

What could 2-D minimal surfaces teach about TGD?

June 28, 2021

Matti Pitkänen

Email: matpitka6@gmail.com.

http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/.

Recent postal address: Rinnekatu 2-4 A 8, 03620, Karkkila, Finland.

Abstract

In the TGD Universe space-time surfaces within causal diamonds (CDs) are fundamental objects.

1. $M^8 - H$ duality means that one can interpret the space-time surfaces in two manners: either as an algebraic surface in complexified M^8 or as minimal surfaces in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$. $M^8 - H$ duality maps these surfaces to each other.
2. Minimal surface property holds true outside the frame spanning minimal surface as 4-D soap film and since also extremal of Kähler action is in question, the surface is analog of complex surface. The frame is fixed at the boundaries of the CD and dynamically generated in its interior. At frame the isometry currents of volume term and Kähler action have infinite divergences which however cancel so that conservation laws coded by field equations are true. The frames serve as seats of non-determinism.
3. At the level of M^8 the frames correspond to singularities of the space-time surface. The quaternionic normal space is not unique at the points of a d -dimensional singularity and their union defines a surface of CP_2 of dimension $d_c = 4 - D < d$ defining in H a blow up of dimension d_c .

In this article, the inspiration provided by 2-D minimal surfaces is used to deepen the TGD view about space-time as a minimal surface and also about $M^8 - H$ duality and TGD itself.

1. The properties of 2-D minimal surfaces encourage the inclusion of the phase with a vanishing cosmological constant Λ phase. This forces the extension of the category of real polynomials determining the space-time surface at the level of M^8 to that of real analytic functions. The interpretation in the framework of consciousness theory would be as a kind of mathematical enlightenment, transcendence also in the mathematical sense.
2. $\Lambda > 0$ phases associated with real polynomials as approximations of real analytic functions would correspond to a hierarchy of inclusions of hyperfinite-factors of type II_1 realized as physical systems and giving rise to finite cognition based on finite-D extensions of rationals and corresponding extensions of p-adic number fields.
3. The construction of 2-D periodic minimal surfaces inspires a construction of minimal surfaces with a temporal periodicity. For $\Lambda > 0$ this happens by gluing copies of minimal surface and its mirror image together and for $\Lambda = 0$ by using a periodic frame.

A more general engineering construction using different basic pieces fitting together like legos gives rise to a model of logical thinking with thoughts as legos. This also allows an improved understanding of how $M^8 - H$ duality manages to be consistent with the Uncertainty Principle (UP).

4. At the physical level, one gains a deeper understanding of the space-time correlates of particle massivation and of the TGD counterparts of twistor diagrams. Twistor lift predicts M^4 Kähler action and its Chern-Simons implying CP breaking. This part is necessary in order to have particles with non-vanishing momentum in the $\Lambda = 0$ phase.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Basic notions	3
1.1.1	Space-time surfaces at the level of M^8	3
1.1.2	Space-time surfaces at the level of $H = M^4 \text{ times } CP_2$	3
1.1.3	$M^8 - H$ correspondence for the singularities	4
1.2	Key questions	4
1.2.1	Uncertainty Principle and $M^8 - H$ duality	4
1.2.2	Is the category of polynomials enough?	4
1.2.3	Is also $\Lambda > 0$ phase physically acceptable?	5
2	About 2-D minimal surfaces	6
2.1	Some examples of 2-D minimal surfaces	6
2.1.1	Minimal surfaces without frame	6
2.1.2	Minimal surfaces with lattice like structure	6
2.1.3	Minimal surfaces spanned by frames	6
2.2	Some comments on 2-D minimal surfaces in relation to TGD	7
2.2.1	Frameless minimal surfaces in TGD framework	7
2.2.2	Minimal surfaces with lattice structure	7
2.2.3	Minimal surfaces spanned by frames	8
3	Periodic minimal surfaces with periodicity in time direction	8
3.1	Consistency of $M^8 - H$ duality with Uncertainty Principle	8
3.2	Bohr orbitology for particles in terms of minimal surfaces	9
3.2.1	$\Lambda = 0$ phase	10
3.2.2	$\Lambda > 0$ phase	11
3.2.3	A connection with Higgs mechanism	12
3.2.4	A connection twistor diagrams and generalization of cognitive representations	12
3.3	Periodic self-organization patterns, minimal surfaces, and time crystals	12

1 Introduction

In the quantum TGD based on zero energy ontology (ZEO) space-time surfaces within causal diamonds (CDs) are fundamental objects [L11, L17]. $M^8 - H$ duality plays a central role: the earlier views can be found in [L2, L3, L4] and the recent view in [L12, L13, L16] differing in some aspects from the earlier view. $M^8 - H$ duality means that one can interpret the space-time surfaces in two manners: either as algebraic surfaces in complexified M^8 or as minimal surfaces in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ [L17]. $M^8 - H$ duality maps these surfaces to each other.

The twistor lift of TGD is another key element [K3, K4]. It replaces space-time surfaces with their 6-D twistor spaces represented as 6-D surfaces in the product of twistor spaces assignable to M^4 and CP_2 and having an induced twistor structure. This implies dimensional reduction of a 6-D Kähler action to a sum of a 4-D Kähler action and volume term having interpretation in terms of cosmological constant Λ . Kähler structure exists only for the twistor spaces of M^4 and CP_2 [A1] so that the theory is unique.

Each extension of rationals (EQ) corresponds to a different value $\Lambda > 0$. For $\Lambda = 0$, the finite-D extension of rationals determined by real polynomials would be replaced with real analytic functions or subset of them.

Whether $\Lambda = 0$ can be accepted physically, will be one of the key topics of this article. At the level of adelic theory of cognition [L6, L5] this question boils down to the question whether

cognition is always finite and related to finite-D extensions of rationals of whether also infinite-D extensions and transcendence can be allowed.

1.1 Basic notions

$M^8 - H$ duality and twistor lift of TGD are the basic notions relevant for what follows and its is appropriate to discuss them briefly.

1.1.1 Space-time surfaces at the level of M^8

The recent view of $M^8 - H$ duality [L12, L13, L16] deserves a brief summary.

At M^8 level, space-time surfaces can be regarded as algebraic 4-surfaces in complexified M^8 having interpretation as complexified octonions. The dynamical principle states that the normal space of the space-time surface at each point is associative and therefore quaternionic. The space-time surfaces are determined by the condition that the real part of an octonionic polynomial obtained as an algebraic continuation of a real polynomial with rational coefficients vanishes.

This gives a complex surface which is minimal surface from which one takes a real part by projecting to real part of complexified M^8 : it is not clear whether it is minimal surface of M^8 . Minimal surface property is the geometric analog of a massless d'Alembert equation [L1, L9].

Also real analytic functions can be considered [L12, L13] but this leads to infinite-D extensions of rationals in the adelization requiring that also the p-adic counterparts of the space-time surfaces exist. Whether this phase which would correspond to $\Lambda = 0$, can be accepted physically, will be one of the key topics in the sequel.

The conditions defining the space-time surfaces are exactly solvable and the conjecture is that these surfaces are minimal surfaces by their holomorphy (the induced metric of the space-time surface does not however play any role and its role is taken by the complexification number theoretic octonion norm which is real valued for the real projections) [L12, L13, L16].

1.1.2 Space-time surfaces at the level of $H = M^4 \times CP_2$

At the level of $H = M^4 \times CP_2$, space-time surfaces are preferred extremals (PEs) of a 6-D Kähler action fixed by the twistor lift of TGD [K4]. The existence of the twistor lift makes TGD unique since only the twistor spaces of $T(M^4)$ and $T(CP_2)$ have the needed Kähler structure [?]. The 6-D twistor space $T(X^4)$ of the space-time surface X^4 is represented as a 6-surface X^6 in $T(M^4) \times T(CP_2)$. $T(X^4)$ has S^2 as fiber and X^4 as base. The twistor structure of $T(X^4)$ is induced from the product of twistor structures of $T(M^4)$ and $T(CP_2)$. The S^2 bundle structure of X^6 requires dimensional reduction and dimensionally reduced 6-D Kähler action consists of a volume term having an interpretation in terms of length scale dependent cosmological constant Λ and 4-D Kähler action.

Physically "preferred" means holography: to a given 3-surface at the either boundary of CD one can assign a unique space-time surface as an analog of Bohr orbit. This assumption is very probably too strong: the number of Bohr orbits is finite and the dynamically determined frames of the space-time surface would characterize the non-determinism [L17]. "Preferred" has several mathematical meanings, which are conjectured to be equivalent.

One of those meanings is that space-time surfaces simultaneous extremals of both volume term and Kähler action and field equations reduce almost everywhere to the analogs of the conditions satisfied by complex surfaces of complex manifolds. Note that the field equations express local conservation laws for the isometries of $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ and are in this sense hydrodynamic.

The field equations for preferred extremals do not depend on coupling parameters. This expresses quantum criticality and reduces the number of solutions dramatically as required by the fact that at the level the field equations are algebraic rather than differential equations.

Space-time surfaces are therefore minimal surfaces everywhere except at singularities, which are lower-dimensional surfaces. At singularities they are satisfied only for the entire action. The divergences of the isometry currents for the volume term and Kähler action would have delta function singularities, which must cancel each other to guarantee conservation laws.

The singular surfaces can be wormhole throats as boundaries of CP_2 type extremals at which the signature of the induced metric changes, partonic 2-surfaces acting as analogs of vertices at

which light-like partonic orbits representing the lines of generalized Feynman (or twistor) diagram meet, and string world sheets having light-like boundaries at partonic orbits.

Also 3-D singularities are predicted and could be associated to time= constant hyperplanes of M^4 , which in M^8 picture are associated with the roots of the polynomials determining space-time region: I have christened these roots "very special moments in the life of self" [L8]. The roots define 6-spheres as universal special solutions and they intersect future light-cone along $t = r_n$ hyper-plane. It is possible to glue different solutions together along these planes so that they can serve as loci of classical non-determinism.

The singular surfaces are analogous to the frames of soap films [L17]: part of them are fixed and at the boundaries of CD and part of them are dynamically generated. Classical conservation laws for the isometry currents expressing field equations pose strong conditions on what can happen in vertices.

1.1.3 $M^8 - H$ correspondence for the singularities

By $M^8 - H$ correspondence, the singular surfaces of $X^4 \subset H$ correspond to the singularities of the pre-image at the level of M^8 . For the singularities $X^4 \subset M^8$ the quaternionic normal space of X^4 is not unique at points of a $d < 4$ dimensional surface but is replaced with a union of quaternionic normal spaces labelled by the points of sub-manifold of CP_2 for which the dimension is $d_c = 4 - d$. At the level of H , the singular points blow-up to d_c -dimensional surfaces. What happens for the normal space at a puncture of 3-space serves as a good analog.

In particular, the deformation of a CP_2 type extremal as a singularity corresponds to an image of a 1-D singularity with $(d = 1, d_c = 3)$ and $d_c = 3$ -dimensional blow up. The properties of CP_2 type extremals suggest the 1-D curve is light-like curve for mere Kähler action and light-like geodesic for the Kähler action plus volume term.

These situations correspond to $\Lambda = 0$ and $\Lambda > 0$, where Λ is length scale dependent cosmological constant as coefficient of the volume term of action.

1.2 Key questions

The basic question to be discussed in the following is what the general ideas about 2-D minimal surfaces can teach about minimal surfaces in M^8 and H , and more generally, about quantum TGD.

1.2.1 Uncertainty Principle and $M^8 - H$ duality

The interpretation of M^8 as analog of momentum space [L12, L13] meant a breakthrough in the understanding of $M^8 - H$ duality but created also a problem. How can one guarantee that $M^8 - H$ duality is consistent with Uncertainty Principle (UP)? The surfaces to which one can assign well defined momentum in M^8 should correspond to the analogs of plane waves in H and geometrically to periodic surfaces.

The fact that at the level of M^8 the surfaces are algebraic surfaces defined by polynomials with rational coefficients poses therefore a problem. Periodicity requires trigonometric functions. The introduction of real analytic functions with rational Taylor coefficients would force the introduction of infinite-D extensions of rationals and make this possible. This is however in conflict with the idea about the finiteness of cognition forming the basic principle of adelic physics [L6, L7].

1.2.2 Is the category of polynomials enough?

Is it possible to have periodic minimal surfaces at the level of H or at the level of both M^8 and H without leaving the category polynomials?

1. Could the non-local character of the $M^8 - H$ duality in CP_2 degrees freedom miraculously give rise to periodic functions at the level of H ? Or should one perhaps modify $M^8 - H$ duality itself to achieve this [L16].
2. Periodic frames assignable to light-like curves in M^8 as light-like curves would allow to achieve periodicity in the same manner as for helicoid but this requires the extension of the category of real polynomials to real analytic functions in M^8 . One could even give up the assumption

about a Taylor expansion with rational coefficients and assume that the coefficients belong to some possibly transcendental extension of rationals. This option would make sense in $\Lambda = 0$ phase.

3. Or could geometry come in rescue of algebra? Could one construct periodic surfaces both at the level of M^8 and H purely geometrically by gluing minimal surfaces together to form repeating patterns as is done for 2-D minimal surfaces? This option could work in $\Lambda > 0$ phases: smoothness at the junctions would be given up but local conservation laws would hold true for the entire action rather than for volume term and Kähler action separately.

If transcendental extensions are allowed, they would naturally contain some maximal root $e^{1/n}$ and its powers. The induced extension of p-adics is finite-D since e^p is an ordinary p-adic number. Logarithms of $\log(k)$, $1 \leq k \leq p$, and their powers are needed to define p-adic logarithm for given p . The outcome is an infinite-D extension. Also π and its powers are expected to belong to the minimal transcendental extension.

It came as a surprise to me that is not known whether e and π are algebraically independent over rationals that is whether one has $\pi = qe$ for some rational (<https://cutt.ly/xmyL23W>.) That they are linearly independent follows from a more general conjecture by Schanuel and <https://cutt.ly/ImyL1YJ>). The following argument supports this conjecture. $\pi = qe$ would imply that $\pi \bmod p$ vanishes for the primes p dividing q and $e \bmod p$ vanishes for the primes p dividing $1/q$ in $e = \pi/q$. The latter condition is in conflict with $e^p = 1 + O(p)$ for all primes so that q should be an integer. This is however in conflict with $\pi/e \simeq 1.15573$.

1.2.3 Is also $\Lambda > 0$ phase physically acceptable?

Can one allow also $\Lambda = 0$ phase for the action. In this case the action reduces to mere Kähler action defined by M^4 and CP_2 Kähler forms analogous to self-dual covariantly constant $U(1)$ gauge fields? Could one see $\Lambda = 0$ phase as an analog of Higgs=0 phase?

In this phase the category of rational functions would expand to a category of real analytic functions and infinite extensions of rationals containing transcendental numbers would be unavoidable and allow light-like curves as frames instead of piecewise light-like geodesics.

One could argue that since the evolution of mathematical consciousness has led to the notion of transcendentals and transcendental functions, they must be realized also at the level of space-time surfaces.

One can invent objections against the $\Lambda = 0$ phase for which Kähler action has only CP_2 part and serving at the same time as arguments for the necessity of M^4 part.

1. For a mere CP_2 Kähler action, the CP_2 type extremals representing building bricks of elementary particles become vacuum extremals and are lost from the spectrum. However, also the M^4 part of Kähler action predicted by the twistor lift gives rise to Chern-Simons (C-S) term assignable to the light-like 3-surface X_L^3 as the orbit of partonic 2-surface and one can assign a momentum to X_L^3 . The boundary conditions guaranteeing momentum conservation make possible momentum exchange between interior and X_L^3 .
2. CP_2 Kähler action has a huge vacuum degeneracy since space-time surfaces with 2-D Lagrangian manifold as a CP_2 projection are vacuum extremals. $\Lambda > 0$ eliminates most of these extremals. Also the M^4 part of Kähler action, which vanishes for canonically imbedded M^4 , implies that most vacuum extremals of CP_2 Kähler action cease to be extremals even for $\Lambda = 0$.
3. The Kähler form of M^4 has an electric part, which is imaginary so that the energy density is of form $-E^2 + B^2$ ($= 0$ for M^4). For instance, solutions of $M^2 \times Y^2$, where Y^2 is any Lagrangian manifold of CP_2 would have negative energy for $\Lambda = 0$.

Is the condition $\Lambda > \Lambda_{min}$ needed to exclude these extremals? Or does the PE property, requiring that CP_2 projection is a complex 2-surface, come in rescue: for a complex surface Y^2 , the CP_2 magnetic energy can make the total energy positive.

2 About 2-D minimal surfaces

A brief summary about 2-D minimal surfaces and questions raised by them in TGD framework is in order. One can classify minimal surfaces to those without frame and with frame.

2.1 Some examples of 2-D minimal surfaces

The following examples about minimal surfaces are collected from the general Wikipedia article about minimal surface (<https://cutt.ly/Hn673ry>) and various other Wikipedia articles. This article gives also references to articles (for instance the article "The classical theory of minimal surfaces" of Meeks and Perez [A5]) and textbooks discussing minimal surfaces, see for instance [A4]. Also links to online sources are given. "Touching Soap Films - An introduction to minimal surfaces" (<https://cutt.ly/dmwMnJ7>) serves as a general introduction to minimal surfaces). There is also a gallery of periodic minimal surfaces (<https://cutt.ly/RmwMQ49>), which is of special interest from the TGD point of view.

2.1.1 Minimal surfaces without frame

In E^3 frameless minimal surfaces have an infinite size and are often glued from pieces, which asymptotically approach a flat plane.

Catenoid (<https://cutt.ly/in675Z6>) is obtained by a rotation of a catenoid, which is the form of the chain spanned between poles of equal height in the gravitational field of Earth. Catenoid has two planes as asymptotics and is obtained from torus by adding two punctures. Costa's minimal surface (<https://cutt.ly/in65wyP>) is obtained from torus by adding a single puncture and its second end looks like a catenoid.

Frameless minimal surfaces in E^3 allow also lattice-like structures. Schwarz minimal surface (<https://cutt.ly/dn65rJm>) is an example about minimal giving rise to 3-D lattice like structure. These surfaces have minimal genus $g = 3$.

In compact spaces closed minimal surfaces are possible and some quite surprising results hold true, see the popular article "*Math Duo Maps the Infinite Terrain of Minimal Surfaces*" (<http://tinyurl.com/yvetb7c7>). These surfaces have area proportional to volume of the imbedding space and the explanation is that these surfaces fill the volume densely [A2, A3].

2.1.2 Minimal surfaces with lattice like structure

There exists also minimal surfaces with lattice-like structure.

1. Riemann described a one parameter of minimal surfaces with a 1-D lattice structure consisting of shelves connected by catenoids (<https://cutt.ly/Pn65y3f>).
2. Scherk surfaces (<https://cutt.ly/3n65oeB>) are singly or doubly periodic. Schwarz surfaces (<https://cutt.ly/un65pCK>) are triply periodic structures defining 3-D lattices and have minimal genus $g = 3$. This kind of surfaces have been used to model condensed matter lattices. These surfaces have also hyperbolic counterparts.

2.1.3 Minimal surfaces spanned by frames

Minimal surfaces with frames allow to model soap films and are obtained as a solution of the Plateau's problem (<https://cutt.ly/7n65fgT>).

1. Helicoid (<https://cutt.ly/Wn65jgT>) represents a basic example of a simply periodic framed surface. Also helicoid involves transcendental functions. A portion of helicoid is locally isometric to catenoid.
2. Arbitrary curves can serve as frames with some mild restrictions. The minimal surface need not be unique. A given 2-D minimal surface is obtained in topological sense from a compact manifold by adding a puncture to represent boundaries defined by frames or the boundaries at infinity.

2.2 Some comments on 2-D minimal surfaces in relation to TGD

The study of the general properties of 2-D minimal surfaces from the TGD perspective suggest a generalization to the TGD framework and also makes possible a wider perspective about TGD itself.

2.2.1 Frameless minimal surfaces in TGD framework

Frameless minimal surfaces in E^3 have infinite sizes since they are locally saddle like. In TGD framework, the most interesting space-time surface are expected to be framed. Despite this frameless minimal surfaces are of interest.

1. In the TGD framework the minimal surfaces could extend to infinity in time-direction and remain finite in spatial directions. The asymptotically flat 2-plane could in TGD correspond to the simplest extremals of action: M^4 and "massless extremals" (MEs); surfaces $X^2 \times Y^2$ with X^2 a string world sheet and Y^2 complex manifold of CP_2 ; and CP_2 type extremals with 1-D light-like curve as CP_2 projection.

Conservation laws do not allow M^4 even in principle unless the total angular momentum and color charges vanish. Various singularities could deform flat M^4 in close analogy with point and line charges.

2. In curved compact spaces also closed minimal surfaces are possible [A2, A3] (<http://tinyurl.com/yvetb7c7>). One can wonder whether CP_2 as a curved space might allow a volume-filling closed 2-D or 3-D minimal surfaces besides complex surfaces and minimal Lagrangian manifolds [L9]. For $\Lambda > 0$, only complex surfaces defined by polynomials in M^8 appear in PEs. It is difficult to see how this kind of exotic structure could define a physically interesting partonic 2-surface although formally one could consider a product of string world sheet and this kind of 2-surface.

2.2.2 Minimal surfaces with lattice structure

2-D minimal surfaces in E^3 allow lattice-like structures with dimensions 1, 2 and even 3. They are interesting also in TGD framework.

1. Schwartz surface (<https://cutt.ly/un65pCK>), call it S , allows in the TGD framework a variant of form $M^1 \times S \times S^1$, where S^1 is a geodesic sphere. Same applies to all 2-D minimal surfaces allowing a lattice structure and could be in a central role in condensed matter physics according to TGD. Also hyperbolic variants of a lattice like structure expected to relate to the tessellations of hyperbolic 3-space can be considered and could play important role at the level of magnetic bodies (MBs) as indeed suggested [L15].
2. If $\Lambda = 0$ phase is physically acceptable, it would make possible light-like curves as frames and also lattice-like minimal surfaces with periodicity forced by that of the light-like curve assignable to to CP_2 type extremal as M^8 pre-image.

Note that $\Lambda = 0$ phase relates to $\Lambda > 0$ phase by the breaking of conformal symmetry transforming light-like curves to light-like geodesics. The interpretation of $\Lambda = 0$ phase in terms of the emergence of continuous string world sheet degrees of freedom is attractive.

Another interpretation would be based on the hierarchy of Jones inclusions of hyper-finite factors of type II_1 (HFFs). $\Lambda > 0$ phase would define the reduced configuration space ("world of classical worlds" (WCW)) in finite measurement resolution defined by the included HFF representing measurement resolution and $\Lambda = 0$ phase as the factor without this reduction. The approximation of real analytic functions by polynomials of a given degree would define the inclusion. This sequence of approximations would be realized as genuine physical systems, rather than only approximate descriptions of them.

3. For $\Lambda > 0$ allowing only polynomial function, periodic smooth minimal surfaces in M^8 . The construction of Schwartz surface suggests how one can circumvent this difficulty.

Schwartz surface defines a 3-D lattice obtained by gluing together analogs of unit cells. If a region of a minimal surface intersects orthogonally a plane, the gluing of this surface together with its mirror image gives rise to a larger minimal surface and one can construct an entire lattice-like system in this way. These surfaces are not smooth at the junctions.

In the TGD framework, one would construct lattice in time direction and the gluing would occur at edges defined by 3-D $t = r_n$ planes ("very special moments in the life of self" [L8]). Local conservation laws as limits of field equations are enough and derivatives can be discontinuous at $t = r_n$ planes. The expected non-uniqueness of the gluing procedure would mean a partial failure of the strict classical determinism having a crucial role in the understanding of cognition in ZEO. This is discussed in [L17].

M^8 -picture suggests a very concrete geometric recipe for constructing minimal surfaces periodic in time direction and this would make it possible to realize UP for $M^8 - H$ duality.

The general vision would be that $\Lambda > 0$ phases the periodic minimal surfaces can be constructed as piecewise smooth lattice-like structures in the category of real polynomials by using the gluing procedure whereas in $\Lambda = 0$ phase they correspond to smooth surfaces in the category of real analytic functions.

2.2.3 Minimal surfaces spanned by frames

Minimal surfaces spanned by frames are of special interest from TGD point of view.

1. In the TGD framework. Minimal surfaces are spanned by fixed frames at the boundary of CD and by dynamically generated frames in the interior of CD. The dynamically generated frames break strict determinism, which means that space-time surfaces as analogs of Bohr orbits becomes non-unique [L17] and holography (for its various forms see [L12, L13]) forced by the General Coordinate Invariance is not completely unique.
2. CP_2 type extremal in H would correspond to 1-D singularity in M^8 analogous to a frame assigned 2-D minimal surfaces. The physical picture suggests that this curve is a light-like curve for the Kähler action ($\Lambda = 0$) and a light-like geodesic for action involving also volume term ($\Lambda > 0$). In the first case the periodicity of the light-like curve could give rise to periodic minimal surfaces as generalization of helicoid. In the second case discretized variants could replace these curves.
3. For the minimal surfaces discussed above, polynomials are not enough for their construction and the examples involve transcendental functions like trigonometric, exponential and logarithmic functions in their definition.

The same is expected to be true also in TGD. Should one leave the category of polynomials and allow all real analytic functions with rational Taylor coefficients? Or should one assume also the $\Lambda = 0$ phase making possible real analytic functions?

As far as cognitive representations are involved, this would mean that cognition becomes infinite since the extensions of p-adic become infinite. Could $\Lambda = 0$ phase be associated with an expansion of consciousness, kind of enlightenment, and relate to mathematical consciousness?

3 Periodic minimal surfaces with periodicity in time direction

There are several motivations for the periodic minimal surfaces.

3.1 Consistency of $M^8 - H$ duality with Uncertainty Principle

Consistency of $M^8 - H$ duality with UP is one motivation.

1. M^8 is interpreted as an analog of momentum space. $M^8 - H$ correspondence must be consistent with UP. If $M^8 - H$ correspondence in M^4 degrees of freedom involves inversion

of form $m^k \rightarrow \hbar_{eff} m^k / m^2$. [L12, L13, L16]. This solves the problem only partially. $M^8 - H$ correspondence should realize also the idea about plane wave as space-time counterpart of point in momentum space.

The first guess [L16] would be that the $X^4 \subset CD \subset M^8$ is mapped to a union of translates of images of CD by inverse of P^k , where is the total momentum assignable to CD . What I saw as a problem, was that this gives a lattice-like many-particle state rather than a single particle state as a counterpart of a plane wave.

If the momentum is space-like, this is indeed the case. Therefore I proposed that the image is a quantum superposition of translates rather than their union and represents an analog of plane wave. I failed to realize that this is not the case for time-like momentum since periodicity in time direction does not mean lattice as many-particle state.

A geometric correspondence for time-like momenta is possible after all! The problem is a concrete realization of this correspondence and here the geometric construction gluing together the analogs of unit cells to form a periodic structure in time direction suggests itself.

2. Quite concretely, one could take part of $X^4 \subset CD \subset M^8$ defining particle and construct a periodic surface with a period determined by the total time-like momentum assignable to this part of X^4 . X^4 has a slicing by planes $e = e_n$ [L8] assignable to 6-branes with topology of S^6 defining universal special solutions of algebraic equations. Here e_n is a root of the real polynomial defining X^4 .

One could take a piece $[e_1, \dots, e_k]$ of $X^4 \subset CD$ and glue it to its time reversal in M^8 to get a basic unit cell and fuse these unit cells together to obtain a periodic structure.

The differences $e_i - e_j$, which for M^8 correspond to energy differences, are mapped by inversion to time differences $t_i - t_j$ in H . The order of magnitude for the p-adic length scale assignable to CD in question is the same as for the largest difference for the roots as conjectured on basis of the conjecture that the p-adic length scale correspond to a ramified prime of the extension dividing $|t_i - t_j|^2$ for some pair (i, j) . The p-adic prime for CD need not however be a ramified prime and one can develop an argument for how it emerges [L17].

3. Rather remarkably, one can glue together portions $[t_1, ..t_r]$ and the mirror image of $[t_k, t_r]$, for any k . All possible sequences of this kind are possible! This suggests an analogy to logical reasoning: $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ would represent a basic step $t_n \rightarrow t_{n+1}$ in the reasoning and one could combine these steps. Could this process serve as the geometric correlate for logical thought or as engineering at the level of fundamenta interactions?

The physicalists refusing to accept non-determinism at the fundamental level fail to realize that our technology relies on a fusion of deterministic processes and is therefore not consistent with strict determinism. Also computer programs consist of deterministic pieces.

4. There is still one open question. Does the construction of the time lattices occur only at the level of H or both at the level of M^8 and H ? One can argue that the realization of the analog of inverse Fourier transform forces the construction at both sides.

3.2 Bohr orbitology for particles in terms of minimal surfaces

In TGD, space-time surfaces correspond to analogs of Bohr orbits. One should also have classical space-time analogs for ordinary bound states as Bohr orbits for particles. Atoms represent the basic example. In TGD Universe, Bohr model should be much more than mere semiclassical model. Also the geodesic orbits of particles in gravitational fields should have minimal surface analogs.

The Bohr orbits should be representable as parts of minimal surfaces identifiable as deformed CP_2 type extremals. There are two options to consider corresponding to $\Lambda = 0$ phase and to $\Lambda > 0$ phases.

3.2.1 $\Lambda = 0$ phase

$\Lambda = 0$ phase corresponds to a long length scale limit but general considerations encourage its inclusion as a genuine phase. Its relation to $\Lambda > 0$ phases would be like the relation of real numbers to extensions of rationals and transcendental functions to polynomials.

1. For $\Lambda = 0$, CP_2 type extremals are vacuum extremals and correspond to 1-D singularities, which are light-like curves in M^8 blown up to orbits of wormhole contacts in H .

Light-like curve as an M^4 projection of Bohr orbit of this kind can give rise to "zitterbewegung" as a helical motion with average cm velocity $v < c$. The proposal for the TGD based geometric description of Higgs mechanism realizes this zitterbewegung of CP_2 type extremals for Kähler action. This makes it possible to assign to any particle orbit - be it Bohr orbit in an atom or a geodesic path in a gravitational field, an average of a light-like curve.

2. Light-likeness gives rise to Virasoro conditions emerging in the bosonic string theories. This served as a stimulus leading to the assignment of extended Kac-Moody symmetries to the light-like partonic orbits X^3 . The isometries of H define the extended Kac-Moody group. The generators of the Kac-Moody algebra depend on the complex coordinate z of the partonic 2-surface and on the light-like radial coordinate of X^3 . Super-symplectic symmetries assigned to the light-like $\delta M_{\pm}^4 \times CP_2$ and identified as isometries of WCW have an analogous structure [K2] [L14].

The light-like orbits of the partonic 2-surfaces in H are connected by string world sheets. The interpretation could be that in $\Lambda = 0$ phase strings emerge as additional degrees of freedom.

3. For CP_2 part of Kähler action $\Lambda = 0$ CP_2 type extremals are vacua (this need not be the case for the deformations). The C-S term for CP_2 Kähler action carries no momentum and cannot contribute to momentum and cannot realize momentum conservation for deformed CP_2 type extremals.

However, the C-S term for the M^4 part of Kähler action defines the partonic orbits as dynamical entities. If the projection of the deformation of CP_2 type extremal at the wormhole throat has M^4 projection with dimension $D = 3$, M^4 C-S term gives rise to non-vanishing momentum currents and the smooth light-orbit is consistent with the momentum conservation if boundary conditions are realized. What is remarkable that M^4 C-S term also gives rise to small CP breaking, whose origin is not understood in the standard model. The tiny C-S breaking term would be paramount for the existence of elementary particles!

The implications of this picture are rather profound. It could be possible to assign to any physical system rather detailed view about the minimal surfaces involved both at the level of H and M^8 .

The basic objection against the $\Lambda = 0$ phase is that the presence of M^4 Kähler action can make energy negative.

1. The energy of surfaces of form $M^2 \times Y^2 \subset M^4 \times CP_2$, Y^2 Lagrangian 2-manifold, is negative since the electric part of M^4 Kähler form is imaginary. Can one avoid this problem?

These Lagrangian manifolds could be required to be minimal surfaces as an outcome of a limiting procedure. They need not be PEs since they are not complex sub-manifolds: if so, the problem disappears. Indeed, if Y^2 is complex 2-surface, the CP_2 contribution to the energy can make the total energy non-negative.

2. One can also consider a minimal surface type $M^3 \times S^1 \subset M^4 \times CP_2$. This extremal could correspond in M^8 a co-dimension $d_c = 1$ singularity such that the normal planes at a given point of M^3 span geodesic circle $S^1 \subset CP_2$. Even more general curve than S^1 would be allowed by CP_2 Kähler action. This surface is a minimal surface and the energy is negative.

Also these surfaces could be excluded by the failure of the PE property since one cannot regard M^3 as an analog of a complex surface of M^4 or S^1 as a complex surface of CP_2 .

CD in M^8 does not allow negative energies so that also $M^8 - H$ duality and quantum classical correspondence exclude negative energy extremals.

What if the negative energy PEs were possible? The energies of positive and negative energy minimal surfaces could sum up to a tachyonic momentum. What is intriguing, is that in the TGD framework p-adic mass calculations [K1] require a tachyonic ground state and the massless ground states are constructed from it. Note that the tachyonic character of Higgs in the phase without symmetry breaking is analogous to this. Tachyons are also the problem of superstring theories solvable only in critical dimensions.

Could tachyonic states appear as parts of non-tachyonic states somewhat like tachyonic virtual particles appear in Feynman graphs?

1. The possibly existing periodic minimal surfaces with tachyonic total momenta would have an interpretation as lattice-like many-particle states. This excludes them as unphysical. In fact, one cannot construct tachyonic periodic minimal surfaces in the proposed way since the planes $t = t_n$ have time-like normal.
2. M^8 picture allows to interpret tachyonicity as a trick. In the M^8 picture the choice of $M^4 \subset M^8$ is in principle free. The mass squared of the particle depends on this choice since M^4 momentum is a projection of M^8 momentum to $M^4 \subset M^8$. For eigenstates of M^4 mass, one can rotate $M^4 \subset M^8$ in such a manner that the mass squared vanishes. For a superposition of states with different mass squared possible in ZEO this is not possible but one can choose M^4 so that mass squared is minimized. This gives rise to p-adic thermodynamics as a description for the mixing with heavier states.

One could understand the tachyonic ground state as an effective description for the choice of M^4 in this manner.

3. This seems convincing to me but one cannot completely exclude the possibility of minimal surfaces with negative energy. Suppose one has a positive energy state with mass of order CP_2 mass as a state of a super-symplectic representation. Could one construct the needed tachyonic ground state using negative and positive energy space-time surfaces and combine this state with a state with mass of order CP_2 mass to get a massless state?

3.2.2 $\Lambda > 0$ phase

For $\Lambda > 0$ only light-like geodesics are possible and this forces a modification of the above picture by replacing light-like curves with piece-wise light-like geodesics.

1. A discrete variant of zitterbewegung consisting of pieces of light-like geodesics is suggestive. The dynamics in stringy degrees of freedom would be almost frozen and completely dictated by the ends of the string. Discretized version of smooth dynamics would be in question. This kind of phenomenological model for hadronic strings has been proposed.
2. The change of the direction of the partonic orbit takes place in a vertex. In M^8 picture it is associated with a partonic 2-surface associated with a $t = r_n$ hyperplane at which several CP_2 type extremals meet at the level of H . These reactions could be seen as ordinary particle reactions.
3. Another way to change the direction would be based on the interaction of parton with the interior degrees of freedom so that conservation laws are not lost. The interaction between the 3-D orbit of wormhole throat and interior is defined by the condition that normal components of the isometry currents of the total Kähler action are equal to the divergences of C-S currents the partonic orbit. For the M^4 part of C-S action only momentum currents are non-vanishing whereas for CP_2 only color currents are non-vanishing.

At the turning points the normal current of the entire Kähler action - and the divergence of the isometry current for C-S part CP_2 type extremal must become non-vanishing and divergent but cancel each other. Local conservation laws hold true and one can speak of a momentum exchange between interior and wormhole throat. This picture applies also to color currents.

3.2.3 A connection with Higgs mechanism

The fact that zitterbewegung makes the particle effectively massive in long enough scales, suggests an analogy with the massivation by the Higgs mechanism.

1. The interactions between partonic orbits and the interior of the space-time surface are analogous to the interactions of particles with a Higgs field leading to the massivation as the Higgs field develops a vacuum expectation value.
2. M^4 Kähler form represents a constant self-dual Abelian gauge field. Although this field is not a scalar field, it is analogous to the vacuum expectation value of the Higgs field as far as its effects are considered.
3. The electric part is proportional to the imaginary unit and means that the electric contribution to the energy is negative. The way to avoid negative energies has been already considered.

3.2.4 A connection twistor diagrams and generalization of cognitive representations

Also a connection with twistor diagrams is suggestive. The light-like geodesic lines appearing as 1-D singularities in M^8 would correspond to light-like differences of the time-like momenta assignable to vertices. In H they are assignable with partonic 2-surfaces identifiable as boundaries of 3-D blow ups of 1-D singularities in M^8 . In M^8 , the graphs containing time-like momenta connected by singular lines would define analogs of twistor diagrams. Also at the level of H the lines connecting partonic 2-surfaces would be light-like as also the distances between them since the inversion map preserves light-likeness of the tangent curves.

This would pose additional conditions on cognitive representations.

1. The original proposal [?] as that cognitive representation consists of points of X^4 for which M^8 coordinates belong to the EQ associated with the polynomial considered. The expectation was that one has a generic situation so that this set is automatically finite.

The explicit solution of the polynomial equations however led to a surprising finding was that the number of these points was a dense set for the space-time surfaces satisfying co-associativity conditions [L12, L13]. The second surprise was that co-associativity (associativity of normal space) is the only possible option.

2. The additional conditions guaranteeing that the cognitive representation consists of a finite number of objects, generalize it from a discrete set of points to a union of singularities with co-dimension $d_c = 4 - d$, $d = 1, 2, 3$.

The vertices would be connected by $d = 1$ light-like singularities and belong to 2-D partonic 2-surfaces as $d = 2$ singularities at $t = r_n$ surfaces in turn defining $d = 3$ singularities. Also 2-D string world sheets having $d = 1$ singularities as boundaries would be included.

3. This would also generalize twistor diagrams as a frame holographically coding for the space-time surface as an analog of Bohr orbit. At the M^8 level, the definition of the parts of this structure would involve only parameters with values in EQ (say the end points of a light-like geodesic defining it).

3.3 Periodic self-organization patterns, minimal surfaces, and time crystals

Periodic self-organization patterns which die and are reborn appear in biology. Even after images, which die and reincarnate, form this kind of periodic pattern. Presumably these patterns would relate to the magnetic body (MB), which carries dark matter in the TGD sense and controls the biological body (BB) consisting of ordinary matter. The periodic patterns of MB represented as minimal surface would induce corresponding biological patterns.

The notion of time crystal [B2] (<https://cutt.ly/2n65x0k>) as a temporal analog of ordinary crystals in the sense that there is temporal periodicity, was proposed by Frank Wilczek in 2012. Experimental realization was demonstrated in 2016-2017 [D1] but not in the way theorized by

Wilczek. Soon also a no-go theorem against the original form of the time crystal emerged [B3] and motivated generalizations of the Wilczek's proposal.

Temporal lattice-like structures defined by minimal surfaces would be obvious candidates for the space-time correlates of time crystals.

1. One must first specify what one means with time crystals. If the time crystal is a system in thermo-dynamic equilibrium, the basic thermodynamics denies periodic thermal equilibrium. A thermodynamical non-equilibrium state must be in question and for the experimentally realized time crystals periodic energy feed is necessary.

Electrons constrained on a ring in an external magnetic field with fractional flux posed to an energy feed form a time crystal in the sense that due to the repulsive Coulomb interaction electrons form a crystal-like structure which rotates. This example serves as an illustration of what time crystal is.

2. Breaking of a discrete time translation symmetry of the energy feed takes place and the period of the time crystal is a multiple of the period of the energy feed. The periodic energy feed guarantees that the system never reaches thermal equilibrium. According to the Wikipedia article, there is no energy associated with the oscillation of the system. In rotating coordinates the state becomes time-independent as is clear from the example. What comes to mind is a dynamical generation of Galilean invariance applied to an angle variable instead of linear spatial coordinate.
3. Also the existence of isolated time crystals has been proposed assuming unusual long range interactions but have not been realized in laboratory.

Time crystals are highly interesting from the TGD perspective.

1. The periodic minimal surfaces constructed by gluing together unit cells would be time crystals in geometric sense (no thermodynamics) and would provide geometric correlates for plane waves as momentum eigenstates and for periodic self-organization patterns induced by the periodic minimal surfaces realized at the level of the magnetic body. It is difficult to avoid the idea that geometric analogs of time crystals are in question.
2. The hierarchy of effective Planck constants $h_{eff} = nh_0$ is realized at the level of MB. To preserve the values of h_{eff} energy feed is needed since h_{eff} tends to be reduced spontaneously. Therefore energy feed would be necessary for this kind of time crystals. In living systems, the energy feed has an interpretation as a metabolic energy feed.

The breaking of the discrete time translation symmetry could mean that the period at MB becomes a multiple of the period of the energy feed. The periodic minimal surfaces related to ordinary matter and dark matter interact and this requires con-measurability of the periods to achieve resonance.

3. Zero energy ontology (ZEO) predicts that ordinary ("big") state function reduction (BSFR) involves time reversal [L11, L17]. The experiments of Mineev et al [B1] [?] give impressive experimental support for the notion in atomic scales, and that SFR looks completely classical deterministic smooth time evolution for the observer with opposite arrow of time. Macroscopic quantum jump can occur in all scales but ZEO together with h_{eff} hierarchy takes care that the world looks classical! The endless debate about the scale in which quantum world becomes classical would be solely due to complete misunderstanding of the notion of time.
4. Time reversed dissipation looks like self-organization from the point of view of the external observer. A sub-system with non-standard arrow of time apparently extracts energy from the environment [L10]. Could this mechanism make possible systems in which periodic oscillations take place almost without external energy feed?

Could periodic minimal surfaces provide a model for this kind of system?

1. Suppose that one has a basic unit consisting of the piece $[t_1, \dots, t_k]$ and its time reversal glued together. One can form a sequence of these units.

Could the members of these pairs be in states, which are time reversals of each other? The first unit would be in a self-organizing phase and the second unit in a dissipative phase. During the self-organizing period the system would extract part of the dissipated energy from the environment. This kind of state would be "breathing" [L18].

There is certainly a loss of energy from the system so that a metabolic energy feed is required but it could be small. Could living systems be systems of this kind?

2. One can consider also more general non-periodic minimal surfaces constructed from basic building bricks fitting together like legos or pieces of a puzzle. These minimal surfaces could serve as models for thinking and language and behaviors consisting of fixed temporal patterns.

REFERENCES

Mathematics

- [A1] N. Hitchin. Kählerian twistor spaces. *Proc London Math Soc*, 8(43):133–151, 1981.. Available at: <http://tinyurl.com/pb8zpqo>.
- [A2] Marques FC Irie K and Neves A. Density of minimal hypersurfaces for generic metrics, 2018. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1710.10752.pdf>.
- [A3] Marques FC Liokumovich Ye and Neves A. Weyl law for the volume spectrum, 2018. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.08721.pdf>.
- [A4] Osserman R. *A survey of minimal surfaces. Second edition.* Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1986.
- [A5] Meeks WH and Perez J. The classical theory of minimal surfaces. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 48(3), 2011. Available at: <https://cutt.ly/xmw1vUQ>.

Theoretical Physics

- [B1] Mineev ZK et al. To catch and reverse a quantum jump mid-flight, 2019. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.00545>.
- [B2] Wilczek F. Quantum Time Crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109(16), 2012. Available at: <https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.160401>.
- [B3] Oshikawa M Watanabe H. Absence of Quantum Time Crystals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 114(25), 2015. Available at: <https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.251603>.

Condensed Matter Physics

- [D1] Zhang et al. Observation of a Discrete Time Crystal, 2016. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08684>.

Books related to TGD

- [K1] Pitkänen M. Massless states and particle massivation. In *p-Adic Physics*. Available at: <http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/mless.pdf>, 2006.
- [K2] Pitkänen M. Recent View about Kähler Geometry and Spin Structure of WCW . In *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. Available at: <http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/wcwnew.pdf>, 2014.

- [K3] Pitkänen M. Some questions related to the twistor lift of TGD. In *Towards M-Matrix: Part II*. Available at: <http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/twistquestions.pdf>, 2019.
- [K4] Pitkänen M. The Recent View about Twistorialization in TGD Framework. In *Towards M-Matrix: Part II*. Available at: <http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/smatrix.pdf>, 2019.

Articles about TGD

- [L1] Pitkänen M. About minimal surface extremals of Kähler action. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/minimalkahler.pdf, 2016.
- [L2] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry?: part I. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints1.pdf, 2017.
- [L3] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry?: part II. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints2.pdf, 2017.
- [L4] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry?: part III. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints3.pdf, 2017.
- [L5] Pitkänen M. p-Adicization and adelic physics. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelicphysics.pdf, 2017.
- [L6] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. In *Trends and Mathematical Methods in Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences*, pages 241–319. Springer. Available at: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-55612-3_11, 2017.
- [L7] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelephysics.pdf, 2017.
- [L8] Pitkänen M. $M^8 - H$ duality and consciousness. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hconsc.pdf, 2019.
- [L9] Pitkänen M. Minimal surfaces: comparison of the perspectives of mathematician and physicist. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/minimalsurfaces.pdf, 2019.
- [L10] Pitkänen M. Quantum self-organization by h_{eff} changing phase transitions. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/heffselforg.pdf, 2019.
- [L11] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf, 2019.
- [L12] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of $M^8 - H$ duality hypothesis: part I. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H1.pdf, 2020.
- [L13] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of $M^8 - H$ duality hypothesis: part II. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H2.pdf, 2020.
- [L14] Pitkänen M. Summary of Topological Geometro-dynamics. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/tgdarticle.pdf, 2020.
- [L15] Pitkänen M. Is genetic code part of fundamental physics in TGD framework? Available at: https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/TIH.pdf, 2021.
- [L16] Pitkänen M. Is $M^8 - H$ duality consistent with Fourier analysis at the level of $M^4 \times CP_2$? https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hperiodic.pdf, 2021.
- [L17] Pitkänen M. Some questions concerning zero energy ontology. https://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeonew.pdf, 2021.

- [L18] Pitkänen M and Rastmanesh R. Homeostasis as self-organized quantum criticality. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/SP.pdf, 2020.