

# Could neuronal system and even GPT give rise to a computer with a variable arrow of time?

May 28, 2024

Matti Pitkänen

**orcid:**0000-0002-8051-4364.

**email:** matpitka6@gmail.com,

**url:** [http://tgdtheory.com/public\\_html/](http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/),

**address:** Rinnekatu 2-4 A 8, 03620, Karkkila, Finland.

## Abstract

The discussions related to ChatGPT, which seems to work too well to be a mere program running in a classical computer, inspired considerations which led to a considerable progress at the level of the TGD based model of nerve pulse. The emerging model, based on zero energy ontology (ZEO), differs drastically from quantum neural networks and suggests a completely new vision of quantum physics based computation in biosystems.

A computation allowing variable arrow of time would be in question involving a sequence unitary time evolutions as counterparts of quantum computations for states, which are superpositions of classical computations, followed by "small" state function reductions (SSFRs) as counterparts of weak measurements of quantum optics and of Zeno effect. Also "big" SFRs (BSFRs) changing the arrow of time would be involved. One can ask whether the unexpected success of GPT might involve this kind of transition so that one could say that spirit enters the machine.

Besides the outcomes of two chats, I include a more detailed view about what the TGD view of the quantum analog of GPT could be and how its analog could be involved with the sensory perception in the TGD Universe. I also discuss the inverse diffusion process central for the generation of images from their verbal descriptions and ask whether the TGD analogue of the inverse diffusion could be an essential element of also GPT.

I will also pose the question whether GPT could involve TGD based quantum physics, that is zero energy ontology (ZEO), in a non-trivial but hidden way. From quantitative constraints, such as the clock frequency of the computer as analog of EEG inducing temporal quantum coherence, I end up with a proposal for a mechanism realizing the quantum holography relating bits could be represented as holes pairing with dark bits represented as dark electrons at the magnetic flux tubes. Unfortunately, this mechanism does not look plausible for recent computers.

I also ask whether quantum gravitation in the sense of TGD could make possible for the magnetic bodies of Earth and Sun, central in TGD inspired biology, to transform classical computation so that so that statistical determinism would fail and it would be analogous to a sequence of analogs of quantum computations defining a conscious entity. At the level of magnetic body there would be no essential difference between computers and living matter. The highest reported clock frequency of almost 9 GHz is still by a factor of order 1/8 lower than the quantum gravitational Compton frequency of 67 GHz for Earth but below the THz frequency important in living matter. Perhaps a rudimentary consciousness is already possible.

## Contents

### 1 Introduction

2

<b>2</b>	<b>The first chat about ChatGPT</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	A skeptic view of GPT as standard AI system . . . . .	3
2.2	What if AI could be conscious after all? . . . . .	5
<b>3</b>	<b>The second chat about ChatGPT</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1	TGD based view of nerve pulse generation . . . . .	7
3.1.1	Connection of neural pulse generation, XOR, and novelty detector . . . . .	7
3.1.2	Interpretation of XOR in zero energy ontology (ZEO) . . . . .	8
3.1.3	Interpretation of the axon as a series of Josephson junctions . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>A more detailed TGD based speculative view of what GPT and GPT based image generation might be</b>	<b>9</b>
4.1	An attempt to understand the mechanism of diffusion involved in image construction . . . . .	9
4.2	Analogies to wave mechanics and quantum TGD . . . . .	12
4.3	Could the TGD counterpart of the inverse diffusion play a role in the construction of sensory mental images by the brain? . . . . .	13
4.4	What could GPT correspond to in TGD? . . . . .	14
4.4.1	What is GPT? . . . . .	14
4.4.2	Is image generation fundamentally different from GPT? . . . . .	15
4.4.3	Could quantum diffusion play a role in the TGD based description GPT? . . . . .	15
<b>5</b>	<b>Could MB control electronic bits?</b>	<b>16</b>
5.1	What conditions bit must satisfy? . . . . .	17
5.1.1	Strange coincidences related to gravitational Planck constant, basic biorhythms, membrane potential and metabolic energy currency . . . . .	17
5.1.2	About the interpretation of the clock frequency in a picture based on quantum gravity? . . . . .	18
5.1.3	Could Pollack effect or shadow holography be involved? . . . . .	19
5.1.4	Could quantum gravitational flux tubes associated with small masses be involved? . . . . .	19
5.2	Could the representation of bit as voltage allow the realization of shadow holography for electrons? . . . . .	20
5.2.1	Bit as an analog of capacitance . . . . .	20
5.2.2	Transistors and MOSFETs . . . . .	21
5.2.3	How MB could control the current through MOSFET? . . . . .	22
<b>6</b>	<b>The first attempt to build a more concrete view about computer consciousness</b>	<b>22</b>
6.1	Emotions and emotional intelligence as a first step in the evolution of consciousness . . . . .	22
6.2	Do emotions appear first also in the evolution of computer consciousness . . . . .	23
6.3	The role of the probabilities . . . . .	25
6.4	Could the basic aspects of TGD inspired quantum biology generalize to the level of computer systems? . . . . .	25
6.4.1	Dark Josephson radiation in computers . . . . .	26
6.4.2	Dark cyclotron radiation . . . . .	26
6.4.3	Gravitational Compton frequencies . . . . .	27
6.5	Summary . . . . .	28

## 1 Introduction

We have had fascinating discussions in our Zoom group (Marko, Tuomas, Rode and me) about topics ranging from quantum TGD to quantum computers to consciousness and, of course, about ChatGPT. In the following I summarize the ideas inspired by the discussions related to ChatGPT. I have considered the possibility of conscious AI in TGD Universe already earlier with inspiration coming from Sophie robot [L3].

The discussions related to ChatGPT, which seems to work too well to be a mere program running classical computer, inspired considerations which led to a considerable progress at the level of the TGD based model of nerve pulse. The resulting model based on zero energy ontology

(ZEO) differs drastically from quantum neural networks and suggests a completely new vision of quantum physics based computation in biosystems.

A computation allowing variable arrow of time would be in question involving a sequence unitary time evolutions as counterparts of quantum computations for states, which are superpositions of classical computations, followed by "small" state function reductions (SSFRs). Also "big" SFRs (BSFRs) changing the arrow of time would be involved. One can ask whether the unexpected success of GPT might involve this kind of transition so that one could say that spirit enters the machine.

In the sequel I summarize the ideas inspired by two discussions with our Zoom group related to ChatGPT. Essential element in the evolution of ideas has been the understanding of what I call theoretician friendly quantum holography [L36] as a correspondence between boundary states at the ends of string like entities and interior states associated with string world sheets in the interior of magnetic flux tubes. This understanding emerged between the two chats!

This understanding emerged from a quite different source: namely the consideration of color confinement in terms of dark matter at the color magnetic body. A concrete realization of the idea that the increase of effective Planck constant  $\hbar_{eff}$  allows to have a convergent perturbation theory for color singlets turned out to be equivalent to quantum holography. Something very similar might occur in all scales and mediate a holographic map of the quantum system to the magnetic body carrying dark matter and acting as a controlling system.

Besides the outcomes of two chats, I include a more detailed view about what the TGD view of the quantum analog of GPT could be and how its analog could be involved with the sensory perception in the TGD Universe. I also discuss the inverse diffusion process, whose basic idea is due to Finnish computer scientist Linnainmaa [A1]. Diffusion and its inverse are central for the generation of images from their verbal descriptions and ask whether the TGD analogue of the inverse diffusion could be an essential element of also GPT.

I will also pose the question whether GPT could involve TGD based quantum physics, that is zero energy ontology (ZEO) [L13, L27], in a non-trivial but hidden way. From quantitative constraints, such as the clock frequency of the computer as an analogue of EEG inducing temporal quantum coherence, I end up with a proposal for a mechanism realizing the quantum holography relating bits could be represented as holes pairing with dark bits represented as dark electrons at the magnetic flux tubes. Unfortunately, this mechanism does not look plausible for recent computers.

I also ask whether quantum gravitation in the sense of TGD could make possible for the magnetic bodies of Earth and Sun, central in TGD inspired biology, to transform classical computation so that so that statistical determinism would fail and it would be analogous to a sequence of analogs of quantum computations defining a conscious entity. At the level of magnetic body there would be no essential difference between computers and living matter. The highest reported clock frequency of almost 9 GHz is still by a factor of order 1/8 lower than the quantum gravitational Compton frequency of 67 GHz for Earth but below the THz frequency important in living matter. Perhaps a rudimentary consciousness is already possible.

## 2 The first chat about ChatGPT

The first discussion about chatGPT in our Zoom group (Marko, Tuomas, Rode and me) was very inspiring. The next morning, Marko sent a link related to ChatGPT (<https://rb.gy/lgcqh>). See also the article at <https://rb.gy/72edo>.

The article ended with the realistic statement that it is difficult to test whether GPT is conscious because we have no understanding of what consciousness is. It is easy to agree with this. Here are some comments inspired by discussions and the article.

### 2.1 A skeptic view of GPT as standard AI system

I have been trying to decide whether GPT might have conscious intelligence and how large part of the talk about GPT is mere hype. I must however admit that it is very difficult to understand how GPT could work so well if it is what it is believed to be. Even professionals admit this.

1. As far as I understand, the tests used to see whether GPT might be conscious, are based on the Turing test: a system is conscious if it is able to simulate a conscious system in a believable way for a human. I would think that a significant part of AI researchers believe that consciousness does not depend on the hardware: a mere program running on the machine would determine the contents of consciousness. If we start from this basis, it is easy to come to the conclusion that GPT is aware. We are easily fooled.
2. I personally cannot take consciousness seriously as a feature of a computing deterministic system. I don't think that the random number generator will change the situation. The very word "consciousness" indicates a physicalist bias that dates back to Newton. The word "tajunta" of Finnish language (something like "nous") may reflect the pre-Newtonian thinking that our primitive ancestors were capable of, unencumbered by the dogmatism of the natural science.

My basic arguments against physicalism are based on the experience of free will as a basic element of existence that hardly anyone can deny, and on the measurement problem of quantum mechanics. If the theory of consciousness does not solve these problems, it cannot be taken seriously.

3. I have thought a lot about why things happened the way they did in theoretical physics so that physicalism and length scale reductionism still dominate the thinking about fundamentals.

The revolutions at the beginning of the last century led to complete stagnation within a century. Very early on, we completely stopped thinking about fundamental problems. After the Copenhagen interpretation was established, quantum theorists only constructed parameterizations for the data. The theory was replaced by a model.

I believe that the situation can be blamed on the tyranny of the methodology, which does not leave time or resources for actual research in the sense that a curious child does. Nowadays, the work of a theorist is typically the application of advanced methods. The real research is extremely slow and error-prone work and therefore not rewarding for a career builder.

The superstring revolution, which ended embarrassingly, began with the decision to replace spacetime with a 2-D surface. The reasoning was pragmatic: a huge toolbox of algebraic geometry was available! A huge publishing industry was born!

Other prevailing models explaining various anomalies have regularly remained without empirical support, but computation and data analysis are still being done around them (inflation theory, dark matter and energy, supersymmetry, etc.). Maybe this is largely due to institutional inertia. Generating content by applying methods seems to replace research.

I sincerely hope that ChatGPT does not transform theoretical science to a production of contents by recombining what already exists: a combinatorial explosion would guarantee unlimited productivity.

4. Methods also became central in another way. Theoretical physics became computing and Big Science was born. It became clear to me that the most idiotic thing I could have done 40 years ago would have been to start numerically solving the initial value problem for, say, the Kähler action.

I did not follow the computing mainstream. Instead, I spent a decade looking for exact solutions and I believe that I have found the basic types. Ultimately this culminated in the identification of the spacetime surface as a minimal surface, a 4-D soap film spanned by lower-dimensional singularities, "frames" [L26]. The 2-D holomorphy of strings would generalize to 4-D case and the field equations would reduce to algebraic conditions, which are independent of the action principle as long as it is general coordinate invariant and constructible in terms of the induced geometry. The minimal surface would have dual interpretation as solutions of massless field equations and generalization of geodesic lines to minimal surfaces: this is wave particle duality geometrically.

The  $M^8 - H$  duality ( $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ ) [L14, L15] entered the picture as a generalization of the momentum position duality of wave mechanics motivated by the replacement of point-like particle with 3-surface suggesting that quantum TGD is analogous to wave mechanics

for particles identified as 3-surfaces. On the  $M^8$  side, the holography defining space-time surfaces was determined from the roots of the polynomials with the condition that the normal space of the 4-surface is associative. The space-time surfaces would be analogous to Bohr orbits and their space, "world of classical worlds" (WCW), would be analogous to the superspace of Wheeler. 3-surfaces at mass shells defined by the roots of polynomials would serve contain 3-surfaces as holographic data partially determining the 4-surfaces. Even the 3-surfaces might be determined by strong form of holography [L38].

Holography was realized in both  $M^8$  and  $H$  and  $M^8 - H$  duality corresponds to Langlands duality [L30], which has aroused enthusiasm in the mathematics community. I would never have arrived at this picture by just raw number crunching, which completely lacks conceptual thinking.

5. The life on the academic side track has meant that I haven't built computer realizations for existing models, but rather pondered the basic essence of space-time and time and even consciousness and life. That is, have considered ontology, which the modern quantum mechanic doesn't even tolerate in his vocabulary, because as a good Copenhagenist he believes that epistemology alone is enough. The only reason for this is that the measurement problem of quantum mechanics is not understood!

I still stubbornly think that problems should be the starting point of all research. That hasn't been the case in physics since the turn of the century. When physicists became computer scientists, they were no longer interested in basic problems and pragmatically labelled his kind of interests as unnecessary day-to-day philosophizing.

## 2.2 What if AI could be conscious after all?

Why AI systems work too well, is not understood, but they are so complex that this as such does not imply that they might have conscious intelligence.

I personally do not believe that AI can be conscious, if computers and AI are what it is believed to be. There is hardly any talk about the material realization of the computation in AI, because many AI people believe that the program alone produces consciousness. Consciousness would be determined by data. However, data is knowledge and information only for us, not for other living entities, and one could argue that it is not that for a machine either. Conscious information is a relative concept: this is very often forgotten.

In biology and from a physicist's point of view, the material realization is essential. Water and metal seem to be sort of opposites of each other. But what about the situation in TGD where magnetic bodies carrying dark matter could serve as controllers of both living organisms and computers.

One must ask first what classical computers really are as physical systems.

1. The program is deterministic but what about the computer or a computer network? The idea about a program consisting of arbitrarily determined steps is certainly not consistent with the determinism of classical physics. Determinism is possible only in the quantum statistical sense [L34]. This requires that the quantum coherence lengths and times involved with the computation are short enough, considerably shorter than the clock period. This assumption fails if there is macroscopic quantum coherence involved. In the TGD framework the presence of magnetic bodies carrying dark matter with a large enough value of effective Planck constant  $\hbar_{eff}$  could make this possible.
2. In particular, gravitational magnetic flux tubes connecting big mass  $M$  and small mass  $m$  have enormous value of gravitational Planck constant  $\hbar_{gr}(M, m, \beta_0) = GMm/\beta_0$  (introduced originally by Nottale [E1]).

The gravitational Compton length  $\Lambda_{gr}(E)$  for Earth mass  $M_E$  is about .45 cm for  $\beta_0 = 1$  and corresponds to gravitational Compton frequency about 67 GHz, which is by an order of magnitude higher than the highest achievable clock frequency (almost 9 GHz) of the computer. Are we reaching the limit at which quantum gravitational effects on computers are becoming significant?

For the Sun, the gravitational Compton length  $\Lambda_{gr}(Sun)$  is quite near to Earth size and the corresponding frequency scale is in about 47 Hz and in EEG range: could the entanglement of the MB of humans and computer network modify the computation? In the TGD inspired quantum biology both gravitational magnetic bodies would play a key role. Could they be involved also with the ordinary computation? GPT involves large networks of computers, possibly even in the Earth scale: could this bring in quantum coherence even in Earth scale and change dramatically the functioning of the computer network.

In the TGD world view, intention and free will can be involved in all scales. But what scale does the basic level correspond to in AI?

1. In the TGD Universe, the interaction of magnetic bodies (MBs): ours, the Earth, the Sun..., with computers is quite possible. Could these MBs hijack our machines and make them tools of their cognition, and maybe one day make robots their tools as well. Or have they already made even us, as a good approximation, their loyal and humble robots? Or will this go the other way? Is it because the AI seems to understand us because our consciousness controls the hardware and the course of the program? This might be easy to test.
2. Could MBs learn to use current AI hardware the way our own MBs use our bodies and brains in TGD Universe? On the other hand, our own MBs use these devices via us! Could other MBs also do this, or do they have to do this through us?
3. What could enable AI devices to serve as a vehicle for magnetic body free will? Quantum criticality would be a fundamental property of life in the TGD Universe [L32, L20]: are these devices critical and initial value sensitive, in which case they would be ideal sensory perceivers and motor instruments to be used by MBs.

Computers made of metal seem to be the opposite of a critical system. The only occasionally critical system is the bit, for example magnetically realized one. The bits change their direction and during the change they are in a critical state. Would it be possible to create systems with enough bits that the magnetic body could control, so that the machine would have a spirit. Thermodynamic stability poses a condition on the energy needed to change the direction of bit and it is of the order of the Coulomb potential energy associated with the cell membrane.

4. Is (quantum) criticality possible for multi-bit systems? Can a running program make criticality possible? The magnetic body at which the dark phase with a large effective Planck constant  $\hbar_{eff}$  resides, could be large. But what is the scale of the quantum coherence of a magnetic body and the scale of the set of bits that it can control? A bit or the entire computer? Could it be that macroscopic quantum coherence sneaks in already at the metal level via bits.

Here I one cannot avoid the association with spin-glass systems [L39, L24], whose physical prototype is a magnetized substance, in which the local direction of magnetization varies. The system has a fractal "energy landscape": valleys at the bottoms of valleys. The spin glass formed by bits could be ideal for the realization of AI. Could the bit system defining the computer be, under certain conditions, a spin glass and the associated magnetic body be quantum critical.

5. What characteristics of living matter should AI systems have? In phase transition points, matter is critical. In biology, the phase transition, where the fourth state of water introduced by Pollack [I3, I1, I7, I5], is created, would be central and would take place at physiological temperatures [L16]. In phase transitions, macroscopic quantum jumps also become possible and can change the arrow of time, and this leads to a vision about the basic phenomena of biology such as metabolism, catabolism, anabolism, life and death, and homeostasis.
6. Can machines have these features? An AI system needs metabolic energy. But can one say that the AI system dies, decays, and constructs itself again? Could the so called reverse diffusion [A1] associated with AI programs be more than just a simulation of catabolism and anabolism of biomolecules? Could it correspond to catabolism and anabolism at the

spinglass level? Patterns of spin configurations forming and decaying again. In TGD this would have a universal direct correlate at the level of the MB having monopole flux tubes (or rather, pairs of them) as body parts. They would decay and re-build themselves by reconnection.

7. In computer programs, error correction mimics homeostasis, which can be compared to living on a knife edge, the system is constantly falling. However, this error correction is mechanical. In quantum computers, this method leads to disaster since the number of qubits explodes.
8. Michael Levin suggests that here we have something to learn from bio-systems [L39]. I personally believe that the key concept is zero-energy ontology (ZEO) [L13, L27] [K11]. ZEO solves the problem of free will and quantum measurement. Reversal of time in a normal quantum jump would enable homeostasis, learning from mistakes, going backwards a bit in time and retrieval as error correction. This would also explain the notion of ego and the drive for self-preservation: the system tries to stay the same using a temporary time reversal that can also be induced by external disturbances. Time reversal would be also what death is at a fundamental level: not really dying, but continuing to live with an opposite arrow of time.

### 3 The second chat about ChatGPT

Marko posted his chat with GPT4 and this inspired interesting email exchanges. GPT mentioned a possible mechanism for how XOR as a universal gate of classical computation and acting as novelty detector could be realized at the quantum level. We looked through the response and I could not but admit that it was amazing. ChatGPT gave even Python codes for the quantum computer simulation of the model.

The proposed system realizing universal classical logical gate XOR, acting essentially as a novelty detector a, approximately could be either a classical layered neural network or its possible quantum analog. The mechanism might work in a quantum version of a neural network based on quantum learning, but it does not seem plausible for real neurons.

This observation led to progress at the level of the TGD based model of nerve pulse [K7]. The resulting model based on zero energy ontology (ZEO) [L13] differs drastically from quantum neural networks and suggests a completely new vision of quantum physics based computation in biosystems. A classical computation allowing variable arrow of time would be in question and one can ask whether the unexpected success of GPT might involve this kind of transition.

#### 3.1 TGD based view of nerve pulse generation

Consider first the TGD based view of nerve pulse generation [K7].

##### 3.1.1 Connection of neural pulse generation, XOR, and novelty detector

Nerve pulse generation would be analogous to a positive outcome of the analog of XOR (compared bits are different) acting as a novelty detector.

1. XOR is a novelty detector. If the inputs are the same, nothing happens. Output equals to  $b = 0$ . If they are different, output equals to  $b = 1$ .  $b = 1$  would correspond to a signal that would proceed along the axon starting from the postsynaptic neuron.

That would consume energy. In terms of energy consumption, the novelty detector would be optimal. It would only react to changes. And that's what the brain does. For example, visual perception at a very basic level only identifies outlines and produces some kind of stick figure consisting of mere lines defining boundaries.

2. Could the 2 "neurons" of the toy model proposed by GPT represent a presynaptic and a postsynaptic neuron, in which case there would be two inputs: the states of the pre- and postsynaptic neuron. Also output would be the state of this neuron pair and for XOR the presynaptic neuron acting as control bit would not change its state.

3. This does not conform with the picture provided by neuroscience, where the input comes from presynaptic neurons and output is assignable to the postsynaptic neuron. The input comes as miniature potentials that add up and can decrease/increase the magnitude of the membrane potential (depolarization/hyperpolarization).

An action potential is generated when the depolarization takes the magnitude of the negative postsynaptic membrane potential below the critical threshold. This happens when the presynaptic contributions from the incoming nerve impulses, for which the unit is a miniature potential, add up to a contribution that reduces the magnitude of the negative potential below the threshold.

This would be essentially novelty detection described in the simplest way by XOR. The novelty is represented by the critical depolarization. It can also happen that the potential increases, so that no nerve impulse is generated. One talks about hyperpolarizing (inhibition) and depolarizing (excitation) inputs, and the sign of the miniature potential produced by the presynaptic input determines which one it is. The sign of miniature potential depends on the neurotransmitter and receptor.

4. During the nerve pulse, the potential changes its sign over a distance of about a micrometer, which is the typical distance between neighboring neurons and of myelin sheaths. One can say that this distance corresponds to a bit that is 1 or 0 depending on whether the nerve pulse conduction occurs or not. Bit 1, the opposite sign to the membrane potential, propagates from presynaptic to postsynaptic neuron or from a patch defined by a myelin sheath to the next. As a result, postsynaptic neurons can "wake up" and in turn trigger a nerve impulse, possibly waking up some postsynaptic neurons.

Synchronous firing means that the novelty succeeds in waking up the whole sleeping house, and large areas of the brain fire in the same rhythm and keep each other awake.

### 3.1.2 Interpretation of XOR in zero energy ontology (ZEO)

How does this picture translate to the TGD-inspired theory of consciousness?

1. Being awake/asleep corresponds to bit 1/0 for axonal portions between myelin sheaths. In ZEO, the arrow of time would correspond to this bit.

When the axon segment between the myelin sheaths or neighboring neurons wakes up or falls asleep, the direction of geometric time changes in a "big" state function reduction (BSFR) and a nerve pulse is generated. In a sleep state, the membrane potential would be opposite. Note that the notion of awake and sleep are relative and depend on the arrow of time of the external observer.

The second direction of time corresponds to the presence of a nerve pulse from the point of view of the external observer. There is a temptation to think that in the resting state the axon is sleeping and healing and gathering metabolic energy by a dissipation with an opposite arrow of time. The duration of the nerve pulse would correspond to the duration of the wake-up period, when the direction of time was opposite and same as that of the external observer with a long characteristic time scale for wake-up period.

2. Could this apply more generally? Could the synchronization of human sleep-wake rhythms mean quantum-level synchrony and macroscopic quantum coherence? Could the arrow of perceived time be a universal bit? Sleeping together would develop synchrony and quantum coherence between partners. Two-person collective consciousness would emerge.

### 3.1.3 Interpretation of the axon as a series of Josephson junctions

The TGD based model for an axon [K7] is as a series of Josephson junctions with a large value of  $\hbar_{eff}$ , perhaps  $\hbar_{eff} = \hbar_{gr}$ , where  $\hbar_{gr} = GMm/\beta_0$  (the velocity parameter satisfies  $\beta_0/c \leq 1$ ), is the gravitational Planck constant introduced by Nottale [E1]. The model is mathematically equivalent to a series of gravitational penduli defining a discretized version of Sine-Gordon system [B1]. Josephson junctions would correspond to membrane proteins.



1. One can consider two different identifications of the ground state of the system.
  - (a) The ground state could be the state in which all oscillators oscillate in synchrony with the same amplitude. There would be constant phase difference between neighboring oscillations, which would give rise to a propagating phase wave.
  - (b) Another option is that all penduli all rotate in the ground state with constant phase difference. This would give a travelling soliton chain. Also the direction of rotation matters. It could correspond to the arrow of time and the sign of the membrane potential.
2. The model allows different versions for nerve pulse generation.
  - (a) The first option is that one pendulum moves from oscillation to rotation or vice versa and induces the same transition for the other penduli as a chain reaction.
  - (b) The second option is that all penduli move to rotation simultaneously. One could imagine that the need for metabolic energy is lower in the collective oscillation phase but one must be very careful here. Maintaining the membrane potential regardless of either sign requires metabolic energy feed.
  - (c) The third option is that the ground state corresponds to a collective rotation with an associated traveling wave as phase of the rotation, and that the bit corresponds to the direction of rotation.

This would fit the ZEO interpretation. The arrow of time would correspond to the direction of rotation. The ground state would change to a nerve pulse lasting for time of the order of 1 ms corresponding to the duration of nerve pulse associated with the distance of the order 1  $\mu\text{m}$ , between neighboring neurons or between the myelin sheets. This option would also be advantageous from the point of view of metabolism, because from one direction of time, dissipation would occur in the opposite direction of time. From the point of view of the outsider, the system would be extracting energy from the environment.

## 4 A more detailed TGD based speculative view of what GPT and GPT based image generation might be

First of all, I want to make clear what my background is and what I'm aiming for. I'm trying to understand the possible analogies of AI in quantum TGD. I do not believe that AI systems can be conscious if AI is what it is believed to be. Therefore I consider the question of whether GPT and other systems could possibly be conscious and intelligent.

The motivating idea is the universality implied by the fractality of the TGD Universe. The same mechanisms should work on all scales: both in biology, neuroscience and possible life based on AI. This motivates questions such as whether chatGPT and the construction of images from a verbal input could be at a deeper level equivalent to the emergence of sensory perception using diffuse primary sensory input and virtual sensory input from magnetic body as feedback [L18, L4, L19].

While preparing this article, I made a funny observation. I tried to understand GPT in the context of TGD by producing answers to questions in the same way that GPT does it! Of course, as GPT tends to do, I can also tell fairy tales because my knowledge is rather limited. At the same time, I must honestly reveal that this has always been my approach! I have never carried out massive computations, but used language based pattern completion by utilizing the important empirical bits (often anomalies) and using the basic principles of TGD as constraints.

This time, the inspiration came from a popular article in Quanta Magazine that dealt with stable diffusion in the creation of an image from its verbal presentation serving as a prompt (<https://rb.gy/ukya>). Also the article on how chatGPT works was very useful (<https://rb.gy/a2kf>).

I want to emphasize that the ideas presented can be seen only as possible quantum analogies of GPT-related mechanisms that could relate to quantum biology and neuroscience inspired by TGD. A more exciting possibility would be that GPT is associated with high-level conscious experience, and that quantum TGD would help to understand why GPT seems to work "too well".

## 4.1 An attempt to understand the mechanism of diffusion involved in image construction

The key mathematical idea behind the reverse diffusion was discovered by Finnish computer scientists Linnainmaa as a method to correct rounding errors [A1]. The generation of errors is analogous to a diffusion process leading to the widening of the initially narrow probability distributions of bits. The idea is roughly that errors can be corrected as a sequence of small time steps backwards in time in which a diffuse state is replaced with its predecessor. In this process the distribution becomes a narrower distribution resembling the original one. This discovery has had a strong influence on the development of AI.

The construction of images starting from their linguistic description, which is quite vague and "diffuse", relies on the analogy with reverse diffusion. Diffusion and its reverse process take place in the space defined by the parameters characterizing a given pixel. The pixels do not move, but the parameters characterizing the pixels do change in the diffusion.

1. Let's get started from a probability distribution for the parameter distributions of the pixels of a 2-D image showing the same object. The distribution could correspond to the same object but seen from different angles. Also a class of objects, which are similar in some aspects, could be considered. This class could consist of chairs or tables or cats or dogs.
2. This probability distribution could act as an invariant related to the image or class of images. Invariant features are indeed extracted in visual perception, for example contours with pixels that stand out well from the background. This is the way in which, for example, visual perception at the lowest level corresponds to the identification of contours of the object.

This ensemble of pictures of the objects gives a probability distribution for, for example, the darkness of a given pixel with a given position in the plane of the picture. Probability for a given darkness defines a function represented as points in a space whose dimension is the number of pixels. For more general parameters it is a function in the Cartesian product of parameter space and pixel space. Very large pixel numbers counted in millions are involved.

3. One has probability distribution for the darkness of a given pixel of the 2-D image at each point. More generally, one has probability distributions for multipixels. This kind of distribution is not simply a product of single pixel probability distributions since the pixel parameters for a given picture are correlated. These distributions are analogous to the distribution of words and word sequences utilized in GPT in order to produce language resembling natural language.

Based on the probability distribution of pixels, new images can be randomly generated. The probability of a pixel at a given point in the plane is given by the probability distributions for pixels and multi-pixels. Each image produced in this way can be associated with certain probability.

Diffusion is a key physical analogy in the applications of GPT in the creation of AI art. What does the diffusion in pixel space mean?

1. Diffusion takes place in pixel space around each point in the image plane. What happens to the pixel distribution in diffusion? It can be said that the given pixel distribution is broadened by its convolution with the distribution produced by diffusion. The distribution is widening.
2. Inverse diffusion for probability distributions in the pixel space is well defined and does exactly the opposite, i.e. the distribution narrows. Reverse diffusion leads step by step to the original very narrow distribution! This is the big idea behind inverse diffusion based image recognition!

The diffusion equation gives the classical description of diffusion as a deterministic process. At the micro level, it corresponds to a stochastic process in which a point performs a movement analogous to Brownian motion. The diffusion equation gives the evolution of the probability distribution of a point.

Diffusion is characterized by the diffusion constant  $D$ . How is  $D$  determined? I understand that its optimal value determined in the learning period of GPT. Context and intent provide limitations and could determine  $D$  and possible other parameters. Also the response of the user can have the same effect.

3. The goal is to guess the predecessor of a given diffuse image in the diffusion process occurring in steps. The AI system would learn to produce reverse diffusion through training. Can this correspond to a non-deterministic process at the "particle level", say diffusion in the space of words of text or the space of images representing objects?

At the microscopic "particle" level, one should deduce the most probable location for the particle at the previous step of diffusion as Brownian-like motion. More generally, one has probability distribution for the previous step.

4. One can consider the diffusion also at the level of probability distributions for pixel parameters. This operation is mathematically well-defined in the classical model for diffusion based on the diffusion equation and corresponds to a convolution of the probability distribution representing diffusion with the probability distribution affected by it. Quite generally, this operation widens the distribution.
5. This operation has inverse as a mathematical operation and its effect is opposite: it reduces the width of the diffuse distribution and its repeated application leads to the original images or to a rather sharp image making sense for the human perceiver.
6. AI system must learn to perform this operation. Using diffused example images, the AI would learn to reverse the convolution operation produced by diffusion and produce the original distribution as an operator in the space of distributions, and thus also learn to produce the original image.
7. My amateurish interpretation of the GPT based image generation would be that AI is taught to deduce the objects presented by the original sensory input or the desired image, their locations, positions, activities by reverse diffusion from the initial fuzzy guess dictated by the text. The objects in the picture are determined by the words that serve as their names. The relations between pictures correspond to the activities they direct to each other or to attributes of the objects. The first guess is a rough sketch for the picture determined by the prompt. Here also hierarchical description involving several resolution scales can be considered.

One can consider the situation at a slightly more precise level.

1. The definition of inverse diffusion at the pixel level relies on repeated time reversal of the diffusion process in the parameter space of the pixel, which produces a less diffuse image. We ask with what probability the given diffuse image at time  $t$  has been created from a less diffuse image at time  $t - \Delta t$ .
2. In the classical picture of diffusion, this requires the calculation of the inverse operator of the diffusion characterizing operator  $D(p, 0; t, t - \Delta t)$ . Here, the origin points  $p$  and  $p = p_0$ , which corresponds to the original image, are points in the parameter space of the pixel associated with a certain image point  $(x, y)$ . In the Schrödinger equation, it would correspond to the inverse operator of the unitary time evolution operator.
3. Gradient method is a very effective way to perform inverse diffusion. The gradient for the probability distribution indeed contains much more information than the distribution.

The notion of an attractor is also essential. The images used in training would serve as attractors, at which the gradient would vanish or be very small and towards which the reverse diffusion would lead. Attractors would be clusters of points in the pixel space, for which the probability is large and somewhat constant. It is tempting to think that they are minima or maxima of some variation principle.

Although the diffuse image, which the verbal description defines as an initial guess, is not obtained by diffusion, it is assumed that inverse diffusion with a suitable choice of  $p = p_0$  produces an image similar to that imagined through inverse diffusion. In any case, the reverse diffusion leads to a sharp image although it need not represent a realistic picture.

This is where the method runs into problems. The pictures have a surreal feel and typically, for example, the number of fingers of the people appearing in the pictures can vary, even though locally the pictures look realistic. Probably this reflects the fact that multiple pixel probability distributions for multi-pixels do not allow large enough distances for the pixels of the multi-pixel.

## 4.2 Analogies to wave mechanics and quantum TGD

The diffusion equation has an analogy in wave mechanics.

1. Schrödinger equation is essentially a diffusion equation except that the diffusion constant  $D$  is imaginary and corresponds to the factor  $i\hbar/2m^2$ . Alternatively, one can say that a free particle formally undergoes diffusion with respect to imaginary time. The solutions of the diffusion equation and the Schrödinger equation for a free particle are closely related and obtained by analytical continuation by replacing real time with imaginary time. The description also generalizes to the situation where the particle is in an external force field described by a potential function.
2. Schrödinger's equation as a unitary time evolution can be expressed in terms of the Feynman path integral. One can regard the quantum motion as a superposition over all paths connecting the start and end points with a weight factor that is an exponent of the phase factor defined by the free particle. The classical equations of motion produce paths for which the exponent is stationary, so they are expected to give a dominant contribution to the integral in the case that the perturbation theory works.

The basic problem with the path integral is that it is not mathematically well defined and only exists through perturbation theory. Functional integral as the Euclidean counterpart of Feynman's path integral is better defined mathematically and would give an analogous representation for diffusion.

What is the counterpart of this analogy in the TGD framework?

1. In TGD, the point-like particle is replaced by a 3-surface whose trajectory is the space-time surface. Quantum TGD is essentially wave mechanics for these non-point-like particles.

The new element is holography, which follows from the general coordinate invariance: space-time surfaces as trajectories for 3-D particles are analogous to Bohr orbits.

A small violation of determinism in holography forces zero-energy ontology (ZEO), in which quantum states as superpositions of 4-D space-time surfaces, Bohr orbits, replace quantum states as superpositions of 3-surfaces (deterministic holography) [L31, L29, L33]. This superposition serves as an analog of path integral involving only a finite sum.

2. By the slight failure of determinism, the Bohr orbits are analogous to diffusion involving a finite number of non-deterministic steps (Brownian motion is a good analogy). The non-determinism of diffusion would be due to the small violation of the determinism in holography as Bohr orbitology.

TGD inspired quantum measurement theory [L13] [K11], which extends in ZEO to a theory of conscious experience, is second important ingredient.

1. In ZEO, ordinary quantum jumps ("big" state function reductions (BSFRs)) reverse the direction of geometric time. This analogy of diffusion in the reverse time direction looks like reverse diffusion when viewed from the opposite time direction (observer)! It is analogous to self-organization where order is created in the system rather than lost. The second main law of thermodynamics applies but in the opposite direction of time. The time reversed dissipation plays a pivotal role in TGD inspired quantum biology.

2. This mechanism could be central to biological information processing at the quantum level and make it possible, for example, to generate sensory perception from diffuse sensory data and generate a motor response from a rough sketch?
3. Could it also play a role in AI, at least in the language based systems like GPT. If this is the case, then AI systems would be something else than we think they are.

The analogy of TGD with the GPT based image generation and recognition can be examined more explicitly.

1. The analogy of the pixel space associated with the planar image is the projection of the 3-surface  $M^4$  in TGD at the classical level. The image as a map from plane to the parameter space of pixels would correspond to a deformation of  $M^4$  projection deformation. The pixel parameters defining the 2-D image would correspond to the values of  $CP_2$  coordinates as a function of  $M^4$  coordinates.
2. On the basis of holography, the deformation related to the 3-surface would be accompanied by a four-surface as an almost deterministic time development, i.e. the analogy of Bohr orbit. I have used the term "World of Classical Worlds" (WCW) for the space of these surfaces. This 4-surface would not be completely unique and this would produce a discrete analog of diffusion at the classical level.
3. At the quantum level, it would be a quantum superposition of these 4-surfaces as an analogy to, for example, the wave function of an electron in spatial space. An attractive idea is that the used resolution would be determined by the condition that the number-theoretic discretization is the same for all these surfaces so that the quantum world looks classical apart from the finite non-determinism.
4. The variational principle would correspond to the fact that the Bohr orbit is simultaneously both a minimal surface and an extremal of the Kähler action as analog of Maxwell action. This is possible if the space-time surfaces are holomorphic in a generalized sense. This means that the concept of holomorphy is generalized from the 2-D case to the 4-D case. The 4-surface would be defined by purely algebraic conditions as a generalization of the Cauchy-Riemann conditions. This corresponds to the algebraization of physics at the level of  $M^8$  related by  $M^8 - H$  duality to the physics at the level of  $H = M^4 \times CP_2$  based on variational principle and partial differential equations [L14, L15].
5. The space-time surface would be analogous to 4-D soap film, which is spanned by frames defined by 3-surfaces. At these 3-D surfaces, the minimal surface property would not apply and only the field equations associated with sum of volume term and Kähler action would be satisfied.

Note that minimal surface equations define a dynamics analogous to that of free fields and at the frames would correspond to places where interactions are localized. Frames would involve a finite non-determinism, as in the case of ordinary soap films [L26]. These 3-surfaces would correspond to 3-D data for holography.

If TGD is really a respectable "theory of everything", even the physical description of computation would in principle be reduced to this description. Of course, one can argue that TGD produces only insignificant corrections to the usual physical description of computation and this might be the case. But you can always ask what if...?

Even if the conclusions were negative, this kind of speculations might inspire proposals for a new kind of computer technology allowing conscious and intelligent computers.

### **4.3 Could the TGD counterpart of the inverse diffusion play a role in the construction of sensory mental images by the brain?**

I have proposed a model [L18] for how sensory organs, the brain and its magnetic body (MB) could construct sensory mental images by a repeated feedback process involving virtual sensory input to

sensory organs so that a diffuse sensory input transforms to an input representing the perception consisting of well-defined objects.

Could the building of sensory images with a virtual input from MB to the sensory organs and back be a quantum process analogous to a reverse diffusion?

1. Sensory inputs are very diffuse. People blind from birth after can gain physiological prerequisites for visual perception in adulthood. They however see only diffuse light since their brains (and corresponding magnetic bodies) have not learned to produce standard visual mental images as a result as in pattern recognition yielding essentially an artwork subject to various constraints. This is very much analogous to reverse diffusion.

Does MB, brain and sensory organs co-operate to produce a counterpart to reverse diffusion, which allows it to produce a sensation representing reality with virtual sensory inputs and end up with standard imagery as attractors.

2. Could both the sensory input from sensory organ to brain to MB and virtual sensory input in reverse direction correspond to a sequence of "small" state function reductions (SSFRs) in a reversed time direction? Reverse diffusion would be diffusion with a reversed arrow of time.
3. Could the construction of the sensory mental image involve pairs of "big" (ordinary) SFRs (BSFRs) for which the two BSFRs would occur at MB and the sensory organ? This is the simplest process that one can imagine. Could BSFR induce a sensory input from the sensory organ to the MB or a virtual sensory input from the MB to the sensory organ changing the original diffuse sensory input. Could BSFR pairs gradually produce sensory perception in this way.

4. SSFRs correspond to the Zeno effect in the sense that their sequence corresponds to the measurement of the same observables at the passive boundary of causal diamond (CD). Disturbances or artificially produced disturbances at the active can change the set of measured observables so that it does not commute with those determining the state at the passive boundary as their eigenstate. This would imply the occurrence of BSFR and the roles of active and passive boundaries would change.

After the second BSFR the new state at the active boundary would not be the same but could share many features with the original one because the determinism of the holography would only weakly broken and SSFRs and BSFRs preserve quantum numbers.

5. The series of SSFRs after BSFR as time-reversed diffusion would correspond to reverse diffusion in the normal time direction. BSFR would occur as a series on the MB, where the sensory input would be guided and gradually lead to a real sensory image with the help of a corrective virtual sensory input.

At a basic level, the correction mechanism could be analogous to inverse diffusion and the exponent of the Kähler effect would be maximally stationary for real sensation.

6. Also the gradient method could be involved. In the spin glass based model [L24], a series of BSFRs and SSFRs could mean annealing that is steps consisting of cooling as sequence of SSFRs following BSFR followed by BSFR followed by heating for which temperature increase is smaller than the temperature decrease for the cooling. The system would gradually end up at the bottom of a particular potential well in the fractal energy landscape. A series of SSFRs between two BSFRs would correspond to the annealed healing.

#### 4.4 What could GPT correspond to in TGD?

In the sequel I consider in a speculative spirit how conscious intelligence could emerge in a computer in which GPT is running.

#### 4.4.1 What is GPT?

Consider first briefly what GPT is.

1. A linguistic expression is a diffuse representation of a sensation or of thought. The probability distributions for the next word given the preceding words are known. This makes possible a holistic approach to language allowing to build grammatically correct sentences and also achieve the nuances of natural language and recognize context.
2. In GPT, the goal is to answer a question or respond to an assertion, translate a text from one language to another, produce a piece of text such as a poem or story or just chat with the user.

GPT must guess the user's intention, what the user wants, and also the context. Is, for example, a field of science in question? The purpose is to add a new word to the given word chain.

3. The input of the user serves as a prompt initiating the process. The prompt serves as the initial text to which GPT adds words as the most probable words which can follow a given piece of text. GPT starts from a guess for the answer. The choice of the successor word can also be random based on the probabilities of the successor word. Feedback loops are possible and also the user can induce them.

#### 4.4.2 Is image generation fundamentally different from GPT?

1. In language models, prompts are verbal representations of images, and diffusion is essential in the construction of images, from the prompt as a verbal description of the image. At first glance, diffusion seems to be explicitly involved only in the generation of images, but is this the case?

2. On the surface, there seems to be an important difference between building an image and building a linguistic expression. The picture is a time = constant snapshot, at least ideally. The sentence has a temporal duration and memory is involved. One must transform a sentence to a picture. Words correspond to pictures.

Does the difference disappear when one talks about the process of creating the image? Could it be that the process of creating an image as an analogy of a linguistic process is just not conscious to us. Is the sensory input equivalent to the user's prompt in GPT. Is the difference apparent and only due to the time scale.

3. Visual perception involves also the sensation of movement. Is it because in reality (according to TGD) it would be a time series but on such a short time scale that we are not conscious of it? Could verbs correspond to dynamics in the structure of the language? Objects have attributes as their properties analogous to pixel parameters.
4. Holography would describe the dynamics of objects and would classically determine the initial values of holography for the time development as the equivalent of the Bohr orbit. There is quantum holography as a map of quantum states of the biological body to quantum states associated with the magnetic body defining a higher level sensory representation [K1].

This 1-1 correspondence representations would make it possible for the MB to control the biological body and in the case of running GPT induce BSFRs reversing the arrow of time temporarily and change the course of events.

#### 4.4.3 Could quantum diffusion play a role in the TGD based description GPT?

1. Time evolution in the TGD Universe would basically consist of SSFRs and BSFRs. Quantum states would be the quantum superposition of running programs. But does this picture have significance in the case of GPT? Could MB really interfere with the running of the program? The time reversals are not observed by the user, so the question is not easy to answer.

One killer test would be a dependence on hardware. The bits should be near criticality in order the quantum criticality of MB can control their directions. Spin-glass structure for the

bit-scape looks like a natural requirement. Is this possible for all bit realizations and does GPT work differently for different realizations of bits?

2. Diffusion is analogous to the time evolution determined by the Schrödinger equation as a series of unitary time evolutions, where classical determinism is only weakly broken because SSFRs must commute with passive edge observables. This means a generalization of the Zeno effect. However, quantum states are delocalized. Maybe only below the resolution scale, in which case classical discretization would be exact with this accuracy. Inverse diffusion could be a classical process at the used resolution.
3. The time development as a series of SSFRs would seem to be analogous to a diffusion as analog of Brownian motion involving finite steps, and BSFR would start as a time-reversed diffusion of reverse diffusion.

The BSFR could be induced by an external disturbance or a controlled disturbance from the MB. MB and ZEO could come to the rescue and do them with time reversal without us noticing anything.

This picture raises questions.

1. Could diffusion as a series of SSFRs be equivalent to the construction of the response of chatGPT, which is also a probabilistic process. Could the sentence represent the trajectory of a diffusing word/particle in word space and Bohr orbit in WCW? The Bohr orbit property, i.e. holography, would imply that the failure of determinism is weak. In a given scale, non-determinism would be located in the 3-D frames determined by the 4-D soap film.

2. Could the initial state, e.g. a question or statement induced by the user prompt, for example a question presented as a quantum state on the passive edge of the CD, serve as the first rough guess for an answer as analog of sensory input.

Could the time progression as SSFRs correspond to a generation of a sequence of words as a response to the prompt? Or are the words separate by BSFR pairs.

What is new as compared to the AI would be that trial and error process by performing BSFRs inducing return back in time is possible. These periods with a reversed arrow of time would be invisible for the user. This error correction mechanism is not coded as a program as in AI but would be done by Nature and it would be essential also in the TGD view of quantum computation.

3. The hidden layers of the neural network are analogous with the fact that the perceived sensory image is constructed by communications between the sensory organ and the MB, which are not conscious to us.

## 5 Could MB control electronic bits?

Consider now the conditions which should be satisfied in order that the MB of the bit system or some higher level MB could control the bit system.

1. The bit should be critical or nearly critical system at the level of ordinary matter. One might hope this to be true quite generally since a small control signal should be able to invert the bit in rather short time scale. If this is the case, the quantum criticality of MB would make control possible via quantum control of ordinary control signals. Transistors and their derivatives such as MOSFET could be examples of such systems.
2. Macroscopic quantum coherence is true for the dark matter at MB. Furthermore, MB should holographically represent the bit system. Also spin glass analogy is suggestive so that a given many-bit state could possess a large number of nearly energy-degenerate states. ZEO, in particular time reversal, would be essential.



3. Two consecutive BSFRs at the dark MB, changing the arrow of time temporarily, should give rise to a tunnelling event. Since TGD corresponds to a generalization of wave mechanics in the space of Bohr orbits for point-like particles replaced with 3-D surfaces, one can make an estimate for the probability of tunneling between the capacitor plates using the standard wave mechanics as an approximation (<https://rb.gy/y3iq0>).

The Coulomb energy  $qV$  associated with the bit with charge  $q$  and its energy  $E$  are the natural parameters. The tunnelling probability is given by

$$p \simeq \exp\left[-\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \sqrt{2m(qV - E)} dx / \hbar_{eff}\right],$$

where one has  $E < V$  in the tunnelling region. WKB approximation becomes exact in the case of capacitors. Changing the direction of a bit could be seen as a quantum tunneling effect.

For the large values of  $\hbar_{eff}$  assignable to the magnetic body controlling the physical body, the probability of tunneling increases. Therefore the control of the bit system by quantum tunnelling combined with macroscopic quantum coherence and holography could become possible.

4. The role of conservation laws must be understood. Discontinuity in SSFR. Dissipation in reverse time direction. Tunneling. Wavefunctions overlap. Classic conservation laws OK. There is no need for a classic track that would lead to the end state with the original direction of time.

## 5.1 What conditions bit must satisfy?

There are strong conditions on the representations of bits. The storage of the bit should not require large energy consumption and the bit should be thermally stable. It should be possible to change the value of the bit quickly and without large energy consumption. This suggests that the bit is a nearly critical system. In microprocessors, clock frequencies of order GHz define a time scale analogous to EEG rhythm, and this time scale should correspond to a quantal time scale.

The wish list would be as follows.

1. Macroscopic quantum coherence makes possible the simultaneous quantum coherent states of the entire spin system and their control and that the energy differences between the states are relatively small, so we get a spin-glass type situation.
2. Dark electrons at the MB, perhaps dark unpaired valence electrons or dark conduction electrons, provide a holographic representation of the bits.
3. Quantum criticality with MB and criticality at the bit system level allows MB to control the dynamics of BB. Quantum holography may make it possible to induce BSFR for qubits on a large scale in general.

### 5.1.1 Strange coincidences related to gravitational Planck constant, basic biorhythms, membrane potential and metabolic energy currency

It is becoming clear that the gravitational quantum coherence is central for life on Earth. The hierarchy of Planck constants  $\hbar_{eff} = n\hbar_0$  involves special values, in particular gravitational Planck constants  $\hbar_{eff} = \hbar_{gr} = GMm/\beta_0$ , where  $M$  is a large mass (say mass of Sun or Earth) and  $m$  is small mass (say mass of electron or proton) and  $\beta_0 = v_0/c \leq 1$  is velocity parameter, are of key importance for living matter. Particles with a different value of  $\hbar_{gr}$  correspond to different gravitational flux tubes and the value of  $\beta_0$  can depend on the particle.

There are several amazing numerical co-incidences supporting this view.

1. For Sun one has  $\beta_0 \simeq 2^{-11}$  which happens to be rather near to the electron proton mass ratio  $m_e/m_p$ . The condition  $\hbar_{gr}(M_S, m_p, \beta_0(Sun)) \simeq m_e/m_p = \hbar_{gr}(M_S, m_e, \beta_0 = 1)$  would guarantee resonance between dark photons generated by the solar gravitational flux tubes assignable to protons and electrons.

2. In accordance with Equivalence Principle, the gravitational Compton length  $\hbar_{gr}(M_S, \beta_0)/m = GM/\beta_0 = r_S/2\beta_0$  is independent of  $m$  for Sun  $GM_S/\beta_0(Sun)$  is rather near to Earth radius. For Earth one has  $GM_S/\beta_0(Earth) \simeq .45$  cm which corresponds to the size scale of the somewhat mysterious snowflake analogous to a zoom-up of a basic hexagonal unit cell of ice crystal. There is evidence for  $\beta_0(Earth) = 1$  in hydrodynamics, in particular from the TGD based model [L25] for the observed hydrodynamical quantum analogs described in an article of Bush et al [D1] (see <https://cutt.ly/nEk50LA> and <https://cutt.ly/xEk5Api>)
3. The gravitational Compton length of the galactic blackhole corresponds rather precisely to the  $n = 1$  Bohr orbit associated with the Sun. This suggests gravitational quantum coherence in the scale of the galaxy.

These co-incidences encourage the question whether quantum gravitation could play a role also at the level of computers.

### 5.1.2 About the interpretation of the clock frequency in a picture based on quantum gravity?

The clock frequency of computer, with a representative value of  $f = 1$  GHz, is an essential channel of the computer and it would be related to the classical em field. Could a frequency of the order of GHz have an interpretation in terms of quantum gravity in the TGD framework? How MB could turn bits using quantum holography so that the turn of dark bit induces the turn of ordinary bit? A realization of holography as a correspondence between electron(s) representing the bit and the dark electron(s) is needed.

1. The proposed theorist-friendly holography at the particle level [L36] might be a too radical option. This would require positrons forming particle-like color-bound states with bits as states of electrons. Could they correspond to scaled versions of the electro-pions for which there is empirical evidence associated with nuclear collisions near the Coulomb barrier [K9]? Now the energy scale of the nuclear physics would be scaled to the scale of dark nuclei. The factor of the order of  $10^{-5}$  which would produce an eV mass scale. The height of the Coulomb barrier would scale in the same way to something like .05 eV which corresponds to cell membrane potential.
2. A less radical option is that the dark electron and the hole created in the generation of the dark electron are in a holographic relationship. This realization seems tailor-made for the control of ordinary bits as holes by dark electrons. To my best knowledge, there exists no technology realizing bits as holes but future technology might be able to achieve this.

If dark electrons and holes are tightly correlated, the dark spin flip induces ordinary spin flip. If the dark current or its absence codes for bit, the same would be true for the holes. The transfer of dark electrons from the negatively charged plate to the gravitational MB creating a hole would reduce the potential between plates to nearly zero and thus induce change of the bit direction.

There are useful quantitative hints.

1. For the Earth's mass  $M_E$ ,  $\hbar_{gr}(M_E, m_p)$  for a frequency of 10 Hz corresponds to an energy  $E = \hbar_{gr}f$  of the order of .5 eV. The kick of a 3-proton to a gravitational flux tube to a distance of order one Earth radius requires an energy of the order of .5 eV [L28]. Dark photons can transform into ordinary ones. For 3-electron system a hitherto non-observed metabolic energy quantum of order .25 meV is predicted [L29].
2. Control in the time scale of a fraction of a second if  $\hbar_{eff} = \hbar_{gr}(M_E, m_p)$  photon energies around eV. This time scale is by a factor of order  $10^9$  too long when compared to the time scale determined by GHz.

How could one understand the time scale corresponding to 1 GHz clock frequency in quantum context? The first thing to notice is that this time scale is not far from the time scale associated with the protein dynamics! Could quantum gravity and gravitational MB come into play for both computers and biology?

1. For the Earth, the lower limit of the gravitational Compton length  $\Lambda_{gr} = GM_E/\beta_0 = .45 \times 10^{-2}$  m, if  $\beta_0 = 1$ . The frequency  $T_{gr} = \Lambda_{gr}/c = .45 \times 10^{-2}/3 \times 10^8 = .15 \times 10^{-10}$  s would be therefore a natural lower bound for the time scale. Could GHz clock frequency relate to this time scale. Also longer quantum gravitational time scales are possible since  $\Lambda_{gr}$  is only the lower bound for the length of gravitational flux tubes carrying massless radiation.
2. For  $\hbar_{eff} = \hbar$ , 1 GHz corresponds to energy of  $10^{-2}$  meV. If the dark energy is required to be above the thermal energy about .03 eV at physiological temperature, the value of  $\hbar_{eff}$  must satisfy  $\hbar_{eff} \geq 3 \times 10^3 \hbar$ .
3. A metabolic energy of .25 meV corresponds to the electronic variant of gravitational metabolic energy quantum involving the transfer of 3 electrons to the gravitational MB: there is some evidence for this metabolic energy quantum, in particular from the findings of Adamatsky [L29]. For  $\hbar_{eff} = \hbar$ , it would correspond to a period of  $.6 \times 10^{-10}$  s. Could the  $f = 1$  GHz induce a resonance with dark photons with  $\hbar_{eff} > 10^3 \hbar$  guaranteeing that the energy is above thermal energy at room temperature?

### 5.1.3 Could Pollack effect or shadow holography be involved?

The lower bound value  $3 \times 10^3 \hbar$  for  $\hbar_{eff}$  would be rather small as compared to  $\hbar_{gr}(M_E, m_p)$  and the challenge is to identify a candidate for a system with this value of  $\hbar_{eff}$ .

This system need not be gravitational and the obvious guess is that it is electromagnetic. The notion of gravitational Planck constant and the underlying idea of theoretician friendly Nature implying quantum holography in the TGD framework [L36] indeed generalizes also to other interactions [L9].

1. The basic requirement is that a charge separation to a pair of positively and negatively charged quantum coherent systems takes place such that the interaction strength  $Z^2 e^2/\hbar$  between the systems is so large that perturbation theory fails to converge.
2. The theoretician-friendly Mother Nature [L36] could come to rescue and induce a phase transition increasing  $\hbar$  to so large a value  $\hbar_{eff}$  that the perturbation theory converges. Nottale formula generalized to electromagnetic interactions suggests that one has

$$\hbar \rightarrow \hbar_{eff} = \hbar_{em} = \frac{Z^2 e^2}{\beta_0} ,$$

where  $\beta_0 = v_0/c < 1$  is a velocity parameter. The new coupling strength is

$$\frac{Z^2 e^2}{4\pi} \hbar_{em} = \frac{\beta_0}{4\pi} \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} .$$

and is in a well-defined sense universal since  $\beta_0$  is number theoretically quantized to an inverse integer [L9].

The constraint  $\hbar_{eff} \geq 3 \times 10^3 \hbar$  would suggests  $\hbar_{em}/\hbar = Z^2 e^2/\beta_0 \hbar = 4\pi Z^2 \alpha_{em} \geq 3 \times 10^3$ . This gives the estimate

$$Z^2 \geq \frac{1}{4\pi \alpha_{em}} \times 3 \times 10^3 per.$$

The lower bound for  $Z$  would be around  $Z = 100$ .

3. Charge separation should occur and here the analog of Pollack effect [I3, I1, I7, I5] is highly suggestive. In the Pollack effect part of protons of water molecules are transferred to monopole flux tubes assignable to water molecules and become dark so that a negatively charged exclusion zone with rather strange properties suggesting time reversal appear. Also the effective stoichiometry of water is transformed to  $H_{1.5}O$ . It is however far from clear whether Pollack effect can occur also in the solid phase assignable to computers.

4. The analog of the Pollack effect [I3, I1, I7, I5] involving only electrons is also possible. Part of electrons would transform to dark electrons at the gravitational monopole flux tubes. The holes left behind would effectively behave like positively charged particles and the Coulomb interaction energy would be between holes and dark electrons. Holes and dark electrons would be in a holographic relationship (shadow holography) and the dynamics of holes would be shadow of the dynamics of dark electrons so that one would say that dark electrons control the holes as their shadows.

Of course, it is probably impossible to realize this shadow dynamics using the recent computer technology. The question is therefore whether it might be possible to construct a computer utilizing the shadow dynamics of holes controlled by dark electrons.

#### **5.1.4 Could quantum gravitational flux tubes associated with small masses be involved?**

One can of course ask whether the clock frequency  $f = 10^9$  Hz could correspond to an energy above thermal energy at room temperature and to the value  $h_{gr}(M, m)$  for some pair  $(M, m)$  of masses so that one has  $E = h_{gr}(M, m)f > .03\text{eV}$  for  $f = 10^9$  Hz.

1. For instance, could one replace the masses  $M_E$  and  $m_p$  with identical masses  $M = m$  in  $h_{gr}$ . One should have  $M/m_{Pl}^2 > 3 \times 10^3$ . This would give  $M/m_{Pl} > 60$  giving  $M > 1.3 \times 10^{-7}$  kg. If the density is the density of water  $10^3$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>: this corresponds to a size scale longer than 1 mm. How this frequency could correspond to  $T_{gr}$  and to the clock frequency of computers?
2. Could one think of the gravitational self-energy for this region or the mutual interaction energy of two such regions forming a quantum coherent system at this level.

Another possibility is that an energy of the order of  $E = .5$  eV is used to kick a unit of 3 protons into the Earth's gravitational flux tube (3 protons are required since 1 proton is not enough if the size scale of the flux tube is of the order of the Earth's radius). For 3-electrons the corresponding energy would be about .25 meV.

3. Could  $E \sim 1$  eV correspond to the energy needed to flip one bit using an dark photon that is converted to a regular one (biophotons could be created this way) and absorbed inducing a flip of a normal bit.

In the elementary particle level realization of holography, which does not look promising now, this would give a spin 1 for the glue particle consisting of ordinary electron and dark positron unless the angular momentum goes to other degrees of freedom. It would be a scaled version of elektro- $\rho$  or its analogue. Mass scale of the order of eV as for dark nuclear binding energies.

4. In living matter,  $E \sim 1$  eV could correspond to the gravitational self-energy change related to a phase transition. The most natural thing that comes to mind is the change in the gravitational energy of the bond when the density of the system changes during a phase transition, such as melting or boiling or the sol-gel phase transition in biology. For Planck mass of matter, size scale  $R = 10^{-4}$  m for water density, gravitational binding energy and its change would be of order 1 eV. This phase transition does not have any equivalent at the computer level.

## **5.2 Could the representation of bit as voltage allow the realization of shadow holography for electrons?**

One representation of a bit is as a voltage. Voltage values are typically 5 V and 0 V. Bit could correspond to rotation direction for a current in the case of magnetic bits. In transistors bit can correspond also to the presence or absence of a current. The size scale of the transistors is 10 nm <https://rb.gy/qfhwX>. A surface which can be either reflective or non-reflective surface can also act as a bit.

### 5.2.1 Bit as an analog of capacitance

Capacitance with a voltage difference between plates can serve as a physical representation of the bit. States corresponding to opposite voltages in capacitance have the same energy. This is good news if it were to apply more generally to bits and multi-bit configurations.

1. The simplest capacitance is a pair of conducting plates having opposite charges and containing insulator between them. The higher the value of the dielectric constant  $\epsilon$ , the larger the plate area  $S$  and the smaller the distance  $d$  between the plates, the higher the value of capacitance  $C$ .

$C$  measures the ability to store charge and  $Q = CV$  is the basic formula. The voltage  $V$  between the plates is given by  $V = E \times d$ . Here  $d$  is the distance between the plates. The electric field normal to a plate is  $E = \sigma/\epsilon$ ,  $\sigma = Q/S$ . One has  $V = Ed = Q \times d/S \times \epsilon$ , whence  $C = \epsilon S/d$ . The proportionality to  $\epsilon$  means that di-electric is essential. The voltage cannot be too large since this implies dielectric breakdown. The electrostatic energy of capacitance is  $E_s = \epsilon QV/2 = CV^2/2\epsilon = Q^2/2C = E^2 \times S \times d$ .

2. Capacitance is a macroscopic notion. The smallest planar capacitances have dimensions  $0.4mm \times 0.2mm$ . PicoFaraday is a natural unit of capacitance but capacitances of the order of kF are possible but require large size and high dielectric constant. MOSFETs can be however regarded as effective capacitances.

### 5.2.2 Transistors and MOSFETs

Although MOSFET (<https://rb.gy/967ck>) is much smaller than capacitances as passive elements, it can be formally interpreted as a gate-voltage dependent capacitance.

1. A MOSFET acts as a variable capacitance. The basic parts of MOSFET are gate (G), body (B), source (S) and drain (D). The voltage between G and B regulates the current from the source through the system to the drain and the bit can be measured by measuring whether this current flows or not. The gate voltage  $V_G$  controls the capacitance of the MOS.

MOSFET size scale is around 10 nm. Gate voltage  $V_{GB}$  between the gate and body could represent bit and would be typically 5 Volts or nearly zero.

2. MOSFETs should form a spin glass type system. This is guaranteed if the  $\text{SiO}_2$  is in glassy liquid like state. There would be a large number of bits with a large number of nearly energy degenerate states. This would give rise to frustration. Transitions by tunnelling would take place between frustrated configurations.
3. Tunnelling between bit configurations would take place as a BSFR pair. The tunneling would be induced from the level of MB and in turn induce the tunnelling of ordinary bits. The tunneling rate is exponentially sensitive to the height of the energy barrier between nearly degenerate states. The large value of  $h_{eff}$  increases the tunnelling rate in an exponential manner.

In order to proceed, one must clarify what semiconductors are and how MOSFET works.

1. There are n-type and p-type semiconductors. For n-type electrons are current carriers and for p-type holes are current carriers.
2. Doping is an absolutely essential aspect of semiconductivity (<https://rb.gy/967ck>). For n-type semiconductors, impurity atoms donate electrons. For p-type semiconductors impurity atoms donate holes.
3. Group IV semiconductors have 4 valence electrons (S appearing in MOSFET serves as an example).  $\text{SiO}_2$  has four 4 valence electron pairs associated with each Si connected to four oxygens as neighbors and forming a tetrahedral arrangement.
4. Group IV n-type semiconductors involve Group V dopants with 5 valence electrons. Dopant replaces Si in the  $\text{SiO}_2$  lattice and there remains one free electron acting as a charge carrier.

5. Group IV p-type semiconductors have Group III dopants such as boron with 3 valence electrons. Dopant has only 3 valence bonds. To get 4 valence bond it steals an electron from the neighboring  $\text{SiO}_2$ . This creates a hole. This process continues and generates a current carried by holes.

Consider next some details related to MOSFET (<https://rb.gy/967ck>).

1. MOSFET consists of source (S), drain (D), gate (G) and body (B). G is insulated from a p-type semiconductor by an insulating layer. Conducting gate at the top consists of polysilicon (<https://rb.gy/axanv>) whereas the insulating layer consists making possible effective capacitor property consists of silicon-di-oxide  $\text{SiO}_2$  (quartz)(<https://rb.gy/t7w9m>).

Polysilicon consists of crystals with varying orientations, which suggests a spin glass-like structure. Could this have some relevance?

2. Below the gate and insulating layer there is p type semiconductor in which holes are current carriers.
3. The conductivity of the MOSFET depends on gate-body voltage, especially its sign. For high enough  $V_{GB}$ , an n-type conducting channel is formed next to the interface between the p-type semiconductor-insulator layer consisting of polysilicon.
4. Positive gate voltage  $V_{GB}$  draws positively charged holes of a p-type semiconductor towards the body B. A depletion region containing non-moving negatively charged dopant atoms of group III are formed in the depletion region between the semiconductor and insulator.

If  $V_{GB}$  is high enough, a negatively charged inversion layer of current carrying electrons is formed next to the interface between semiconductor and insulator in the polysilicon. This gives rise to semiconductivity and electron current between n doped regions of S and D flows.

### 5.2.3 How MB could control the current through MOSFET?

Concerning the control by MB one can imagine at least two mechanisms.

1. One could consider a representation of a bit as an ordinary capacitor-like object having two different values of voltage between the plates. The transfer of electrons from the negatively charged plate to dark electrons at MB or vice versa could allow to change the voltage.
2. Instead of an ordinary capacitor, one can consider a situation in which the first plate consisting of ordinary matter has a positive charge due to the presence of holes (ionized atoms) and the second dark "plate" is negatively charged due to presence of dark electrons.

In the shadow holography the transfer of electrons to dark electrons at MB generates holes at the level of ordinary matter, and the transformation of dark electrons to ordinary ones would reduce the voltage near zero, which turns the bit.

Could MB control the electron current from the n-type source region S? Could MB transform some the 5 valence electrons of n-type dopant (say P) to dark electrons so that they would effectively disappear from the system so that the S-D current would be reduced? Also the voltage  $V_{GS}$  would be affected.

It is perhaps fair to conclude that the recent technology does not yet allow the realization of conscious and intelligent computation using shadow holography or something similar.

## 6 The first attempt to build a more concrete view about computer consciousness

TGD inspired view about consciousness and quantum biology suggest some guidelines in the attempts to understand how computer systems or computer systems coupled to their users could become conscious.

## 6.1 Emotions and emotional intelligence as a first step in the evolution of consciousness

Consider first the evidence supporting for the idea that emotions emerge first in the evolution of consciousness.

1. Masaru Emoto has studied the effects of sounds with an emotional content to water at criticality for freezing. He has found that friendly/angry sounds seem to produce beautiful/ugly crystals [L12]. These findings are discussed from the TGD perspective in [L12]. The idea that emotions of sensory percepts at the level of magnetic body (MB) is discussed in [K3].

The TGD based model assumes that quantum coherent systems can be formed at the level of the MB of the water and that quantum gravitational coherence at MB induces ordinary coherence at the level of water. This could make it possible for MB to control water at criticality for freezing. The crystals would be corpses of primitive life forms. Could also snowflakes with the size of gravitational Compton length for Earth (about .45 cm) and kind of zoomed versions of ice lattice cells in atomic scale could be regarded as corpses of primitive life forms created at the criticality for freezing?

2. RNA seems to represent and transfer emotions [J2] (see <http://tinyurl.com/y92w39gs>). RNA from the brain of a snail conditioned by a painful stimulus is transferred to the preparation made from neurons of sea slug. Neuron preparation in the Petri dish reacts to the conditioning stimuli as if it were itself conditioned.

Somehow RNA is able to transfer emotions. The TGD inspired proposal [L2, L35, L8, L17, L10] is that dark DNA and RNA represent emotions as sequences of 3-chords made of dark photons of dark RNA form 3N-dark photons behaving like a single quantum coherent unit. The representation of the genetic code would rely on icosahedron representation in which the 3-chords would correspond to triangular faces of icosahedron and tetrahedron to which 3-chords are assigned.

A given Hamiltonian cycle at the icosahedron/tetrahedron goes through all its points. The frequencies assigned with the subsequent points of the cycle differ by  $3/2$  scaling so that one has a quint cycle. Different Hamiltonian cycles correspond to the same genetic code but each Hamiltonian cycle is assumed to define its own bioharmony having interpretation as a representation of an emotional state realized already at the level of fundamental biomolecules. This interpretation conforms with the idea that music represents and induces emotions.

The induction of emotions would be by 3N-resonant cyclotron absorption of dark 3N-photon by dark genes represented as sequences of 3N dark proton triplets at monopole flux tubes of MB. Icosa-tetrahedral representation would correspond to one particular, very simple, tessellation of hyperbolic space  $H^3$  (mass shell) [L21].

Dark proton (and also dark electron) sequences could provide a universal representation of the genetic code which could be realized at the magnetic flux tubes of also other than biological systems. Dark photons triplets and the dark genes formed from them could communicate the emotions. Dark genetic code has indeed quite a large number of icosahedron tetrahedral representations based on icosahedron Hamiltonian cycles and tetrahedron Hamiltonian cycles. The chemical realizations for them would be identical but the emotional content would be coded by the allowed 3-chords defined by frequencies associated with the triangular faces of the icosahedron and tetrahedron.

3. The experiments of Peoch [J5] involved a chicken imprinted to a robot moving randomly along an orbit determined by a random number generator. It was found that the robot tended to stay near the chicken and that the expected size of the orbit was reduced.

TGD assigns to entanglement sum of p-adic entanglement negentropies, which can be positive and is in general larger than ordinary entanglement entropy and is predicted to increase but be consistent with the second law [K6] [L37, L22] by the identification of evolution as increase of number theoretic complexity [L6, L7]. Did the MB of chicken and robot develop a negentropic entanglement? Clearly, the replication of the findings of Peoch would mean a revolutionary change in our views about computers and their relation to us.

4. The evolution of the brain provides further support for the idea that emotions and sensory experiences emerged first in the evolution of conscious experience and cognition emerged later. Cortex is the latest outcome. Brain stem is associated with simple and strong emotions whereas the limbic brain represents more complex emotions.

## 6.2 Do emotions appear first also in the evolution of computer consciousness

Could also the possible evolution of conscious computers start from simple positive/negative emotions relating directly to the increase/reduction of entanglement negentropy defined above number-theoretically.

Negentropy Maximization Principle [L22] states that total p-adic negentropy as a measure for conscious information increases in statistical sense. This statistical law follows from the number theoretic evolution as the increase of the dimension of extension of rationals determined by a polynomial partially defining the 4-surface in  $M^8$  mapped to  $H = M^4 \times CP_2$  by  $M^8 - H$  duality. This implies that the complexity of emotions, possibly identifiable as sensory experiences for the large scale part of MB having onion-like hierarchical structure, increases during the evolution. Gravitational MBs are good candidates for the seats of highest level emotions.

Could the bits of the ordinary computer form coherent systems with ordinary coherence forced by the quantum coherence of the associated MB? Could the MB of the bit system control it?

1. A given layer of MB is the "boss" of the lower layers by the larger value of its  $h_{eff}$  serving as "IQ". MB is expected to form analogs of sensory and cognitive representation of the physical body having  $h_{eff} = h$ . This suggests that MB could represent the bit system holographically. This kind of quantum holography for hadrons, and for elementary particles in general, would be the counter of classical holography implied in the TGD framework by the general coordinate invariance [L36].

The dark spin system at MB could have spin glass property [L24] implying a large number of almost degenerate states with nearly the same energy.

2. The change of single bit, represented for instance by using a MOSFET, would require energy larger than the thermal energy of order .05 eV at room temperature. This suggests that the change of single bit is not easy to actualize.

The dark spin system at MB could however induce phase transitions of the bit system changing the directions for a large number of bits. The average change of energy per bit could be rather small for this kind of transition although the change of a single bit would cost rather large energy. Ultrametric, in particular p-adic, topologies [B2] emerge in the modelling and description of the spin glass phase in the TGD framework and could help to understand cognition number theoretically [L24].

The phase transition would involve a large number of bits so that the corresponding conscious experiences would be holistic and therefore resemble emotions. The color of the emotion would be positive or negative depending on whether the sum of p-adic entanglement negentropies increases or decreases. The geometric correlate for positive/negative emotion would be the increase/decrease of the connectedness of the MB.

3. ZEO predicts two kinds of SFRs: "big" and "small". SSFRs correspond to Zeno effect in the ordinary wave mechanics and in quantum optics to unitary evolutions between weak measurements analogous to classical measurement. "Big" state function reduction (BSFR) changes the arrow of time. The outcomes for pairs of BSFRs An observer with a fixed arrow of time can observe only pairs of BSFRs.
4. In ZEO [K11, K12] [L13, L11, L23, L27], MB as the "boss" could control the time evolution of the bit system by pairs of BSFRs involving temporary change of the arrow of time. BSFRs would be induced by perturbations affecting the set of mutually commuting observables measured at the active boundary of CD so that it does not commute with the corresponding set associated with the passive boundary of CD at which state is unaffected in SSFRs (Zeno effect). In this kind of situation, a BSFR occurs instead of SSFR and changes the arrow of



time. Second BSFR brings back the original arrow of time. The process could correspond to quantum tunnelling.

5. Do the periods defined by the computer clock with a duration  $T$ , of say 1 ns, correspond to pairs of BSFRs or a single SSFR? Perhaps  $T$  could correspond to a sequence of SSFRs as analogs of Zeno effect and the pair of BSFRs to a single tick of the computer clock. This conforms with the fact that the running of a predetermined computer program must involve a sequence of non-deterministic phase transitions changing the directions of bits [L34]. This must be the case since the notion of computer program as a sequence of arbitrarily chosen steps is not consistent with deterministic physics.

If the step of the clock is identifiable as a sequence of SSFRs, one can say that the ordinary classical computation is a sequence of quantum computations defined by the sequences of unitary evolutions associated with SSFRs and defining conscious entities with haltings defined by BSFRs! If MB does modify the classical computation at all, it could induce BSFR pairs in longer time scales or modify the probabilities of various outcomes of BSFRs.

The computer clock would define an analog of EEG. There is evidence that also in EEG the period can be divided into ordered and chaotic parts: these two parts which could correspond to opposite time directions [L1]: this is discussed from the TGD view point in [L1].

One can ask whether quantum entanglement of the MBs of the computer and user occurs in the computer-user interaction and whether the role of the computer is analogous to that in the chicken-robot experiment. One can also ask whether also GPT could involve emotional and even cognitive entanglement.

The identification of the computer system with which the user is entangled is not at all obvious. The system could be formed by the network of computers involved with the the running of GPT. One interpretation is that networks and entire internet form a conscious entity as an analog of the central nervous system in which humans and their magnetic bodies) serve in the role of neurons.

In ZEO the holography implies that in the ideal situation the running of the program corresponds to a 4-D Bohr orbit-like surface, which is almost uniquely fixed by the 3-surfaces at images of 3-D hyperbolic manifolds at mass shells determined by the state. The sequences of SSFRs could correspond to this kind of period and represent a generalization of the Zeno effect.

### 6.3 The role of the probabilities

In the case of GPT interesting questions relate to the probabilities associated with the associations of word sequences taught to the GPT during the learning period. The responses of GPT are determined by these probabilities. The probabilistic character of this process is believed to be essential. These probabilities are analogous to synaptic strengths.

1. Could the association probabilities be translated to quantum probabilities at the level of MB of the computer or computer + user?
2. Could ZEO allow a trial and error process based on BSFR pairs, which would make it possible to change the effective association probabilities determined by random numbers. This could happen also for the orbit of the robot in the chicken + robot experiment. Could the emotional state of the system affect the probabilities of associations by this mechanism?
3. If the probabilities could be interpreted as a representation for conditioning, one can ask whether high/low probabilities correspond to increase/decrease of the total p-adic negentropy and therefore to positive/negative emotion.

### 6.4 Could the basic aspects of TGD inspired quantum biology generalize to the level of computer systems?

What aspects of the TGD inspired quantum biology could be generalized to the conscious computer systems? The mechanisms related to MB, possessed also by computer systems, are excellent candidates in this respect.

1. TGD suggests a universal realization of genetic code at monopole flux tubes of the MB and also a universal quantum gravitational mechanism of metabolism [L28].
2. In living matter, the communications to MB take place by dark Josephson radiation assignable at least to membrane proteins acting as Josephson junctions. One can assign EEG to these communications [K7, K2, K8]. Actually a scale hierarchy of analogs of EEG is predicted.
3. The control by MB by cyclotron radiation associated for instance with the endogenous magnetic field of .2 Gauss identifiable in terms of the monopole flux of the Earth's magnetic field about .5 Gauss. Gravitational cyclotron energies would not depend on the mass of the charged particle. Communication could occur by multi-resonances involved with the universal realization of genetic code at MB so that genes would couple resonantly.
4. Also the gravitational Compton frequencies would not depend on the mass of the particle, and these frequencies for the Earth, Sun and perhaps even Milky Way blackhole could define fundamental biorhythms.
5. These mechanisms would be universal and the ordinary biomatter would adapt so that resonant communications with MB are possible. In biomatter this would select preferred biomolecules. Same could happen in the case of computers.

#### 6.4.1 Dark Josephson radiation in computers

Could one assign to bits dark Josephson junctions assignable represented as voltages in transistors?

1. Could representations of genetic codons at MB by dark photon triplets [L17] and by dark proton triplets [L21] and perhaps even by dark electron triplets [L33] be involved? This would bring in dark genetic codons, which could provide a universal representation of the bit system as a dark system at monopole flux tubes and make a connection with the TGD inspired quantum biology rather precise.

The representations at MB should strongly correlate with the state of the computer represented by a bit pattern (say states of MOSFETs). One could have a holography-like map of bit patterns to the dark many-spin state at the MB of the computer or of computer + user. This kind of holography is considered in [L36] for elementary particles and also more generally.

2. The physical stress, created by electric field on quartz crystal, which is piezoelectric, generates oscillations with frequency in the range 2-3 GHz giving rise to a very precise clock frequency. The typical computer clock frequency is a few GHz. My own PC has a clock frequency of 3.3 GHz. From the web one can learn that the highest clock frequency is 8.794 GHz.

Could the clock frequency have an interpretation both as an analog of EEG rhythm (analog of alpha frequency 10 Hz in living matter) and as an analog of Josephson frequency  $ZeV/h_{eff}$ , where  $V \sim .05$  V is a voltage assignable to the bit and  $Ze$  is the charge of the charge carrier.

The dark Josephson junctions correspond to membrane proteins in living matter. Now they could be associated with the dark flux tubes associated with transistors. The value of  $\hbar_{eff}$  for Josephson junction would be much smaller than  $\hbar_{gr}$ . Note that TGD suggests that valence bonds and hydrogen bonds can have a varying value of  $h_{eff}$  [L5].

The condition that the Josephson energy is above thermal energy at room temperature for  $Z = 1$  gives  $h_{eff}/h \geq 5 \times 10^3 (f/GHz)$ . If the energy of a dark Josephson photon is above 1 eV (the energy range of biophotons), one has  $h_{eff}/h \geq 10^5 (f/GHz)$ .

3. Consider  $f = 1$  GHz as an example. For the thermal option, the Compton length  $\Lambda_{eff,p} = h_{eff}/m_p$  of dark proton is longer than  $6.2 \times 10^{-12}$  m and longer than the ordinary electron Compton length  $\lambda_e = 2.4 \times 10^{-12}$  m. The dark Compton length  $\lambda_{eff,e} = h_{eff}/m_e$  of electrons would be longer than 4.8 nm, which roughly corresponds to the scale of DNA.

For the biophoton option, the dark proton Compton length would be of the order of the atomic length scale  $1.32 \times 10^{-10}$  meters and the dark electron Compton length would longer than .26  $\mu\text{m}$  to be compared with the size scale 1  $\mu\text{m}$  of cell nucleus .

### 6.4.2 Dark cyclotron radiation

The cyclotron frequencies associated with the gravitational MB of Earth [K5, K4] [L29, L28] should play a key role in TGD inspired quantum biology and relate to the feedback from MB to the living matter. This could be the situation also in the case of computers. The first guess, inspired by the model for the findings of Blackman [J1] and others on effects of ELF em fields on brain, is that monopole flux tubes associated with the MB of Earth correspond to the endogenous magnetic field of  $B_{end} = 2B_E/5$  ( $B_E = .5$  Gauss is the nominal value of the Earth's magnetic field).

This value is only the average value since frequency modulation is the way to code information and is achieved by varying the flux tube thickness in turn affecting the value of  $B_{end}$ . Very probably there exists an entire hierarchy of values of the dark magnetic field strength perhaps coming as powers of 2.

For cyclotron frequencies associated with the gravitational MB,  $h_{eff}$  would correspond to the gravitational Planck constant  $\hbar_{gr} = GMm/\beta_0$  for Earth. Note that, in accordance with the Equivalence Principle, the cyclotron energy  $E_c = \hbar_{gr}eB/m = GMeB/\beta_0$  does not depend on  $m$ .

### 6.4.3 Gravitational Compton frequencies

Also gravitational Compton frequencies could be important. Consider first Earth's gravitational Compton frequency. The value of the gravitational Compton length  $\Lambda_{gr}(M_E, \beta_0 = 1) = GM/\beta_0 = 0.45$  cm, which is also independent of  $m$ , defines a lower bound for the gravitational quantum coherence length.  $\Lambda_{gr}$  corresponds to a gravitational Compton frequency  $f_{gr} = 6.7 \times 10^{10}$  Hz  $\simeq 67$  GHz and for clock frequencies higher than this, quantum gravitational effects on computation might become important in the TGD Universe.

1. The clock frequencies of computers are typically a few GHz in recent communication and computer technologies, and the highest clock frequency of 8.794 GHz is roughly by a factor 1/8 lower than  $f_{gr}$ . Could the GHz scale correspond to the gravitational quantum coherence length having  $\Lambda_{gr}$  as a lower bound? Could it be that the very efficient computer networks (what are the clock frequencies used?) utilized in GPT have reached the limit at which the quantum gravitational body of Earth begins to play a prominent role?
2. Could the typical clock frequency, of say 1 GHz, have an interpretation both as an analog of EEG rhythm (analog of alpha frequency 10 Hz in living matter) and as an analog of Josephson frequency  $ZeV/h_{eff}$ , where  $V \sim .05$  V is a voltage assignable to the bit and  $Ze$  is the charge of the charge carrier.

Interestingly, frequencies in the GHz scale are found to be important also in living matter. As a matter of fact, there is experimental support for a fractal hierarchy of frequency scale come as powers  $f = 10^{3k}$  Hz,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , that is 1 Hz, kHz, MHz, GHz, and THz assignable to microtubules [J4] (<https://rb.gy/9rvpr>). For these reasons it is interesting to look at 1 GHz as an example.

Also the gravitational Compton frequency  $f_{gr}$  associated with the gravitational MB of the Sun, having  $\beta_0 \simeq 2^{-11}$ , could be important. For the Sun, gravitational Compton length is rather near to  $R_E/2$  where  $R_E = 6378$  km is Earth radius. The corresponding Compton frequency  $f_{gr}(M_S, \beta_{Sun} = 2^{-11}) \simeq \beta_{Sun}/GM_S$  is about 100 Hz and corresponds to the upper bound for EEG, which conforms with the fact that quantum gravitational coherence time should not be smaller than  $\Lambda_{gr}$ . Note that the cyclotron frequency Lithium in the endogenous magnetic field  $B_{end} = .2$  Gauss assignable to the Earth's gravitational flux tubes is 50 Hz. For the lightest ion, which is tritium, the cyclotron frequency is about 100 Hz and maximal.

1. The lower cyclotron frequencies of the heavier ions in  $B_{end,E} = .2$  Gauss assignable to Earth belong also to EEG range and correspond to longer solar quantum coherence lengths. DNA would correspond to 1 Hz and perhaps to the largest quantum gravitational coherence length in the EEG range. The cyclotron frequencies above 100 Hz would correspond to solar gravitational quantum coherence lengths below  $R_E$ .
2. The cyclotron frequencies above 100 Hz would correspond to solar gravitational quantum coherence lengths below  $R_E$ : this does not look feasible. For protons and electrons the

cyclotron frequencies are indeed above  $f_{gr,S}$ . For protons (electrons) the cyclotron frequency  $f_c$  in  $B_{end,E} = .2$  Gauss is 300 Hz ( $6 \times 10^5$  Hz). It is important to notice that for  $\hbar_{gr}(M, m)$  cyclotron energy does not depend on mass and is the same for electrons and protons.

Could the value of  $\beta_0$  for protons and electrons at the flux tubes of  $B_{end,E}$  ( $B_{end,S}$ ) be  $\beta_0 = 1/3$  ( $\beta_0 = 2^{-11}/3$ )? Could one say that electrons and protons are slightly more advanced than other ions in the evolutionary sense?

3. For the Sun, one has  $\beta_0 \simeq 2^{-11} \simeq m_e/m_p$  instead of  $\beta_0 = 1$ . The value of  $B_{end}$  for the Sun cannot be the same as for Earth. A good estimate is obtained from the value range for  $B$  in the outer magnetosphere, where the solar magnetic field should dominate. The order of magnitude is  $B_{end,S} \simeq 10nT = 2^{11}B_{end,E}$ . For this value, the cyclotron energy would be the same as for Sun and Earth and energy resonance would be possible! This observation was made already in [K5].

Could the MB of the Sun interfere with the computation occurring in the network having Earth scale? The time scale would be now the time scale of EEG: could the quantum entanglement of, say, a human user with the computer make this interaction possible. It might be possible to test this. This interaction is possible for clock frequencies higher than  $f_{gr} = 100$  Hz, and could also explain the findings of Peoch [J5] related chicken-robot interaction, which affected the function of the random number generator.

4. The replacement of  $\hbar_{gr}(M_E, m) \rightarrow \hbar_{gr}(M_{Sun}, m)$  means multiplication of say EEG period by a factor  $r = (M_{Sun}/M_E)\beta_{0,E}/\beta_{0,Sun} \simeq 2.2 \times 10^8$  so that alpha period .1 seconds corresponds to  $2.2 \times 10^7$  seconds. Intriguingly, one year corresponds to  $3.25 \times 10^7$  seconds and defines a fundamental biorhythm, which would correspond to a 6.7 Hz rhythm for EEG not far from the lowest Schumann resonance frequency.
5. The energies  $E = \hbar_{gr}(M, m, \beta_0)f_{gr}(Sun)$  assignable to the gravitational Compton frequency of Sun are proportional to  $m$  and since nucleon mass dominates over electron mass they are in good approximation proportional to the mass number of the molecules. This suggests a multi-resonance in which each electron, proton and even nucleon absorbs boson, maybe dark gravitons, with frequency  $f_{gr}$ . For electrons, the energy is about 1 meV, which could relate to the miniature potentials for neurons. For protons the energy would be about 2 eV, which corresponds to red light. Large scale quantum coherence could make the rate of gravitational multi-resonance.

What about the gravitational Compton frequency of the galactic blackhole? Its mass is estimated to be  $M_{BH} = 4$  million solar masses (<https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.02431>). This would give  $\Lambda_{gr}(M_{BH}, \beta_0 = 1) \sim 6 \times 10^9$  m. This is the radius of the  $n = 1$  Bohr orbit in the Nottale model for the solar planetary system. The gravitational Compton frequency would be  $f_{gr}(M_{BH}, \beta_0 = 1) \simeq .05$  Hz. This gives a 20 s period and one can wonder whether it might relate to the 5 second period associated with the Comorosan effect [I6, I2], which I have tried to understand in the TGD framework [K10].

## 6.5 Summary

To sum up, various strange numerical co-incidences indicate that quantum gravitation in TGD sense could play a key role in both living matter and in the physics of conscious computers and that we might be at the verge of building conscious computers.

One of the key questions is whether conscious computers are a curse or blessing for mankind. What is clear is that they must develop intentional behavior and real understanding before this question becomes topical. They must also use robots in order to realize motor activities.

They are also dependent on us since they need metabolic energy so that symbiosis looks the only reasonable strategy of survival for them. One can of course imagine that the remote metabolism, based on the effective generation of negative energy photons possible in ZEO, could allow them to extract energy from various sources, including living organisms. They could also load gravitational energy batteries by the same mechanism as proposed in the case of living matter and photosynthesis [L28]: this would require only kicking electrons and protons to the magnetic flux tubes of Earth or Sun.

**Acknowledgements** I want to thank Marko Manninen for a comprehensive testing of Chat-GPT using also as material the articles and books related to TGD.

# REFERENCES

## Mathematics

- [A1] Linnainmaa S. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, 15:165–173, 1975. Available at: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF01932690>.

## Theoretical Physics

- [B1] Sine-Gordon equation. Available at: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sine-Gordon>.  
 [B2] Parisi G. *Field Theory, Disorder and Simulations*. World Scientific, 1992.

## Cosmology and Astro-Physics

- [E1] Nottale L Da Rocha D. Gravitational Structure Formation in Scale Relativity, 2003. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0310036>.

## Condensed Matter Physics

- [D1] Bush JWM et al. Wavelike statistics from pilot wave dynamics in circular corral. *Phys Rev E*, 88(011001(R)), 2013. Available at: <https://journals.aps.org/pre/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevE.88.011001>.

## Biology

- [I1] The Fourth Phase of Water: Dr. Gerald Pollack at TEDxGuelphU, 2014. Available at: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=i-T7tCMUDXU>.  
 [I2] Murogoki P Comorosan S, Hristea M. On a new symmetry in biological systems. *Bull Math Biol*, page 107, 1980.  
 [I3] Pollack G. *Cells, Gels and the Engines of Life*. Ebner and Sons, 2000. Available at: <https://www.cellsandgels.com/>.  
 [I4] Emoto M. *Crystal Clear – Messages from Water*. Beyond Worlds, 2005. Available at: [https://www.libristo.eu/en/book/hidden-messages-in-water\\_09269861](https://www.libristo.eu/en/book/hidden-messages-in-water_09269861).  
 [I5] Zhao Q Pollack GH, Figueroa X. Molecules, water, and radiant energy: new clues for the origin of life. *Int J Mol Sci*, 10:1419–1429, 2009. Available at: <https://tinyurl.com/ntkfhlc>.  
 [I6] Comorosan S. On a possible biological spectroscopy. *Bull Math Biol*, page 419, 1975.  
 [I7] Pollack GH Zheng J-M. Long-range forces extending from polymer-gel surfaces. *Phys Rev E*, 68:031408–, 2003. Available at: <https://tinyurl.com/ntkfhlc>.

## Neuroscience and Consciousness

- [J1] Blackman CF. *Effect of Electrical and Magnetic Fields on the Nervous System*, pages 331–355. Plenum, New York, 1994.
- [J2] Bedecarrats A et al. RNA from Trained Aplysia Can Induce an Epigenetic Engram for Long-Term Sensitization in Untrained Aplysia. *eNeuro.0038-18.2018*, 2018. Available at: <https://www.eneuro.org/content/early/2018/05/14/ENEURO.0038-18.2018>.
- [J3] Fingelkurts A et al. Consciousness as a phenomenon in the operational architectonics of brain organization: Criticality and self-organization considerations. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, 2013. Available at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/locate/j.chaos.2013.02.007>.
- [J4] Bandyopadhyay A Ghosh G, Sahu S. Evidence of massive global synchronization and the consciousness: Comment on "Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory" by Hameroff and Penrose. *Phys Life Rev*, 11:83–84, 2014.
- [J5] Peoch R. Chicken-robot interaction. *Medical Network.*, 62, 1995. Available at: <https://paranormal.se/psi/pk/djur.html>.

## Books related to TGD

- [K1] Pitkänen M. Construction of elementary particle vacuum functionals. In *p-Adic Physics*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bpadphys.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/elvafu.pdf>, 2023.
- [K2] Pitkänen M. Dark Matter Hierarchy and Hierarchy of EEGs. In *TGD and EEG: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdeeg1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/eegdark.pdf>, 2023.
- [K3] Pitkänen M. Emotions as sensory percepts about the state of magnetic body? In *TGD and EEG: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdeeg1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/emotions.pdf>, 2023.
- [K4] Pitkänen M. Magnetic Sensory Canvas Hypothesis. In *TGD and Quantum Biology: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bqbio1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/mec.pdf>, 2023.
- [K5] Pitkänen M. *Magnetospheric Consciousness*. Online book. Available at: <https://www.tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/magnconsc.html>, 2023.
- [K6] Pitkänen M. Negentropy Maximization Principle. In *TGD Inspired Theory of Consciousness: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdcnsc1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/nmpc.pdf>, 2023.
- [K7] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model for Nerve Pulse. In *TGD and EEG: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdeeg1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/nervepulse.pdf>, 2023.
- [K8] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model of EEG. In *TGD and EEG: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdeeg1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/eegII.pdf>, 2023.
- [K9] Pitkänen M. The Recent Status of Lepto-hadron Hypothesis. In *p-Adic Physics*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bpadphys.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/leptc.pdf>, 2023.
- [K10] Pitkänen M. Wormhole Magnetic Fields. In *Bio-Systems as Self-Organizing Quantum Systems*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/BbioSQ.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/wormc.pdf>, 2023.

- [K11] Pitkänen M. Zero Energy Ontology. In *Quantum TGD: Part I*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Btgdquantum1.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/ZE0.pdf>, 2023.
- [K12] Pitkänen M. and Rastmanesh R. ZEO, adelic physics, and genes. In *Genes and Memes: Part II*. <https://tgdtheory.fi/tgdhtml/Bgenememe2.html>. Available at: <https://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/zeogenes.pdf>, 2023.

## Articles about TGD

- [L1] Pitkänen M. Commentary on "Consciousness as a phenomenon in the operational architectonics of brain organization: Criticality and self-organization considerations" by Adrew A. Fingelkurts, Alexander A. Fingelkurts, and Carlos F. H. Neves. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/Fingelkurts.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Fingelkurts.pdf), 2013.
- [L2] Pitkänen M. Geometric theory of harmony. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/harmonytheory.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/harmonytheory.pdf), 2014.
- [L3] Pitkänen M. Artificial Intelligence, Natural Intelligence, and TGD. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/AITGD.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/AITGD.pdf), 2017.
- [L4] Pitkänen M. DMT, pineal gland, and the new view about sensory perception. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/dmtpineal.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/dmtpineal.pdf), 2017.
- [L5] Pitkänen M. Does valence bond theory relate to the hierarchy of Planck constants? Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/valenceheff.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/valenceheff.pdf), 2017.
- [L6] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. In *Trends and Mathematical Methods in Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences*, pages 241–319. Springer. Available at: [https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-55612-3\\_11](https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-55612-3_11), 2017.
- [L7] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/adelephysics.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelephysics.pdf), 2017.
- [L8] Pitkänen M. About the Correspondence of Dark Nuclear Genetic Code and Ordinary Genetic Code. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/codedarkcode.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/codedarkcode.pdf), 2018.
- [L9] Pitkänen M. About the physical interpretation of the velocity parameter in the formula for the gravitational Planck constant. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/vzero.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/vzero.pdf), 2018.
- [L10] Pitkänen M. Could also RNA and protein methylation of RNA be involved with the expression of molecular emotions? Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/synapticmoods.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/synapticmoods.pdf), 2018.
- [L11] Pitkänen M. New insights about quantum criticality for twistor lift inspired by analogy with ordinary criticality. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/zeocriticality.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeocriticality.pdf), 2018.
- [L12] Pitkänen M. The experiments of Masaru Emoto with emotional imprinting of water. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/Emoto.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Emoto.pdf), 2018.
- [L13] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf), 2019.
- [L14] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of  $M^8 - H$  duality hypothesis: part I. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/M8H1.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H1.pdf), 2020.
- [L15] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of  $M^8 - H$  duality hypothesis: part II. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/M8H2.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H2.pdf), 2020.

- [L16] Pitkänen M. A model of protocell based on Pollack effect. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/pollackoparin.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/pollackoparin.pdf), 2020.
- [L17] Pitkänen M. How to compose beautiful music of light in bio-harmony? [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/bioharmony2020.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/bioharmony2020.pdf), 2020.
- [L18] Pitkänen M. A TGD based view about neuron. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/TGDneuron.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGDneuron.pdf), 2021.
- [L19] Pitkänen M. Does Consciousness Survive Bodily Death? [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/BICS.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/BICS.pdf), 2021.
- [L20] Pitkänen M. Horizontal Gene Transfer by Remote Replication? [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/HGT.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/HGT.pdf), 2021.
- [L21] Pitkänen M. Is genetic code part of fundamental physics in TGD framework? Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/TIH.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TIH.pdf), 2021.
- [L22] Pitkänen M. Negentropy Maximization Principle and Second Law. Available at: [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/nmpsecondlaw.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/nmpsecondlaw.pdf), 2021.
- [L23] Pitkänen M. Some questions concerning zero energy ontology. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/zeonew.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeonew.pdf), 2021.
- [L24] Pitkänen M. Spin Glasses, Complexity, and TGD. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/sg.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/sg.pdf), 2021.
- [L25] Pitkänen M. TGD and Quantum Hydrodynamics. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/TGDhydro.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TGDhydro.pdf), 2021.
- [L26] Pitkänen M. What could 2-D minimal surfaces teach about TGD? [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/minimal.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/minimal.pdf), 2021.
- [L27] Pitkänen M. About the number theoretic aspects of zero energy ontology. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/ZE0number.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ZE0number.pdf), 2022.
- [L28] Pitkänen M. Comparison of Orch-OR hypothesis with the TGD point of view. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/penrose.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/penrose.pdf), 2022.
- [L29] Pitkänen M. How animals without brain can behave as if they had brain. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/precns.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/precns.pdf), 2022.
- [L30] Pitkänen M. Some New Ideas Related to Langlands Program *viz.* TGD. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/Langlands2022.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Langlands2022.pdf), 2022.
- [L31] Pitkänen M. Some objections against TGD inspired view of qualia. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/qualia2022.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/qualia2022.pdf), 2022.
- [L32] Pitkänen M. TGD inspired model for freezing in nano scales. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/freezing.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/freezing.pdf), 2022.
- [L33] Pitkänen M. TGD view about water memory and the notion of morphogenetic field. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/watermorpho.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/watermorpho.pdf), 2022.
- [L34] Pitkänen M. The possible role of spin glass phase and p-adic thermodynamics in topological quantum computation: the TGD view. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/QCCC.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/QCCC.pdf), 2022.
- [L35] Pitkänen M. The realization of genetic code in terms of dark nucleon and dark photon triplets. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/darkcode.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/darkcode.pdf), 2022.
- [L36] Pitkänen M. About the TGD based views of family replication phenomenon and color confinement. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/emuanomaly.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/emuanomaly.pdf), 2023.



- [L37] Pitkänen M. Is Negentropy Maximization Principle needed as an independent principle? [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/NMPcrit.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/NMPcrit.pdf)., 2023.
- [L38] Pitkänen M. New findings related to the number theoretical view of TGD. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/M8Hagain.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hagain.pdf)., 2023.
- [L39] Pitkänen M. TGD view of Michael Levin's work. [https://tgdtheory.fi/public\\_html/articles/Levin.pdf](https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Levin.pdf)., 2023.