the number of braid strands assignable to roots of the polynomial P with degree n defining the space-time surface, looks more appropriate since it allows braid group algebra of P to act in SSA_n . This identification does not favor this interpretation.

A more plausible interpretation is that the p-adic primes, identifiable as ramified primes of P , characterize the p-adic representations of SSA_n . This also conforms with the interpretation of preferred p-adic primes characterizing elementary particles as ramified primes.

The polynomials with prime degree could be however physically special. The algebras SSA_p , with p defining the degree of polynomial p allow finite field representations, which extend to p-adic representations and one can ask whether the prime decomposition of n could allow some kind of inclusion hierarchy of representations.

This would also give a possible content for the p-adic length scale hypothesis $p \simeq 2^k$, k prime, or its generalization involving primes near powers of prime $q = 2, 3, 5, \dots$. A more general form of p-adic length scale hypothesis would be $p \simeq q^n$, n the degree of P .

4.2.2 Commutants for algebras A_n and braid group algebras

For the super $A \in \{SSA, Aff, I\}$, the inclusion An_k to SSA_n should define a Connes tensor product. One would obtain inclusion hierarchies labelled by divisibility hierarchies $n_1 \div n_2 \div \dots$. For braid group algebras one obtains similar hierarchies realized in terms of composite polynomials.

What about the already mentioned "classical" degrees of freedom associated with the fluxes of the induced Kähler form? They should be included to M' at the level of H . The hierarchies of flux tubes within ... within flux tubes correspond to the hierarchies assignable to M' at the level of H .

The number theoretic degrees of freedom identifiable as invariants of Galois groups should be included to M' at the number theoretical level. The hierarchies of roots assignable to composite polynomials $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ with roots assigned to the strands of time like braid strands could correspond to these hierarchies at the level of M^8 .

4.3 Wild speculations about McKay correspondence

McKay correspondence is loosely related to the HFFs in TGD framework [L4, L11, L10, L27] and I cannot avoid the temptation to try to understand it in TGD framework.

1. The origin of the McKay graphs for inclusions is intuitively understood. Representations of finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ are assignable to 2-D factors. These representations could correspond to closed subgroups of quaternionic $SU(2)$ on the basis of the reduction to $M_2(C) \otimes M_2(C) \otimes \dots$. A reduction of degrees of freedom happens for HFFs since they are subalgebras of $B(H)$ and this could reduce the closed subgroup to a finite subgroup.

Also the interpretation N as tensor product of M and quantum group $SU(2)$ suggests the same since quantum groups have a finite number of irreps, when q equal is a root of unity. The analog of McKay graph coding fusion rules for the quantum group tensor products would reduce to McKay graphs.

2. Why would the McKay graphs for finite subgroups of $U(2)$ correspond to those for affine or ordinary Lie algebras? Could these Lie-algebras emerge from the inclusions. This is a mystery, at least to me.
3. In the TGD framework one can ask why there should be Weyl group of extended ADE Dynkin diagram assignable to SSA_n ? SSA_n defines a representation of SSA with SSA_n and $[SSA_n, SSA]$ acting trivially. Could this representation correspond to an affine or ordinary ADE algebra? Similar question makes sense for all algebras $A_n \in \{SSA_n, Aff_n, I_n\}$. A_n would define a cutoff of the SSA so that all generators with conformal weight larger than n would be represented trivially.

Note that for $n = p$, the conformal weights of A_n would define a finite field and if algebraic integers also its extension. This case could correspond to polynomials defining cyclic extension of order p with roots coming as roots of unity.

4. The Weyl groups assignable to the "factor algebra" of SSA_n defined by the gauge conditions for A_n and $[A_n, A]$ and proposed to reduce to ADE type affine or ordinary Lie algebra should relate to Galois groups for polynomial P with degree n as number of braid strands.
 - (a) Could the braid strands correspond to the roots of ADE algebra so that roots in the number theoretic sense would correspond to the roots in the group theoretic sense? This would conform with Langlands correspondence [K7, A6, A5] discussed from the TGD perspective in [K7] [L1, L2].
 - (b) Could the Weyl groups allow identification as subgroups of corresponding Galois groups?

Note that simple Galois groups correspond to so-called prime polynomials [A3, A14] allowing no decomposition to polynomials of lower degree so that the preferred values of n would correspond to prime polynomials.

5. Affine electroweak and color algebras and their M^4 counterparts would be special since they would not emerge a dynamical symmetries of SSA_n but define algebras Aff_n and I_n related to the light-like partonic orbits. They would also correspond to symmetries both at the level of M^8 and H .

This inspires the following questions, which of course look very naive from the point of view of a professional mathematician. My only excuse is the strong conviction that the proposed picture is on the right track. I might be wrong.

1. The Jones inclusion of HFFs [A10, A19, A20] involves an extended or ordinary ADE Dynkin diagram assignable also to finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ by McKay correspondence [A16].

Could the Weyl group of an extended ADE diagram really correspond to an affine algebra or quantum group assignable to A_n ? If so, one would have dynamical symmetries and should relate to the "factor" space SSA/SSA_n in which SSA_n defines a measurement resolution.

2. HFF can be regarded algebraically as an infinite tensor power of $M_2(C)$. Does the representation as a 2×2 matrix imply the emergence of representations of a closed subgroup of $SU(2)$ or its quantum counterpart. Could the reduction of degrees of freedom due to the finite measurement resolution imply that the closed subgroup reduces to a finite subgroup?
3. The algebraic decomposition of HFF to an infinite tensor power of $M^2(C)$ would suggest that the including factor N with dimension 1 is equal to $M^{d_q} \otimes M^{1/d_q}$, where d_q is the quantum dimension characterizing either M or N . Could these two objects correspond to an ADE type affine algebra and quantum group with inverse quantum dimensions? Or could either of them correspond to ADE type affine algebra or quantum group?
4. Could one think that the analog of McKay graph for the quantum group-like object assignable to affine group by a finite measurement resolution reduces to the McKay graph for a finite subgroup of $SU(2)$ because only a finite number of representations survives?
5. Could the finite subgroups of $SU(2)$ correspond to finite subgroups for the covering group of quaternion automorphisms acting naturally in M^8 ? Could these finite subgroups correspond to finite subgroups of the rotation group $SU(2)$ at H side?

Could only the n_C (dimension of Cartan algebra) roots appearing in the Dynkin diagram be represented as roots of a polynomial P in extension of rationals or its quantum variant? This option fails since the Dynkin diagram does not allow a symmetry group identifiable as the Galois group. The so called Steinberg symmetry groups (<https://cutt.ly/GXmb8Si>) act as automorphisms of Dynkin diagrams of ADE type groups and seem quite too small and fail to be transitive as action of the Galois group of an irreducible polynomial is.

$M^8 - H$ duality inspires the question whether a subgroup of Galois group could act as the Weyl group of ADE type affine or ordinary Lie algebra at H side.

1. The Galois group acts as a braid group and permutes the roots of P represented as braid strands. Weyl group permutes the roots of Lie algebra

The crazy question is whether the roots of P and roots of the ADE type Lie-algebra could correspond to each other. Could the roots of P in $N \rightarrow 1$ -correspondence with the non-vanishing roots of the representation of Lie algebra or of its affine counterpart containing an additional root corresponding to the central extension?

If the roots appearing in the Dynkin diagram correspond to a subset of roots of polynomial P , the Weyl group could correspond to a minimal subgroup of the Galois group generated by reflections and generating all non-vanishing roots of the Lie algebra.

2. The action of the Weyl group should give all roots for the representation of G . Could the Weyl group, which is generated by reflections, correspond to a minimal subgroup of Gal giving all roots as roots of P when applied to the McKay graph?

The obvious objection is that the order of the Weyl group increases rapidly with the order of the Cartan group so that also the Gal and also the order of corresponding polynomials P would increase very rapidly. Gal is a subgroup of S_n having order $n!$ for a polynomial of degree n so that the degree of P need not be large and this is what matters.

If the m braid strands labelled by the m roots correspond to the roots of the affine algebra, it would be natural to assign affine algebra generators to these roots with the braid strands. The condition $n = Nm$ implies that m divides n . For $Gal = S_n$ with order $n!$ this condition is very mild. $Gal = Z_p$ fixes the Lie algebra to A_p .

The root space of the dynamical symmetry group would have dimension m , which is a factor of n . For Lie algebras A_n and D_{2n} (with $n \geq 4$) appear besides E_6 and E_8 . For affine Lie algebras \hat{A}_n or \hat{D}_n (with $n \geq 3$) and \hat{E}_6 , \hat{E}_7 and \hat{E}_8 appear. For large values of n , there are two alternatives for even values of n .

3. One can also consider quantum arithmetics based on \oplus and \otimes and replace P with its quantum counterpart and solve it in the space of irreps of the finite subgroup G of $U(2)$ defining a quantum analog for an extension of rationals. The roots of the quantum variant of P would be direct sums of irreps of G .

These quantum roots define nodes of a diagram. This diagram should include as nodes the roots of the Dynkin diagram defined by positive roots, whose number is the dimension n_C of Cartan algebra.

Could the missing edges correspond to the edges of the Mac-Kay graph in the tensor product with a 2-D representation of $SU(2)$ restricted to a subgroup? The action of 2-D representation would generate the (extended) Dynkin diagram ADE type.

One can look this option in more detail.

1. Assume that adjoint representation Adj of an affine or ordinary ADE Lie group L emerges in the tensor product $M^2(C) \otimes \dots \otimes M^2(C)$ allowing embedding of $SU(2)$ as diagonal embedding. One can imbed the finite subgroup $G \subset SU(2)$ as a diagonal group $G \times G \times \dots \times G$ to $M^2(C) \otimes \dots \otimes M^2(C)$.

Also a given representation of G can be embedded as a direct sum of the copies of the representation, each acting in one factor of $M^2(C) \otimes \dots \otimes M^2(C)$. The 2-D canonical representation of $G \subset SU(2)$ has a natural action in the $G \times G \times \dots \times G$ to $M^2(C) \otimes \dots \otimes M^2(C)$ and would generate a McKay graph.

One can also embed G to L as $G \subset SU(2) \subset L$. Adj can be decomposed to irreps G . Therefore the tensor product action of various irreps of G , in particular the canonical 2-D representation, in Adj is well-defined. The tensor action of the 2-D canonical representation of G gives a McKay graph such that the nodes have weights telling how many times a given irrep appears in the decomposition of Adj to irreps of G . The weighted sum of the dimensions of irreps of G is equal to the dimension of Adj .

2. This construction is possible for any Lie group and some consistency conditions should be satisfied. That McKay graph is the same as the generalized Dynkin diagram would be such a consistency condition and leave only simply laced Lie groups.
3. What can one say about the weights of the weighted McKay graph? Could the weights be the number of the images of the positive root under the action of the Weyl group W of L .
The McKay graph would correspond only to the n_C (dimension of the Cartan algebra) positive roots appearing in the Dynkin diagram of Adj . How to continue the Dynkin diagram to a root diagram of Adj ?

4. Could the n_C roots in the Dynkin diagram correspond to the roots of a polynomial P in a quantum extension of rationals with roots as irreps of G appearing in the McKay graph.

The multiple of a given root would correspond to its orbit under W . The action of W as reflections in the quantum extension of rationals, spanned by the roots of Adj , as vectors with integer components would generate all roots of Adj as quantum algebraic integers in the quantum extension of rationals.

5. As proposed, one could interpret the Dynkin diagram as a subdiagram of the root diagram of Adj and identify its nodes as roots of Gal for a suitable polynomial P . The Weyl group could be the minimal transitive subgroup of Gal .
6. The Galois group of extension of ... of rationals is a semidirect of Galois groups which can be chosen to be simple so that the polynomials considered are prime polynomials unless one poses additional restrictions. What does this restriction mean for the ADE type Weyl group of assignable to the extension

5 About the selection of the action defining the Kähler function of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW)

The proposal is that space-time surfaces correspond to preferred extremals of some action principle, being analogous to Bohr orbits, so that they are almost deterministic. The action for the preferred extremal would define the Kähler function of WCW [K5, K12].

How unique is the choice of the action defining WCW Kähler metric? The problem is that twistor lift strongly suggests the identification of the preferred extremals as 4-D surfaces having 4-D generalization of complex structure and that a large number of general coordinate invariant actions constructible in terms of the induced geometry have the same preferred extremals.

5.1 Could twistor lift fix the choice of the action uniquely?

The twistor lift of TGD [K15] [L21, L23, L24] generalizes the notion of induction to the level of twistor fields and leads to a proposal that the action is obtained by dimensional reduction of the action having as its preferred extremals the counterpart of twistor space of the space-time surface identified as 6-D surface in the product $T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$ twistor spaces of $T(M^4)$ and $T(CP_2)$ of M^4 and CP_2 . Only M^4 and CP_2 allow a twistor space with Kähler structure [A11] so that TGD would be unique. Dimensional reduction is forced by the condition that the 6-surface has S^2 -bundle structure characterizing twistor spaces and the base space would be the space-time surface.

1. Dimensional reduction of 6-D Kähler action implies that at the space-time level the fundamental action can be identified as the sum of Kähler action and volume term (cosmological constant). Other choices of the action do not look natural in this picture although they would have the same preferred extremals.
2. Preferred extremals are proposed to correspond to minimal surfaces with singularities such that they are also extremals of 4-D Kähler action outside the singularities. The physical analogue are soap films spanned by frames and one can localize the violation of the strict determinism and of strict holography to the frames.
3. The preferred extremal property is realized as the holomorphicity characterizing string world sheets, which generalizes to the 4-D situation. This in turn implies that the preferred extremals are the same for any general coordinate invariant action defined on the induced gauge fields and induced metric apart from possible extremals with vanishing CP_2 Kähler action.

For instance, 4-D Kähler action and Weyl action as the sum of the tensor squares of the components of the Weyl tensor of CP_2 representing quaternionic imaginary units constructed from the Weyl tensor of CP_2 as an analog of gauge field would have the same preferred extremals and only the definition of Kähler function and therefore Kähler metric of WCW would change. One can even consider the possibility that the volume term in the 4-D action could be assigned to the tensor square of the induced metric representing a quaternionic or octonionic real unit.

Action principle does not seem to be unique. On the other hand, the WCW Kähler form and metric should be unique since its existence requires maximal isometries.

Unique action is not the only way to achieve this. One cannot exclude the possibility that the Kähler gauge potential of WCW in the complex coordinates of WCW differs only by a complex gradient of a holomorphic function for different actions so that they would give the same Kähler form for WCW. This gradient is induced by a symplectic transformation of WCW inducing a $U(1)$ gauge transformation. The Kähler metric is the same if the symplectic transformation is an isometry.

Symplectic transformations of WCW could give rise to inequivalent representations of the theory in terms of action at space-time level. Maybe the length scale dependent coupling parameters of an effective action could be interpreted in terms of a choice of WCW Kähler function, which maximally simplifies the computations at a given scale.

1. The 6-D analogues of electroweak action and color action reducing to Kähler action in 4-D case exist. The 6-D analog of Weyl action based on the tensor representation of quaternionic imaginary units does not however exist. One could however consider the possibility that only the base space of twistor space $T(M^4)$ and $T(CP_2)$ have quaternionic structure.
2. Kähler action has a huge vacuum degeneracy, which clearly distinguishes it from other actions. The presence of the volume term removes this degeneracy. However, for minimal surfaces having CP_2 projections, which are Lagrangian manifolds and therefore have a vanishing induced Kähler form, would be preferred extremals according to the proposed definition. For these 4-surfaces, the existence of the generalized complex structure is dubious.

For the electroweak action, the terms corresponding to charged weak bosons eliminate these extremals and one could argue that electroweak action or its sum with the analogue of color action, also proportional Kähler action, defines the more plausible choice. Interestingly, also the neutral part of electroweak action is proportional to Kähler action.

Twistor lift strongly suggests that also M^4 has the analog of Kähler structure. M^8 must be complexified by adding a commuting imaginary unit i . In the E^8 subspace, the Kähler structure of E^4 is defined in the standard sense and it is proposed that this generalizes to M^4 allowing also generalization of the quaternionic structure. M^4 Kähler structure violates Lorentz invariance but could be realized at the level of moduli space of these structures.

The minimal possibility is that the M^4 Kähler form vanishes: one can have a different representation of the Kähler gauge potential for it obtained as generalization of symplectic transformations acting non-trivially in M^4 . The recent picture about the second quantization of spinors of $M^4 \times CP_2$ assumes however non-trivial Kähler structure in M^4 .

5.2 Two paradoxes

TGD view leads to two apparent paradoxes.

1. If the preferred extremals satisfy 4-D generalization of holomorphicity, a very large set of actions gives rise to the same preferred extremals unless there are some additional conditions restricting the number of preferred extremals for a given action.
2. WCW metric has an infinite number of zero modes, which appear as parameters of the metric but do not contribute to the line element. The induced Kähler form depends on these degrees of freedom. The existence of the Kähler metric requires maximal isometries, which suggests that the Kähler metric is uniquely fixed apart from a conformal scaling factor Ω depending on zero modes. This cannot be true: galaxy and elementary particle cannot correspond to the same Kähler metric.

Number theoretical vision and the hierarchy of inclusions of HFFs associated with supersymplectic algebra actings as isometries of WCW provide equivalent realizations of the measurement resolution. This solves these paradoxes and predicts that WCW decomposes into sectors for which Kähler metrics of WCW differ in a natural way.

5.2.1 The hierarchy subalgebras of supersymplectic algebra implies the decomposition of WCW into sectors with different actions

Supersymplectic algebra of $\delta M_+^4 \times CP_2$ is assumed to act as isometries of WCW [L29]. There are also other important algebras but these will not be discussed now.

1. The symplectic algebra A of $\delta M_+^4 \times CP_2$ has the structure of a conformal algebra in the sense that the radial conformal weights with non-negative real part, which is half integer, label the elements of the algebra have an interpretation as conformal weights.

The super symplectic algebra A has an infinite hierarchy of sub-algebras [L29] such that the conformal weights of sub-algebras $A_{n(SS)}$ are integer multiples of the conformal weights of the entire algebra. The superconformal gauge conditions are weakened. Only the subalgebra $A_{n(SS)}$ and the commutator $[A_{n(SS)}, A]$ annihilate the physical states. Also the corresponding classical Noether charges vanish for allowed space-time surfaces.

This weakening makes sense also for ordinary superconformal algebras and associated Kac-Moody algebras. This hierarchy can be interpreted as a hierarchy symmetry breakings, meaning that sub-algebra $A_{n(SS)}$ acts as genuine dynamical symmetries rather than mere gauge symmetries. It is natural to assume that the super-symplectic algebra A does not affect the coupling parameters of the action.

2. The generators of A correspond to the dynamical quantum degrees of freedom and leave the induced Kähler form invariant. They affect the induced space-time metric but this effect is gravitational and very small for Einsteinian space-time surfaces with 4-D M^4 projection.

The number of dynamical degrees of freedom increases with $n(SS)$. Therefore WCW decomposes into sectors labelled by $n(SS)$ with different numbers of dynamical degrees of freedom so that their Kähler metrics cannot be equivalent and cannot be related by a symplectic isometry. They can correspond to different actions.

5.2.2 Number theoretic vision implies the decomposition of WCW into sectors with different actions

The number theoretical vision leads to the same conclusion as the hierarchy of HFFs. The number theoretic vision of TGD based on $M^8 - H$ duality [L29] predicts a hierarchy with levels labelled by the degrees $n(P)$ of rational polynomials P and corresponding extensions of rationals characterized by Galois groups and by ramified primes defining p-adic length scales.

These sequences allow us to imagine several discrete coupling constant evolutions realized at the level H in terms of action whose coupling parameters depend on the number theoretic parameters.

1. *Coupling constant evolution with respect to $n(P)$*

The first coupling constant evolution would be with respect to $n(P)$.

1. The coupling constants characterizing action could depend on the degree $n(P)$ of the polynomial defining the space-time region by $M^8 - H$ duality. The complexity of the space-time surface would increase with $n(P)$ and new degrees of freedom would emerge as the number of the rational coefficients of P .
2. This coupling constant evolution could naturally correspond to that assignable to the inclusion hierarchy of hyperfinite factors of type II_1 (HFFs). I have indeed proposed [L29] that the degree $n(P)$ equals to the number $n(\text{braid})$ of braids assignable to HFF for which super symplectic algebra subalgebra $A_{n(SS)}$ with radial conformal weights coming as $n(SS)$ -multiples of those of entire algebra A . One would have $n(P) = n(\text{braid}) = n(SS)$. The number of dynamical degrees of freedom increases with n which just as it increases with $n(P)$ and $n(SS)$.
3. The actions related to different values of $n(P) = n(\text{braid}) = n(SS)$ cannot define the same Kähler metric since the number of allowed space-time surfaces depends on $n(SS)$.

WCW could decompose to sub-WCWs corresponding to different actions, a kind of theory space. These theories would not be equivalent. A possible interpretation would be as a hierarchy of effective field theories.

4. Hierarchies of composite polynomials define sequences of polynomials with increasing values of $n(P)$ such that the order of a polynomial at a given level is divided by those at the lower levels. The proposal is that the inclusion sequences of extensions are realized at quantum level as inclusion hierarchies of hyperfinite factors of type II_1 .

A given inclusion hierarchy corresponds to a sequence $n(SS)_i$ such that $n(SS)_i$ divides $n(SS)_{i+1}$. Therefore the degree of the composite polynomials increases very rapidly. The values of $n(SS)_i$ can be chosen to be primes and these primes correspond to the degrees of so called prime polynomials [L26] so that the decompositions correspond to prime factorizations of integers. The "densest" sequence of this kind would come in powers of 2 as $n(SS)_i = 2^i$. The corresponding p-adic length scales (assignable to maximal ramified primes for given $n(SS)_i$) are expected to increase roughly exponentially, say as 2^{r2^i} . $r = 1/2$ would give a subset of scales $2^{r/2}$ allowed by the p-adic length scale hypothesis. These transitions would be very rare.

A theory corresponding to a given composite polynomial would contain as sub-theories the theories corresponding to lower polynomial composites. The evolution with respect to $n(SS)$ would correspond to a sequence of phase transitions in which the action genuinely changes. For instance, color confinement could be seen as an example of this phase transition.

5. A subset of p-adic primes allowed by the p-adic length scale hypothesis $p \simeq 2^k$ defining the proposed p-adic length scale hierarchy could relate to n_S changing phase transition. TGD suggests a hierarchy of hadron physics corresponding to a scale hierarchy defined by Mersenne primes and their Gaussian counterparts [K10, K11]). Each of them would be characterized by a confinement phase transition in which n_S and therefore also the action changes.

2. Coupling constant evolutions with respect to ramified primes for a given value of $n(P)$

For a given value of $n(P)$, one could have coupling constant sub-evolutions with respect to the set of ramified primes of P and dimensions $n = h_{eff}/h_0$ of algebraic extensions. The action would only change by $U(1)$ gauge transformation induced by a symplectic isometry of WCW. Coupling parameters could change but the actions would be equivalent.

The choice of the action in an optimal manner in a given scale could be seen as a choice of the most appropriate effective field theory in which radiative corrections would be taken into account. One can interpret the possibility to use a single choice of coupling parameters in terms of quantum criticality.

The range of the p-adic length scales labelled by ramified primes and effective Planck constants h_{eff}/h_0 is finite for a given value of $n(SS)$.

The first coupling constant evolution of this kind corresponds to ramified primes defining p-adic length scales for given $n(SS)$.

1. Ramified primes are factors of the discriminant $D(P)$ of P , which is expressible as a product of non-vanishing root differentials and reduces to a polynomial of the n coefficients of P . Ramified primes define p-adic length scales assignable to the particles in the amplitudes scattering amplitudes defined by zero energy states.

P would represent the space-time surface defining an interaction region in N -particle scattering. The N ramified primes dividing $D(P)$ would characterize the p-adic length scales assignable to these particles. If $D(P)$ reduces to a single ramified prime, one has elementary particle [L26], and the forward scattering amplitude corresponds to the propagator.

This would give rise to a multi-scale p-adic length scale evolution of the amplitudes analogous to the ordinary continuous coupling constant evolution of n-point scattering amplitudes with respect to momentum scales of the particles. This kind of evolutions extend also to evolutions with respect to $n(SS)$.

2. According to [L26], physical constraints require that $n(P)$ and the maximum size of the ramified prime of P correlate.

A given rational polynomial of degree $n(P)$ can be always transformed to a polynomial with integer coefficients. If the integer coefficients are smaller than $n(P)$, there is an upper bound

for the ramified primes. This assumption also implies that finite fields become fundamental number fields in number theoretical vision [L26].

3. p-Adic length scale hypothesis [L30] in its basic form states that there exist preferred primes $p \simeq 2^k$ near some powers of 2. A more general hypothesis states that also primes near some powers of 3 possibly also other small primes are preferred physically. The challenge is to understand the origin of these preferred scales.

For polynomials P with a given degree $n(P)$ for which discriminant $D(P)$ is prime, there exists a maximal ramified prime. Numerical calculations suggest that the upper bound depends exponentially on $n(P)$.

Could these maximal ramified primes satisfy the p-adic length scale hypothesis or its generalization? The maximal prime defines a fixed point of coupling constant evolution in accordance with the earlier proposal. For instance, could one think that one has $p \simeq 2^k$, $k = n(SS)$? Each p-adic prime would correspond to a p-adic coupling constant sub-evolution representable in terms of symplectic isometries.

Also the dimension n of the algebraic extension associated with P , which is identified in terms of effective Planck constant $h_{eff}/h_0 = n$ labelling different phases of the ordinary matter behaving like dark matter, could give rise to coupling constant evolution for given $n(SS)$. The range of allowed values of n is finite. Note however that several polynomials of a given degree can correspond to the same dimension of extension.

5.2.3 Number theoretic discretization of WCW and maxima of WCW Kähler function

Number theoretic approach involves a unique discretization of space-time surface and also of WCW. The question is how the points of the discretized WCW correspond to the preferred extremals.

1. The exponents of Kähler function for the maxima of Kähler function, which correspond to the universal preferred extremals, appear in the scattering amplitudes. The number theoretical approach involves a unique discretization of space-time surfaces defining the WCW coordinates of the space-time surface regarded as a point of WCW.

In [L29] it is assumed that these WCW points appearing in the number theoretical discretization correspond to the maxima of the Kähler function. The maxima would depend on the action and would differ for ghd maxima associated with different actions unless they are not related by symplectic WCW isometry.

2. The symplectic transformations of WCW acting as isometries are assumed to be induced by the symplectic transformations of $\delta M_+^4 \times CP_2$ [K5, K3]. As isometries they would naturally permute the maxima with each other.

6 About the TGD based notions of mass, of twistors and hyperbolic counterpart of Fermi torus

The notion of mass in the TGD framework is discussed from the perspective of $M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13, L30, L24].

1. In TGD, space-time regions are characterized by polynomials P with rational coefficients [L12, L13]. Galois confinement defines a universal mechanism for the formation of bound states. Momenta for virtual fermions have components, which are algebraic integers in an extension of rationals defined by a polynomial P characterizing a space-time region. For the physical many fermion states, the total momentum as the sum of fermion momenta has components, which are integers using the unit defined by the size of the causal diamond (CD) [L9, L18, L25].

2. This defines a universal number theoretical mechanism for the formation of bound states as Galois singlets. The condition is very strong but for rational coefficients it can be satisfied since the sum of all roots is always a rational number as the coefficient of the first order term.
3. Galois confinement implies that the sum of the mass squared values, which are in general complex algebraic numbers in E , is also an integer. Since the mass squared values correspond to conformal weights as also in string models, one has conformal confinement: states are conformal singlets. This condition replaces the masslessness condition of gauge theories [L30].

Also the TGD based notion of twistor space is considered at concrete geometric level.

1. Twistor lift of TGD means that space-time surfaces X^4 in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ are replaced with 6-surfaces in the twistor space with induced twistor structure of $T(H) = T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$ identified as twistor space $T(X^4)$. This proposal requires that $T(H)$ has Kähler structure and this selects $M^4 \times CP_2$ as a unique candidate [A11] so that TGD is unique.
2. One ends up to a more precise understanding of the fiber of the twistor space of CP_2 as a space of "light-like" geodesics emanating from a given point. Also a more precise view of the induced twistor spaces for preferred extremals with varying dimensions of M^4 and CP_2 projections emerges. Also the identification of the twistor space of the space-time surface as the space of light-like geodesics itself is considered.
3. Twistor lift leads to a concrete proposal for the construction of scattering amplitudes. Scattering can be seen as a mere re-organization of the physical many-fermion states as Galois singlets to new Galois singlets. There are no primary gauge fields and both fermions and bosons are bound states of fundamental fermions. 4-fermion vertices are not needed so that there are no divergences.
4. There is however a technical problem: fermion and antifermion numbers are separately conserved in the simplest picture, in which momenta in $M^4 \subset M^8$ are mapped to geodesics of $M^4 \subset H$. This led to a proposal for the modification of $M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13]. The modification would map the 4-momenta to geodesics of X^4 . Since X^4 allows both Minkowskian and Euclidean regions, one can have geodesics, whose M^4 projection turns backwards in time. The emission of a boson as a fermion-antifermion pair would correspond to a fermion turning backwards in time. A more precise formulation of the modification shows that it indeed works.

The third topic of this article is the hyperbolic generalization of the Fermi torus to hyperbolic 3-manifold H^3/Γ . Here $H^3 = SO(1, 3)/SO(3)$ identifies the mass shell $M^4 \subset M^8$ or its $M^8 - H$ dual in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$. Γ denotes an infinite subgroup of $SO(1, 3)$ acting completely discontinuously in H^3 . For virtual fermions also complexified mass shells are required and the question is whether the generalization of H^3/Γ , defining besides hyperbolic 3-manifold also tessellation of H^3 analogous to a cubic lattice of E^3 .

6.1 Conformal confinement

The notion of mass distinguishes TGD from QFT. As in string models, mass squared corresponds to a conformal weight in TGD. However, in the TGD framework tachyonic states are not a curse but an essential part of the physical picture and conformal confinement, generalizing masslessness condition, states that the sum of conformal weights for physical states vanishes. This view conforms with the fact that Euclidean space-time regions are unavoidable at the level of H . Positive *resp.* negative *resp.* vanishing conformal weights can be assigned with Minkowskian *resp.* Euclidean space-time regions *resp.* light-like boundaries associated with them.

6.1.1 Mass squared as conformal weight, conformal confinement and its breaking

At the level of M^8 , the momentum components for momenta as points of $H_c^3 \subset M_c^4 \subset M_c^8$ are (in general complex) algebraic integers in an extension of rationals defined by the polynomial P defining the space-time region. For physical states the momentum components for the sum of the momenta are ordinary integers when the momentum unit is defined by the size scales of causal diamond (CD). This scale corresponds to a p-adic length scale for p-adic prime, which is a ramified prime of the extension of rationals defined by the polynomial P .

For virtual many-fermion states the mass squared is an algebraic integer but an ordinary integer for the physical states [L30]. The question is whether the mass squared for the physical states can be negative so that one would have tachyons. The p-adic mass calculations require the presence of tachyonic mass squared values and the proposal is conformal confinement in the sense that the sum of mass squared values for the particles present in state and identifiable as conformal weights sum up to zero. Conformal confinement would generalize the masslessness condition of gauge field theories.

The observed mass squared values would correspond to the Minkowskian non-tachyonic parts of the mass squared values assignable to states, which in general are entangled states formed from tachyonic and non-tachyonic states. p-Adic thermodynamics would describe the entanglement in terms of the density matrix and observed mass squared would be thermal average. p-Adic thermodynamics leads to a breaking of the generalized conformal invariance and explains why different values of the Virasoro scaling generator L_0 are involved. Since complex mass squared values with a negative real part are allowed as roots of polynomials, the condition is highly non-trivial.

6.1.2 Association of mass squared values to space-time regions

$M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13] would make it natural to assign tachyonic masses with CP_2 type extremals and with the Euclidean regions of the space-time surface. Time-like masses would be assigned with time-like space-time regions. In [L28] it was found that, contrary to the beliefs held hitherto, it is possible to satisfy boundary conditions for the action consisting of the Kähler action, volume term and Chern-Simons term, at boundaries (genuine or between Minkowskian and Euclidean space-time regions) if they are light-like surfaces satisfying also $\det g_4 = 0$. Masslessness, at least in the classical sense, would be naturally associated with light-like boundaries (genuine or between Minkowskian and Euclidean regions).

6.1.3 Riemann zeta, quantum criticality, and conformal confinement

The assumption that the space-time surface corresponds to rational polynomials in TGD is not necessary. One can also consider real analytic functions f [L24]. The condition that momenta of physical states have integer valued momentum components implies integer valued conformal weights poses extremely strong conditions on this kind of functions since the sum of the real parts of the roots of f must be an integer as a conformal weight identified as the sum of in general complex virtual mass squared values.

There are strong indications Riemann zeta (<https://cutt.ly/iVTV1kqs>) has a deep role in physics, in particular in the physics of critical systems. TGD Universe is quantum critical. What quantum criticality would mean at the space-time level is discussed in [L28]. This raises the question whether Riemann zeta could have a deep role in TGD.

First some background relating to the number theoretic view of TGD.

1. In TGD, space-time regions are characterized by polynomials P with rational coefficients [L12, L13]. Galois confinement defines a universal mechanism for the formation of bound states. Momenta for virtual fermions have components, which are algebraic integers in an extension of rationals defined by a polynomial P characterizing space-time region. For the physical many fermion states, the total momentum as the sum of fermion momenta has components, which are integers using the unit defined by the size of the causal diamond (CD).

This defines a universal number theoretical mechanism for the formation of bound states. The condition is very strong but for rational coefficients it can be satisfied since the sum of all roots is always a rational number as the coefficient of the first order term.

2. Galois confinement implies that the sum of the mass squared values, which are in general complex algebraic numbers in E , is also an integer. Since the mass squared values correspond to conformal weights as also in string models, one can have conformal confinement: states would be conformal singlets. This condition replaces the masslessness condition of gauge theories [L30].

Riemann zeta [A7] (<https://cutt.ly/oVNS1tD>) is not a polynomial but has infinite number of roots. How could one end up with Riemann zeta in TGD? One can also consider the replacement of the rational polynomials with analytic functions with rational coefficients or even more general functions [L24].

1. For real analytic functions roots come as pairs but building many-fermion states for which the sum of roots would be a real integer, is very difficult and in general impossible.
2. Riemann zeta and the hierarchy of its generalizations to extensions of rationals (Dedekind zeta functions, and L-functions in general) is however a complete exception! If the roots are at the critical line as the generalization of Riemann Hypothesis (RH) assumes, the sum of the root and its conjugate is equal to 1 and it is easy to construct many fermion states as $2N$ fermion states, such that they have integer value conformal weight.

Since zeta has also trivial zeros for even negative integers interpretable in terms of tachyonic states, also conformal confinement with vanishing net conformal weight for physical states is possible. The trivial zeros would be associated with Euclidean space-time regions and non-trivial ones to Minkowskian ones.

One can wonder whether one could see Riemann zeta as an analog of a polynomial such that the roots as zeros are algebraic numbers. This is however not necessary. Could zeta and its analogies allow it to build a very large number of Galois singlets and they would form a hierarchy corresponding to extensions of rationals. Could they represent a kind of second abstraction level after rational polynomials?

A possible interpretation is that in TGD, rational polynomials give discrete cognitive representations as approximations for physics. Cognitive representations are in the intersection of p-adicities and reality defined by the intersection of reals and extension of p-adics defined by the algebraic extension of the polynomial P defining a given space-time surface. Continuum theory would represent real numbers as a factor of the adele.

One can ask whether the various zeta functions consistent with the integer spectrum for the conformal weights and possibly also with conformal confinement, appear at the continuum limit and provide representations for the space-time surfaces at this limit? In this framework, it would be natural for the roots of zeta to be algebraic numbers [K13]. Also in the case of ζ , the virtual momenta of fermions would be algebraic integers for virtual fermions and integers for the physical states. This makes sense if the notions of Galois group and Galois confinement are sensible for ζ .

As noticed, the notion of ζ generalizes. The so-called global L-functions (<https://cutt.ly/3VNPYmp>) are formally similar to ζ and the extended Riemann Hypothesis (RH) could be true for them. The physical motivation for RH would be that it would allow a fermion with any conformal weight to appear in a state which is conformal singlet. Algebraic integers for a finite extension of rationals replace integers in the ordinary ζ and one has an entire hierarchy of L-functions. Could one think that the global L-functions could define preferred extremals at the continuum limit?

6.2 About the notion of twistor space

For the twistor lift of TGD, twistor space $T(X^4)$ of the space-time surface X^4 is identified as an S^2 bundle over X^4 obtained by the induction of the twistor bundle $T(H) = T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$. The definition of the $T(X^4)$ as 6-surface in $T(H)$ identifies the twistor spheres of $T(M^4)$ and $T(CP_2)$ and identifies it as a twistor sphere of $T(X^4)$.

6.2.1 The notion of twistor space for different different types of preferred extremals

I have not previously considered the notion of the induced twistor space for the different types of preferred extremals. Here some technical complications emerge.

1. Since the points of the twistor spaces $T(M^4)$ and $T(CP_2)$ are in 1-1 correspondence, one can use either $T(M^4)$ or $T(CP_2)$ so that the projection to M^4 or CP_2 would serve as the base space of $T(X^4)$. One could use either CP_2 coordinates or M^4 coordinates as space-time coordinates if the dimension of the projection is 4 to either of these spaces. In the generic case, both dimensions are 4 but one must be very cautious with genericity arguments, which turned out to fail at the level of M^8 [L12, L13].
2. There are exceptional situations in which genericity fails at the level of H . String-like objects of the form $X^2 \times Y^2 \subset M^4 \subset CP_2$ is one example of this. In this case, X^6 would not define 1-1 correspondence between $T(M^4)$ or $T(CP_2)$.

Could one use partial projections to M^2 and S^2 in this case? Could $T(X^4)$ be divided locally into a Cartesian product of 3-D M^4 part projecting to $M^2 \subset M^4$ and of 3-D CP_2 part projected to $Y^2 \subset CP_2$?

3. One can also consider the possibility of defining the twistor space $T(M^2 \times S^2)$. Its fiber at a given point would consist of light-like geodesics of $M^2 \times S^2$. The fiber consists of direction vectors of light-like geodesics. S^2 projection would correspond to a geodesic circle $S^1 \subset S^2$ going through a given point of S^2 and its points are parametrized by azimuthal angle Φ . Hyperbolic tangent $\tanh(\eta)$ with range $[-1, 1]$ would characterize the direction of a time like geodesic in M^2 . At the limit of $\eta \rightarrow \pm\infty$ the S^2 contribution to the S^2 tangent vector to length squared of the tangent vector vanishes so that all angles in the range $(0, 2\pi)$ correspond to the same point. Therefore the fiber space has a topology of S^2 .

There are also other special situations such as $M^1 \times S^3$, $M^3 \times S^1$ for which one must introduce specific twistor space and which can be treated in the same way.

To deal with these special cases in which the dimensions of both M^4 and CP_2 are not equal to 4, one must allow also 6-surfaces X^6 which can have dimension of M^4 and CP_2 projections which are different from the canonical value 4. For CP_2 type extremals the dimension of CP_2 projection would be 6 and the dimension of M^4 projection would be 1. For cosmic strings the dimensions of M^4 projection and CP_2 projection would be 2.

6.2.2 The concrete definition of the twistor space of H as the space of light-like geodesics

During the writing of this article I realized that the twistor space of H defined geometrically as a bundle, which has as H as base space and fiber as the space of light-like geodesic starting from a given point of H , need not be equal to $T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$, where $T(CP_2)$ is identified as $SU(3)/U(1) \times U(1)$ characterizing the choices of color quantization axes. Is this really the case?

1. The definition of $T(CP_2)$ as the space of light-like geodesics from a given point of CP_2 is not possible. One could also define the fiber space of $T(CP_2)$ geometrically as the space of geodesics emating from origin at $r = 0$ in the Eguchi-Hanson coordinates [K2] and connecting it to the homologically non-trivial geodesic sphere S_G^2 $r = \infty$. This relation is symmetric.

In fact, all geodesics from $r = 0$ end up to S^2 . This is due to the compactness and symmetries of CP_2 . In the same way, the geodesics from the North Pole of S^2 end up to the South Pole. If only the endpoint of the geodesic of CP_2 matters, one can always regard it as a point S_G^2 .

The two homologically non-trivial geodesic spheres associated with distinct points of CP_2 always intersect at a single point, which means that their twistor fibers contain a common geodesic line of this kind. Also the twistor spheres of $T(M^4)$ associated with distinct points of M^4 with a light-like distance intersect at a common point identifiable as a light-like geodesic connecting them.

- Geometrically, a light-like geodesic of H is defined by a 3-D momentum vector in M^4 and 3-D color momentum along CP_2 geodesic. The scale of the 8-D tangent vector does not matter and the 8-D light-likeness condition holds true. This leaves 4 parameters so that $T(H)$ identified in this way is 12-dimensional.

The M^4 momenta corresponds to a mass shell H^3 . Only the momentum direction matters so that also in the M^4 sector the fiber reduces to S^2 . If this argument is correct, the space of light-like geodesics at point of H has the topology of $S^2 \times S^2$ and $T(H)$ would reduce to $T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$ as indeed looks natural.

6.2.3 The twistor space of the space-time surface

The twistor lift of TGD allows to identify the twistor space of the space-time surface X^4 as the base space of the S^2 bundle induced from the 12-D twistor space $T(8) = T(M^4) \times CP_2$ to the 6-surface $X^6 \subset T(H)$ by a local dimensional reduction to $X^4 \times S^2$ occurring for the preferred extremals of 6-D Kähler action existing only in case of $H = M^4 \times CP_2$.

Could the geometric definition of $T(X^4)$ as the space of light-like geodesics make sense in the Minkowskian regions of X^4 ?

- By their definition, stating that the length of the tangent vector of the geodesic is conserved, the geodesic equations conserve the value of the velocity squared so that light-likeness can be forced via the initial values. This allows the assignment of a twistor sphere to a given point of a Minkowskian space-time region. Whether this assignment can be made global is not at all trivial and the difficulties related to the definition of twistor space in general relativity probably reflects this problem. If this is the case, then the direct geometric definition might not make sense unless the very special properties of the PEs come to rescue.
- The twistor lift of TGD is proposed to modify the definition of the twistor space so that one can assign twistor structure to the space-time surface by inducing the twistor structure of H just as one can assign spinor structure with the space-time surface by inducing the spinor structure of H .

Could the generalized holomorphic structure, implying that PEs are extremals of both volume and of 4-D Kähler action, make possible the existence of light-like geodesics and even allow to assign to a given point of the space-time surface sphere parametrizing light-like geodesics?

- The light-like 3-surfaces X^3 representing partonic orbits carry fermionic lines as light-like geodesics and are therefore especially interesting. They are metrically 2-D and boundary conditions for the field equations force the vanishing of the determinant $\det(g_4)$ of the induced metric at them so that the dimension of the tangent space is effectively reduced. Light-like 3-surfaces allow a generalization of isometries such that conformal symmetries accompanied by scaling of the light-like radial coordinate depending on transversal complex coordinates is isometry.

It seems that to a given point of the space-like intersection, only a single light-like geodesic can be assigned so that the twistor space at a given point would consist of a single light-like geodesic. This would be caused by the light-likeness of X^3 .

6.2.4 The geometric definition of the twistor space for CP_2

In the case of the Euclidean regions, the notion of a light-like geodesic does not make sense. The closed geodesics and the presence of pairs of points analogous to North pole-South pole pairs, where diverging geodesics meet, would be required. This condition is very strong and the minimal requirement is that the space has a positive curvature so that the geodesics do not diverge. Also symmetries seem to be necessary. Clearly, something new is required.

- The addition of Kähler coupling term equal to an odd multiple of the induced Kähler gauge potential A to the spinor connection is an essential element in the definition of a generalized spinor structure of CP_2 .

- Should one replace the light-like geodesics with orbits of Kähler charged particles for which CP_2 has been replaced with $p - q_K A$. For the counterparts of light-like geodesics $p - q_K A$ would vanish and the analog of mass squared would vanish but one would have a line. For a geodesic p would be constant.

Is it possible to have $A = \text{constant}$ along a closed geodesic? In the case of sphere, the Kähler gauge potential in the spherical coordinates is ($A_\theta = A_\phi = k \cos(\theta)$) and is constant along the geodesics going through South and North Poles. Something like this could happen in the case of CP_2 but it seems that a special pair of homological non-trivial spheres S^2 invariant under $U(2) \subset SU(3)$ is selected. One might perhaps speak of symmetry breaking.

To obtain entire S^2 of light-like geodesics in this sense, the geodesics must emanate from a coordinate singularity, the origin of Eguchi-Hanson coordinates at $r = 0$, where the values of the coordinates (θ, ϕ, ψ) correspond to the same point. The space for the light-like geodesics must be 2-D rather than 3-D. This must be forced by the $p - A = 0$ condition. For the homologically trivial geodesic sphere $r = \infty$, Ψ coordinate is redundant so that the conserved value of A_ψ must vanish for the light-like geodesics and the associated velocities cannot have component in the direction of Ψ .

- Note that this definition could apply also in Minkowskian regions of space-time surface.

6.2.5 The description of particle reactions without vertices

In standard field theory, particles are point-like and particle reactions are described using vertices assignable to non-linear interaction terms in the action.

- In the TGD framework, particles are replaced with 3-surfaces and elementary particles are assigned to partonic 2-surface whose orbits correspond to light-like 3-surfaces identifiable as the boundary regions between Minkowskian and Euclidean space-time regions and modelled as wormhole contacts between two space-time sheets with a Minkowskian signature. Vertices are replaced with topological vertices at which incoming partonic 2-surfaces, whose orbits are light-like 3-surfaces, meet at partonic 2-surfaces.
- In TGD, all particles are composites of fundamental fermions assignable to the wormhole throats identified as partonic orbits. In particular, bosons consist of fermions and antifermions assignable to the throats of wormholes. Since wormhole contact contains homologically trivial 2-surface of CP_2 , there is a monopole flux throwing out of the throat and one must have at least two wormhole contacts so that one obtains a closed monopole flux flowing between the sheets and forming a closed flux tube.
- The light-like orbits of the partonic 2-surfaces contain fermionic lines defined at the ends of string world sheets connecting different partonic orbits. In QFT description, this would require a 4-fermion vertex as a fundamental vertex involving dimensional coupling constant and leading to a non-renormalizable QFT. Therefore there can be no vertices at the level of fermion lines.

In the number theoretic vision based on Galois confinement [L23, L24], the interactions correspond at the level of M^8 to re-arrangements of virtual fermions, having virtual momentum components in the extension of rationals defined by P , to new combinations required to be Galois singlets and therefore having momentum components, which are ordinary integers. Note that P fixes by holography the 4-surface in M^8 in turn defining the space-time surface in H by $M^8 - H$ duality based on associativity.

There is however a problem. If the particle reactions are mere re-arrangements of fundamental fermions and antifermions, moving along light-like geodesic lines in fixed time direction, the total numbers of fermions and antifermions are separately conserved. How can one overcome this problem without introducing the disastrous 4-fermion vertex?

Consider FFB vertex describing boson emission by fermion as a concrete example.

- B is described as a pair of partonic surfaces containing at least one fermion-antifermion pair, which must be created in the vertex. Incoming particles for the topological FFB 3-vertex

correspond to partonic orbits for incoming F and outgoing F , each containing one fermion line and possibly a pair of fermion and antifermion.

2. The idea is that boson emission as a pair creation could be described geometrically as a turning of fermion backwards in time. This forces us to reconsider the definition of $M^8 - H$ duality. The simplest view of $M^8 - H$ duality is that momenta of $M^4 \subset M^8$ are mapped to the geodesic lines of M^4 . Tachyonic momenta in $M^4 \subset M^8$ would be mapped to space-like geodesics in H emanating from the center of CD which is a sub-CD of a larger CD in general. It seems that this definition does not allow us to understand boson emission by fermion in the way proposed in [L24].
3. This led to a proposal that the images of momenta could be geodesics of the space-time surface X^4 , rather than H . Since X^4 allows also Euclidean regions and the interiors of the deformed CP_2 type extremals are Euclidean, one ends up with the idea that the geodesics lines of X^4 can have M^4 projections, which turn backwards in the time direction [L12, L13, L21].

This would allow us to interpret the emission of a boson as a fermion-antifermion pair as the turning of a fermionic line backwards in time. Fermions lines would be identified as the boundaries of string world sheets. Sub-manifold gravitation would play a key role in the elimination of 4-fermion vertex and thus of QFT type divergences.

4. But is it possible to have a light-like geodesic arriving at the partonic 2-surface and continuing as a light-like geodesic in the Euclidean wormhole contact and returning back? The problem is that in Euclidean regions, ordinary light-like geodesics degenerate to points. The generalization of the light-like geodesics satisfying $p = qA$ implying $(p - qA)^2 = 0$ is possible. At the space-time level, these conditions could be true quite generally and give as a special case light-like geodesics with $p^2 = 0$ in the Minkowskian regions.

6.3 About the analogies of Fermi torus and Fermi surface in H^3

Fermi torus (cube with opposite faces identified) emerges as a coset space of E^3/T^3 , which defines a lattice in the group E^3 . Here T^3 is a discrete translation group T^3 corresponding to periodic boundary conditions in a lattice.

In a realistic situation, Fermi torus is replaced with a much more complex object having Fermi surface as boundary with non-trivial topology. Could one find an elegant description of the situation?

6.3.1 Hyperbolic manifolds as analogies for Fermi torus?

The hyperbolic manifold assignable to a tessellation of H^3 defines a natural relativistic generalization of Fermi torus and Fermi surface as its boundary. To understand why this is the case, consider first the notion of cognitive representation.

1. Momenta for the cognitive representations [L29] define a unique discretization of 4-surface in M^4 and, by $M^8 - H$ duality, for the space-time surfaces in H and are realized at mass shells $H^3 \subset M^4 \subset M^8$ defined as roots of polynomials P . Momentum components are assumed to be algebraic integers in the extension of rationals defined by P and are in general complex.

If the Minkowskian norm instead of its continuation to a Hermitian norm is used, the mass squared is in general complex. One could also use Hermitian inner product but Minkowskian complex bilinear form is the only number-theoretically acceptable possibility. Tachyonicity would mean in this case that the real part of mass squared, invariant under $SO(1,3)$ and even its complexification $SO_c(1,3)$, is negative.

2. The active points of the cognitive representation contain fermion. Complexification of H^3 occurs if one allows algebraic integers. Galois confinement [L29, L27] states that physical states correspond to points of H^3 with integer valued momentum components in the scale defined by CD.

Cognitive representations are in general finite inside regions of 4-surface of M^8 but at H^3 they explode and involve all algebraic numbers consistent with H^3 and belonging to the

extension of rationals defined by P . If the components of momenta are algebraic integers, Galois confinement allows only states with momenta with integer components favored by periodic boundary conditions.

Could hyperbolic manifolds as coset spaces $SO(1,3)/\Gamma$, where Γ is an infinite discrete subgroup $SO(1,3)$, which acts completely discontinuously from left or right, replace the Fermi torus? Discrete translations in E^3 would thus be replaced with an infinite discrete subgroup Γ . For a given P , the matrix coefficients for the elements of the matrix belonging to Γ would belong to an extension of rationals defined by P .

1. The division of $SO(1,3)$ by a discrete subgroup Γ gives rise to a hyperbolic manifold with a finite volume. Hyperbolic space is an infinite covering of the hyperbolic manifold as a fundamental region of tessellation. There is an infinite number of the counterparts of Fermi torus [L16]. The invariance respect to Γ would define the counterpart for the periodic boundary conditions.

Note that one can start from $SO(1,3)/\Gamma$ and divide by $SO(3)$ since Γ and $SO(3)$ act from right and left and therefore commute so that hyperbolic manifold is $SO(3) \setminus SO(1,3)/\Gamma$.

2. There is a deep connection between the topology and geometry of the Fermi manifold as a hyperbolic manifold. Hyperbolic volume is a topological invariant, which would become a basic concept of relativistic topological physics (<https://cutt.ly/RVsdN13>).

The hyperbolic volume of the knot complement serves as a knot invariant for knots in S^3 . Could this have physical interpretation in the TGD framework, where knots and links, assignable to flux tubes and strings at the level of H , are central. Could one regard the effective hyperbolic manifold in H^3 as a representation of a knot complement in S^3 ?

Could these fundamental regions be physically preferred 3-surfaces at H^3 determining the holography and $M^8 - H$ duality in terms of associativity [L12, L13]. Boundary conditions at the boundary of the unit cell of the tessellation should give rise to effective identifications just as in the case of Fermi torus obtained from the cube in this way.

6.3.2 De Sitter manifolds as tachyonic analogies of Fermi torus do not exist

Can one define the analogy of Fermi torus for the real 4-momenta having negative, tachyonic mass squared? Mass shells with negative mass squared correspond to De-Sitter space $SO(1,3)/SO(1,2)$ having a Minkowskian signature. It does not have analogies of the tessellations of H^3 defined by discrete subgroups of $SO(1,3)$.

The reason is that there are no closed de-Sitter manifolds of finite size since no infinite group of isometries acts completely discontinuously on de Sitter space: therefore there is no group replacing the Γ in H^3/Γ . (<https://cutt.ly/XVsdLwY>).

6.3.3 Do complexified hyperbolic manifolds as analogies of Fermi torus exist?

The momenta for virtual fermions defined by the roots defining mass squared values can also be complex. Tachyon property and complexity of mass squared values are not of course not the same thing.

1. Complexification of H^3 would be involved and it is not clear what this could mean. For instance, does the notion of complexified hyperbolic manifold with complex mass squared make sense.
2. $SO(1,3)$ and its infinite discrete groups Γ act in the complexification. Do they also act completely discontinuously? p^2 remains invariant if $SO(1,3)$ acts in the same way on the real and imaginary parts of the momentum leaves invariant both imaginary and complex mass squared as well as the inner product between the real and imaginary parts of the momenta. So that the orbit is 5-dimensional. Same is true for the infinite discrete subgroup Γ so that the construction of the coset space could make sense. If Γ remains the same, the additional 2 dimensions can make the volume of the coset space infinite. Indeed, the constancy of $p_1 \cdot p_2$ eliminates one of the two infinitely large dimensions and leaves one.

Could one allow a complexification of $SO(1, 3)$, $SO(3)$ and $SO(1, 3)_c/SO(3)_c$? Complexified $SO(1, 3)$ and corresponding subgroups Γ satisfy $OO^T = 1$. Γ_c would be much larger and contain the real Γ as a subgroup. Could this give rise to a complexified hyperbolic manifold H_c^3 with a finite volume?

3. A good guess is that the real part of the complexified bilinear form $p \cdot p$ determines what tachyonicity means. Since it is given by $Re(p)^2 - Im(p)^2$ and is invariant under $SO_c(1, 3)$ as also $Re(p) \cdot Im(p)$, one can define the notions of time-likeness, light-likeness, and space-likeness using the sign of $Re(p)^2 - Im(p)^2$ as a criterion. Note that $Re(p)^2$ and $Im(p)^2$ are separately invariant under $SO(1, 3)$.

The physicist's naive guess is that the complexified analogies of infinite discrete and discontinuous groups and complexified hyperbolic manifolds as analogies of Fermi torus exist for $Re(P^2) - Im(p^2) > 0$ but not for $Re(P^2) - Im(p^2) < 0$ so that complexified dS manifolds do not exist.

4. The bilinear form in H_c^3 would be complex valued and would not define a real valued Riemannian metric. As a manifold, complexified hyperbolic manifold is the same as the complex hyperbolic manifold with a hermitian metric (see <https://cutt.ly/qVsdS7Y> and <https://cutt.ly/kVsd3Q2>) but has different symmetries. The symmetry group of the complexified bilinear form of H_c^3 is $SO_c(1, 3)$ and the symmetry group of the Hermitian metric is $U(1, 3)$ containing $SO(1, 3)$ as a real subgroup. The infinite discrete subgroups Γ for $U(1, 3)$ contain those for $SO(1, 3)$. Since one has complex mass squared, one cannot replace the bilinear form with hermitian one. The complex H^3 is not a constant curvature space with curvature -1 whereas H_c^3 could be such in a complexified sense.

7 The notion of generalized integer

This chapter was inspired by the article "Space Element Reduction Duplication (SERD) model produces photon-like information packets and light-like cosmological horizons" by Thomas L. Wood, published in Metodologia IV B: Journal of International and Finnish Methodology, expresses the basic assumptions of the SERD approach very coherently and in a systematic way so that it easy to criticize them and compare with other views, in my case the TGD view.

My criticism, summarized below, is based on a different interpretations of the discreteness. In TGD framework would be assignable to cognitive representations based on p-adic numbers fields involving extensions of rationals rather than being a feature of space-time. The introduction of continuous number fields (reals, complex numbers, quaternions, octonions) besides p-adic number fields brings in real space-time as sensory representation and one ends up to a generalization of the standard model proving a number theoretic interpretation for its symmetries.

The approach of Wood looks is essentially topological: for instance, the information propagating in the hypergraph is assumed to be topological and characterize the graph. In TGD, discrete structures analogs define cognitive representations of the continuous sensory world and are basically number theoretic. The description of the sensory world involves both topology and geometry.

7.1 The first reactions to the abstract

The abstract gives a very concise summary of the approach and I have added below my reactions to it. The following commentary is my attempt to understand the basic ideas of SERD. I have also used the third section of the article to clarify my views. I must admit that I didn't quite get the two basic principles in the beginning of the third section. I have slightly re-organized the abstract and hope that I have not done any damage.

[TW] This document describes a correspondence between photons and propagating information packets (PIPs) that are emergent out of the Space Element Reduction Duplication (SERD) model introduced in a rudimentary form in [1, 2]. The SERD model is a discrete background independent microscopic space-time description.

[MP] The assumption of discreteness at the fundamental space-time level raises several challenges. 4-D space-time with Minkowskian signature should somehow emerge. The mere hypergraph

might possess under additional assumptions a local dimension defined homologically/combinatorially but would vary. Note that in standard homology theory an embedding to some space is required and would give a metric. Now the distance and other geometric notions look problematic to me. One can also ask what kind of dynamics for hypergraphs could select the 4-D space-time? Should one have a variational principle of some kind?

The notion of symmetries is central in physics. Lorentz invariance or even Poincare invariance should emerge as approximate symmetries at least. Only discrete subgroups of these groups can emerge in the hypergraph approach. Lorentz invariance poses very, perhaps too, powerful constraints on the hypergraphs. The notion of discretized time is introduced. It should be Lorentz invariant and here the light-cone proper time a serves as an analog. a =constant sections would be analogs of hyperbolic 3-space H^3 .

[TW] By observation of physically comparable behaviour emerging from this system, through analysis and computer simulation, we draw conclusions of what the form and dynamics of the true underlying space-time may be.

By treating elements of the system as fundamental observers, mathematical and empirical evidence is obtained of the existence of fully emergent light-like cosmological horizons, implying the existence of causally separated ‘pocket universes’.

[MP] The emergence of the analogy with expanding cosmology presumably reflects the underlying dynamics implying the increase of the size of the hypergraph. The emergence of light-like causal horizons is natural if the dynamics involves maximal velocity of propagation for the signals. This is probably due to the locality of the basic dynamics involving only local changes of the hypergraph topology. Locality and classicality raise challenges if one wants to describe phenomena like quantum entanglement.

[TW] The SERD model is a hypergraph of connected hyperedges called Point Particles (PP) which represent the fundamental constituents of all matter and particles (and therefore observers) separated by strings of consecutive and fundamental elements or edges called Space Elements (SE).

[MP] I had to clarify myself what a hypergraph is. Hypergraph is a generalization of graphs. Also it contains the set of vertices/nodes. The notion of edge connecting a pair of vertices is however generalized to a hyperedge (PP) as a pair of subsets of vertices. PPs correspond to hyperedges as fundamental constituents of matter and formed by pairs of subsets of the set of nodes.

One could interpret this as a combinatorial counterpart for a length scale hierarchy of TGD in which a set can be approximated as a point. One might also interpret subsets of vertices as analogs of bound states of fundamental particles. In the TGD framework, many-sheeted space-time and various other hierarchies serve as its analogs.

Space elements (SEs) would bring in basic aspects of 3-space. It is said that they are infinitesimal or maximally small. SEs would be like edges (not hyperedges) of the hypergraph. Consecutive SEs in turn form interaction edges (IEs) connecting PPs. IEs store and transmit information relating to the structure space. What comes to mind is that functionally PPs are like neurons and neuron groups and IEs are like axons.

[TW] All elements are separated by nodes called Information Gaps (IGs), that store propagating topological information of the hypergraph. Information gaps (IGs) are between PPs and SEs, between SEs and between PPs themselves.

[MP] What distinguishes the SERD model from physical theories, is that information takes the role of matter. Information is treated as some kind of substance. The basic objection is that conscious information is always about something, whereas matter just is.

IGs have the role of interfaces somewhat analogous to black-hole horizon assumed to store information in the holographic picture. One could see PPs as the nodes and IEs as the edges or SEs as the edges and IGs as the nodes. IGs could have synaptic contacts as analogs.

[TW] In time step (TS), SE can duplicate and reduce (disappear) while the PPs split and merge through discrete time. These processes create space or destroy it and increase or reduce the effective distance between PPs. Splitting generates an SE between the resulting PPs. These are known as the actions of the elements and create a highly dynamic multi-way system.

[MP] Time step (TS) is a further basic notion and corresponds to an elementary event as nearest neighbor interaction taking during the time chronon. The propagation rate for information is CS/TS and is analogous to maximal signal velocity. The counterpart of the space-time metric is thus brought in by the introduction of TS and CS.

SEs emerge or disappear so that the effective distances of the nearby points change: this would be the counterpart for the dynamics of space-time metric in General Relativity. I understood that duplication and reduction effectively corresponds to the duplication or halving of the distance assignable to SE.

[TW] Elements have an ‘awareness’ of the information around them and communicate with their nearest neighbours through time.

[MP] The treatment of elements as fundamental observers is an interesting idea but can be criticized. Why not PPs? One could also argue that the SEs become conscious observers only under some additional assumptions. For instance, one can imagine that they represent matter and become fundamental conscious observers if fermions or fermion pairs can be assigned to them.

The abstract says nothing about quantum theory. To my view it is very difficult to imagine how quantum theory could emerge from an approach based on classical probability and some kind of quantum approach would be required to understand entanglement and state function reduction.

7.2 Fundamental discretization as a cognitive representation?

In the sequel TGD view of the discretization interpreted as cognitive representation is described. The surprise was the discovery of what I call generalized integers and rationals as a union of various p -adic number fields with different p -adic number fields glued together along numbers which belong to both p -adic number fields. I do not know whether mathematicians have played with this thought. This space has an ultrametric topology and could have application to the description of spin glass type systems [L19]. In TGD it could have application in the mathematical description of processes in which the p -adic prime associated with the particle changes.

7.2.1 Something is discrete but what it is?

Something is discrete at the fundamental level: is it space-time or only a discrete cognitive representation, a discretization of a continuous space-time? The essential assumption of SERD is that it is space-time, which is fundamentally discrete and realized as hypergraph. The basic problem is that it is not clear whether the notions of space-time dimensions, distance, angle, and curvature can emerge in a purely combinatorial approach in which only distance between nearby nodes is a metric notion. These notions also have a formal generalization to gauge theories.

The alternative approach would be based on the observation that cognition is discrete and finite. Cognition provides representations of the physical world. Could one assume that the physical world has continuous geometry and that only cognition is discrete?

7.2.2 Could the cognitive Universe consist of generalized integers?

Integers (and rationals) are the simplest discrete but infinite systems. Integers/rationals are usually assumed to have real topology. One can however imagine an infinite number of p -adic topologies, which are ultrametric and are defined by a p -adic norm having values coming as powers of prime p . p -Adic primes typically have an infinite expansion in powers of p and large powers of p have small p -adic norm in contrast to the real norm.

p -Adic integer/rational has expansion in powers of p and the inverse of the smallest power in the expansion determines the norm so that the notion of size is completely different for p -adic and real integers. Note that also the p -adic expansion of rationals involves an infinite number of powers of p but is periodic. p -Adic transcendentals do not have this property. Note also that p -adic integers modulo p define a finite field $G(p)$.

p -Adic integers are only weakly ordered. Only if two p -adic integers/rationals have different p -adic norms, can one tell which is the larger one. One can however construct continuous maps from p -adics to reals to approximately preserve the norm. p -Adic norm is ultrametric and this property is essential in the thermodynamic models of spin glass energy landscape [L19].

One could, at least as the first guess, imagine that the Universe of cognition consists of integers/rationals or a finite subset of them and that one also allows integers/rationals, which are infinite as real integers but finite as p -adic integers for some prime p .

One can decompose generalized integers to subsets with different p -adic topologies.

1. Regions corresponding to two different p-adic topologies p_1 and p_2 have as an interface the set integers, which have an expansion in powers of $n_{12} = p_1 p_2$. Therefore the cognitive world decomposes into p-adic regions having interfaces, which consist of power series of $n_{12..k} = p_1^{k_1} \dots \times p_k^{n_k}$. Ordinary integer n with a decomposition to primes belongs to the interface of the p-adic worlds corresponding to the prime factors.

How does this decomposition relate to adèles [L6, L5], which can be regarded as a Cartesian product of p-adic number fields defining and of reals [L6, L5]? Adèles correspond to a Cartesian product but now one has a union so that these concepts seems to be different. I do not know whether mathematicians have encountered the notion of generalized integers and rationals.

2. Each p-adic region decomposes into shells, kinds of analogs of mass shells, consisting of p-adic integers with p-adic norm given by a power of p .
3. The distance between the points of the cognitive sub-landscape corresponding to p would be defined by the p-adic norm. The points with the same p-adic norm would have a distance defined as the p-adic norm of their difference. This distance is the same for several point pairs so that p-adic topology is much rougher than the real topology. For instance the p-adic norm of numbers $1, \dots, p - 1$ is the same.
4. One could define a distance between points associated with p-adic topologies p_1 and p_2 as the shortest distance between them identified as the sum of the distances to the interface between these regions.

In this framework, the analog of a hypergraph would be simply a subset of generalized integers decomposing to p-adic integers labeled by some subset of primes.

1. The simplest dynamical operation, having now an interpretation as a cognitive operation, would be addition or removal of a p-adic integer corresponding to some value of p-adic prime or several of them. The addition would have an interpretation or worsening or improving the cognitive representation for some prime p .
2. Arithmetic operations for the points inside a region corresponding to a given p are possible. Arithmetic operations of finite integers are basic elements of at least human cognition and their sum and product would correspond to "particle reactions" in which two points fuse together to form a sum or product. If infinite integers can be expressed as power series of integers n_1 and n_2 , they can be regarded as p-adic integers for the factors of n_1 and n_2 and both sum and product make sense for common prime factors. Note that the operations are well-defined also for generalized rationals.
3. What happens in the arithmetic operations information theoretically? In the product operation, the outcome is in the interface region associated with n_1 and n_2 and the information about factors is not lost since a measurement revealing prime factors can be done repeatedly.

The projection operator applied to a quantum superposition of integers would project to a subspace of integers, which are divisible by a given prime p . This operation could be repeated for different primes and eventually give the prime number decomposition for some integer n in the superposition.

One strange fact about idiot savants described by Oliver Sacks (this is discussed from the TGD point of view in [K14]) is that they can decompose integers into prime factors and obviously see the emergence of the prime factors. Could this kind of cognitive measurement be in question?

Sum does not in general belong to the interface region of either integer and information is lost since many number pairs give rise to the same sum. Therefore sum and product are information-theoretically very different operations.

Could there be a quantum physical realization for the arithmetic operations? Could they relate to our conscious arithmetic thinking?

1. Consider first the sum operation. Quantum numbers, such as momenta, represented as integers or even algebraic integers are conserved in the physical reaction vertices. The conserved quantum numbers for the final state for a fusion reaction are sums of integers so that these reactions have an arithmetic interpretation.
2. In the case of a product, the fusion reaction should give a product of integers n_1 and n_2 or a representation of it? One should have conserved multiplicative quantum numbers in the vertex.

Phase factors as eigenvalues of unitary operators are such. They should form a multiplicative group as representation of integers or even rationals. Integer scalings define such a group. One can also consider eigenvalues $n^{i\phi}$, ϕ some fixed phase angle. The operator would therefore be a scaling represented unitarily by these phase factors.

Initial state would be a product of eigenstates of the scaling operator with eigenphases $n_1^{i\phi}$ and $n_2^{i\phi}$ and the final state would be a single particle state with the eigenvalue $n_1^{i\phi} n_2^{i\phi} = (n_1 n_2)^{i\phi}$. One can say that n_1 acts on n_2 by scaling or vice versa. Interestingly, at the fundamental level scalings replace time translations in the TGD framework (and also in superstring theory), and this is especially so for spin glass phase [L19].

Interestingly, sum appears at the level of Lie algebras and product at the level of Lie groups.

In quantum groups also the reverse operations, co-product and co-sum, having pair creation as analog, are possible. For the co-sum the information increases for the product. These operations would be time reversals of each other. In the zero energy ontology (ZEO) of TGD time reversal occurs in "big" (ordinary) state function reductions (BSFRs) [L9, L25] [K19]. What comes to mind is that the idiot savants described by Sacks might perform a time reversal decomposing product to prime factors. The cognitive measurement would correspond to BSFR.

Note that ZEO also predicts "small" state function reductions (SSFRs), which do not change the arrow of time and give rise to the flow of consciousness whereas BSFR corresponds to a universal counterpart of death or of falling asleep. It is the TGD counterpart of repeated measurements in the Zeno effect and of weak measurements of quantum optics.

This cognitive world would in TGD correspond physically to the most general spin glass energy landscape having an ultrametric topology [L19].

7.2.3 The algebraic extensions of p-adic number fields are discrete

The proposed structure does not have any natural notion of dimension. We are however able to cognize higher dimensional spaces using formulas.

1. p-Adic number fields indeed allow infinite hierarchies of algebraic extensions obtained by adding to them roots of polynomials, which are algebraic numbers. These induce extensions of p-adic number fields as finite fields $G(p, k)$ having algebraic dimension, which is at most the dimension of the corresponding extensions of rationals.
2. It is natural to assume that cognitive representations are always finite. This suggests that the set of "populated" points of the cognitive space is discrete and even finite. Being "populated" could mean that a fermion, having an interpretation as a generator of Boolean algebra, is labelled by the algebraic number defining the point. In a more general formulation bringing in quaternions and octonions as number fields: algebraic complexified quaternions would define the momentum components of fermions.

What has been said above, generalizes almost as such and one obtains a hierarchy of generalized integers as algebraic extensions of generalized integers at the lowest level. This could generalize the rational number based computationalism (Turing paradigm) to an entire hierarchy of cognitive computationalisms. The hierarchy of algebraic extensions suggests the same.

3. The algebraic complexity of generalized integers increases with the dimension of extension and in the TGD framework it corresponds to an evolutionary hierarchy. The dimension of extension defines what is identified in terms of an effective Planck constant.

7.2.4 But what about the real world?

A hierarchy of p-adicities and hierarchies of the algebraic extensions of p-adicities have been obtained. The 4-D world of sensory perceptions with its fundamental symmetries is however still missing. Could number theory come to rescue also here? This is indeed the case.

1. The fundamental continuous number fields consist of reals, complex numbers, quaternions and octonions with dimensions 1,2,4, 8 [L12, L13, L31]. Quaternions cannot as such correspond to 4-D space-time since the number theoretic purely algebraic norm defines the Euclidean metric.
2. This norm can be however algebraically continued to the complexification of quaternions obtained by adding a commuting imaginary unit i commuting with quaternionic and octonionic imaginary units. This algebraic norm squared does not involve complex conjugation as the Hilbert space norm and is in general complex but real for the subspaces corresponding to various metric signatures (a given component of quaternion are either real or imaginary). One obtains therefore Minkowski space and even more: its variants with various metric signatures.
3. One can imagine a generalization of the notion of generalized integer so that one would have hierarchies of generalized complex numbers, quaternions and octonions and their complexifications for various extensions of rationals.

A possible problem relates to the p-adic variants of quaternions, octonions and complex numbers. Consider the inverse $z^{-1} = (x-iy)/(x^2+y^2)$ of p-adic complex numbers $z = x+iy$. The problem is that $x^2 + y^2$ can vanish since there is no notion of sign of the number. For $p \bmod 4 = 1$, $\sqrt{-1}$ is an ordinary p-adic number, albeit with an infinite pinary expansion so that for $y = \sqrt{-1}x$, one has this problem.

Could the finiteness of cognition solve the problem? If only finite p-adic integers and rationals can define momentum components of fermions (finite cognitive and measurement resolution), the problem disappears.

Could one give up the field property for the p-adic variants of classical number fields? Already the complexification by i forces to give up the field property but has physical meaning since it makes Minkowski signature possible.

This would give Minkowski space M^4 as a special case. This is however not enough. One wants curved 4-D space-times. The basic structure is complexified octonions.

1. One should obtain 4-D surfaces of M^8 generalizing empty Minkowski space M^4 . Octonions fail to be associative and at the level of M_c^8 the natural proposal is that there is number theoretic dynamics based on associativity. The 4-D surfaces must be associative in some sense. The geometric vision predicts holography and this holography should have a number theoretic counterpart based on associativity.
2. The first guess is that the tangent space of 4-surface is associative and thus quaternionic. This gives only M^4 and is therefore trivial [L12, L13, L31].

The requirement that the normal space of the 4-surface Y^4 in M_c^8 is associative/quaternionic however works. If one requires that the normal subspace contains also a commutative (complex) subspace, one ends up to $M^8 - H$ -duality ($H = M^4 \times CP_2$ mapping the associative 4-D surfaces Y^4 of M_c^8 to space-time surfaces X^4 in H determined by holography forced by generalized coordinate invariance. The symmetries of H include Poincare symmetries and standard model symmetries.

3. At the level of M^8 , associativity of the normal space allows also 6-D surfaces with 2-D commutative normal space and they can be interpreted in terms of analogs of 6-D twistor spaces of 4-D surfaces Y^4 . They can be mapped to the twistor space of H by $M^8 - H$ duality and define 6-D twistor spaces of space-time surfaces X^4 of H . What is beautiful is that the Kähler structure for the twistor space of H exists only for the choice $H = M^4 \times CP_2$, which is also forced by the associative dynamics [A11]! TGD is unique!

4. The dynamics would rely on holography but how to get the algebraic extensions? The roots of a polynomial P with rational or even integer coefficients satisfying some additional conditions would define the needed extension of rationals. The roots would in the general case define complex mass shells H_c^3 as complex variants of hyperbolic 3-spaces H^3 in $M_c^4 \subset M_c^8$ having interpretation as a momentum space. $M^8 - H$ duality serves as a generalization of momentum position duality. The 3-D surfaces as subsets of these H^3 :s define the data of the associative holography and are contained by the 4-surface Y^4 .
5. Cognitive representation would be defined as a unique number theoretic discretization of the 4-surface Y^4 of M_c^8 consisting of points, whose number theoretically preferred linear Minkowski coordinates are algebraic integers in an extension defining the 4-surface in question. This discretization induces discretization of the space-time surface via $M^8 - H$ duality. The cognitive representations are number-theoretically universal and belong to the intersections of realities and p-adicities.
6. The mass shells H_c^3 are very special since in the preferred Minkowski coordinates a cognitive explosion takes place. All algebraic rationals, in particular integers, are points of H_c^3 . Algebraic integers are physically favored and define components of four-momenta. Galois confinement [L20] states that the total momenta have components which are ordinary integers when a suitable momentum unit is used.

8 Infinite primes as a basic mathematical building block

Infinite primes [K16, K6, K8] are one of the key ideas of TGD. Their precise physical interpretation and the role in the mathematical structure of TGD has however remained unclear.

3 new ideas are to be discussed. Infinite primes could define a generalization of the notion of adele; quantum arithmetics could replace $+$ and \times with \oplus and \otimes and ordinary primes with p-adic representations of say HFFs; the polynomial Q defining an infinite prime could be identified with the polynomial P defining the space-time surface: $P = Q$.

8.1 Construction of infinite primes

Consider first the construction of infinite primes [K16].

1. At the lowest level of hierarchy, infinite primes (in real sense, p-adically they have unit norm) can be defined by polynomials of the product X of all primes as an analog of Dirac vacuum.

The decomposition of the simplest infinite primes at the lowest level are of form $aX + b$, where the terms have no common prime divisors. More concretely $a = m_1/n_F$ $b = m_0/n_F$, where n_F is square free integer analogous and the integer m_1 and n_F have no common prime divisors. The divisors of m_2 are divisors of n_F and m_i has interpretation as n-boson state. Power p^k corresponds to k-boson state with momenta p . $n_F = \prod p_i$ has interpretation as many-fermion state satisfying Fermi-Dirac statistics.

The decomposition of lowest level infinite primes to infinite and finite part has a physical analogy as kicking of fermions from Dirac sea to form the finite part of infinite prime. These states have interpretation as analogs of free states of supersymmetric arithmetic quantum field theory (QFT) There is a temptation to interpret the sum $X/n_F + n_F$ as an analog of quantum superposition. Fermion number is well-defined if one assigns the number of factors of n_F to both n_F and X/n_F .

2. More general infinite primes correspond to polynomials $Q(X) = \sum_n q_n X^n$ required to define infinite integers which are not divisible by finite primes. Each summand $q_n X^n$ must be a infinite integer. This requires that q_n is given by $q_n = m_{B,n} / \prod_{i=1}^n n_{F,i}$ of square free integers $n_{F,i}$ having no common divisors.

The coefficients $m_{B,n}$ representing bosonic states have no common primes with $\prod n_{F,i}$ and there exists no prime dividing all coefficients $m_{B,n}$: there is no boson with momentum p present in all states in the sum.

These states have a formal interpretation as bound states of arithmetic supersymmetric QFT. The degree k of Q determines the number of particles in the bound states.

The products of infinite primes at given level are infinite primes with respect to the primes at the lower levels but infinite integers at their own level. Sums of infinite primes are not in general infinite primes. For instance the sum and difference of $X/n_F + n_F$ and $X/n_F - n_F$ are not infinite primes.

3. At the next step one can form the product of all finite primes and infinite primes constructed in this manner and repeat the process as an analog to second quantization. This procedure can be repeated indefinitely. This repeated quantization a hierarchy of infinite primes, which could correspond to the hierarchy of space-time sheets.

At the n :th hierarchy level the polynomials are polynomials of n variables X_i . A possible interpretation would be that one has families of infinite primes at the first level labelled by n_1 parameters. If the polynomials $P(x)$ at the first level define space-time surfaces, the interpretation at the level of WCW could be that one has an $n - 1$ -D surface in WCW parametrized by $n - 1$ parameters with rational values and defining a kind of sub-WCW. The WCW spinor fields would be restricted to this surface of WCW.

The Dirac vacuum X brings in mind adeles, which is roughly a product of p-adic number fields. The primes of infinite prime could be interpreted as labels for p-adic number fields. Even more generally, they could serve as labels for p-adic representations of various algebras and one could even consider replacing the arithmetic operations with \oplus and \otimes to get the quantum variants of various number fields and of adeles.

The quantum counterparts of infinite primes at the lowest and also at the higher levels of hierarchy could be seen as a generalization of adeles to quantum adeles.

8.2 Questions about infinite primes

One can ask several questions about infinite primes.

1. Could \oplus and \otimes replace $+$ and $-$ also for infinite primes. This would allow us to interpret the primes p as labels for algebras realized p-adically. This would give rise to quantal counterparts of infinite primes.
2. What could $+$ \rightarrow \oplus for infinite primes mean physically? Could it make sense in adelic context? Infinite part has finite p-adic norms. The interpretation as direct sum conforms with the fermionic interpretation if the product of all finite primes is interpreted as Dirac sea. In this case, the finite and infinite parts of infinite prime would have the same fermion number.
3. Could adelicization relate to the notion of infinite primes? Could one generalize quantum adeles based on \oplus and \otimes so that they would have parts with various degrees of infinity?

8.3 $P = Q$ hypothesis

One cannot avoid the idea that that polynomial, call it $Q(X)$, defining an infinite prime at the first level of the hierarchy, is nothing but the polynomial P defining a 4-surface in M^4 and therefore also a space-time surface. $P = Q$ would be a condition analogous to the variational principle defining preferred extremals (PEs) at the level of H .

There is however an objection.

1. $P = Q$ gives very powerful constraints on Q since it must define an infinite integer. The prime polynomials P are expected to be highly non-unique and an entire class of polynomials of fixed degree characterized by the Galois group as an invariant is in question. The same applies to polynomials Q as is easy to see: the only condition is that powers of $a_k X^k$ defining infinite integers have no common prime factors.
2. It seems that a composite polynomial $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ satisfying $P_i = Q_i$ cannot define an infinite prime or even infinite integer. Even infinite integer property requires very special conditions.

3. There is however no need to assume $P_i = Q_i$ conditions. It is enough to require that there exists a composite $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1$ of prime polynomials satisfying $P_n \circ \dots \circ P_1 = Q$ defining an infinite prime.

The physical interpretation would be that the interaction spoils the infinite prime property of the composites and they become analogs of off-mass-shell particles. Exactly this occurs for bound many-particle states of particles represented by P_i represented composite polynomials $P_1 \circ \dots \circ P_n$. The roots of the composite polynomials are indeed affected for the composite. Note that also products of Q_i are infinite primes and the interpretation is as a free many-particle state formed by bound states Q_i .

There is also a second objection against $P = Q$ property.

1. The proposed physical interpretation is that the ramified primes associated with $P = Q$ correspond to the p-adic primes characterizing particles. This would mean that the ramified primes appearing in the infinite primes at the first level of the hierarchy should be physically special.
2. The first naive guess is that for the simplest infinite primes $Q(X) = (m_1/n_F)X + m_2n_F$ at the first level, the finite part m_2n_F has an identification as the discriminant D of the polynomial $P(X)$ defining the space-time surface. This guess has no obvious generalization to higher degree polynomials $Q(X)$ and the following argument shows that it does not make sense.

Since Q is a rational polynomial of degree 1 there is only a single rational root and discriminant defined by the differences of distinct roots is ill-defined that $Q = P$ condition would not allow the simplest infinite primes.

Therefore one must give either of these conjectures and since $P = Q$ conjecture dictates the algebraic structure of the quantum theory for a given space-time surface, it is much more attractive.

The following argument gives $P = Q$. One can assign to polynomial P invariants as symmetric functions of the roots. They are invariants under permutation group S_n of roots containing Galois group and therefore also Galois invariants (for polynomials of second order correspond to sum and product of roots appearing as coefficients of the polynomial in the representation $x^2 + bx + cx$). The polynomial Q having as coefficients these invariants is the original polynomial. This interpretation gives $P = Q$.

9 Summary of the proposed big picture

In the previous sections the plausible looking building blocks of the bigger picture of the TGD were discussed. Here I try to summarize a guess for the big picture.

9.1 The relation between $M^8 - H$ and $M - M'$ dualities

The first question is whether $M^8 - H$ duality between number theoretical and geometric physics, very probably relating to Langlands duality, corresponds to a duality between M and its commutant M' . Physical intuition suggests that these dualities are independent. M' would more naturally correspond to classical description as dual to quantum description using M . One would assign classical and quantum views to both number theoretic (M^8) and geometric (H) descriptions.

1. At the geometric side M would be realized in terms of HFFs associated with SSA_n , Aff_n and I acting in H . At the number theoretic side, braided Galois group algebras would define the HFFs and have natural action in SSA_n , A_n and I .
2. The descriptions in terms of preferred extremals in H and of polynomials P defining 4-surfaces in M^8 would correspond to classical descriptions. $P = Q$ condition would define preferred polynomials and infinite primes.

3. At the geometric side, M' would correspond to scalar factors of WCW wave functions symplectic invariants identifiable as Kähler magnetic fluxes at both M^4 and CP_2 sectors. They are zero modes and therefore do not contribute to the WCW line element.
4. At the number theoretic side, the wave functions would depend on Galois invariants. Discriminant D , set of roots to which braid strands can be assigned to define n -braid, and ramified primes dividing it in the case of polynomials with rational/integer coefficients are Galois invariants analogous to Kähler fluxes. They code information about the spectrum of virtual mass squared values as roots of P . The strands of braid as Galois invariant correspond to (possibly) monopole flux tubes and one assign them quantized magnetic fluxes as integer valued symplectic invariants.

9.2 Basic mathematical building blocks

The basic mathematical building blocks of quantum aspects of TGD involve at least the following ones.

1. The generalization of arithmetics and even number theory by replacing sum and product by direct sum and tensor product for various algebras and associated representations is a mathematical notion expected to be important and a straightforward generalization of adèles and infinite primes to their quantum counterparts is highly suggestive.
2. Quantum version of adelic physics obtained by replacing ordinary arithmetic operations with direct sum and tensor product relates closely to the fusion of real and various p -adic physics at quantum level.
3. The hierarchy of infinite primes suggested by the many-sheeted space-time suggests a profound generalization of the notion of adelic physics. Infinite primes are defined by polynomials of several variables the basic equation in the general form would be $Q(X_1, \dots, X_n) = P(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

9.3 Basic algebraic structures at number theoretic side

Number theoretic side involves several key notions that must have counterparts at the geometric side.

1. Number theoretic side involves Galois groups as counterparts of symplectic symmetries and can be regarded as number theoretic variants of permutation symmetries and lead to the notion of braided Galois group, whose group algebra defines HFF.
2. Galois groups can be decomposed to a hierarchy of normal subgroups, which are simple and therefore primes in group theoretic sense. Simple Galois groups correspond to polynomial primes with respect to functional composition, and one can assign to a given Galois group a set of polynomials with fixed degrees although the polynomials and their order of polynomials in composition are not unique.
3. There is a large class of polynomials giving rise to a given Galois group and they bring in additional degrees of freedom. The variation of the polynomial coefficients corresponding to the same Galois group is analogous to symplectic transformations leaving the induced Kähler form invariant.

The roots of polynomials define analogs for the strands of n -braid, discriminant D , and ramified primes dividing the discriminant. They are central Galois invariants analogous to Kähler magnetic fluxes at the geometry side.

4. Ramified primes characterize polynomials P but are not fixed by the Galois group, are analogous to the zero modes at the level of H . Magnetic fluxes are their counterparts at the level of H . I have proposed the interpretation of ramified primes p as p -adic primes characterizing elementary particles in the model of particle masses based on p -adic thermodynamics. These primes are rather large: for instance, $M_{127} = 2^{127} - 1$ would characterize electrons.

It would however seem that the prime k in SSA_k corresponds to the prime characterizing simple Galois group.

Also affine algebras Aff_n assignable to the light-like partonic orbits and isometries of H are present and also they appear in p-adic mass calculations based on p-adic thermodynamics. Could the adelic hierarchy p-adic variants of algebras SSA, Aff and I have adelic factors labelled by ramified primes p form also an adelic structure with respect to \oplus and \otimes ?

9.4 Basic algebraic structures at the geometric side

The symmetry algebras at the level of H define the key quantal structures.

1. The symmetries at the geometric side involve hierarchies A_n of algebras $A_n \in SSA_n, A_n, I_n$ defining hierarchies of factor algebras. The condition that subalgebras A_n and $[A_n, A]$ annihilate physical states gives rise to hierarchies of algebras, which would correspond to those for Galois groups for multiple extensions of rationals. The braided Galois groups for polynomials of degree n roots/braids would act naturally in A_n so that it would have number theoretic braiding.

2. The decomposition of the Galois group to simple normal subgroups would correspond to a functional composite of prime polynomials, which corresponds to the inclusion hierarchy of HFFs associated with A_n with n identified as the degree of polynomial.

The polynomials $Q(X)$ defining infinite prime have decomposition to polynomial primes but the polynomial primes in the decomposition cannot define infinite primes.

Kähler magnetic fluxes for CP_2 and M^4 Kähler forms are symplectic invariants and represent zero modes. At the number theoretic side the discriminant and root spectrum (mass squared spectrum) are classical Galois invariants. States as Galois singlets are Galois invariants at quantum level.

The key equation, not encountered before in the TGD framework, is $P = Q$ motivated by the notion of infinite prime. It would assign to polynomial P unique algebraic structures defining what might be called its quantization. Without this structure one should give up the notion of infinite prime and lose the notion of preferred P as analog of preferred extremal.

10 Appendix: The reduction of quantum TGD to WCW geometry and spinor structure

The first attempts to build quantum TGD were based on the standard method used to quantize quantum field theories. The path integral over all possible space-time surfaces connecting initial and final 3-surfaces for an action exponential using for instance Kähler action, would have given the scattering amplitudes.

10.1 The problems

The first problem is that the integrand is a phase factor $exp(iS)$, where S could be the Kähler action. Phase factor has modulus 1 and the integral does not converge even formally. One would need a real exponent to have any hopes of convergence. This problem can be circumvented in free quantum field theory by algebraic tricks.

The second problem is that all conceivable actions are extremely nonlinear and new kinds of divergences appear in each order of perturbation theory. This is essentially due to the locality of the action principle involving interaction vertices with arbitrarily high numbers of particles. Also ordinary QFTs meet the same problem and for renormalizable theories the addition of counterterms with suitably infinite coefficients can cancel the divergences without the addition of an infinite number of counter terms. It became clear that there are no hopes of getting rid of the divergences in TGD by addition of counterterms. The situation is the same in general relativity although heroic and ingenious attempts to calculate scattering amplitudes have been made.

Only $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SUSY is a QFT that is hoped to be free of divergences without renormalization but here the problem is caused by the non-planar Feynman diagrams, to which the twistor approach does not apply.

10.2 3-D surfaces or 4-surfaces associated to them by holography replace point-like particles

The key idea of TGD is that point-like particles are replaced with 3-surfaces. This idea does not favour path integral approach.

1. In TGD, point-like particles are replaced with 3-surfaces. Local interaction vertices are smoothed out to non-local ones so that there should be no local divergences. Perhaps the path integral, derived originally as a representation of Schrödinger equation, is not only unnecessary but also a wrong way to compute anything in TGD. In superstring models, the replacement of a point-like particle with string indeed allows elimination of the local divergences.

3-D surface should be the basic dynamical object. One should therefore have a functional integral over 3-surfaces, which is analogous to the Gaussian integral and converges.

2. This problem led to the idea of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW). 4-D General Coordinate Invariance implies that to a given 3-surface X^3 one must be able to assign a 4-surface $X^4(X^3)$ at which the 4-D general coordinate transformations act.

Either 3-surfaces X^3 or almost unique 4-surfaces $X^4(X^3)$ are the fundamental objects so that holography holds true. At that time I did not talk about holography, which was introduced by Susskind much later, around 1995. Therefore the introduction of the path integral is not necessary.

Later it became clear that the exact determinism of the classical dynamics can be lost, at least for Kähler action having huge spin glass degeneracy. Later 4-D Kähler action replaced in twistor lift of TGD by its sum with a volume term, and for this action the non-determinism is analogous to that for soap films spanned by frames, that is finite, and has physical interpretation.

10.3 WCW Kähler geometry as s geometrization of the entire quantum physics

This argument led to the vision about quantum TGD as WCW geometry, which generalizes Einstein's vision of geometrization of gravitational interaction to geometrization of all classical interactions and then to the geometrization of the entire quantum theory.

1. WCW is the space of all 3-surfaces or almost equivalently the space of 4-surfaces. Physical states correspond to WCW spinor fields.
2. WCW must have Kähler geometry since Kähler structure allows to geometrize the hermitian conjugation which is fundamental for quantum theory. Imaginary unit is represented geometrically by the Kähler form and the real unit by the Kähler metric. The tensor square Kähler form as an imaginary unit is equal to the negative of the real unit, that is the negative of the metric.
3. The construction of loop space geometries by Dan Freed [A4] led to a unique geometry of loop space. The mere existence of Riemann connection requires that the metric has maximal isometries and is unique apart from scaling. When basic objects are 3-D this condition is even more stringent. The Kähler geometry of WCW and thus physics could be unique from its mere mathematical existence!

Why $H = M^4 \times CP_2$? The existence of the twistor lift fixes H uniquely since only M^4 (E^4) and CP_2 allow a twistor space with Kähler structure [A11]. The necessarily dimensionally reduced Kähler action at the twistor space level adds to the 4-D Kähler action a volume term removing the non-determinism and explaining cosmological constant and its smallness in long scales.

4. How is the Kähler geometry of WCW determined? The definition of the Kähler metric of WCW must assign to a 3-surface X^3 a more or less unique space-time surface $X^4(X^3)$ in order to have a general coordinate invariance. One must also have a connection with classical physics: classical physics must be an exact part of quantum physics and thus the definition of WCW Kähler geometry involves a classical action principle.

The Kähler metric is defined by the Kähler function K . The idea is that K is the value of Kähler action S_K or of a more general action for a more or less unique space-time surface $X^4(X^3)$ containing a given 3-surface X^3 .

5. It is convenient to speak of preferred extremal (PE) and there are several characterizations of what PE is. $M^8 - H$ duality gives the most concrete one. Twistor lift gives the second one and the gauge conditions associated with the WCW Dirac equation provide the third characterization.

10.4 Quantum physics as physics of free, classical spinor fields in WCW

How to develop quantum physics in WCW? The idea is that free, classical WCW spinor fields define all possible quantum states of the Universe and interactions reduce to topology. There would be no quantization at the level of WCW and the only genuinely quantal element of quantum theory would be state function reduction giving rise to conscious experience.

1. In order to have spinor fields in WCW, one must have the notion of spinor structure. Spinor structure is almost uniquely fixed by the metric and involves in an essential manner gamma matrices, which anticommute to metric.
2. The second quantization of H spinor fields assigns to the modes of H spinor fields fermionic oscillator operators. Why not build the complexified gamma matrices of WCW (their hermitian conjugates) as linear combinations of the creation (annihilation) operators?! Second quantization for the *free* H spinor field, is completely unique and straightforward and avoids all problems of quantization in curved space-time.

One could interpret the second quantization of free fermions and fermionic statistics in terms of WCW geometry, which is something completely new.

3. WCW spinors (for given 4-surface as point of WCW) would be fermionic Fock states created using fermionic oscillator operators and depend on the space-time surface $X^4(X^3)$ as a 4-surface almost uniquely determined by 3-surface X^3 .

The fermionic Fock state basis can be interpreted as a representation of Boolean logic so that Boolean logic could be seen as a "square root" of Kähler geometry.

The WCW spinor field would correspond to a superposition of preferred extremals X^4 with a WCW spinor assigned with each X^4 .

10.5 Dirac equation for WCW spinor fields

Free Dirac equation is the key equation for classical spinor fields.

1. In string models it corresponds to the analogs of super-Virasoro and super-Kac-Moody conditions stating conformal invariance and Kac-Moody invariance analogous but not quite equivalent with gauge symmetry.
2. In TGD, these conditions as a counterpart of the WCW Dirac equation generalize. Super symplectic algebra associated with $\delta M_+^4 \times CP_2$ (δM_+^4 denotes light-cone boundary) *SSA*, the infinite-D algebras of conformal symmetries (*Conf*) and isometries (*I*) of δM_+^4 (unique to the 4-D Minkowski space), and the affine algebras *Aff* associated with the light-like orbits of partonic 2-surfaces would be the basic algebras.
3. To each of these algebras, one can assign a generalization of the gauge conditions of conformal field theories. What is new is that one obtains a hierarchy of gauge conditions. The algebra

in question, call it A , and sub-algebra A_n , $n \geq 0$, with conformal weights coming as n -multiples of weights for A , and the commutator $[A_n, A]$ annihilate the physical states. Also the corresponding classical Noether charges vanish, which gives strong conditions on space-time surfaces and decomposes WCW to sectors characterized by n .

4. In superstring models one has only $n = 0$. In the number theoretic vision, the hierarchy of values of n would actually correspond to the hierarchy of extensions of rationals. If $M^8 - H$ duality holds true, n corresponds to the degree of polynomial P defining the space-time surface and polynomials P would decompose WCW to sectors.

10.6 $M^8 - H$ duality at the level of WCW

WCW emerges in the geometric view of quantum TGD. $M^8 - H$ duality should also work for WCW. What is the number theoretic counterpart of WCW? What is the geometric counterpart of the discretization characteristic to the number theoretic approach?

In the number theoretic vision in which WCW is discretized by replacing space-time surfaces with their number theoretical discretizations determined by the points of $X^4 \subset M^8$ having the octonionic coordinates of M^8 in an extension of rationals and therefore making sense in all p -adic number fields? How could an effective discretization of the real WCW at the geometric H level, making computations easy in contrast to all expectations, take place?

1. The key observation is that any functional or path integral with integrand defined as exponent of action, can be *formally* calculated as an analog of Gaussian integral over the extrema of the action exponential $\exp(S)$. The configuration space of fields would be effectively discretized. Unfortunately, this holds true only for the so called integrable quantum field theories and there are very few of them and they have huge symmetries. But could this happen for WCW integration thanks to the maximal symmetries of the WCW metric?
2. For the Kähler function K , its maxima (or maybe extrema) would define a natural effective discretization of the sector of WCW corresponding to a given polynomial P defining an extension of rationals.

The discretization of the WCW defined by polynomials P defining the space-time surfaces should be equivalent with the number theoretical discretization induced by the number theoretical discretization of the corresponding space-time surfaces. Various p -adic physics and corresponding discretizations should emerge naturally from the real physics in WCW.

3. The physical interpretation is clear. The TGD Universe is analogous to the spin glass phase [L19]. The discretized WCW corresponds to the energy landscape of spin glass having an ultrametric topology. Ultrametric topology of WCW means that discretized WCW decomposes to p -adic sectors labelled by polynomials P . The ramified primes of P label various p -adic topologies associated with P .

REFERENCES

Mathematics

- [A1] Langlands program. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Langlands_program.
- [A2] Connes A. *Non-commutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, 1994.
- [A3] Beardon AF. Composition factors of polynomials. *Complex Variables, Theory and Application: An International Journal*, 43(3-4):225–239, 2001. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476930108815314>.
- [A4] Freed DS. *The Geometry of Loop Groups*, 1985.
- [A5] Frenkel E. Recent Advances in Langlands program. *AMS*, 41(2):151–184, 2004.

- [A6] Frenkel E. Lectures on Langlands program and conformal field theory, 2005. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/0512172>.
- [A7] Titchmarsh EC. *The Theory of Riemann Zeta Function. 2nd ed. revised by R. D. Heath-Brown.* Oxford Univ. Press, 1986.
- [A8] Butin F. Branching Law for the Finite Subgroups of $SL(4, C)$. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 67:1484–1497, 2016. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11253-016-1167-8>.
- [A9] Butin F and Perets GS. Branching law for finite subgroups of $SL(3, C)$ and McKay correspondence. *Journal of Group Theory, De Gruyter*, 17(2):191–251, 2013. Available at: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00412643/document>.
- [A10] Jones FR. *Braid groups, Hecke algebras and type II_1 factors.* 1983.
- [A11] N. Hitchin. Kählerian twistor spaces. *Proc London Math Soc*, 8(43):133–151, 1981.. Available at: <https://tinyurl.com/pb8zpqo>.
- [A12] Nakamura I. McKay Correspondence, 2002. Available at: <https://www.math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/~nakamura/McKay071002.pdf>.
- [A13] Dixmier J. *Von Neumann Algebras.* North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981. First published in French in 1957: Les Algèbres d’Operateurs dans l’Espace Hilbertien, Paris: Gauthier-Villars.
- [A14] Ritt JF. Prime and Composite Polynomials. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(1):51–66, 1922. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1988911>.
- [A15] Khovanov M. MacKay correspondence, Available at: <https://www.math.columbia.edu/~khovanov/finite/QiYou1.pdf>.
- [A16] Reid M. McKay correspondence. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9702016>.
- [A17] Weber M. (Editors) Stammeier M, Voiculescu D-V. EMS Press, 2016.
- [A18] Lieb EH Temperley NH. Relations between the percolation and colouring problem and other graph-theoretical problems associated with regular planar lattices:some exact results for the percolation problem. *Proc R Soc London*, 322, 1971.
- [A19] Jones V. In and around the origin of quantum groups, 2003. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309199>.
- [A20] Jones VF. Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomial. *Ann Math*, 126, 1987.

Theoretical Physics

- [B1] Minev ZK et al. To catch and reverse a quantum jump mid-flight, 2019. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.00545>.

Books related to TGD

- [K1] Pitkänen M. About Preferred Extremals of Kähler Action. In *Physics in Many-Sheeted Space-Time: Part I.* <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdclass1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/prext.pdf>, 2023.
- [K2] Pitkänen M. Basic Properties of CP_2 and Elementary Facts about p-Adic Numbers. In *Quantum TGD: Part I.* <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdquantum1.html>. Available at: https://www.tgdtheory.fi/public_html/pdfpool/append.pdf, 2023.

- [K3] Pitkänen M. Construction of WCW Kähler Geometry from Symmetry Principles. In *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdgeom.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/compl1.pdf>, 2023.
- [K4] Pitkänen M. Evolution of Ideas about Hyper-finite Factors in TGD. In *Topological Geometrodynamics: Overview: Part II*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdoverview2>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/vNeumannnew>, 2023.
- [K5] Pitkänen M. Identification of the WCW Kähler Function. In *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdgeom.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/kahler.pdf>, 2023.
- [K6] Pitkänen M. Infinite Primes and Consciousness. In *Mathematical Aspect of Consciousness*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/mathconsc.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/infpc.pdf>, 2023.
- [K7] Pitkänen M. Langlands Program and TGD. In *TGD as a Generalized Number Theory: Part II*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdnumber2.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/Langlands.pdf>, 2023.
- [K8] Pitkänen M. Motives and Infinite Primes. In *TGD as a Generalized Number Theory: Part III*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdnumber3.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/infmotives.pdf>, 2023.
- [K9] Pitkänen M. Negentropy Maximization Principle. In *TGD Inspired Theory of Consciousness: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdconsc1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/nmpc.pdf>, 2023.
- [K10] Pitkänen M. New Physics Predicted by TGD: Part I. In *p-Adic Physics*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bpadphys.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/TGDnewphys1.pdf>, 2023.
- [K11] Pitkänen M. New Physics Predicted by TGD: Part II. In *p-Adic Physics*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bpadphys.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/TGDnewphys2.pdf>, 2023.
- [K12] Pitkänen M. Recent View about Kähler Geometry and Spin Structure of WCW . In *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdgeom.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/wcwnew.pdf>, 2023.
- [K13] Pitkänen M. Riemann Hypothesis and Physics. In *TGD as a Generalized Number Theory: Part III*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdnumber3.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/riema.pdf>, 2023.
- [K14] Pitkänen M. Self and Binding: Part I. In *TGD Inspired Theory of Consciousness: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdconsc1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/selfbindc.pdf>, 2023.
- [K15] Pitkänen M. Some questions related to the twistor lift of TGD. In *Quantum TGD: Part III*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdquantum3.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/twistquestions.pdf>, 2023.
- [K16] Pitkänen M. TGD as a Generalized Number Theory: Infinite Primes. In *TGD as a Generalized Number Theory: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdnumber1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/visionc.pdf>, 2023.
- [K17] Pitkänen M. Was von Neumann Right After All? In *TGD and Hyper-finite Factors*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/BHFF.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/vNeumann.pdf>, 2023.
- [K18] Pitkänen M. WCW Spinor Structure. In *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdgeom.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/cspin.pdf>, 2023.

- [K19] Pitkänen M. Zero Energy Ontology. In *Quantum TGD: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdquantum1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/ZEO.pdf>, 2023.

Articles about TGD

- [L1] Pitkänen M. Langlands conjectures in TGD framework. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Langlandsagain.pdf, 2011.
- [L2] Pitkänen M. Langlands Program and TGD: Years Later. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/langlandsnew.pdf, 2016.
- [L3] Pitkänen M. About number theoretic aspects of NMP. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/nmpagain.pdf, 2017.
- [L4] Pitkänen M. Could McKay correspondence generalize in TGD framework? Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/McKay.pdf, 2017.
- [L5] Pitkänen M. p-Adicization and adelic physics. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelicphysics.pdf, 2017.
- [L6] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. In *Trends and Mathematical Methods in Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences*, pages 241–319. Springer. Available at: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-55612-3_11, 2017.
- [L7] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelephysics.pdf, 2017.
- [L8] Pitkänen M. Copenhagen interpretation dead: long live ZEO based quantum measurement theory! Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Bohrdead.pdf, 2019.
- [L9] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf, 2019.
- [L10] Pitkänen M. TGD view about McKay Correspondence, ADE Hierarchy, and Inclusions of Hyperfinite Factors. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/McKay.pdf, 2019.
- [L11] Pitkänen M. TGD view about McKay Correspondence, ADE Hierarchy, Inclusions of Hyperfinite Factors, $M^8 - H$ Duality, SUSY, and Twistors. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/McKaygeneral.pdf, 2019.
- [L12] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of $M^8 - H$ duality hypothesis: part I. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H1.pdf, 2020.
- [L13] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of $M^8 - H$ duality hypothesis: part II. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H2.pdf, 2020.
- [L14] Pitkänen M. The dynamics of SSFRs as quantum measurement cascades in the group algebra of Galois group. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/SSFRGalois.pdf, 2020.
- [L15] Pitkänen M. Zero energy ontology, hierarchy of Planck constants, and Kähler metric replacing unitary S-matrix: three pillars of new quantum theory (short version). Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/kahlersm.pdf, 2020.
- [L16] Pitkänen M. Is genetic code part of fundamental physics in TGD framework? Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TIH.pdf, 2021.
- [L17] Pitkänen M. Negentropy Maximization Principle and Second Law. Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/nmpsecondlaw.pdf, 2021.

- [L18] Pitkänen M. Some questions concerning zero energy ontology. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeonew.pdf, 2021.
- [L19] Pitkänen M. Spin Glasses, Complexity, and TGD. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/sg.pdf, 2021.
- [L20] Pitkänen M. TGD and Condensed Matter. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGDcondmatshort.pdf, 2021.
- [L21] Pitkänen M. TGD as it is towards the end of 2021. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGD2021.pdf, 2021.
- [L22] Pitkänen M. What could 2-D minimal surfaces teach about TGD? https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/minimal.pdf, 2021.
- [L23] Pitkänen M. About TGD counterparts of twistor amplitudes: part I. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/twisttgd1.pdf, 2022.
- [L24] Pitkänen M. About TGD counterparts of twistor amplitudes: part II. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/twisttgd2.pdf, 2022.
- [L25] Pitkänen M. About the number theoretic aspects of zero energy ontology. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ZE0number.pdf, 2022.
- [L26] Pitkänen M. Finite Fields and TGD. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/finitefieldsTGD.pdf, 2022.
- [L27] Pitkänen M. McKay Correspondence from Quantum Arithmetics Replacing Sum and Product with Direct Sum and Tensor Product? . https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/McKayGal.pdf, 2022.
- [L28] Pitkänen M. TGD inspired model for freezing in nano scales. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/freezing.pdf, 2022.
- [L29] Pitkänen M. Trying to fuse the basic mathematical ideas of quantum TGD to a single coherent whole. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/fusionTGD.pdf, 2022.
- [L30] Pitkänen M. Two objections against p-adic thermodynamics and their resolution. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/padmass2022.pdf, 2022.
- [L31] Pitkänen M. New findings related to the number theoretical view of TGD. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hagain.pdf, 2023.