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1. Introduction

During years several approaches to what preferred extremals of Kähler action and solutions of the Kähler-Dirac equation could be have been proposed and the challenge is to see whether at least some of these approaches are consistent with each other. It is good to list various approaches first.

1. For preferred extremals generalization of conformal invariance to 4-D situation is very attractive approach and leads to concrete conditions formally similar to those encountered in string model. The approach based on basic heuristics for massless equations, on effective 3-dimensionality, and weak form of electric magnetic duality is also promising. An alternative approach is inspired by number theoretical considerations and identifies space-time surfaces as associative or co-associative sub-manifolds of octonionic imbedding space.

2. There are also several approaches for solving the Kähler-Dirac equation. The most promising approach is assumes that other than right-handed neutrino modes are restricted on 2-D stringy world sheets and/or partonic 2-surfaces. This strange looking view is a rather natural consequence of number theoretic vision. The conditions stating that electric charge is conserved for preferred extremals is an alternative very promising approach.

In this chapter the question whether these various approaches are mutually consistent is discussed. It indeed turns out that the approach based on the wel-definedness of electric charge leads under rather general assumptions to the proposal that solutions of the Kähler-Dirac equation are localized on 2-dimensional string world sheets and/or partonic 2-surfaces. This leads to a considerable progress in the understanding of super Virasoro representations for super-symplectic and super-Kac-Moody algebra. In particular, the proposal is that super-Kac-Moody currents assignable to string world sheets define duals of gauge potentials and their generalization for gravitons: in the approximation that gauge group is Abelian - motivated by the notion of finite measurement resolution - the exponents for the sum of KM charges would define non-integrable phase factors. One can also identify Yangian as the algebra generated by these charges. The approach allows also to understand the special role of the right handed neutrino in SUSY according to TGD.

1 Introduction

During years several approaches to what preferred extremals of Kähler action and solutions of the Kähler-Dirac equation could be have been proposed and the challenge is to see whether at least some of these approaches are consistent with each other.

The notion of preferred extremal emerged when I still lived in positive energy ontology. In zero energy ontology (ZEO) situation changes since 3-surfaces are now unions of space-like 3-surfaces at the opposite boundaries of causal diamond (CD). If Kähler action were deterministic, the attribute “preferred” would become obsolete. One of the most important outcomes of non-determinism is quantum criticality realized as a conformal invariance acting as gauge symmetries. The transformations in question are Kac-Moody type symmetries respecting the light-likeness of partonic orbits identified as surfaces at which the signature of the induced metric changes from Minkowskian to Euclidian. The orbits can be grouped to conformal equivalence classes and their number n would define in a natural manner the value of the effective Planck constant $h_{\text{eff}} = n \times h$.

One might hope that in finite measurement resolution the attribute “preferred” would not be needed. Bohr orbitology in ZEO would mean that one has Bohr orbits connecting 3-surfaces at boundaries of CD and this would give strong correlations between these 3-surfaces. Not all of them could be connected. Despite these uncertainties, I will talk in the following about preferred extremals. This means no loss since what is known recently is known for extremals.

It is good to list various approaches first.

1.1 Construction Of Preferred Extremals

There has been considerable progress in the understanding of both preferred extremals and Kähler-Dirac equation.
1. For preferred extremals the generalization of conformal invariance to 4-D situation is very attractive idea and leads to concrete conditions formally similar to those encountered in string model [K2]. In particular, Einstein’s equations with cosmological constant would solve consistency conditions and field equations would reduce to a purely algebraic statements analogous to those appearing in equations for minimal surfaces if one assumes that space-time surface has Hermitian structure or its Minkowskian variant Hamilton-Jacobi structure (Appendix). The older approach based on basic heuristics for massless equations, on effective 3-dimensionality, weak form of electric magnetic duality, and Beltrami flows is also promising. An alternative approach is inspired by number theoretical considerations and identifies space-time surfaces as associative or co-associative sub-manifolds of octonionic imbedding space [K11].

The basic step of progress was the realization that the known extremals of Kähler action - certainly limiting cases of more general extremals - can be deformed to more general extremals having interpretation as preferred extremals.

(a) The generalization boils down to the condition that field equations reduce to the condition that the traces $Tr(TH^k)$ for the product of energy momentum tensor and second fundamental form vanish. In string models energy momentum tensor corresponds to metric and one obtains minimal surface equations. The equations reduce to purely algebraic conditions stating that $T$ and $H^k$ have no common components. Complex structure of string world sheet makes this possible.

Stringy conditions for metric stating $g_{zz} = g_{zz} = 0$ generalize. The condition that field equations reduce to $Tr(TH^k) = 0$ requires that the terms involving Kähler gauge current in field equations vanish. This is achieved if Einstein’s equations hold true (one can consider also more general manners to satisfy the conditions). The conditions guaranteeing the vanishing of the trace in turn boil down to the existence of Hermitian structure in the case of Euclidean signature and to the existence of its analog - Hamilton-Jacobi structure - for Minkowskian signature (Appendix). These conditions state that certain components of the induced metric vanish in complex coordinates or Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates.

In string model the replacement of the imbedding space coordinate variables with quantized ones allows to interpret the conditions on metric as Virasoro conditions. In the recent case a generalization of classical Virasoro conditions to four-dimensional ones would be in question. An interesting question is whether quantization of these conditions could make sense also in TGD framework at least as a useful trick to deduce information about quantum states in WCW degrees of freedom.

The interpretation of the extended algebra as Yangian [A1] [B1] suggested previously [K12] to act as a generalization of conformal algebra in TGD Universe is attractive. There is also the conjecture that preferred extremals could be interpreted as quaternionic of co-quaternionic 4-surface of the octonionic imbedding space with octonionic representation of the gamma matrices defining the notion of tangent space quaternionicity.

1.2 Understanding Kähler-Dirac Equation

There are several approaches for solving the Kähler-Dirac (or Kähler-Dirac) equation.

(a) The most promising approach is discussed in this chapter. It assumes that the solutions are restricted on 2-D stringy world sheets and/or partonic 2-surfaces. This strange looking view is a rather natural consequence of both strong form of holography and of number theoretic vision, and also follows from the notion of finite measurement resolution having discretization at partonic 2-surfaces as a geometric correlate. Furthermore, the conditions stating that electric charge is well-defined for preferred extremals forces the localization of the modes to 2-D surfaces in the generic case. This also resolves the interpretational problems related to possibility of strong parity breaking effects since induce $W$ fields and possibly also $Z^0$ field above weak scale, vanish at these surfaces.
One expects that stringy approach based on 4-D generalization of conformal invariance or its 2-D variant at 2-D preferred surfaces should also allow to understand the Kähler-Dirac equation. Conformal invariance indeed allows to write the solutions explicitly using formulas similar to encountered in string models. In accordance with the earlier conjecture, all modes of the Kähler-Dirac operator generate badly broken super-symmetries.

Covariantly constant right-handed neutrino certainly defines solutions de-localized inside entire space-time sheet. This need not be the case if right-handed neutrino is not covariantly constant since the non-vanishing $CP^2$ part for the induced gamma matrices mixes it with left-handed neutrino. For massless extremals (at least) the $CP^2$ part however vanishes and right-handed neutrino allows also massless holomorphic modes de-localized at entire space-time surface and the de-localization inside Euclidian region defining the line of generalized Feynman diagram is a good candidate for the right-handed neutrino generating the least broken super-symmetry. This super-symmetry seems however to differ from the ordinary one in that $\nu_R$ is expected to behave like a passive spectator in the scattering. Also for the left-handed neutrino solutions localized inside string world sheet the condition that coupling to right-handed neutrino vanishes is guaranteed if gamma matrices are either purely Minkowskian or $CP^2$ like inside the world sheet.

Quantum classical correspondence (QCC) requires a coupling between quantum and classical and this coupling should also give rise to a generalization of quantum measurement theory. The big question is how to realize this coupling.

The proposal discussed in previous chapter was that the addition of a measurement interaction term to the Kähler-Dirac action could do the job and solve a handful of problems of quantum TGD and unify various visions about the physics predicted by quantum TGD. This proposal implies QCC at the level of Kähler-Dirac action and Kähler action. The simplest form of this term is completely analogous to algebraic form of Dirac action in $M^4$ but with integration measure $det(g_4)^{1/2}d^3x$ restricted to the 3-D surface in question.

Another possibility consistent with the considerations of this chapter is that QCC is realized at the level of WCW Dirac operator and Kähler-Dirac operator contains only interior term. I have indeed proposed that WCW spinor fields with given quantum charges in Cartan algebra are superpositions of space-time surfaces with same classical charges. A stronger form of QCC at the level of WCW would be that classical correlation functions for various geometric observables are identical with quantal correlation functions.

The boundary conditions for Kähler-Dirac equation at space-like 3-surfaces are determined by the sum the analog of algebraic massless Dirac operator $p^k\gamma_k$ in $M^4$ coupled to the formal analog of Higgs field defined by the normal component $\Gamma^n$ of the Kähler-Dirac gamma matrix. Higgs field is not in question. Rather the equation allows to formulate space-time correlate for stringy mass formula and also to understand how the ground state conformal weight can be negative half-integer as required by the p-adic mass calculations. At lightlike 3-surfaces $\Gamma^n$ must vanish and the measurement interaction involving $p^k\gamma_k$ vanishes identically.

The considerations in the sequel lead to a considerable progress in the understanding of super Virasoro representations for super-symplectic and super-Kac-Moody algebra. In particular, the proposal is that super-Kac-Moody currents assignable to string world sheets define duals
of gauge potentials and their generalization for gravitons: in the approximation that gauge

group is Abelian - motivated by the notion of finite measurement resolution - the exponents

for the sum of KM charges would define non-integrable phase factors. One can also identify

Yangian as the algebra generated by these charges. The approach allows also to understand

the special role of the right handed neutrino in SUSY according to TGD. It must be however

emphasized that also a weaker form of Einstein’s equations can be considered solving the

condition that the energy momentum tensor for Kähler action has vanishing divergence \[K17\]
implying Einstein’s equations with cosmological constant in general relativity. The weaker

form involves several non-constant parameters analogous to cosmological constant.

The appendix of the book gives a summary about basic concepts of TGD with illustrations.

There are concept maps about topics related to the contents of the chapter prepared using

CMAP realized as html files. Links to all CMAP files can be found at http://tgdtheory.

fi/cmaphtml.html [L2]. Pdf representation of same files serving as a kind of glossary can be

found at http://tgdtheory.fi/tgdglossary.pdf [L3]. The topics relevant to this chapter

are given by the following list.

- TGD as infinite-dimensional geometry [L6]
- WCW spinor fields [L7]
- KD equation [L5]
- Kaehler-Dirac action [L4]

2 About Deformations Of Known Extremals Of Kähler

Action

I have done a considerable amount of speculative guesswork to identify what I have used to
call preferred extremals of Kähler action. The difficulty is that the mathematical problem at
hand is extremely non-linear and that I do not know about existing mathematical literature
relevant to the situation. One must proceed by trying to guess the general constraints on
the preferred extremals which look physically and mathematically plausible. The hope is
that this net of constraints could eventually chrystallize to Eureka! Certainly the recent
speculative picture involves also wrong guesses. The need to find explicit ansatz for the
deformations of known extremals based on some common principles has become pressing.
The following considerations represent an attempt to combine the existing information to
achieve this.

2.1 What Might Be The Common Features Of The Deformations

Of Known Extremals

The dream is to discover the deformations of all known extremals by guessing what is common
to all of them. One might hope that the following list summarizes at least some common
features.

2.1.1 Effective three-dimensionality at the level of action

(a) Holography realized as effective 3-dimensionality also at the level of action requires that
it reduces to 3-dimensional effective boundary terms. This is achieved if the contraction
\( j^\alpha A_\alpha \) vanishes. This is true if \( j^\alpha \) vanishes or is light-like, or if it is proportional to
instanton current in which case current conservation requires that \( CP_2 \) projection of
the space-time surface is 3-dimensional. The first two options for \( j \) have a realization
for known extremals. The status of the third option - proportionality to instanton

current - has remained unclear.
2.1 What Might Be The Common Features Of The Deformations Of Known Extremals

(b) As I started to work again with the problem, I realized that instanton current could be replaced with a more general current \( j^\alpha = *B \wedge J \) or concretely: \( j^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} B_\beta J_\gamma J_\delta \), where \( B \) is vector field and \( CP_2 \) projection is 3-dimensional, which it must be in any case. The contractions of \( j \) appearing in field equations vanish automatically with this ansatz.

(c) Almost topological QFT property in turn requires the reduction of effective boundary terms to Chern-Simons terms: this is achieved by boundary conditions expressing weak form of electric magnetic duality. If one generalizes the weak form of electric-magnetic duality to \( J = \Phi \ast J \) one has \( B = d\Phi \) and \( j \) has a vanishing divergence for 3-D \( CP_2 \) projection. This is clearly a more general solution ansatz than the one based on proportionality of \( j \) with instanton current and would reduce the field equations in concise notation to \( Tr(TH^k) = 0 \).

(d) Any of the alternative properties of the Kähler current implies that the field equations reduce to \( Tr(TH^k) = 0 \), where \( T \) and \( H^k \) are shorthands for Maxwellian energy momentum tensor and second fundamental form and the product of tensors is obvious generalization of matrix product involving index contraction.

2.1.2 Could Einstein’s equations emerge dynamically?

For \( j^\alpha \) satisfying one of the three conditions, the field equations have the same form as the equations for minimal surfaces except that the metric \( g \) is replaced with Maxwell energy momentum tensor \( T \).

(a) This raises the question about dynamical generation of small cosmological constant \( \Lambda \): \( T = A g \) would reduce equations to those for minimal surfaces. For \( T = A g \) Kähler-Dirac gamma matrices would reduce to induced gamma matrices and the Kähler-Dirac operator would be proportional to ordinary Dirac operator defined by the induced gamma matrices. One can also consider weak form for \( T = \Lambda g \) obtained by restricting the consideration to a sub-space of tangent space so that space-time surface is only “partially” minimal surface but this option is not so elegant although necessary for other than \( CP_2 \) type vacuum extremals.

(b) What is remarkable is that \( T = A g \) implies that the divergence of \( T \) which in the general case equals to \( j^\beta J^\alpha_\beta \) vanishes. This is guaranteed by one of the conditions for the Kähler current. Since also Einstein tensor has a vanishing divergence, one can ask whether the condition to \( T = \kappa G + A g \) could the general condition. This would give Einstein’s equations with cosmological term besides the generalization of the minimal surface equations. GRT would emerge dynamically from the non-linear Maxwell’s theory although in slightly different sense as conjectured \[K13\] ! Note that the expression for \( G \) involves also second derivatives of the imbedding space coordinates so that actually a partial differential equation is in question. If field equations reduce to purely algebraic ones, as the basic conjecture states, it is possible to have \( Tr(GH^k) = 0 \) and \( Tr(gH^k) = 0 \) separately so that also minimal surface equations would hold true.

What is amusing that the first guess for the action of TGD was curvature scalar. It gave analogs of Einstein’s equations as a definition of conserved four-momentum currents. The recent proposal would give the analog of ordinary Einstein equations as a dynamical constraint relating Maxwellian energy momentum tensor to Einstein tensor and metric.

(c) Minimal surface property is physically extremely nice since field equations can be interpreted as a non-linear generalization of massless wave equation: something very natural for non-linear variant of Maxwell action. The theory would be also very “stringy” although the fundamental action would not be space-time volume. This can however hold true only for Euclidian signature. Note that for \( CP_2 \) type vacuum extremals Einstein tensor is proportional to metric so that for them the two options are equivalent. For their small deformations situation changes and it might happen that the presence of \( G \) is necessary. The GRT limit of TGD discussed in \[K13\] \[L1\] indeed suggests that \( CP_2 \) type solutions satisfy Einstein’s equations with large cosmological constant and that the
2.1 What Might Be The Common Features Of The Deformations Of Known Extremals

small observed value of the cosmological constant is due to averaging and small volume fraction of regions of Euclidian signature (lines of generalized Feynman diagrams).

(d) For massless extremals and their deformations $T = \Lambda g$ cannot hold true. The reason is that for massless extremals energy momentum tensor has component $T^{wv}$ which actually quite essential for field equations since one has $H^\omega_{\mu} = 0$. Hence for massless extremals and their deformations $T = \Lambda g$ cannot hold true if the induced metric has Hamilton-Jacobi structure meaning that $g^{wu}$ and $g^{vu}$ vanish. A more general relationship of form $T = \kappa G + \Lambda G$ can however be consistent with non-vanishing $T^{wv}$ but require that deformation has at most 3-D $CP_2$ projection ($CP_2$ coordinates do not depend on $v$).

(e) The non-determinism of vacuum extremals suggest for their non-vacuum deformations a conflict with the conservation laws. In, also massless extremals are characterized by a non-determinism with respect to the light-like coordinate but like-likeness saves the situation. This suggests that the transformation of a properly chosen time coordinate of vacuum extremal to a light-like coordinate in the induced metric combined with Einstein’s equations in the induced metric of the deformation could allow to handle the non-determinism.

2.1.3 Are complex structure of $CP_2$ and Hamilton-Jacobi structure of $M^4$ respected by the deformations?

The complex structure of $CP_2$ and Hamilton-Jacobi structure of $M^4$ could be central for the understanding of the preferred extremal property algebraically.

(a) There are reasons to believe that the Hermitian structure of the induced metric ($(1, 1)$ structure in complex coordinates) for the deformations of $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals could be crucial property of the preferred extremals. Also the presence of light-like direction is also an essential elements and 3-dimensionality of $M^4$ projection could be essential. Hence a good guess is that allowed deformations of $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals are such that $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ components the induced metric and/or of the energy momentum tensor vanish. This gives rise to the conditions implying Virasoro conditions in string models in quantization:

$$g_{\xi \psi} = 0, \quad g_{\overline{\psi} \overline{\xi}} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$ (2.1)

Holomorphisms of $CP_2$ preserve the complex structure and Virasoro conditions are expected to generalize to 4-dimensional conditions involving two complex coordinates. This means that the generators have two integer valued indices but otherwise obey an algebra very similar to the Virasoro algebra. Also the super-conformal variant of this algebra is expected to make sense.

These Virasoro conditions apply in the coordinate space for $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals. One expects similar conditions hold true also in field space, that is for $M^4$ coordinates.

(b) The integrable decomposition $M^4(m) = M^2(m) + E^2(m)$ of $M^4$ tangent space to longitudinal and transversal parts (non-physical and physical polarizations) - Hamilton-Jacobi structure- could be a very general property of preferred extremals and very natural since non-linear Maxwellian electrodynamics is in question. This decomposition led rather early to the introduction of the analog of complex structure in terms of what I called Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates $(u, v, w, \overline{w})$ for $M^4$. $(u, v)$ defines a pair of light-like coordinates for the local longitudinal space $M^2(m)$ and $(w, \overline{w})$ complex coordinates for $E^2(m)$. The metric would not contain any cross terms between $M^2(m)$ and $E^2(m)$:

$$g_{uw} = g_{vw} = g_{w\overline{w}} = g_{u\overline{w}} = 0.$$

A good guess is that the deformations of massless extremals respect this structure. This condition gives rise to the analog of the constraints leading to Virasoro conditions stating the vanishing of the non-allowed components of the induced metric. $g_{uu} = g_{vv} = g_{uw} = g_{vw} = g_{uw} = g_{vw} = g_{u\overline{w}} = g_{v\overline{w}} = 0$. Again the generators of the algebra would involve two integers and the structure is that of Virasoro algebra and also generalization
to super algebra is expected to make sense. The moduli space of Hamilton-Jacobi structures would be part of the moduli space of the preferred extremals and analogous to the space of all possible choices of complex coordinates. The analogs of infinitesimal holomorphic transformations would preserve the modular parameters and give rise to a 4-dimensional Minkowskian analog of Virasoro algebra. The conformal algebra acting on $CP_2$ coordinates acts in field degrees of freedom for Minkowskian signature.

2.1.4 Field equations as purely algebraic conditions

If the proposed picture is correct, field equations would reduce basically to purely algebraically conditions stating that the Maxwellian energy momentum tensor has no common index pairs with the second fundamental form. For the deformations of $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals $T$ is a complex tensor of type $(1, 1)$ and second fundamental form $H^k$ a tensor of type $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$ so that $Tr(TH^k) = 0$ is true. This requires that second light-like coordinate of $M^4$ is constant so that the $M^4$ projection is 3-dimensional. For Minkowskian signature of the induced metric Hamilton-Jacobi structure replaces conformal structure. Here the dependence of $CP_2$ coordinates on second light-like coordinate of $M^2(m)$ only plays a fundamental role. Note that now $T^{uu}$ is non-vanishing (and light-like). This picture generalizes to the deformations of cosmic strings and even to the case of vacuum extremals.

2.2 What Small Deformations Of $CP_2$ Type Vacuum Extremals Could Be?

I was led to these arguments when I tried find preferred extremals of Kähler action, which would have 4-D $CP_2$ and $M^4$ projections - the Maxwell phase analogous to the solutions of Maxwell’s equations that I conjectured long time ago. It however turned out that the dimensions of the projections can be $(D_{M^4} \leq 3, D_{CP_2} = 4)$ or $(D_{M^4} = 4, D_{CP_2} \leq 3)$. What happens is essentially breakdown of linear superposition so that locally one can have superposition of modes which have 4-D wave vectors in the same direction. This is actually very much like quantization of radiation field to photons now represented as separate space-time sheets and one can say that Maxwellian superposition corresponds to union of separate photonic space-time sheets in TGD.

Approximate linear superposition of fields is fundamental in standard physics framework and is replaced in TGD with a linear superposition of effects of classical fields on a test particle topologically condensed simultaneously to several space-time sheets. One can say that linear superposition is replaced with a disjoint union of space-time sheets. In the following I shall restrict the consideration to the deformations of $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals.

2.2.1 Solution ansatz

I proceed by the following arguments to the ansatz.

(a) Effective 3-dimensionality for action (holography) requires that action decomposes to vanishing $j^\alpha A_\alpha$ term + total divergence giving 3-D “boundary” terms. The first term certainly vanishes (giving effective 3-dimensionality) for

$$D_3 J^\alpha = 0 = 0$$

Empty space Maxwell equations, something extremely natural. Also for the proposed GRT limit these equations are true.

(b) How to obtain empty space Maxwell equations $j^\alpha = 0$? The answer is simple: assume self duality or its slight modification:

$$J = *J$$

holding for $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals or a more general condition
2.2 What Small Deformations Of $CP_2$ Type Vacuum Extremals Could Be?

In the simplest situation $k$ is some constant not far from unity. $*$ is Hodge dual involving 4-D permutation symbol. $k = \text{constant}$ requires that the determinant of the induced metric is apart from constant equal to that of $CP_2$ metric. It does not require that the induced metric is proportional to the $CP_2$ metric, which is not possible since $M^4$ contribution to metric has Minkowskian signature and cannot be therefore proportional to $CP_2$ metric.

One can consider also a more general situation in which $k$ is scalar function as a generalization of the weak electric-magnetic duality. In this case the Kähler current is non-vanishing but divergenceless. This also guarantees the reduction to $Tr(TH^k) = 0$. In this case however the proportionality of the metric determinant to that for $CP_2$ metric is not needed. This solution ansatz becomes therefore more general.

(c) Field equations reduce with these assumptions to equations differing from minimal surfaces equations only in that metric $g$ is replaced by Maxwellian energy momentum tensor $T$. Schematically:

$$Tr(TH^k) = 0,$$

where $T$ is the Maxwellian energy momentum tensor and $H^k$ is the second fundamental form - asymmetric 2-tensor defined by covariant derivative of gradients of imbedding space coordinates.

2.2.2 How to satisfy the condition $Tr(TH^k) = 0$?

It would be nice to have minimal surface equations since they are the non-linear generalization of massless wave equations. It would be also nice to have the vanishing of the terms involving Kähler current in field equations as a consequence of this condition. Indeed, $T = \kappa G + \Lambda g$ implies this. In the case of $CP_2$ vacuum extremals one cannot distinguish between these options since $CP_2$ itself is constant curvature space with $G \propto g$. Furthermore, if $G$ and $g$ have similar tensor structure the algebraic field equations for $G$ and $g$ are satisfied separately so that one obtains minimal surface property also now. In the following minimal surface option is considered.

(a) The first option is achieved if one has

$$T = \Lambda g.$$  

Maxwell energy momentum tensor would be proportional to the metric! One would have dynamically generated cosmological constant! This begins to look really interesting since it appeared also at the proposed CRT limit of TGD [L1]. Note that here also non-constant value of $\Lambda$ can be considered and would correspond to a situation in which $k$ is scalar function: in this case the the determinant condition can be dropped and one obtains just the minimal surface equations.

(b) Very schematically and forgetting indices and being sloppy with signs, the expression for $T$ reads as

$$T = JJ - g/ATr(JJ).$$

Note that the product of tensors is obtained by generalizing matrix product. This should be proportional to metric. Self duality implies that $Tr(JJ)$ is just the instanton density and does not depend on metric and is constant.

For $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals one obtains

$$T = -g + g = 0.$$  

Cosmological constant would vanish in this case.
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(c) Could it happen that for deformations a small value of cosmological constant is generated?

The condition would reduce to

$$JJ = (\Lambda - 1)g.$$  

$\Lambda$ must relate to the value of parameter $k$ appearing in the generalized self-duality condition. For the most general ansatz $\Lambda$ would not be constant anymore. This would generalize the defining condition for Kähler form

$$JJ = -g \ (i^2 = -1 \text{ geometrically})$$

stating that the square of Kähler form is the negative of metric. The only modification would be that index raising is carried out by using the induced metric containing also $M^4$ contribution rather than $CP_2$ metric.

(d) Explicitly:

$$J_{\alpha\mu}J^\mu_{\beta} = (\Lambda - 1)g_{\alpha\beta}.$$  

Cosmological constant would measure the breaking of Kähler structure. By writing $g = s + m$ and defining index raising of tensors using $CP_2$ metric and their product accordingly, this condition can be also written as

$$Jm = (\Lambda - 1)mJ.$$  

If the parameter $k$ is constant, the determinant of the induced metric must be proportional to the $CP_2$ metric. If $k$ is scalar function, this condition can be dropped. Cosmological constant would not be constant anymore but the dependence on $k$ would drop out from the field equations and one would hope of obtaining minimal surface equations also now. It however seems that the dimension of $M^4$ projection cannot be four. For 4-D $M^4$ projection the contribution of the $M^2$ part of the $M^4$ metric gives a non-holomorphic contribution to $CP_2$ metric and this spoils the field equations.

For $T = \kappa G + \Lambda g$ option the value of the cosmological constant is large - just as it is for the proposed GRT limit of TGD [K13] [L1]. The interpretation in this case is that the average value of cosmological constant is small since the portion of space-time volume containing generalized Feynman diagrams is very small.

2.2.3 More detailed ansatz for the deformations of $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals

One can develop the ansatz to a more detailed form. The most obvious guess is that the induced metric is apart from constant conformal factor the metric of $CP_2$. This would guarantee self-duality apart from constant factor and $j^a = 0$. Metric would be in complex $CP_2$ coordinates tensor of type $(1, 1)$ whereas $CP_2$ Riemann connection would have only purely holomorphic or anti-holomorphic indices. Therefore $CP_2$ contributions in $Tr(TH^k)$ would vanish identically. $M^4$ degrees of freedom however bring in difficulty. The $M^4$ contribution to the induced metric should be proportional to $CP_2$ metric and this is impossible due to the different signatures. The $M^4$ contribution to the induced metric breaks its Kähler property but would preserve Hermitian structure.

A more realistic guess based on the attempt to construct deformations of $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals is following.

(a) Physical intuition suggests that $M^4$ coordinates can be chosen so that one has integrable decomposition to longitudinal degrees of freedom parametrized by two light-like coordinates $u$ and $v$ and to transversal polarization degrees of freedom parametrized by complex coordinate $w$ and its conjugate. $M^4$ metric would reduce in these coordinates to a direct sum of longitudinal and transverse parts. I have called these coordinates Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates.
2.3 Hamilton-Jacobi Conditions In Minkowskian Signature

(b) \( w \) would be holomorphic function of \( CP_2 \) coordinates and therefore satisfy the analog of massless wave equation. This would give hopes about rather general solution ansatz. \( u \) and \( v \) cannot be holomorphic functions of \( CP_2 \) coordinates. Unless wither \( u \) or \( v \) is constant, the induced metric would receive contributions of type \((2, 0)\) and \((0, 2)\) coming from \( u \) and \( v \) which would break Kähler structure and complex structure. These contributions would give no-vanishing contribution to all minimal surface equations. Therefore either \( u \) or \( v \) is constant: the coordinate line for non-constant coordinate -say \( u \)- would be analogous to the \( M^4 \) projection of \( CP_2 \) type vacuum extremal.

(c) With these assumptions the induced metric would remain \((1, 1)\) tensor and one might hope that \( Tr(TH^k) \) contractions vanishes for all variables except \( u \) because the there are no common index pairs (this if non-vanishing Christoffel symbols for \( H \) involve only holomorphic or anti-holomorphic indices in \( CP_2 \) coordinates). For \( u \) one would obtain massless wave equation expressing the minimal surface property.

(d) If the value of \( k \) is constant the determinant of the induced metric must be proportional to the determinant of \( CP_2 \) metric. The induced metric would contain only the contribution from the transversal degrees of freedom besides \( CP_2 \) contribution. Minkowski contribution has however rank 2 as \( CP_2 \) tensor and cannot be proportional to \( CP_2 \) metric. It is however enough that its determinant is proportional to the determinant of \( CP_2 \) metric with constant proportionality coefficient. This condition gives an additional non-linear condition to the solution. One would have wave equation for \( u \) (also \( w \) and its conjugate satisfy massless wave equation) and determinant condition as an additional condition.

The determinant condition reduces by the linearity of determinant with respect to its rows to sum of conditions involved 0, 1, 2 rows replaced by the transversal \( M^4 \) contribution to metric given if \( M^4 \) metric decomposes to direct sum of longitudinal and transversal parts. Derivatives with respect to derivative with respect to particular \( CP_2 \) complex coordinate appear linearly in this expression they can depend on \( u \) via the dependence of transversal metric components on \( u \). The challenge is to show that this equation has (or does not have) non-trivial solutions.

(e) If the value of \( k \) is scalar function the situation changes and one has only the minimal surface equations and Virasoro conditions.

What makes the ansatz attractive is that special solutions of Maxwell empty space equations are in question, equations reduces to non-linear generalizations of Euclidian massless wave equations, and possibly space-time dependent cosmological constant pops up dynamically. These properties are true also for the GRT limit of TGD [1].

2.3 Hamilton-Jacobi Conditions In Minkowskian Signature

The maximally optimistic guess is that the basic properties of the deformations of \( CP_2 \) type vacuum extremals generalize to the deformations of other known extremals such as massless extremals, vacuum extremals with 2-D \( CP_2 \) projection which is Lagrangian manifold, and cosmic strings characterized by Minkowskian signature of the induced metric. These properties would be following.

(a) The recomposition of \( M^4 \) tangent space to longitudinal and transversal parts giving Hamilton-Jacobi structure. The longitudinal part has hypercomplex structure but the second light-like coordinate is constant: this plays a crucial role in guaranteeing the vanishing of contractions in \( Tr(TH^k) \). It is the algebraic properties of \( g \) and \( T \) which are crucial. \( T \) can however have light-like component \( T^{vv} \). For the deformations of \( CP_2 \) type vacuum extremals \((1, 1)\) structure is enough and is guaranteed if second light-like coordinate of \( M^4 \) is constant whereas \( w \) is holomorphic function of \( CP_2 \) coordinates.

(b) What could happen in the case of massless extremals? Now one has 2-D \( CP_2 \) projection in the initial situation and \( CP_2 \) coordinates depend on light-like coordinate \( u \) and single real transversal coordinate. The generalization would be obvious: dependence on single
light-like coordinate \( u \) and holomorphic dependence on \( w \) for complex \( \mathbb{CP}_2 \) coordinates. The constraint is \( T = \Lambda g \) cannot hold true since \( T^{vv} \) is non-vanishing (and light-like). This property restricted to transversal degrees of freedom could reduce the field equations to minimal surface equations in transversal degrees of freedom. The transversal part of energy momentum tensor would be proportional to metric and hence covariantly constant. Gauge current would remain light-like but would not be given by \( j = *d\phi \wedge J \).

\( T = \kappa G + \Lambda g \) seems to define the attractive option. It therefore seems that the essential ingredient could be the condition

\[
T = \kappa G + \lambda g ,
\]

which has structure \((1, 1)\) in both \( M^2(m) \) and \( E^2(m) \) degrees of freedom apart from the presence of \( T^{vv} \) component with deformations having no dependence on \( v \). If the second fundamental form has \((2, 0)+(0, 2)\) structure, the minimal surface equations are satisfied provided Kähler current satisfies on of the proposed three conditions and if \( G \) and \( g \) have similar tensor structure.

One can actually pose the conditions of metric as complete analogs of stringy constraints leading to Virasoro conditions in quantization to give

\[
g_{uu} = 0 , \quad g_{vv} = 0 , \quad g_{ww} = 0 , \quad g_{w\overline{w}} = 0 .
\] (2.2)

This brings in mind the generalization of Virasoro algebra to four-dimensional algebra for which an identification in terms of non-local Yangian symmetry has been proposed \[K12\]. The number of conditions is four and the same as the number of independent field equations. One can consider similar conditions also for the energy momentum tensor \( T \) but allowing non-vanishing component \( T^{vv} \) if deformations has no \( v \)-dependence. This would solve the field equations if the gauge current vanishes or is light-like. On this case the number of equations is 8. First order differential equations are in question and they can be also interpreted as conditions fixing the coordinates used since there is infinite number of manners to choose the Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates.

One can try to apply the physical intuition about general solutions of field equations in the linear case by writing the solution as a superposition of left and right propagating solutions:

\[
\xi^k = f^k_+ (u, w) + f^k_- (v, w) .
\] (2.3)

This could guarantee that second fundamental form is of form \((2, 0)+(0, 2)\) in both \( M^2 \) and \( E^2 \) part of the tangent space and these terms if \( Tr(TH^k) \) vanish identically. The remaining terms involve contractions of \( T^{uw} \), \( T^{w\overline{w}} \), \( T^{v\overline{w}} \), \( T^{w\overline{v}} \) with second fundamental form. Also these terms should sum up to zero or vanish separately. Second fundamental form has components coming from \( f^k_+ \) and \( f^k_- \).

Second fundamental form \( H^k \) has as basic building bricks terms \( \hat{H}^k \) given by

\[
\hat{H}^k_{\alpha \beta} = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta h^k + \left( _m^k \right) \partial_\alpha h^l \partial_\beta h^m .
\] (2.4)

For the proposed ansatz the first terms give vanishing contribution to \( \hat{H}^k_{uu} \). The terms containing Christoffel symbols however give a non-vanishing contribution and one can allow only \( f^k_+ \) or \( f^k_- \) as in the case of massless extremals. This reduces the dimension of \( \mathbb{CP}_2 \) projection to \( D = 3 \).

What about the condition for Kähler current? Kähler form has components of type \( J_{w\overline{w}} \) whose contravariant counterpart gives rise to space-like current component. \( J_{uw} \) and \( J_{w\overline{w}} \) give rise to light-like currents components. The condition would state that the \( J^{w\overline{w}} \) is covariantly constant. Solutions would be characterized by a constant Kähler magnetic field. Also electric field is represent. The interpretation both radiation and magnetic flux tube makes sense.
2.4 Deformations Of Cosmic Strings

In the physical applications it has been assumed that the thickening of cosmic strings to Kähler magnetic flux tubes takes place. One indeed expects that the proposed construction generalizes also to the case of cosmic strings having the decomposition $X^4 = X^2 \times Y^2 \subset M^4 \times CP_2$, where $X^2$ is minimal surface and $Y^2$ a complex homologically non-trivial submanifold of $CP_2$. Now the starting point structure is Hamilton-Jacobi structure for $M^2_m \times Y^2$ defining the coordinate space.

(a) The deformation should increase the dimension of either $CP_2$ or $M^4$ projection or both. How this thickening could take place? What comes in mind that the string orbits $X^2$ can be interpreted as a distribution of longitudinal spaces $M^2(x)$ so that for the deformation $w$ coordinate becomes a holomorphic function of the natural $Y^2$ complex coordinate so that $M^4$ projection becomes 4-D but $CP_2$ projection remains 2-D. The new contribution to the $X^2$ part of the induced metric is vanishing and the contribution to the $Y^2$ part is of type $(1, 1)$ and the the ansatz $T = \kappa G + \Lambda g$ might be needed as a generalization of the minimal surface equations. The ratio of $\kappa$ and $G$ would be determined from the form of the Maxwellian energy momentum tensor and be fixed at the limit of undeformed cosmic strong to $T = (ag(Y^2) - bg(Y^2))$. The value of cosmological constant is now large, and overall consistency suggests that $T = \kappa G + \Lambda g$ is the correct option also for the $CP_2$ type vacuum extremals.

(b) One could also imagine that remaining $CP_2$ coordinates could depend on the complex coordinate of $Y^2$ so that also $CP_2$ projection would become 4-dimensional. The induced metric would receive holomorphic contributions in $Y^2$ part. As a matter fact, this option is already implied by the assumption that $Y^2$ is a complex surface of $CP_2$.

2.5 Deformations Of Vacuum Extremals?

What about the deformations of vacuum extremals representable as maps from $M^4$ to $CP_2$?

(a) The basic challenge is the non-determinism of the vacuum extremals. One should perform the deformation so that conservation laws are satisfied. For massless extremals there is also non-determinism but it is associated with the light-like coordinate so that there are no problems with the conservation laws. This would suggest that a properly chosen time coordinate consistent with Hamilton-Jacobi decomposition becomes light-like coordinate in the induced metric. This poses a conditions on the induced metric.

(b) Physical intuition suggests that one cannot require $T = \Lambda g$ since this would mean that the rank of $T$ is maximal whereas the original situation corresponds to the vanishing of $T$. For small deformations rank two for $T$ looks more natural and one could think that $T$ is proportional to a projection of metric to a 2-D subspace. The vision about the long length scale limit of TGD is that Einstein’s equations are satisfied and this would suggest $T = kG$ or $T = \kappa G + \Lambda g$. The rank of $T$ could be smaller than four for this ansatz and this conditions binds together the values of $\kappa$ and $G$.

(c) These extremals have $CP_2$ projection which in the generic case is 2-D Lagrangian submanifold $Y^2$. Again one could assume Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates for $X^4$. For $CP_2$ one could assume Darboux coordinates $(P_i, Q_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, in which one has $A = P dQ^2$, and that $Y^2 \subset CP_2$ corresponds to $Q_1 = constant$. In principle $P_i$ would depend on arbitrary manner on $M^4$ coordinates. It might be more convenient to use as coordinates $(u, v)$ for $M^2$ and $(P_1, P_2)$ for $Y^2$. This covers also the situation when $M^4$ projection is not 4-D. By its 2-dimensionality $Y^2$ allows always a complex structure defined by its induced metric: this complex structure is not consistent with the complex structure of $CP_2$ ($Y^2$ is not complex sub-manifold).

Using Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates the pre-image of a given point of $Y^2$ is a 2-dimensional sub-manifold $X^2$ of $X^4$ and defines also 2-D sub-manifold of $M^4$. The following picture suggests itself. The projection of $X^2$ to $M^4$ can be seen for a suitable choice of Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates as an analog of Lagrangian sub-manifold in $M^4$ that is as
surface for which $v$ and $\text{Im}(w)$ vary and $u$ and $\text{Re}(w)$ are constant. $X^2$ would be obtained by allowing $u$ and $\text{Re}(w)$ to vary: as a matter fact, $(P_1, P_2)$ and $(u, \text{Re}(w))$ would be related to each other. The induced metric should be consistent with this picture. This would requires $g_{u\text{Re}(w)} = 0$.

For the deformations $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ would become non-constant and they should depend on the second light-like coordinate $v$ only so that only $g_{uv}$ and $g_{uw}$ and $g_{v\overline{w}}$ receive contributions which vanish. This would give rise to the analogs of Virasoro conditions guaranteeing that $T$ is a tensor of form $(1, 1)$ in both $M^2$ and $E^2$ indices and that there are no cross components in the induced metric. A more general formulation states that energy momentum tensor satisfies these conditions. The conditions on $T$ might be equivalent with the conditions for $g$ and $G$ separately.

(d) Einstein’s equations provide an attractive manner to achieve the vanishing of effective 3-dimensionality of the action. Einstein equations would be second order differential equations and the idea that a deformation of vacuum extremal is in question suggests that the dynamics associated with them is in directions transversal to $Y^2$ so that only the deformation is dictated partially by Einstein’s equations.

(e) Lagrangian manifolds do not involve complex structure in any obvious manner. One could however ask whether the deformations could involve complex structure in a natural manner in $CP^2$ degrees of freedom so that the vanishing of $g_{uv}$ would be guaranteed by holomorphy of $CP^2$ complex coordinate as function of $w$.

One should get the complex structure in some natural manner: in other words, the complex structure should relate to the geometry of $CP^2$ somehow. The complex coordinate defined by say $z = P_1 + iQ_1$ for the deformation suggests itself. This would suggest that at the limit when one puts $Q_1 = 0$ one obtains $P_1 = P_1(\text{Re}(w))$ for the vacuum extremals and the deformation could be seen as an analytic continuation of real function to region of complex plane. This is in spirit with the algebraic approach. The vanishing of Kähler current requires that the Kähler magnetic field is covariantly constant: $D_z J^z = 0$ and $D_{\overline{z}} J^{\overline{z}} = 0$.

(f) One could consider the possibility that the resulting 3-D sub-manifold of $CP^2$ can be regarded as contact manifold with induced Kähler form non-vanishing in 2-D section with natural complex coordinates. The third coordinate variable- call it $s$- of the contact manifold and second coordinate of its transversal section would depend on time space-time coordinates for vacuum extremals. The coordinate associated with the transversal section would be continued to a complex coordinate which is holomorphic function of $w$ and $u$.

(g) The resulting thickened magnetic flux tubes could be seen as another representation of Kähler magnetic flux tubes: at this time as deformations of vacuum flux tubes rather than cosmic strings. For this ansatz it is however difficult to imagine deformations carrying Kähler electric field.

2.6 About The Interpretation Of The Generalized Conformal Algebras

The long-standing challenge has been finding of the direct connection between the superconformal symmetries assumed in the construction of the geometry of the “world of classical worlds” ( WCW ) and possible conformal symmetries of field equations. 4-dimensionality and Minkowskian signature have been the basic problems. The recent construction provides new insights to this problem.

(a) In the case of string models the quantization of the Fourier coefficients of coordinate variables of the target space gives rise to Kac-Moody type algebra and Virasoro algebra generators are quadratic in these. Also now Kac-Moody type algebra is expected. If one were to perform a quantization of the coefficients in Laurents series for complex $CP^2$ coordinates, one would obtain interpretation in terms of $su(3) = u(2) + t$ decomposition, where $t$ corresponds to $CP^2$: the oscillator operators would correspond to generators in $t$. 


and their commutator would give generators in $u(2)$. SU(3)/SU(2) coset representation for Kac-Moody algebra would be in question. Kac-Moody algebra would be associated with the generators in both $M^4$ and $CP_2$ degrees of freedom. This kind of Kac-Moody algebra appears in quantum TGD.

(b) The constraints on induced metric imply a very close resemblance with string models and a generalization of Virasoro algebra emerges. An interesting question is how the two algebras acting on coordinate and field degrees of freedom relate to the super-conformal algebras defined by the symplectic group of $\delta M_4^+ \times CP_2$ acting on space-like 3-surfaces at boundaries of CD and to the Kac-Moody algebras acting on light-like 3-surfaces. It has been conjectured that these algebras allow a continuation to the interior of space-time surface made possible by its slicing by 2-surfaces parametrized by 2-surfaces. The proposed construction indeed provides this kind of slicings in both $M^4$ and $CP_2$ factor.

(c) In the recent case, the algebras defined by the Fourier coefficients of field variables would be Kac-Moody algebras. Virasoro algebra acting on preferred coordinates would be expressed in terms of the Kac-Moody algebra in the standard Sugawara construction applied in string models. The algebra acting on field space would be analogous to the conformal algebra assignable to the symplectic algebra so that also symplectic algebra is present. Stringy pragmatist could imagine quantization of symplectic algebra by replacing $CP_2$ coordinates in the expressions of Hamiltonians with oscillator operators. This description would be counterpart for the construction of spinor harmonics in WCW and might provide some useful insights.

(d) For given type of space-time surface either $CP_2$ or $M^4$ corresponds to Kac-Moody algebra but not both. From the point of view of quantum TGD it looks as that something were missing. An analogous problem was encountered at GRT limit of TGD [L1]. When Euclidian space-time regions are allowed Einstein-Maxwell action is able to mimic standard model with a surprising accuracy but there is a problem: one obtains either color charges or $M^4$ charges but not both. Perhaps it is not enough to consider either $CP_2$ type vacuum extremal or its exterior but both to describe particle: this would give the direct product of the Minkowskian and Euclidian algebras acting on tensor product. This does not however seem to be consistent with the idea that the two descriptions are duality related (the analog of T-duality).

3. Under What Conditions Electric Charge Is Conserved For The Kähler-Dirac Equation?

One might think that talking about the conservation of electric charge at 21st century is a waste of time. In TGD framework this is certainly not the case.

(a) In quantum field theories there are two manners to define em charge: as electric flux over 2-D surface sufficiently far from the source region or in the case of spinor field quantum mechanically as combination of fermion number and vectorial isospin. The latter definition is quantum mechanically more appropriate.

(b) There is however a problem. In standard approach to gauge theory Dirac equation in presence of charged classical gauge fields does not conserve electric charge as quantum number: electron is transformed to neutrino and vice versa. Quantization solves the problem since the non-conservation can be interpreted in terms of emission of gauge bosons. In TGD framework this does not work since one does not have path integral quantization anymore. Preferred extremals carry classical gauge fields and the question whether em charge is conserved arises. Heuristic picture suggests that em charge must be conserved.

It seems that one should pose the well-definedness of spinorial em charge as an additional condition. Well-definedness of em charge is not the only problem. How to avoid large parity breaking effects due to classical $Z^0$ fields? How to avoid the problems due to the fact that
3.1 Conservation Of EM Charge For Kähler Dirac Equation

What does the conservation of em charge imply in the case of the Kähler-Dirac equation? The obvious guess that the em charged part of the Kähler-Dirac operator must annihilate the solutions, turns out to be correct as the following argument demonstrates.

(a) Em charge as coupling matrix can be defined as a linear combination $Q = aI + bI_3$, where $I$ is unit matrix and $I_3$ vectorial isospin matrix, $J_{kl}$ is the Kähler form of $CP_2$, $\Sigma_{kl}$ denotes sigma matrices, and $a$ and $b$ are numerical constants different for quarks and leptons. $Q$ is covariantly constant in $M^4 \times CP_2$ and its covariant derivatives at space-time surface are also well-defined and vanish.

(b) The modes of the Kähler-Dirac equation should be eigen modes of $Q$. This is the case if the Kähler-Dirac operator $D$ commutes with $Q$. The covariant constancy of $Q$ can be used to derive the condition $[D,Q] \Psi = D_1 \Psi = 0$ , $D_1 = [D,Q] = \tilde{\Gamma}^\mu D_\mu$ , $\tilde{\Gamma}^\mu = \left[ \tilde{\Gamma}^\mu, Q \right]$ . (3.1)

Covariant constancy of $J$ is absolutely essential: without it the resulting conditions would not be so simple. It is easy to find that also $[D_1,Q] \Psi = 0$ and its higher iterates $[D_n,Q] \Psi = 0$, $D_n = [D_{n-1},Q]$ must be true. The solutions of the Kähler-Dirac equation would have an additional symmetry.

(c) The commutator $D_1 = [D,Q]$ reduces to a sum of terms involving the commutators of the vectorial isospin $I_3 = J_{kl} \Sigma_{kl}$ with the $CP_2$ part of the gamma matrices:

$D_1 = [Q,D] = [I_3,\Gamma_r] \partial_{\alpha} s^\alpha T^{\alpha \mu} D_{\mu}$ . (3.2)

In standard complex coordinates in which $U(2)$ acts linearly the complexified gamma matrices can be chosen to be eigenstates of vectorial isospin. Only the charged flat space complexified gamma matrices $\Gamma^A$ denoted by $\Gamma^+$ and $\Gamma^-$ possessing charges $+1$ and $-1$ contribute to the right hand side. Therefore the additional Dirac equation $D_2 \Psi = 0$ states

$D_1 \Psi = [Q,D] \Psi = I_3(A) e_{Ar} \Gamma^A \partial_{\mu} s^\mu T^{\alpha \mu} D_{\alpha} \Psi$

$= (e_{+} \Gamma^+ - e_{-} \Gamma^-) \partial_{\mu} s^\mu T^{\alpha \mu} D_{\alpha} \Psi = 0$ . (3.3)

The next condition is

$D_2 \Psi = [Q,D] \Psi = (e_{+} \Gamma^+ + e_{-} \Gamma^-) \partial_{\mu} s^\mu T^{\alpha \mu} D_{\alpha} \Psi = 0$ . (3.4)
Only the relative sign of the two terms has changed. The remaining conditions give nothing new.

(d) These equations imply two separate equations for the two charged gamma matrices

\[
\begin{align*}
D_+ \Psi &= T^\alpha_+ \Gamma^+ D_\alpha \Psi = 0, \\
D_- \Psi &= T^\alpha_- \Gamma^- D_\alpha \Psi = 0, \\
T^\alpha_{\pm} &= e_{\pm r} \partial_{s} T^{\alpha \mu}.
\end{align*}
\]

These conditions state what one might have expected: the charged part of the Kähler-Dirac operator annihilates separately the solutions. The reason is that the classical \( W \) fields are proportional to \( e_r \).

The above equations can be generalized to define a decomposition of the energy momentum tensor to charged and neutral components in terms of vierbein projections. The equations state that the analogs of the Kähler-Dirac equation defined by charged components of the energy momentum tensor are satisfied separately.

(e) In complex coordinates one expects that the two equations are complex conjugates of each other for Euclidian signature. For the Minkowskian signature an analogous condition should hold true. The dynamics enters the game in an essential manner: whether the equations can be satisfied depends on the coefficients \( a \) and \( b \) in the expression \( T = aG + bg \) implied by Einstein’s equations in turn guaranteeing that the solution ansatz generalizing minimal surface solutions holds true [K2].

(f) As a result one obtains three separate Dirac equations corresponding to the neutral part \( D_0 \Psi = 0 \) and charged parts \( D_{\pm} \Psi = 0 \) of the Kähler-Dirac equation. By acting on the equations with these Dirac operators one obtains also that the commutators \([D_+, D_-]\), \([D_0, D_\pm]\) and also higher commutators obtained from these annihilate the induced spinor field model. Therefore entire -possibly- infinite-dimensional algebra would annihilate the induced spinor fields. In string model the counterpart of Dirac equation when quantized gives rise to Super-Virasoro conditions. This analogy would suggest that Kähler-Dirac equation gives rise to the analog of Super-Virasoro conditions in 4-D case. But what the higher conditions mean? Could they relate to the proposed generalization to Yangian algebra? Obviously these conditions resemble structurally Virasoro conditions \( L_n|\text{phys}\rangle = 0 \) and their supersymmetric generalizations, and might indeed correspond to a generalization of these conditions just as the field equations for preferred extremals could correspond to the Virasoro conditions if one takes seriously the analogy with the quantized string.

What could this additional symmetry mean from the point of view of the solutions of the Kähler-Dirac equation? The field equations for the preferred extremals of Kähler action reduce to purely algebraic conditions in the same manner as the field equations for the minimal surfaces in string model. Could this happen also for the Kähler-Dirac equation and could the condition on charged part of the Dirac operator help to achieve this?

This argument was very general and one can ask for simple manners to realize these conditions. Obviously the vanishing of classical \( W \) fields in the region where the spinor mode is non-vanishing defines this kind of condition.

3.2 About The Solutions Of Kähler Dirac Equation For Known Extremals

To gain perspective consider first Dirac equation in in \( H \). Quite generally, one can construct the solutions of the ordinary Dirac equation in \( H \) from covariantly constant right-handed neutrino spinor playing the role of fermionic vacuum annihilated by the second half of complexified gamma matrices. Dirac equation reduces to Laplace equation for a scalar function and solution can be constructed from this “vacuum” by multiplying with the spherical harmonics of \( CP_2 \) and applying Dirac operator \([K7]\). Similar construction works quite generally
thanks to the existence of covariantly constant right handed neutrino spinor. Spinor harmonics of \( CP_2 \) are only replaced with those of space-time surface possessing either hermitian structure of Hamilton-Jacobi structure (corresponding to Euclidian and Minkowskian signatures of the induced metric \([K2, K15]\)). What is remarkable is that these solutions possess well-defined em charge although classical \( W \) boson fields are present.

This in sense that \( H \) d’Alembertian commutes with em charge matrix defined as a linear combination of unit matrix and the covariantly constant matrix \( J^{kl} \Sigma_{kl} \) since the commutators of the covariant derivatives give constant Ricci scalar and \( J^{kl} \Sigma_{kl} \) term to the d’Alemberntian besides scalar d’Alemberntian commuting with em charge. Dirac operator itself does not commute with em charge matrix since gamma matrices not commute with em charge matrix.

Consider now Kähler Dirac operator. The square of Kähler Dirac operator contains commutator of covariant derivatives which contains contraction \([\Gamma^\mu, \Gamma^\nu] F^{weak}_{\mu\nu} \) which is quadratic in sigma matrices of \( M^4 \times CP_2 \) and does not reduce to a constant term commuting which em charge matrix. Therefore additional condition is required even if one is satisfies with the commutativity of d’Alemberntian with em charge. Stronger condition would be commutativity with the Kähler Dirac operator and this will be considered in the following.

To see what happens one must consider space-time regions with Minkowskian and Euclidian signature. What will be assumed is the existence of Hamilton-Jacobi structure \([K2]\) meaning complex structure in Euclidian signature and hyper-complex plus complex structure in Minkowskian signature. The goal is to get insights about what the condition that spinor modes have a well-defined em charge eigenvalue requires. Or more concretely: is the localization at string world sheets guaranteeing well-defined value of em charge predicted by Kähler Dirac operator or must one introduce this condition separately? One can also ask whether this condition reduces to commutativity/co-commutativity in number theoretic vision.

(a) \( CP_2 \) type vacuum extremals serve as a convenient test case for the Euclidian signature. In this case the Kähler-Dirac equation reduces to the massless ordinary Dirac equation in \( CP_2 \) allowing only covariantly constant right-handed neutrino as solution. Only part of \( CP_2 \) so that one give up the constraint that the solution is defined in the entire \( CP_2 \).

In this case holomorphic solution ansatz obtained by assuming that solutions depend on the coordinates \( \xi^i, i = 1, 2 \) but not on their conjugates and that the gamma matrices \( \Gamma^i, i = 1, 2 \), annihilate the solutions, works. The solutions ansatz and its conjugate are of exactly the same form as in case string models where one considers string world sheets instead of \( CP_2 \) region.

The solutions are not restricted to 2-D string world sheets and it is not clear whether one can assign to them a well-defined em charge in any sense. Note that for massless Dirac equation in \( H \) one obtains all \( CP_2 \) harmonics as solutions, and it is possible to talk about em charge of the solution although solution itself is not restricted to 2-D surface of \( CP_2 \).

(b) For massless extremals and a very wide class of solutions produced by Hamilton-Jacobi structure - perhaps all solutions representable locally as graphs for map \( M^4 \rightarrow CP_2 \) - canonical momentum densities are light-like and solutions are hyper-holomorphic in the coordinates associated with with string world sheet and annihilated by the conjugate gamma and arbitrary functions in transversal coordinates. This allows localization to string world sheets. The localization is now strictly dynamical and implied by the properties of Kähler Dirac operator.

(c) For string like objects one obtains massless Dirac equation in \( X^2 \times Y^2 \subset M^4 \times Y^2 \). Homologically trivial geodesic sphere corresponds to the simplest choice for \( Y^2 \). Modified Dirac operator reduces to a sum of massless Dirac operators associated with \( X^2 \) and \( Y^2 \). The most general solutions would have \( Y^2 \) mass. Holomorphic solutions reduces to product of hyper-holomorphic and holomorphic solutions and massless 2-D Dirac equation is satisfied in both factors.

For instance, for \( S^2 \) a geodesic sphere and \( X^2 = M^2 \) one obtains \( M^2 \) massivation with mass squared spectrum given by Laplace operator for \( S^2 \). Conformal and hyper-conformal symmetries are lost, and one might argue that this is quite not what one
wants. One must be however resist the temptation to make too hasty conclusions since the massivation of string like objects is expected to take place. The question is whether it takes place already at the level of fundamental spinor fields or only at the level of elementary particles constructed as many-fermion states of them as twistor Grassmann approach assuming massless $M^4$ propagators for the fundamental fermions strongly suggests [K12].

(d) For vacuum extremals the Kähler Dirac operator vanishes identically so that it does not make sense to speak about solutions.

What can one conclude from these observations?

(a) The localization of solutions to 2-D string world sheets follows from Kähler Dirac equation only for the Minkowskian regions representable as graphs of map $M^4 \rightarrow CP^2$ locally. For string like objects and deformations of $CP^2$ type vacuum extremals this is not expected to take place.

(b) It is not clear whether one can speak about well-defined em charge for the holomorphic spinors annihilated by the conjugate gamma matrices or their conjugates. As noticed, for imbedding space spinor harmonics this is however possible.

(c) Strong form of conformal symmetry and the condition that em charge is well-defined for the nodes suggests that the localization at 2-D surfaces at which the charged parts of induced electroweak gauge fields vanish must be assumed as an additional condition. Number theoretic vision would suggest that these surfaces correspond to 2-D commutative or co-commutative surfaces. The string world sheets inside space-time surfaces would not emerge from theory but would be defined as basic geometric objects. This kind of condition would also allow duals of string worlds sheets as partonic 2-surfaces identified number theoretically as co-commutative surfaces. Commutativity and co-commutativity would become essential elements of the number theoretical vision.

(d) The localization of solutions of the Kähler-Dirac action at string world sheets and partonic 2-surfaces as a constraint would mean induction procedure for Kähler-Dirac matrices from $SX^4$ to $X^2$ - that is projection. The resulting em neutral gamma matrices would correspond to tangent vectors of the string world sheet. The vanishing of the projections of charged parts of energy momentum currents would define these surfaces. The conditions would apply both in Minkowskian and Euclidian regions. An alternative interpretation would be number theoretical: these surface would be commutative or co-commutative.

3.3 Concrete Realization Of The Conditions Guaranteeing Well-Defined Em Charge

Well-definedness of the em charge is the fundamental condition on spinor modes. Physical intuition suggests that also classical $Z^0$ field should vanish - at least in scales longer than weak scale. Above the condition guaranteeing vanishing of em charge has been discussed at very general level. It has however turned out that one can understand situation by simply posing the simplest condition that one can imagine: the vanishing of classical $W$ and possibly also $Z^0$ fields inducing mixing of different charge states.

(a) Induced $W$ fields mean that the modes of Kähler-Dirac equation do not in general have well-defined em charge. The problem disappears if the induced $W$ gauge fields vanish. This does not yet guarantee that couplings to classical gauge fields are physical in long scales. Also classical $Z^0$ field should vanish so that the couplings would be purely vectorial. Vectoriality might be true in long enough scales only. If $W$ and $Z^0$ fields vanish in all scales then electroweak forces are due to the exchanges of corresponding gauge bosons described as string like objects in TGD and represent non-trivial space-time geometry and topology at microscopic scale.
(b) The conditions solve also another long-standing interpretational problem. Color rotations induce rotations in electroweak-holonomy group so that the vanishing of all induced weak fields also guarantees that color rotations do not spoil the property of spinor modes to be eigenstates of em charge.

One can study the conditions quite concretely by using the formulas for the components of spinor curvature [K1](http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/pdfpool/append.pdf).

(a) The representation of the covariantly constant curvature tensor is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{01} &= e^0 \wedge e^1 - e^2 \wedge e^3, \\
R_{02} &= e^0 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^1, \\
R_{03} &= 4e^0 \wedge e^3 + 2e^1 \wedge e^2, \\
R_{23} &= e^0 \wedge e^1 - e^2 \wedge e^3, \\
R_{31} &= -e^0 \wedge e^2 + e^3 \wedge e^1, \\
R_{12} &= 2e^0 \wedge e^3 + 4e^1 \wedge e^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.6)

\[R_{01} = R_{23} \text{ and } R_{03} = -R_{31}\]

combine to form purely left handed classical \(W\) boson fields and \(Z^0\) field corresponds to \(Z^0 = 2R_{03}\).

Kähler form is given by

\[
J = 2(e^0 \wedge e^3 + e^1 \wedge e^2).
\]

(3.7)

(b) The vanishing of classical weak fields is guaranteed by the conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
e^0 \wedge e^1 - e^2 \wedge e^3 &= 0, \\
e^0 \wedge e^2 - e^3 \wedge e^1 &= 0, \\
4e^0 \wedge e^3 + 2e^1 \wedge e^2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.8)

(c) There are many manners to satisfy these conditions. For instance, the condition \(e^1 = a \times e^0\) and \(e^2 = -a \times e^3\) with arbitrary \(a\) which can depend on position guarantees the vanishing of classical \(W\) fields. The \(CP_2\) projection of the tangent space of the region carrying the spinor mode must be 2-D.

Also classical \(Z^0\) vanishes if \(a^2 = 2\) holds true. This guarantees that the couplings of induced gauge potential are purely vectorial. One can consider other alternates. For instance, one could require that only classical \(Z^0\) field or induced Kähler form is non-vanishing and deduce similar condition.

(d) The vanishing of the weak part of induced gauge field implies that the \(CP_2\) projection of the region carrying spinor mode is 2-D. Therefore the condition that the modes of induced spinor field are restricted to 2-surfaces carrying no weak fields sheets guarantees well-definedness of em charge and vanishing of classical weak couplings. This condition does not imply string world sheets in the general case since the \(CP_2\) projection of the space-time sheet can be 2-D.

How string world sheets could emerge?

(a) Additional consistency condition to neutrality of string world sheets is that Kähler-Dirac gamma matrices have no components orthogonal to the 2-surface in question. Hence various fermions would flow along string world sheet.

(b) If the Kähler-Dirac gamma matrices at string world sheet are expressible in terms of two non-vanishing gamma matrices parallel to string world sheet and sheet and thus define an integrable distribution of tangent vectors, this is achieved. What is important that modified gamma matrices can indeed span lower than 4-D space and often do so as already described. Induced gamma matrices defined always 4-D space so that the restriction of the modes to string world sheets is not possible.
(c) String models suggest that string world sheets are minimal surfaces of space-time surface or of imbedding space but it might not be necessary to pose this condition separately.

In the proposed scenario string world sheets emerge rather than being postulated from beginning.

(a) The vanishing conditions for induced weak fields allow also 4-D spinor modes if they are true for entire spatetime surface. This is true if the space-time surface has 2-D projection. One can expect that the space-time surface has foliation by string world sheets and the general solution of K-D equation is continuous superposition of the 2-D modes in this case and discrete one in the generic case.

(b) If the $CP_2$ projection of space-time surface is homologically non-trivial geodesic sphere $S^2$, the field equations reduce to those in $M^4 \times S^2$ since the second fundamental form for $S^2$ is vanishing. It is possible to have geodesic sphere for which induced gauge field has only em component?

(c) If the $CP_2$ projection is complex manifold as it is for string like objects, the vanishing of weak fields might be also achieved.

(d) Does the phase of cosmic strings assumed to dominate primordial cosmology correspond to this phase with no classical weak fields? During radiation dominated phase 4-D string like objects would transform to string world sheets. Kind of dimensional transmutation would occur.

Right-handed neutrino has exceptional role in K-D action.

(a) Electroweak gauge potentials do not couple to $\nu_R$ at all. Therefore the vanishing of W fields is un-necessary if the induced gamma matrices do not mix right handed neutrino with left-handed one. This is guaranteed if $M^4$ and $CP_2$ parts of Kähler-Dirac operator annihilate separately right-handed neutrino spinor mode. Also $\nu_R$ modes can be interpreted as continuous superpositions of 2-D modes and this allows to define overlap integrals for them and induced spinor fields needed to define WCW gamma matrices and super-generators.

(b) For covariantly constant right-handed neutrino mode defining a generator of supersymmetries is certainly a solution of K-D. Whether more general solutions of K-D exist remains to be checked out.

3.4 Connection With Number Theoretic Vision?

The interesting potential connection of the Hamilton-Jacobi vision to the number theoretic vision about field equations has been already mentioned.

(a) The vision that associativity/co-associativity defines the dynamics of space-time surfaces boils down to $M^8 - H$ duality stating that space-time surfaces can be regarded as associative/co-associative surfaces either in $M^8$ or $H$ [K11, K18]. Associativity reduces to hyper-quaternionicity implying that that the tangent/normal space of space-time surface at each point contains preferred sub-space $M^2(x) \subset M^8$ and these sub-spaces form a integrable distribution. An analogous condition is involved with the definition of Hamilton-Jacobi structure.

(b) The octonionic representation of the tangent space of $M^8$ and $H$ effectively replaces $SO(7,1)$ as tangent space group with its octonionic analog obtained by the replacement of sigma matrices with their octonionic counterparts defined by anti-commutators of gamma matrices. By non-associativity the resulting algebra is not ordinary Lie-algebra and exponentiates to a non-associative analog of Lie group. The original wrong belief was that the reduction takes place to the group $G_2$ of octonionic automorphisms acting as a subgroup of $SO(7)$. One can ask whether the conditions on the charged part of energy momentum tensor could relate to the reduction of $SO(7,1)$.
(c) What puts bells ringing is that the Kähler-Dirac equation for the octonionic representation of gamma matrices allows the conservation of electromagnetic charge in the proposed sense. The reason is that the left handed sigma matrices (W charges are left-handed) in the octonionic representation of gamma matrices vanish identically! What remains are vectorial=right-handed em and $Z^0$ charge which becomes proportional to em charge since its left-handed part vanishes. All spinor modes have a well-defined em charge in the octonionic sense defined by replacing imbedding space spinor locally by its octonionic variant? Maybe this could explain why H spinor modes can have well-defined em charge contrary to the naive expectations.

(d) The non-associativity of the octonionic spinors is however a problem. Even non-commutativity poses problems - also at space-time level if one assumes quaternion-real analyticity for the spinor modes. Could one assume commutativity or co-commutativity for the induced spinor modes? This would mean restriction to associative or co-associative 2-surfaces and (hyper-)holomorphic depends on its (hyper-)complex coordinate. The outcome would be a localization to a hyper-commutative of commutative 2-surface, string world sheet or partonic 2-surface.

(e) These conditions could also be interpreted by saying that for the Kähler Dirac operator the octonionic induced spinors assumed to be commutative/co-commutative are equivalent with ordinary induced spinors. The well-definedness of em charge for ordinary spinors would correspond to commutativity/co-commutativity for octonionic spinors. Even the Dirac equations based on induced and Kähler-Dirac gamma matrices could be equivalent since it is essentially holomorphy which matters.

To sum up, these considerations inspire to ask whether the associativity/co-associativity of the space-time surface is equivalent with the reduction of the field equations to stringy field equations stating that certain components of the induced metric in complex/Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates vanish in turn guaranteeing that field equations reduce to algebraic identifies following from the fact that energy momentum tensor and second fundamental form have no common components? Commutativity/co-commutativity would characterize fermionic dynamics and would have physical representation as possibility to have em charge eigenspinors. This should be the case if one requires that the two solution ansätze are equivalent.

4 Kähler-Dirac Equation And Super-Symmetries

The previous considerations concerning super-conformal symmetries and space-time SUSY have been based on general arguments. The new vision about preferred extremals and Kähler-Dirac equation however leads to a rather detailed understanding of super-conformal symmetries at the level of field equations and is bound to modify the existing vision about super-conformal symmetries.

Whether TGD predicts some variant of space-time SUSY or not has been a long-standing issue: the reason is that TGD does not allow Majorana spinors since fermion number conservation is exact. The more precise formulation of field equations made possible by the realization that spinor modes are localized at string world sheets allows to conclude that the analog of broken $N = 8$ SUSY is predicted at parton level and that right-handed neutrino generates the minimally broken $N = 2$ sub-SUSY.

One important outcome of criticality is the identification of gauge potentials as duals of Kac-Moody currents at the boundaries of string world sheets: quantum gauge potentials are defined only where they are needed that is string curves defining the non-integrable phase factors. This gives also rise to the realization of the conjectured Yangian in terms of the Kac-Moody charges and commutators in accordance with the earlier conjecture.

4.1 Super-Conformal Symmetries

It is good to summarize first the basic ideas about Super-Virasoro representations. TGD allows two kinds of super-conformal symmetries.
(a) The first super-conformal symmetry is associated with $\delta M^\pm_4 \times CP_2$ and corresponds to symplectic symmetries of $\delta M^\pm_4 \times CP_2$. The reason for extension of conformal symmetries is metric 2-dimensionality of the light-like boundary $\delta M^\pm_4$ defining upper/lower boundary of causal diamond (CD). This super-conformal symmetry is something new and corresponds to replacing finite-dimensional Lie-group $G$ for Kac-Moody symmetry with infinite-dimensional symplectic group. The light-like radial coordinate of $\delta M^\pm_4$ takes the role of the real part of complex coordinate $z$ for ordinary conformal symmetry. Together with complex coordinate of $S^2$ it defines 3-D restriction of Hamilton-Jacobi variant of 4-D super-conformal symmetries. One can continue the conformal symmetries from light-cone boundary to CD by forming a slicing by parallel copies of $\delta M^\pm_4$. There are two possible slicings corresponding to the choices $\delta M^+_4$ and $\delta M^-_4$ assignable to the upper and lower boundaries of CD. These two choices correspond to two arrows of geometric time for the basis of zero energy states in ZEO.

(b) Super-symplectic degrees of freedom determine the electroweak and color quantum numbers of elementary particles. Bosonic emergence implies that ground states assignable to partonic 2-surfaces correspond to partial waves in $\delta M^\pm_4$ and one obtains color partial waves in particular. These partial waves correspond to the solutions for the Dirac equation in imbedding space and the correlation between color and electroweak quantum numbers is not quite correct. Super-Kac-Moody generators give the compensating color for massless states obtained from tachyonic ground states guaranteeing that standard correlation is obtained. Super-symplectic degrees are therefore directly visible in particle spectrum. One can say that at the point-like limit the WCW spinors reduce to tensor products of imbedding space spinors assignable to the center of mass degrees of freedom for the partonic 2-surfaces defining wormhole throats. I have proposed a physical interpretation of super-symplectic vibrational degrees of freedom in terms of degrees of freedom assignable to non-perturbative QCD. These degrees of freedom would be responsible for most of the baryon masses but their theoretical understanding is lacking in QCD framework.

(c) The second super-conformal symmetry is assigned light-like 3-surfaces and to the isometries and holonomies of the imbedding space and is analogous to the super-Kac-Moody symmetry of string models. Kac-Moody symmetries could be assigned to the light-like deformations of light-like 3-surfaces. Isometries give tensor factor $E^2 \times SU(3)$ and holonomies factor $SU(2)_L \times U(1)$. Altogether one has 5 tensor factors to super-conformal algebra. That the number is just five is essential for the success p-adic mass calculations [K16, K7].

The construction of solutions of the Kähler-Dirac equation suggests strongly that the fermionic representation of the Super-Kac-Moody algebra can be assigned as conserved charges associated with the space-like braid strands at both the 3-D space-like ends of space-time surfaces and with the light-like (or space-like with a small deformation) associated with the light-like 3-surfaces. The extension to Yangian algebra involving higher multi-linears of super-Kac Moody generators is also highly suggestive. These charges would be non-local and assignable to several wormhole contacts simultaneously. The ends of braids would correspond points of partonic 2-surfaces defining a discretization of the partonic 2-surface having interpretation in terms of finite measurement resolution. These symmetries would correspond to electroweak and strong gauge fields and to gravitation. The duals of the currents giving rise to Kac-Moody charges would define the counterparts of gauge potentials and the conserved Kac-Moody charges would define the counterparts of non-integrable phase factors in gauge theories. The higher Yangian charges would define generalization of non-integrable phase factors. This would suggest a rather direct connection with the twistorial program for calculating the scattering amplitudes implies also by zero energy ontology.

Quantization recipes have worked in the case of super-string models and one can ask whether the application of quantization to the coefficients of powers of complex coordinates or Hamilton-Jacobi coordinates could lead to the understanding of the 4-D variants of the conformal symmetries and give detailed information about the representations of the Kac-Moody algebra. 
4.2 WCW Geometry And Super-Conformal Symmetries

The vision about the geometry of WCW has been roughly the following and the recent steps of progress induce to it only small modifications if any.

(a) Kähler geometry is forced by the condition that hermitian conjugation allows geometrization. Kähler function is given by the Kähler action coming from space-time regions with Euclidian signature of the induced metric identifiable as lines of generalized Feynman diagrams. Minkowskian regions give imaginary contribution identifiable as the analog of Morse function and implying interference effects and stationary phase approximation. The vision about quantum TGD as almost topological QFT inspires the proposal that Kähler action reduces to 3-D terms reducing to Chern-Simons terms by the weak form of electric-magnetic duality. The recent proposal for preferred extremals is consistent with this property realizing also holography implied by general coordinate invariance. Strong form of general coordinate invariance implying effective 2-dimensionality in turn suggests that Kähler action is expressible string world sheets and possibly also areas of partonic 2-surfaces.

(b) The complexified gamma matrices of WCW come as hermitian conjugate pairs and anti-commute to the Kähler metric of WCW. Also bosonic generators of symplectic transformations of $\delta M_4^{\pm} \times CP^2$ a assumed to act as isometries of WCW geometry can be complexified and appear as similar pairs. The action of isometry generators coincides with that of symplectic generators at partonic 2-surfaces and string world sheets but elsewhere inside the space-time surface it is expected to be deformed from the symplectic action. The super-conformal transformations of $\delta M_4^{\pm} \times CP^2$ acting on the light-like radial coordinate of $\delta M_4^{\pm}$ act as gauge symmetries of the geometry meaning that the corresponding WCW vector fields have zero norm.

(c) WCW geometry has also zero modes which by definition do not contribute to WCW metric expect possibly by the dependence of the elements of WCW metric on zero modes through a conformal factor. In particular, induced $CP^2$ Kähler form and its analog for sphere $r_M = constant$ of light cone boundary are symplectic invariants, and one can define an infinite number of zero modes as invariants defined by Kähler fluxes over partonic 2-surfaces and string world sheets. This requires however the slicing of CD parallel copies of $\delta M_4^{\pm}$ or $\delta M_4^\pm$. The physical interpretation of these non-quantum fluctuating degrees of freedom is as classical variables necessary for the interpretation of quantum measurement theory. Classical variable would metaphorically correspond the position of the pointer of the measurement instrument.

(d) The construction receives a strong philosophical inspiration from the geometry of loop spaces. Loop spaces allow a unique Kähler geometry with maximal isometry group identifiable as Kac-Moody group. The reason is that otherwise Riemann connection does not exist. The only problem is that curvature scalar diverges since the Riemann tensor is by constant curvature property proportional to the metric. In 3-D case one would have union of constant curvature spaces labelled by zero modes and the situation is expected to be even more restrictive. The conjecture indeed is that WCW geometry exists only for $H = M^4 \times CP^2$: infinite-D Kähler geometric existence and therefore physics would be unique. One can also hope that Ricci scalar is finite and therefore zero by the constant curvature property so that Einstein’s equations are satisfied.

(e) The matrix elements of WCW Kähler metric are given in terms of the anti-commutators of the fermionic Noether super-charges associated with symplectic isometry currents. A given mode of induced spinor field characterized by imbedding space chirality (quark or lepton), by spin and weak spin plus conformal weight $n$. If the super-conformal transformations for string modes act gauge transformations only the spinor modes with vanishing conformal weight correspond to non-zero modes of the WCW metric and the situation reduces essentially to the analog of $\mathcal{N} = 8$ SUSY.
4.3 The Relationship Between Inertial Gravitational Masses

The WCW Hamiltonians generating symplectic isometries correspond to the Hamiltonians spanning the symplectic group of $\delta M^4_{\pm} \times CP_2$. One can say that the space of quantum fluctuating degrees of freedom is this symplectic group of $\delta M^4_{\pm} \times CP_2$ or its subgroup or coset space: this must have very deep implications for the structure of the quantum TGD.

An interesting possibility is that the radial conformal weights of the symplectic algebra are linear combinations of the zeros of Riemann Zeta with integer coefficients. Also this option allows to realize the hierarchy of super-symplectic conformal symmetry breakings in terms of sub-algebras isomorphic to the entire super-symplectic algebra. WCW would have fractal structure corresponding to a hierarchy of quantum criticalities.

(f) The localization of the induced spinors to string world sheets means that the super-symplectic Noether charges are associated with strings connecting partonic 2-surfaces. The physically obvious fact that given partonic surface can be accompanied by an arbitrary number of strings, forces a generalization of the super-symplectic algebra to a Yangian containing infinite number of n-local variants of various super-symplectic Noether charges. For instance, four-momentum is accompanied by multi-stringy variants involving four-momentum $P^A_0$ and angular momentum generators. At the first level of the hierarchy one has $P^A_1 = f^{A}_{BC} P^B_0 \otimes J^C$. This hierarchy might play crucial role in understanding of the four-momenta of bound states.

(g) Zero energy ontology brings in additional delicacies. Basic objects are now unions of partonic 2-surfaces at the ends of CD. One can generalize the expressions for the isometry generators in a straightforward manner by requiring that given isometry restricts to a symplectic transformation at partonic 2-surfaces and string world sheets.

(h) One could criticize the effective metric 2-dimensionality forced by the general consistency arguments as something non-physical. The WCW Hamiltonians are expressed using only the data at partonic 2-surfaces and string world sheets: this includes also 4-D tangent space data via the weak form of electric-magnetic duality so that one has only effective 2-dimensionality. Obviously WCW geometry must have huge large gauge symmetries besides zero modes. The hierarchy of super-symplectic symmetries indeed represent gauge symmetries of this kind.

Effective 2-dimensionality realizing strong form of holography in turn is induced by the strong form of general coordinate invariance. Light-like 3-surfaces at which the signature of the induced metric changes must be equivalent with the 3-D space-like ends of space-time surfaces at the light-boundaries of space-time surfaces as far as WCW geometry is considered. This requires that the data from their 2-D intersections defining partonic 2-surfaces should dictate the WCW geometry. Note however that Super-Kac-Moody charges giving information about the interiors of 3-surfaces appear in the construction of the physical states.

4.3 The Relationship Between Inertial Gravitational Masses

The relationship between inertial and gravitational masses and Equivalence Principle have been on of the longstanding problems in TGD. Not surprisingly, the realization how GRT space-time relates to the many-sheeted space-time of TGD finally allowed to solve the problem.

4.3.1 ZEO and non-conservation of Poincare charges in Poincare invariant theory of gravitation

In positive energy ontology the Poincare invariance of TGD is in sharp contrast with the fact that GRT based cosmology predicts non-conservation of Poincare charges (as a matter fact, the definition of Poincare charges is very questionable for general solutions of field equations).

In zero energy ontology (ZEO) all conserved (that is Noether-) charges of the Universe vanish identically and their densities should vanish in scales below the scale defining the scale for
observations and assignable to causal diamond (CD). This observation allows to imagine a ways out of what seems to be a conflict of Poincare invariance with cosmological facts.

ZEO would explain the local non-conservation of average energies and other conserved quantum numbers in terms of the contributions of sub-CDs analogous to quantum fluctuations. Classical gravitation should have a thermodynamical description if this interpretation is correct. The average values of the quantum numbers assignable to a space-time sheet would depend on the size of CD and possibly also its location in $M^4$. If the temporal distance between the tips of CD is interpreted as a quantized variant of cosmic time, the non-conservation of energy-momentum defined in this manner follows. One can say that conservation laws hold only true in given scale defined by the largest CD involved.

### 4.3.2 Equivalence Principle at quantum level

The interpretation of EP at quantum level has developed slowly and the recent view is that it reduces to quantum classical correspondence meaning that the classical charges of Kähler action can be identified with eigen values of quantal charges associated with Kähler-Dirac action.

(a) At quantum level I have proposed coset representations for the pair of super-symplectic algebras assignable to the light-like boundaries of CD and the Super Kac-Moody algebra assignable to the light-like 3-surfaces defining the orbits of partonic 2-surfaces as realization of EP. For coset representation the differences of super-conformal generators would annihilate the physical states so that one can argue that the corresponding four-momenta are identical. One could even say that one obtains coset representation for the “vibrational” parts of the super-conformal algebras in question. It is now clear that this idea does not work. Note however that coset representations occur naturally for the subalgebras of symplectic algebra and Super Kac-Moody algebra and are naturally induced by finite measurement resolution.

(b) The most recent view (2014) about understanding how EP emerges in TGD is described in [K13] and relies heavily on superconformal invariance and a detailed realisation of ZEO at quantum level. In this approach EP corresponds to quantum classical correspondence (QCC): four-momentum identified as classical conserved Noether charge for space-time sheets associated with Kähler action is identical with quantal four-momentum assignable to the representations of super-symplectic and super Kac-Moody algebras as in string models and having a realisation in ZEO in terms of wave functions in the space of causal diamonds (CDs).

(c) The latest realization is that the eigenvalues of quantal four-momentum can be identified as eigenvalues of the four-momentum operator assignable to the Kähler-Dirac equation. This realisation seems to be consistent with the p-adic mass calculations requiring that the super-conformal algebra acts in the tensor product of 5 tensor factors.

### 4.3.3 Equivalence Principle at classical level

How Einstein’s equations and General Relativity in long length scales emerges from TGD has been a long-standing interpretational problem of TGD.

The first proposal making sense even when one does not assume ZEO is that vacuum extremals are only approximate representations of the physical situation and that small fluctuations around them give rise to an inertial four-momentum identifiable as gravitational four-momentum identifiable in terms of Einstein tensor. EP would hold true in the sense that the average gravitational four-momentum would be determined by the Einstein tensor assignable to the vacuum extremal. This interpretation does not however take into account the many-sheeted character of TGD spacetime and is therefore questionable.

The resolution of the problem came from the realization that GRT is only an effective theory obtained by endowing $M^4$ with effective metric.
(a) The replacement of superposition of fields with superposition of their effects means replacing superposition of fields with the set-theoretic union of space-time surfaces. Particle experiences sum of the effects caused by the classical fields at the space-time sheets (see Fig. http://tgdtheory.fi/appfigures/fieldsuperpose.jpg or Fig. 11 in the appendix of this book).

(b) This is true also for the classical gravitational field defined by the deviation from flat Minkowski metric in standard $M^4$ coordinates for the space-time sheets. One can define effective metric as sum of $M^4$ metric and deviations. This effective metric would correspond to that of General Relativity. This resolves long standing issues relating to the interpretation of TGD.

(c) Einstein’s equations could hold true for the effective metric. They are motivated by the underlying Poincare invariance which cannot be realized as global conservation laws for the effective metric. The conjecture vanishing of divergence of Kähler energy momentum tensor can be seen as the microscopic justification for the claim that Einstein’s equations hold true for the effective space-time.

(d) The breaking of Poincare invariance could have interpretation as effective breaking in zero energy ontology (ZEO), in which various conserved charges are length dependent and defined separately for each causal diamond (CD).

One can of course consider the possibility that Einstein’s equations generalize for preferred extremals of Kähler action. This would actually represent at space-time level the notion of QCC rather than realise QCC interpreted as EP. The condition that the energy momentum tensor for Kähler action has vanishing covariant divergence would be satisfied in GRT if Einstein’s equations with cosmological term hold true. This is the case also now but one can consider also more general solutions in which one has two cosmological constants which are not genuine constants anymore [K17].

An interesting question is whether inertial-gravitational duality generalizes to the case of color gauge charges so that color gauge fluxes would correspond to “gravitational” color charges and the charges defined by the conserved currents associated with color isometries would define “inertial” color charges. Since the induced color fields are proportional to color Hamiltonians multiplied by Kähler form they vanish identically for vacuum extremals in accordance with “gravitational” color confinement.

4.3.4 Constraints from p-adic mass calculations and ZEO

A further important physical input comes from p-adic thermodynamics forming a core element of p-adic mass calculations.

(a) The first thing that one can get worried about relates to the extension of conformal symmetries. If the conformal symmetries generalize to $D = 4$, how can one take seriously the results of p-adic mass calculations based on 2-D conformal invariance? There is no reason to worry. The reduction of the conformal invariance to 2-D one for the preferred extremals takes care of this problem. This however requires that the fermionic contributions assignable to string world sheets and/or partonic 2-surfaces - Super- Kac-Moody contributions - should dictate the elementary particle masses. For hadrons also symplectic contributions should be present. This is a valuable hint in attempts to identify the mathematical structure in more detail.

(b) ZEO suggests that all particles, even virtual ones correspond to massless wormhole throats carrying fermions. As a consequence, twistor approach would work and the kinematical constraints to vertices would allow the cancellation of divergences. This would suggest that the p-adic thermal expectation value is for the longitudinal $M^2$ momentum squared (the definition of CD selects $M^1 \subset M^2 \subset M^4$ as also does number theoretic vision). Also propagator would be determined by $M^2$ momentum. Lorentz invariance would be obtained by integration of the moduli for CD including also Lorentz boosts of CD.
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(c) In the original approach one allows states with arbitrary large values of \(L_0\) as physical states. Usually one would require that \(L_0\) annihilates the states. In the calculations however mass squared was assumed to be proportional \(L_0\) apart from vacuum contribution. This is a questionable assumption. ZEO suggests that total mass squared vanishes and that one can decompose mass squared to a sum of longitudinal and transversal parts. If one can do the same decomposition to longitudinal and transverse parts also for the Super Virasoro algebra then one can calculate longitudinal mass squared as a \(p\)-adic thermal expectation in the transversal super-Virasoro algebra and only states with \(L_0 = 0\) would contribute and one would have conformal invariance in the standard sense.

(d) In the original approach the assumption motivated by Lorentz invariance has been that mass squared is replaced with conformal weight in thermodynamics, and that one first calculates the thermal average of the conformal weight and then equates it with mass squared. This assumption is somewhat ad hoc. ZEO however suggests an alternative interpretation in which one has zero energy states for which longitudinal mass squared of positive energy state derive from \(p\)-adic thermodynamics. Thermodynamics - or rather, its square root - would become part of quantum theory in ZEO. \(M\)-matrix is indeed product of hermitian square root of density matrix multiplied by unitary \(S\)-matrix and defines the entanglement coefficients between positive and negative energy parts of zero energy state.

(e) The crucial constraint is that the number of super-conformal tensor factors is \(N = 5\); this suggests that thermodynamics applied in Super-Kac-Moody degrees of freedom assignable to string world sheets is enough, when one is interested in the masses of fermions and gauge bosons. Super-symplectic degrees of freedom can also contribute and determine the dominant contribution to baryon masses. Should also this contribution obey \(p\)-adic thermodynamics in the case when it is present? Or does the very fact that this contribution need not be present mean that it is not thermal? The symplectic contribution should correspond to hadronic \(p\)-adic length prime rather the one assignable to (say) u quark. Hadronic \(p\)-adic mass squared and partonic \(p\)-adic mass squared cannot be summed since primes are different. If one accepts the basic rules \([K9]\), longitudinal energy and momentum are additive as indeed assumed in perturbative QCD.

(f) Calculations work if the vacuum expectation value of the mass squared must be assumed to be tachyonic. There are two options depending on whether one whether \(p\)-adic thermodynamics gives total mass squared or longitudinal mass squared.

i. One could argue that the total mass squared has naturally tachyonic ground state expectation since for massless extremals longitudinal momentum is light-like and transversal momentum squared is necessary present and non-vanishing by the localization to topological light ray of finite thickness of order \(p\)-adic length scale. Transversal degrees of freedom would be modeled with a particle in a box.

ii. If longitudinal mass squared is what is calculated, the condition would require that transversal momentum squared is negative so that instead of plane wave like behavior exponential damping would be required. This would conform with the localization in transversal degrees of freedom.

4.4 Realization Of Space-Time SUSY In TGD

The generators of super-conformal algebras are obtained by taking fermionic currents for second quantized fermions and replacing either fermion field or its conjugate with its particular mode. The resulting super currents are conserved and define super charges. By replacing both fermion and its conjugate with modes one obtains c-number valued currents. In this manner one also obtains the analogs of super-Poincare generators labelled by the conformal weight and other spin quantum numbers as Noether charges so that space-time SUSY is suggestive.

The super-conformal invariance in spinor modes is expected to be gauge symmetry so that only the generators with vanishing string world sheet conformal weight create physical states.
This would leave only the conformal quantum numbers characterizing super-symplectic gen-
erators (radial conformal weight included) under consideration and the hierarchy of its sub-
algebras acting as gauge symmetries giving rise to a hierarchy of criticalities having interpre-
tation in terms of dark matter.

As found in the earlier section, the proposed anti-commutation relations for fermionic oscilla-
tor operators at the ends of string world sheets can be formulated so that they are analogous

to those for Super Poincare algebra. The reason is that field equations assign a conserved
8-momentum to the light-like geodesic line defining the boundary of string at the orbit of
partonic 2-surface. Octonionic representation of sigma matrices making possible generalization
of twistor formalism to 8-D context is also essential. As a matter, the final justification
for the analog of space-time came from the generalization of twistor approach to 8-D context.

By counting the number of spin and weak isospin components of imbedding space spinors sat-
sifying massless algebraic Dirac equation one finds that broken \( N = 8 \) SUSY is the expected
space-time SUSY. \( N = 2 \) SUSY assignable to right-handed neutrino is the least broken sub-
SUSY and one is forced to consider the possibility that spartners correspond to dark matter
with \( h_{\text{eff}} = n \times h \) and therefore remaining undetected in recent particle physics experiments.

4.4.1 Super-space viz. Grassmann algebra valued fields

Standard SUSY induces super-space extending space-time by adding anti-commuting coor-
dinates as a formal tool. Many mathematicians are not enthusiastic about this approach
because of the purely formal nature of anti-commuting coordinates. Also I regard them as
a non-sense geometrically and there is actually no need to introduce them as the following
little argument shows.

Grassmann parameters (anti-commuting theta parameters) are generators of Grassmann al-
gebra and the natural object replacing super-space is this Grassmann algebra with coefficients
of Grassmann algebra basis appearing as ordinary real or complex coordinates. This is just
an ordinary space with additional algebraic structure: the mysterious anti-commuting coor-
dinates are not needed. To me this notion is one of the conceptual monsters created by the
over-pragmatic thinking of theoreticians.

This allows allows to replace field space with super field space, which is completely well-
de fined object mathematically, and leave space-time untouched. Linear field space is simply
replaced with its Grassmann algebra. For non-linear field space this replacement does not
work. This allows to formulate the notion of linear super-field just in the same manner as it
is done usually.

The generators of super-symmetries in super-space formulation reduce to super translations,
which anti-commute to translations. The super generators \( Q_{\alpha} \) and \( \bar{Q}_{\beta} \) of super Poincare
algebra are Weyl spinors commuting with momenta and anti-commuting to momenta:

\[
\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_\beta\} = 2 \sigma^\mu_{\alpha \beta} P_\mu .
\]  

One particular representation of super generators acting on super fields is given by

\[
D_\alpha = i \frac{\partial}{\partial b_\alpha} ,
\]

\[
D_\alpha = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_{\alpha \beta \alpha}} + \theta_\beta \sigma^\mu_{\beta \alpha} \partial_\mu
\]  

Here the index raising for 2-spinors is carried out using antisymmetric 2-tensor \( \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \). Super-

space interpretation is not necessary since one can interpret this action as an action on
Grassmann algebra valued field mixing components with different fermion numbers.
Chiral superfields are defined as fields annihilated by $D_\alpha$. Chiral fields are of form $\Psi(x^\mu + i\theta\sigma^\mu\theta, \theta)$. The dependence on $\bar{\theta}_\alpha$ comes only from its presence in the translated Minkowski coordinate annihilated by $D_\alpha$. Super-space enthusiast would say that by a translation of $M^4$ coordinates chiral fields reduce to fields, which depend on $\theta$ only.

4.4.2 The space of fermionic Fock states at partonic 2-surface as TGD counterpart of chiral super field

As already noticed, another manner to realize SUSY in terms of representations the super algebra of conserved super-charges. In TGD framework these super charges are naturally associated with the modified Dirac equation, and anti-commuting coordinates and super-fields do not appear anywhere. One can however ask whether one could identify a mathematical structure replacing the notion of chiral super field.

In [K6] it was proposed that generalized chiral super-fields could effectively replace induced spinor fields and that second quantized fermionic oscillator operators define the analog of SUSY algebra. One would have $N = \infty$ if all the conformal excitations of the induced spinor field restricted on 2-surface are present. For right-handed neutrino the modes are labeled by two integers and de-localized to the interior of Euclidian or Minkowskian regions of space-time sheet.

The obvious guess is that chiral super-field generalizes to the field having as its components many-fermions states at partonic 2-surfaces with theta parameters and their conjugates in one-one correspondence with fermionic creation operators and their hermitian conjugates.

(a) Fermionic creation operators - in classical theory corresponding anti-commuting Grassmann parameters - replace theta parameters. Theta parameters and their conjugates are not in one-one correspondence with spinor components but with the fermionic creation operators and their hermitian conjugates. One can say that the super-field in question is defined in the “world of classical worlds” (WCW) rather than in space-time. Fermionic Fock state at the partonic 2-surface is the value of the chiral super field at particular point of WCW.

(b) The matrix defined by the $\sigma^\mu\partial_\mu$ is replaced with a matrix defined by the Kähler-Dirac operator $D$ between spinor modes acting in the solution space of the Kähler-Dirac equation. Since Kähler-Dirac operator annihilates the modes of the induced spinor field, super covariant derivatives reduce to ordinary derivatives with respect the theta parameters labeling the modes. Hence the chiral super field is a field that depends on $\theta_m$ or conjugates $\bar{\theta}_m$ only. In second quantization the modes of the chiral super-field are many-fermion states assigned to partonic 2-surfaces and string world sheets. Note that this is the only possibility since the notion of super-coordinate does not make sense now.

(c) It would seem that the notion of super-field does not bring anything new. This is not the case. First of all, the spinor fields are restricted to 2-surfaces. Second point is that one cannot assign to the fermions of the many-fermion states separate non-parallel or even parallel four-momenta. The many-fermion state behaves like elementary particle. This has non-trivial implications for propagators and a simple argument [K6] leads to the proposal that propagator for N-fermion partonic state is proportional to $1/p^N$. This would mean that only the states with fermion number equal to 1 or 2 behave like ordinary elementary particles.

4.5 Comparison Of TGD And Stringy Views About Super-Conformal Symmetries

The best manner to represent TGD based view about conformal symmetries is by comparison with the conformal symmetries of super string models.
4.5 Comparison Of TGD And Stringy Views About Super-Conformal Symmetries

4.5.1 Basic differences between the realization of super conformal symmetries in TGD and in super-string models

The realization super conformal symmetries in TGD framework differs from that in string models in several fundamental aspects.

(a) In TGD framework super-symmetry generators acting as configuration space gamma matrices carry either lepton or quark number. Majorana condition required by the hermiticity of super generators which is crucial for super string models would be in conflict with the conservation of baryon and lepton numbers and is avoided. This is made possible by the realization of bosonic generators represented as Hamiltonians of $X^2$-local symplectic transformations rather than vector fields generating them \[K4\]. This kind of representation applies also in Kac-Moody sector since the local transversal isometries localized in $X^3_l$ and respecting light-likeness condition can be regarded as $X^2$ local symplectic transformations, whose Hamiltonians generate also isometries. Localization is not complete: the functions of $X^2$ coordinates multiplying symplectic and Kac-Moody generators are functions of the symplectic invariant $J = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}$ so that effective one-dimensionality results but in different sense than in conformal field theories. This realization of super symmetries is what distinguishes between TGD and super string models and leads to a totally different physical interpretation of super-conformal symmetries. The fermionic representations of super-symplectic and super Kac-Moody generators can be identified as Noether charges in standard manner.

(b) A long-standing problem of quantum TGD was that stringy propagator $1/G$ does not make sense if $G$ carries fermion number. The progress in the understanding of second quantization of the modified Dirac operator made it however possible to identify the counterpart of $G$ as a c-number valued operator and interpret it as different representation of $G$ \[K3\].

(c) The notion of super-space is not needed at all since Hamiltonians rather than vector fields represent bosonic generators, no super-variant of geometry is needed. The distinction between Ramond and N-S representations important for $N = 1$ super-conformal symmetry and allowing only ground state weight 0 an 1/2 disappears. Indeed, for $N = 2$ super-conformal symmetry it is already possible to generate spectral flow transforming these Ramond and N-S representations to each other ($G_n$ is not Hermitian anymore).

(d) If Kähler action defines the Kähler-Dirac operator, the number of spinor modes could be finite. One must be here somewhat cautious since bound state in the Coulomb potential associated with electric part of induced electro-weak gauge field might give rise to an infinite number of bound states which eigenvalues converging to a fixed eigenvalue (as in the case of hydrogen atom). Finite number of generalized eigenmodes means that the representations of super-conformal algebras reduces to finite-dimensional ones in TGD framework. Also the notion of number theoretic braid indeed implies this. The physical interpretation would be in terms of finite measurement resolution. If Kähler action is complexified to include imaginary part defined by CP breaking instanton term, the number of stringy mass square eigenvalues assignable to the spinor modes becomes infinite since conformal excitations are possible. This means breakdown of exact holography and effective 2-dimensionality of 3-surfaces. It seems that the inclusion of instanton term is necessary for several reasons. The notion of finite measurement resolution forces conformal cutoff also now. There are arguments suggesting that only the modes with vanishing conformal weight contribute to the Dirac determinant defining vacuum functional identified as exponent of Kähler function in turn identified as Kähler action for its preferred extremal.

(e) What makes spinor field mode a generator of gauge super-symmetry is that is c-number and not an eigenmode of $D_K(X^2)$ and thus represents non-dynamical degrees of freedom. If the number of eigen modes of $D_K(X^2)$ is indeed finite means that most of spinor field modes represent super gauge degrees of freedom.
4.5.2 The super generators $G$ are not Hermitian in TGD!

The already noticed important difference between TGD based and the usual Super Virasoro representations is that the Super Virasoro generator $G$ cannot Hermitian in TGD. The reason is that WCW gamma matrices possess a well defined fermion number. The hermiticity of the WCW gamma matrices $\Gamma$ and of the Super Virasoro current $G$ could be achieved by posing Majorana conditions on the second quantized H-spinors. Majorana conditions can be however realized only for space-time dimension $D \mod 8 = 2$ so that super string type approach does not work in TGD context. This kind of conditions would also lead to the non-conservation of baryon and lepton numbers.

An analogous situation is encountered in super-symmetric quantum mechanics, where the general situation corresponds to super symmetric operators $S, S^\dagger$, whose anti-commutator is Hamiltonian: $\{S, S^\dagger\} = H$. One can define a simpler system by considering a Hermitian operator $S_0 = S + S^\dagger$ satisfying $S_0^2 = H$: this relation is completely analogous to the ordinary Super Virasoro relation $GG = L$. On basis of this observation it is clear that one should replace ordinary Super Virasoro structure $GG = L$ with $GG^\dagger = L$ in TGD context.

It took a long time to realize the trivial fact that $N = 2$ super-symmetry is the standard physics counterpart for TGD super symmetry. $N = 2$ super-symmetry indeed involves the doubling of super generators and super generators carry $U(1)$ charge having an interpretation as fermion number in recent context. The so called short representations of $N = 2$ super-symmetry algebra can be regarded as representations of $N = 1$ super-symmetry algebra.

WCW gamma matrix $\Gamma_n, n > 0$ corresponds to an operator creating fermion whereas $\Gamma_n, n < 0$ annihilates anti-fermion. For the Hermitian conjugate $\Gamma^\dagger_n$ the roles of fermion and anti-fermion are interchanged. Only the anti-commutators of gamma matrices and their Hermitian conjugates are non-vanishing. The dynamical Kac Moody type generators are Hermitian and are constructed as bilinears of the gamma matrices and their Hermitian conjugates and, just like conserved currents of the ordinary quantum theory, contain parts proportional to $a^\dagger a, b^\dagger b, a^\dagger b^\dagger$ and $ab$ ($a$ and $b$ refer to fermionic and anti-fermionic oscillator operators). The commutators between Kac Moody generators and Kac Moody generators and gamma matrices remain as such.

For a given value of $m$ $G_n, n > 0$ creates fermions whereas $G_n, n < 0$ annihilates anti-fermions. Analogous result holds for $G^\dagger_n$. Virasoro generators remain Hermitian and decompose just like Kac Moody generators do. Thus the usual anti-commutation relations for the super Virasoro generators must be replaced with anti-commutations between $G_m$ and $G^\dagger_n$ and one has

\[
\{G_m, G^\dagger_n\} = 2L_{m+n} + \frac{m}{4}(m^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{m,-n},
\{G_m, G_n\} = 0,
\{G^\dagger_m, G^\dagger_n\} = 0.
\]

The commutators of type $[L_m, L_n]$ are not changed. Same applies to the purely kinematical commutators between $L_n$ and $G_m/G^\dagger_m$.

The Super Virasoro conditions satisfied by the physical states are as before in case of $L_n$ whereas the conditions for $G_n$ are doubled to those of $G_n, n < 0$ and $G^\dagger_n, n > 0$.

4.5.3 What could be the counterparts of stringy conformal fields in TGD framework?

The experience with string models would suggest the conformal symmetries associated with the complex coordinates of $X^2$ as a candidate for conformal super-symmetries. One can imagine two counterparts of the stringy coordinate $z$ in TGD framework.

(a) Super-symplectic and super Kac- Moody symmetries are local with respect to $X^2$ in the sense that the coefficients of generators depend on the invariant $J = e^{\alpha\beta}J_{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{\mathcal{F}}$ rather
than being completely free \[K4\]. Thus the real variable \(J\) replaces complex (or hyper-complex) stringy coordinate and effective 1-dimensionality holds true also now but in different sense than for conformal field theories.

(b) The slicing of \(X^4\) by string world sheets \(Y^2\) and partonic 2-surfaces \(X^2\) implied by number theoretical compactification implies string-parton duality and involves the super conformal fermionic gauge symmetries associated with the coordinates \(u\) and \(w\) in the dual dimensional reductions to stringy and partonic dynamics. These coordinates define the natural analogs of stringy coordinate. The effective reduction of \(X^3\) to braid by finite measurement resolution implies the effective reduction of \(X^4(X^3)\) to string world sheet. This implies quite strong resemblance with string model. The realization that spinor modes with well-define em charge must be localized at string world sheets makes the connection with strings even more explicit \[K15\].

One can understand how Equivalence Principle emerges in TGD framework at space-time level when many-sheeted space-time (see Fig. http://tgdtheory.fi/appfigures/manysheeted.jpg or Fig. 9 in the appendix of this book) is replaced with effective space-time lumping together the space-time sheets to \(M^4\) endowed with effective metric. The quantum counterpart EP has most feasible interpretation in terms of Quantum Classical Correspondence (QCC): the conserved Kähler four-momentum equals to an eigenvalue of conserved Kähler-Dirac four-momentum acting as operator.

(c) The conformal fields of string model would reside at \(X^2\) or \(Y^2\) depending on which description one uses and complex (hyper-complex) string coordinate would be identified accordingly. \(Y^2\) could be fixed as a union of stringy world sheets having the strands of number theoretic braids as its ends. The proposed definition of braids is unique and characterizes finite measurement resolution at space-time level. \(X^2\) could be fixed uniquely as the intersection of \(X^3\) (the light-like 3-surface at which induced metric of space-time surface changes its signature) with \(\delta M^4_{\pm} \times CP^2\). Clearly, wormhole throats \(X^3\) would take the role of branes and would be connected by string world sheets defined by number theoretic braids.

(d) An alternative identification for TGD parts of conformal fields is inspired by \(M^8 - H\) duality. Conformal fields would be fields in WCW. The counterpart of \(z\) coordinate could be the hyper-octonionic \(M^8\) coordinate \(m\) appearing as argument in the Laurent series of WCW Clifford algebra elements. \(m\) would characterize the position of the tip of CD and the fractal hierarchy of CDs within CDs would give a hierarchy of Clifford algebras and thus inclusions of hyper-finite factors of type \(II_1\). Reduction to hyper-quaternionic field -that is field in \(M^4\) center of mass degrees of freedom- would be needed to obtained associativity. The arguments \(m\) at various level might correspond to arguments of N-point function in quantum field theory.

4.6 Comparison Of TGD And Stringy Views About Super-Conformal Symmetries

The best manner to represent TGD based view about conformal symmetries is by comparison with the conformal symmetries of super string models.

4.6.1 Basic differences between the realization of super conformal symmetries in TGD and in super-string models

The realization super conformal symmetries in TGD framework differs from that in string models in several fundamental aspects.

(a) In TGD framework super-symmetry generators acting as configuration space gamma matrices carry either lepton or quark number. Majorana condition required by the hermiticity of super generators which is crucial for super string models would be in conflict with the conservation of baryon and lepton numbers and is avoided. This is made possible by the realization of bosonic generators represented as Hamiltonians of
X^2-local symplectic transformations rather than vector fields generating them [K4].

This kind of representation applies also in Kac-Moody sector since the local transversal isometries localized in X^3 and respecting light-likeness condition can be regarded as X^2 local symplectic transformations, whose Hamiltonians generate also isometries. Localization is not complete: the functions of X^2 coordinates multiplying symplectic and Kac-Moody generators are functions of the symplectic invariant J = \epsilon^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu} so that effective one-dimensionality results but in different sense than in conformal field theories. This realization of super symmetries is what distinguishes between TGD and super string models and leads to a totally different physical interpretation of super-conformal symmetries. The fermionic representations of super-symplectic and super Kac-Moody generators can be identified as Noether charges in standard manner.

(b) A long-standing problem of quantum TGD was that stringy propagator 1/G does not make sense if G carries fermion number. The progress in the understanding of second quantization of the modified Dirac operator made it however possible to identify the counterpart of G as a c-number valued operator and interpret it as different representation of G [K3].

(c) The notion of super-space is not needed at all since Hamiltonians rather than vector fields represent bosonic generators, no super-variant of geometry is needed. The distinction between Ramond and N-S representations important for N = 1 super-conformal symmetry and allowing only ground state weight 0 an 1/2 disappears. Indeed, for N = 2 super-conformal symmetry it is already possible to generate spectral flow transforming these Ramond and N-S representations to each other (G_n is not Hermitian anymore).

(d) If Kähler action defines the Kähler-Dirac operator, the number of spinor modes could be finite. One must be here somewhat cautious since bound state in the Coulomb potential associated with electric part of induced electro-weak gauge field might give rise to an infinite number of bound states which eigenvalues converging to a fixed eigenvalue (as in the case of hydrogen atom). Finite number of generalized eigenmodes means that the representations of super-conformal algebras reduces to finite-dimensional ones in TGD framework. Also the notion of number theoretic braid indeed implies this. The physical interpretation would be in terms of finite measurement resolution. If Kähler action is complexified to include imaginary part defined by CP breaking instanton term, the number of stringy mass square eigenvalues assignable to the spinor modes becomes infinite since conformal excitations are possible. This means breakdown of exact holography and effective 2-dimensionality of 3-surfaces. It seems that the inclusion of instanton term is necessary for several reasons. The notion of finite measurement resolution forces conformal cutoff also now. There are arguments suggesting that only the modes with vanishing conformal weight contribute to the Dirac determinant defining vacuum functional identified as exponent of Kähler function in turn identified as Kähler action for its preferred extremal.

(e) What makes spinor field mode a generator of gauge super-symmetry is that is c-number and not an eigenmode of DK(X^2) and thus represents non-dynamical degrees of freedom. If the number of eigen modes of DK(X^2) is indeed finite means that most of spinor field modes represent super gauge degrees of freedom.

4.6.2 The super generators G are not Hermitian in TGD!

The already noticed important difference between TGD based and the usual Super Virasoro representations is that the Super Virasoro generator G cannot Hermitian in TGD. The reason is that WCW gamma matrices possess a well defined fermion number. The hermiticity of the WCW gamma matrices \Gamma and of the Super Virasoro current G could be achieved by posing Majorana conditions on the second quantized H-spinors. Majorana conditions can be however realized only for space-time dimension D mod 8 = 2 so that super string type approach does not work in TGD context. This kind of conditions would also lead to the non-conservation of baryon and lepton numbers.
An analogous situation is encountered in super-symmetric quantum mechanics, where the general situation corresponds to super symmetric operators $S, S^\dagger$, whose anti-commutator is Hamiltonian: $\{S, S^\dagger\} = H$. One can define a simpler system by considering a Hermitian operator $S_0 = S + S^\dagger$ satisfying $S_0^2 = H$: this relation is completely analogous to the ordinary Super Virasoro relation $GG = L$. On basis of this observation it is clear that one should replace ordinary Super Virasoro structure $GG = L$ with $GG\dagger = L$ in TGD context.

It took a long time to realize the trivial fact that $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-symmetry is the standard physics counterpart for TGD super symmetry. $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-symmetry indeed involves the doubling of super generators and super generators carry $U(1)$ charge having an interpretation as fermion number in recent context. The so called short representations of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ super-symmetry algebra can be regarded as representations of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ super-symmetry algebra.

WCW gamma matrix $\Gamma_n$, $n > 0$ corresponds to an operator creating fermion whereas $\Gamma_n$, $n < 0$ annihilates anti-fermion. For the Hermitian conjugate $\Gamma_n^\dagger$, the roles of fermion and anti-fermion are interchanged. Only the anti-commutators of gamma matrices and their Hermitian conjugates are non-vanishing. The dynamical Kac Moody type generators are Hermitian and are constructed as bilinears of the gamma matrices and their Hermitian conjugates and, just like conserved currents of the ordinary quantum theory, contain parts proportional to $a^\dagger a$, $b^\dagger b$, $a^\dagger b^\dagger$ and $ab$ ($a$ and $b$ refer to fermionic and anti-fermionic oscillator operators). The commutators between Kac Moody generators and Kac Moody generators and gamma matrices remain as such.

For a given value of $m G_n$, $n > 0$ creates fermions whereas $G_n$, $n < 0$ annihilates anti-fermions. Analogous result holds for $G_n^\dagger$. Virasoro generators remain Hermitian and decompose just like Kac Moody generators do. Thus the usual anti-commutation relations for the super Virasoro generators must be replaced with anti-commutations between $G_m$ and $G_n^\dagger$, and one has

$$\{G_m, G_n^\dagger\} = 2L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{3}(m^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{m,-n},$$
$$\{G_m, G_n\} = 0,$$
$$\{G_n^\dagger, G_n^\dagger\} = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

The commutators of type $[L_m, L_n]$ are not changed. Same applies to the purely kinematical commutators between $L_n$ and $G_m/G_m^\dagger$.

The Super Virasoro conditions satisfied by the physical states are as before in case of $L_n$ whereas the conditions for $G_n$ are doubled to those of $G_n$, $n < 0$ and $G_n^\dagger$, $n > 0$.

### 4.6.3 What could be the counterparts of stringy conformal fields in TGD framework?

The experience with string models would suggest the conformal symmetries associated with the complex coordinates of $X^2$ as a candidate for conformal super-symmetries. One can imagine two counterparts of the stringy coordinate $z$ in TGD framework.

(a) Super-symplectic and super Kac-Moody symmetries are local with respect to $X^2$ in the sense that the coefficients of generators depend on the invariant $J = e^{\alpha\beta}J_{\alpha\beta} \sqrt{g_2}$ rather than being completely free. Thus the real variable $J$ replaces complex (or hyper-complex) stringy coordinate and effective 1-dimensionality holds true also now but in different sense than for conformal field theories.

(b) The slicing of $X^4$ by string world sheets $Y^2$ and partonic 2-surfaces $X^2$ implied by number theoretical compactification implies string-parton duality and involves the super conformal fermionic gauge symmetries associated with the coordinates $u$ and $w$ in the dual dimensional reductions to stringy and partonic dynamics. These coordinates define the natural analogs of stringy coordinate. The effective reduction of $X^3$ to braid by finite measurement resolution implies the effective reduction of $X^4(X^3)$ to string world sheet. This implies quite strong resemblance with string model. The realization that spinor
5. The Vanishing Of Super-Conformal Charges As A Gauge Conditions Selecting Preferred Extremals Of Kähler Action

Classical TGD [K2] involves several key questions waiting for clearcut answers.

(a) The notion of preferred extremal emerges naturally in positive energy ontology, where Kähler metric assigns a unique (apart from gauge symmetries) preferred extremal to given 3-surface at $M^4$ time= constant section of imbedding space $H = M^4 \times CP_2$. This would quantize the initial values of the time derivatives of imbedding coordinates and this could correspond to the Bohr orbitology in quantum mechanics.

(b) In zero energy ontology (ZE0) initial conditions are replaced by boundary conditions. One fixes only the 3-surfaces at the opposite boundaries of CD and in an ideal situation there would exist a unique space-time surface connecting them. One must however notice that the existence of light-like wormhole throat orbits at which the signature of the induced metric changes ($\det(g_{kl}) = 0$) its signature might change the situation. Does the attribute "preferred" become obsolete and does one lose the beautiful Bohr orbitology, which looks intuitively compelling and would realize quantum classical correspondence?

(c) Intuitively it has become clear that the generalization of super-conformal symmetries by replacing 2-D manifold with metrically 2-D but topologically 3-D light-like boundary of causal diamond makes sense. Generalized super-conformal symmetries should apply also to the wormhole throat orbits which are also metrically 2-D and for which conformal symmetries respect $\det(g_{kl}) = 0$ condition. Quantum classical correspondence demands that the generalized super-conformal invariance has a classical counterpart. How could this classical counterpart be realized?
(d) Holography is one key aspect of TGD and mean that 3-surfaces dictate everything. In positive energy ontology the content of this statement would be rather obvious and reduce to Bohr orbitology but in ZEO situation is different. On the other hand, TGD strongly suggests strong form of holography based stating that partonic 2-surfaces (the ends of wormhole throat orbits at boundaries of CD) and tangent space data at them code for quantum physics of TGD. General coordinate invariance would be realized in strong sense: one could formulate the theory either in terms of space-like 3-surfaces at the ends of CD or in terms of light-like wormhole throat orbits. This would realize Bohr orbitology also in ZEO by reducing the boundary conditions to those at partonic 2-surfaces. How to realize this explicitly at the level of field equations? This has been the challenge.

Answering questions is extremely useful activity. During last years Hamed has posed continually questions related to the basic TGD. At this time Hamed asked about the derivation of field equations of TGD. In "simple" field theories involving some polynomial non-linearities the deduction of field equations is of course totally trivial process but in the extremely non-linear geometric framework of TGD situation is quite different.

While answering the questions I made what I immediately dare to call a breakthrough discovery in the mathematical understanding of TGD. To put it concisely: one can assume that the variations at the light-like boundaries of CD vanish for all conformal variations which are not isometries. For isometries the contributions from the ends of CD cancel each other so that the corresponding variations need not vanish separately at boundaries of CD! This is extremely simple and profound fact. This would be nothing but the realisation of the analogs of conformal symmetries classically and give precise content for the notion of preferred external, Bohr orbitology, and strong form of holography. And the condition makes sense only in ZEO!

I attach below the answers to the questions of Hamed almost as such apart from slight editing and little additions, re-organization, and correction of typos.

## 5.1 Field Equations For Kähler Action

Hamed made some questions relating to the derivation of field equations for the extremals of Kähler action which led to the recent progress. I comment first these questions since they lead naturally to the basic new idea.

### 5.1.1 The physical interpretation of the canonical momentum current

Hamed asked about the physical meaning of $T^k = \partial L / \partial (\partial_n h^k)$ - normal components of canonical momentum labelled by the label $k$ of imbedding space coordinates - it is good to start from the physical meaning of a more general vector field

$$T^\alpha_k \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial (\partial_\alpha h^k)}$$

with both imbedding space indices $k$ and space-time indices $\alpha$ - canonical momentum currents. $L$ refers to Kähler action.

(a) One can start from the analogy with Newton’s equations derived from action principle (Lagrangian). Now the analogs are the partial derivatives $\partial L / \partial (dx^k / dt)$. For a particle in potential one obtains just the momentum. Therefore the term canonical momentum current/density: one has kind of momentum current for each imbedding space coordinate.

(b) By contracting with generators of imbedding space isometries (Poincaré and color) one indeed obtains conserved currents associated with isometries by Noether’s theorem:

$$j^A = T^\alpha_k j^{Ak}.$$
By field equations the divergences of these currents vanish and one obtains conserved charged-classical four-momentum and color charges:

\[ D_\alpha T^{A\alpha} = 0 \, . \]

(c) The normal component of conserved current must vanish at boundaries with one timelike direction if one has such:

\[ T^{An} = 0 \, . \]

Now one has wormhole throat orbits which are not genuine boundaries albeit analogous to them and one must be very careful. The quantity \( T^n \) determines the values of normal components of currents and must vanish at possible space-like boundaries.

Note that in TGD field equations reduce to the conservation of isometry currents as in hydrodynamics where basic equations are just conservation laws.

### 5.1.2 The basic steps in the derivation of field equations

First a general recipe for deriving field equations from Kähler action - or any action as a matter of fact.

(a) At the first step one writes an expression of the variation of the Kähler action as sum of variations with respect to the induced metric \( g \) and induced Kähler form \( J \). The partial derivatives in question are energy momentum tensor and contravariant Kähler form.

(b) After this the variations of \( g \) and \( J \) are expressed in terms of variations of imbedding space coordinates, which are the primary dynamical variables.

(c) The integral defining the variation can be decomposed to a total divergence plus a term vanishing for extremals for all variations: this gives the field equations. Total divergence term gives a boundary term and it vanishes by boundary conditions if the boundaries in question have time-like direction.

If the boundary is space-like, the situation is more delicate in TGD framework: this will be considered in the sequel. In TGD situation is also delicate also because the light-like 3-surfaces which are common boundaries of regions with Minkowskian or Euclidian signature of the induced metric are not ordinary topological boundaries. Therefore a careful treatment of both cases is required in order to not to miss important physics.

Expressing this summary more explicitly, the variation of the Kahler action with respect to the gradients of the imbedding space coordinates reduces to the integral of

\[ T^a_k \partial_a \delta h^k + \frac{\partial K}{\partial h^k} \delta h^k \, . \]

The latter term comes only from the dependence of the imbedding space metric and Kähler form on imbedding space coordinates. One can use a simple trick. Assume that they do not depend at all on imbedding space coordinates, derive field equations, and replaced partial derivatives by covariant derivatives at the end. Covariant derivative means covariance with respect to both space-time and imbedding space vector indices for the tensorial quantities involved. The trick works because imbedding space metric and Kähler form are covariantly constant quantities.

The integral of the first term \( T^a_k \partial_a \delta h^k \) decomposes to two parts.

(a) The first term, whose vanishing gives rise to field equations, is integral of

\[ D_\alpha T^{a\alpha} \delta h^k \, . \]
(b) The second term is integral of
\[ \partial_\alpha (T^\alpha_\beta \delta h^\beta) . \]
This term reduces as a total divergence to a 3-D surface integral over the boundary of the region of fixed signature of the induced metric consisting of the ends of CD and wormhole throat orbits (boundary of region with fixed signature of induced metric). This term vanishes if the normal components \( T^n_\alpha \) of canonical momentum currents vanishes at the boundary like region.

In the sequel the boundary terms are discussed explicitly and it will be found that their treatment indeed involves highly non-trivial physics.

### 5.1.3 Complex isometry charges and twistorialization

TGD space-time contains regions of both Minkowskian and Euclidian signature of metric. This has some highly non-trivial consequences.

(a) Should one assume that \( \sqrt{\text{det}(g_{4})} \) is imaginary in Minkowskian and real in Euclidian region? For Kähler action this is sensible and Euclidian region would give a real negative contribution giving rise to exponent of Kähler function of WCW ("world of classical worlds") making the functional integral convergent. Minkowskian regions would give imaginary contribution to the exponent causing interference effects absolutely essential in quantum field theory. This contribution would correspond to Morse function for WCW.

The implication would be that the classical four-momenta in Euclidian/Minkowskian regions are imaginary/real. What could the interpretation be? Should one accept as a fact that four-momenta are complex.

(b) Twistor approach to TGD is now in quite good shape \[K12\]. \( M^4 \times CP^2 \) is the unique choice is one requires that the Cartesian factors allow twistor space with Kähler structure and classical TGD allows twistor formulation.

In the recent formulation the fundamental fermions are assumed to propagate with light-like momenta along wormhole throats. At gauge theory limit particles must have massless or massive four-momenta. One can however also consider the possibility of complex massless momenta and in the standard twistor approach on mass shell massless particles appearing in graphs indeed have complex momenta. These complex momenta should by quantum classical correspondence correspond directly to classical complex momenta.

(c) A funny question popping in mind is whether the massivation of particles could be such that the momenta remain massless in complex sense! The complex variant of light-likeness condition would be
\[ p_{\text{re}}^2 = p_{1m}^2 , \quad p_{\text{re}} \cdot p_{1m} = 0 . \]
Could one interpret \( p_{1m}^2 \) as the mass squared of the particle? Or could \( p_{1m}^2 \) code for the decay width of an unstable particle? This option does not look feasible.

(d) The complex momenta could provide an elegant 4-D space-time level representation for the isometry quantum numbers at the level of imbedding space. The ground states of the super-conformal representations have as building bricks the spinor harmonics of the imbedding space which correspond to the analogs of massless particles in 8-D sense \[K7\]. Indeed, the condition giving mass squared eigenvalues for the spinor harmonics is just massless condition in \( M^4 \times CP^2 \).

At the space-time level these conditions must be replaced by 4-D conditions and complex masslessness would be the elegant manner to realizes this. Also the massivation of massless states by p-adic thermodynamics could have similar description.

This interpretation would also conform with \( M^8 - M^4 \times CP^2 \) duality \[K13\] at the level of momentum space.
5.2 Boundary Conditions At Boundaries Of CD

In positive energy ontology one would formulate boundary conditions as initial conditions by fixing both the 3-surface and associated canonical momentum densities at either end of CD (positions and momenta of particles in mechanics). This would bring asymmetry between boundaries of CD. In ZEO the basic boundary condition is that space-time surfaces have as their ends the members of pairs of surfaces at the ends of CD. Besides this one can have additional boundary conditions and the notion of preferred extremal suggests this.

5.2.1 Do boundary conditions realize quantum classical correspondence?

In TGD framework one must carefully consider the boundary conditions at the boundaries of CDs. What is clear that the time-like boundary contributions from the boundaries of CD to the variation must vanish.

(a) This is true if the variations are assumed to vanish at the ends of CD. This might be however too strong a condition.

(b) One cannot demand the vanishing of \( T^t_k \) (\( t \) refers to time coordinate as normal coordinate) since this would give only vacuum extremals. One could however require quantum classical correspondence for any Cartan sub-algebra of isometries whose elements define maximal set of isometry generators. The eigenvalues of quantal variants of isometry charge assignable to second quantized induced spinors at the ends of space-time surface are equal to the classical charges. Is this actually a formulation of Equivalence Principle, is not quite clear to me.

5.2.2 Do boundary conditions realize preferred extremal property as a choice of conformal gauge?

While writing this a completely new idea popped to my mind. What if one poses the vanishing of the boundary terms at boundaries of CDs as additional boundary conditions for all variations except isometries? Of perhaps for all conformal variations (conformal in TGD sense)? This would not imply vanishing of isometry charges since the variations coming from the opposite ends of CD cancel each other! It soon became clear that this would allow to meet all the challenges listed in the beginning!

(a) These conditions would realize Bohr orbitology also to ZEO approach and define what "preferred extremal" means.

(b) The conditions would be very much like super-Virasoro conditions stating that the superconformal generators with non-vanishing conformal weight annihilate states or create zero norm states but no conditions are posed on generators with vanishing conformal weight (now isometries). One could indeed assume only deformations, which are local isometries assignable to the generalised conformal algebra of the \( \delta M^2 / - \times CP_2 \). For arbitrary variations one would not require the vanishing. This could be the long sought for precise formulation of super-conformal invariance at the level of classical field equations! It is enough to consider the weaker conditions that the conformal charges defined as integrals of corresponding Noether currents vanish. These conditions would be direct equivalents of quantal conditions.

(c) The natural interpretation would be as a fixing of conformal gauge. This fixing would be motivated by the fact that WCW Kähler metric must possess isometries associated with the conformal algebra and can depend only on the tangent data at partonic 2-surfaces as became clear already for more than two decades ago. An alternative, non-practical option would be to allow all 3-surfaces at the ends of CD: this would lead to the problem of eliminating the analog of the volume of gauge group from the functional integral.

(d) The conditions would also define precisely the notion of holography and its reduction to strong form of holography in which partonic 2-surfaces and their tangent space data code for the dynamics.
5.3 Boundary Conditions At Parton Orbits

Needless to say, the modification of this approach could make sense also at partonic orbits.

5.3 Boundary Conditions At Parton Orbits

The contributions from the orbits of wormhole throats are singular since the contravariant form of the induced metric develops components which are infinite \( \text{det}(g_4) = 0 \). The contributions are real at Euclidian side of throat orbit and imaginary at the Minkowskian side so that they must be treated as independently.

5.3.1 Conformal gauge choice, preferred extremal property, hierarchy of Planck constants, and TGD as almost topological QFT

The generalization of the boundary conditions as a classical realization conformal gauge invariance is natural.

(a) One can consider the possibility that under rather general conditions the normal components \( T^k_n \sqrt{\text{det}(g_4)} \) approach to zero at partonic orbits since \( \text{det}(g_4) \) is vanishing. Note however the appearance of contravariant appearing twice as index raising operator in Kähler action. If so, the vanishing of \( T^k_n \sqrt{\text{det}(g_4)} \) need not fix completely the "boundary" conditions. In fact, I assign to the wormhole throat orbits conformal gauge symmetries so that just this is expected on physical grounds.

(b) Generalized conformal invariance would suggest that the variations defined as integrals of \( T^k_n \sqrt{\text{det}(g_4)} \delta h^k \) vanish in a non-trivial manner for the conformal algebra associated with the light-like wormhole throats with deformations respecting \( \text{det}(g_4) = 0 \) condition. Also the variations defined by infinitesimal isometries (zero conformal weight sector) should vanish since otherwise one would lose the conservation laws for isometry charges. The conditions for isometries might reduce to \( T^k_n \sqrt{\text{det}(g_4)} \to 0 \) at partonic orbits. Also now the interpretation would be in terms of fixing of conformal gauge.

(c) Even \( T^k_n \sqrt{g} = 0 \) condition need not fix the partonic orbit completely. The Gribov ambiguity meaning that gauge conditions do not fix uniquely the gauge potential could have counterpart in TGD framework. It could be that there are several conformally non-equivalent space-time surfaces connecting 3-surfaces at the opposite ends of CD. If so, the boundary values at wormhole throats orbits could matter to some degree: very natural in boundary value problem thinking but new in initial value thinking. This would conform with the non-determinism of Kähler action implying criticality and the possibility that the 3-surfaces at the ends of CD are connected by several space-time surfaces which are physically non-equivalent.

(d) The hierarchy of Planck \( \text{K5} \) constants assigned to dark matter, quantum criticality and even criticality indeed relies on the assumption that \( h_{\text{eff}} = n \times h \) corresponds to \( n \)-fold coverings having \( n \) space-time sheets which coincide at the ends of CD and that conformal symmetries act on the sheets as gauge symmetries. One would have as Gribov copies \( n \) conformal equivalence classes of wormhole throat orbits and corresponding space-time surfaces. Depending on whether one fixes the conformal gauge one has \( n \) equivalence classes of space-time surfaces or just one representative from each conformal equivalent class.

(e) There is also the question about the correspondence with the weak form of electric magnetic duality \( \text{K2} \). This duality plus the condition that \( j^\alpha A_\alpha = 0 \) in the interior of space-time surface imply the reduction of Kähler action to Chern-Simons terms. This would suggest that the boundary variation of the Kähler action reduces to that for Chern-Simons action which is indeed well-defined for light-like 3-surfaces. If so, the gauge fixing would reduce to variational equations for Chern-Simons action! A weaker condition is that classical conformal charges vanish. This would give a nice connection to the vision about TGD as almost topological QFT. In TGD framework these conditions do not imply the vanishing of Kähler form at boundaries. The conditions are satisfied if the \( CP_2 \) projection of the partonic orbit is 2-D: the reason is that Chern-Simons term vanishes identically in this case.
5.3.2 Fractal hierarchy of conformal symmetry breakings

A further intuitively natural hypothesis is that there is a fractal hierarchy of breakings of conformal symmetry.

(a) Only the generators of conformal sub-algebra with conformal weight multiple of $n$ act as gauge symmetries. This would give infinite hierarchies of breakings of conformal symmetry interpreted in terms of criticality: in the hierarchy $n_i$ divides $n_{i+1}$.

Similar degeneracy would be associated with both the parton orbits and the space-like ends at CD boundaries and I have considered the possibility that the integer $n$ appearing in $h_{eff}$ has decomposition $n = n_1 n_2$ corresponding to the degeneracies associated with the two kinds of boundaries. Alternatively, one could have just $n = n_1 = n_2$ from the condition that the two conformal symmetries are 3-dimensional manifestations of single 4-D analog of conformal symmetry.

(b) In the symmetry breaking $n_i \rightarrow n_{i+1}$ the conformal charges, which vanished earlier, would become non-vanishing. Could one require that they are conserved that is the contributions of the boundary terms at the ends of CD cancel each other? If so, one would have dynamical conformal symmetry.

What could the proper interpretation of the conformal hierarchies $n_i \rightarrow n_{i+1}$?

(a) Could one interpret the hierarchy in terms of increasing measurement resolution? Conformal degrees of freedom below measurement resolution would be gauge degrees of freedom and the conformal hierarchies would correspond to an inclusion hierarchies for hyper-finite factors of type $II_1$ \cite{KLJ}. If $h_{eff} = n \times h$ defines the conformal gauge sub-algebra, the improvement of the resolution would scale up the Compton scales and would quite concretely correspond to a zoom analogous to that done for Mandelbrot fractal to get new details visible. From the point of view of cognition the improving resolution would fit nicely with the recent view about $h_{eff}/h$ as a kind of intelligence quotient.

This interpretation might make sense for the symplectic algebra of $\delta M^+_4 \times CP_2$ for which the light-like radial coordinate $r_M$ of light-cone boundary takes the role of complex coordinate. The reason is that symplectic algebra acts as isometries.

(b) Suppose that the Kähler action has vanishing variation under deformations defined by the broken conformal symmetries so that the corresponding conformal charges As a consequence, Kähler function would be critical with respect to the corresponding variations. The components of WCW Kähler metric expressible in terms of second derivatives of Kähler function can be however non-vanishing and have also components, which correspond to WCW coordinates associated with different partonic 2-surfaces. This conforms with the idea that conformal algebras extend to Yangian algebras generalizing the Yangian symmetry of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ symmetric gauge theories.

In this kind of situation one could consider the interpretation in terms of criticality: the lower the criticality, the larger then value of $h_{eff}$ and $h$ and the higher the resolution.

(c) $n$ gives also the number of space-time sheets in the singular covering. Could the interpretation be in terms measurement resolution for counting the number of space-time sheets. Our recent quantum physics would only see single space-time sheet representing visible manner and dark matter would become visible only for $n > 1$.

As should have become clear, the derivation of field equations in TGD framework is not just an application of a formal recipe as in field theories and a lot of non-trivial physics is involved!

5.4 Surface Area As Geometric Representation Of Entanglement Entropy?

In Thinking Allowed Original there was a link to a talk by James Sully and having the title Geometry of Compression I must admit that I understood very little about the talk. My not
so educated guess is however that information is compressed: UV or IR cutoff eliminating entanglement in short length scales and describing its presence in terms of density matrix - that is thermodynamically - is another manner to say it. The TGD inspired proposal for the interpretation of the inclusions of hyper-finite factors of type II$_1$ (HFFs) is in spirit with this.

The space-time counterpart for the compression would be in TGD framework discretization. Discretizations using rational points (or points in algebraic extensions of rationals) make sense also p-adically and thus satisfy number theoretic universality. Discretization would be defined in terms of intersection (rational or in algebraic extension of rationals) of real and p-adic surfaces. At the level of “world of classical worlds” the discretization would correspond to - say - surfaces defined in terms of polynomials, whose coefficients are rational or in some algebraic extension of rationals. Pinary UV and IR cutoffs are involved too. The notion of p-adic manifold allows to interpret the p-adic variants of space-time surfaces as cognitive representations of real space-time surfaces.

Finite measurement resolution does not allow state function reduction reducing entanglement totally. In TGD framework also negentropic entanglement stable under Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) is possible. For HFFs the projection into single ray of Hilbert space is indeed impossible: the reduction takes always to infinite-D sub-space.

The visit to the URL was however not in vain. There was a link to an article discussing the geometrization of entanglement entropy inspired by the AdS/CFT hypothesis.

Quantum classical correspondence is basic guiding principle of TGD and suggests that entanglement entropy should indeed have space-time correlate, which would be the analog of Hawking-Bekenstein entropy.

5.4.1 Generalization of AdS/CFT to TGD context

AdS/CFT generalizes to TGD context in non-trivial manner. There are two alternative interpretations, which both could make sense. These interpretations are not mutually exclusive. The first interpretation makes sense at the level of “world of classical worlds” with symplectic algebra and extended conformal algebra associated with $\delta M^4_+$ replacing ordinary conformal and Kac-Moody algebras. Second interpretation at the level of space-time surface with the extended conformal algebras of the light-likes orbits of partonic 2-surfaces replacing the conformal algebra of boundary of $AdS^n$.

1. First interpretation

For the first interpretation 2-D conformal invariance is generalised to 4-D conformal invariance relying crucially on the 4-dimensionality of space-time surfaces and Minkowski space.

(a) One has an extension of the conformal invariance provided by the symplectic transformations of $\delta CD \times CP_2$ for which Lie algebra has the structure of conformal algebra with radial light-like coordinate of $\delta M^4_+$ replacing complex coordinate $z$.

(b) One could see the counterpart of $AdS_5$ as imbedding space $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ completely unique by twistorial considerations and from the condition that standard model symmetries are obtained and its causal diamonds defined as sub-sets $CD \times CP_2$, where CD is an intersection of future and past directed light-cones. I will use the shorthand CD for $CD \times CP_2$. Strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ are replaced with space-time surfaces inside 8-D CD.

(c) For this interpretation 8-D CD replaces the 10-D space-time $AdS_5 \times S^5$. 7-D light-like boundaries of CD correspond to the boundary of say $AdS_5$, which is 4-D Minkowski space so that zero energy ontology (ZEO) allows rather natural formulation of the generalization of AdS/CFT correspondence since the positive and negative energy parts of zero energy states are localized at the boundaries of CD.
5.4.2 Second interpretation

For the second interpretation relies on the observation that string world sheets as carriers of induced spinor fields emerge in TGD framework from the condition that electromagnetic charge is well-defined for the modes of induced spinor field.

(a) One could see the 4-D space-time surfaces $X^4$ as counterparts of $AdS_4$. The boundary of $AdS_4$ is replaced in this picture with 3-surfaces at the ends of space-time surface at opposite boundaries of CD and by strong form of holography the union of partonic 2-surfaces defining the intersections of the 3-D boundaries between Euclidian and Minkowskian regions of space-time surface with the boundaries of CD. Strong form of holography in TGD is very much like ordinary holography.

(b) Note that one has a dimensional hierarchy: the ends of the boundaries of string world sheets at boundaries of CD as point-like particles, boundaries as fermion number carrying lines, string world sheets, light-like orbits of partonic 2-surfaces, 4-surfaces, imbedding space $M^4 \times CP_2$. Clearly the situation is more complex than for AdS/CFT correspondence.

(c) One can restrict the consideration to 3-D sub-manifolds $X^3$ at either boundary of causal diamond (CD): the ends of space-time surface. In fact, the position of the other boundary is not well-defined since one has superposition of CDs with only one boundary fixed to be piece of light-cone boundary. The delocalization of the other boundary is essential for the understanding of the arrow of time. The state function reductions at fixed boundary leave positive energy part (say) of the zero energy state at that boundary invariant (in positive energy ontology entire state would remain unchanged) but affect the states associated with opposite boundaries forming a superposition which also changes between reduction: this is analog for unitary time evolution. The average for the distance between tips of CDs in the superposition increases and gives rise to the flow of time.

(d) One wants an expression for the entanglement entropy between $X^3$ and its partner. Bekenstein area law allows to guess the general expression for the entanglement entropy: for the proposal discussed in the article the entropy would be the area of the boundary of $X^3$ divided by gravitational constant: $S = A/4G$. In TGD framework gravitational constant might be replaced by the square of $CP_2$ radius apart from numerical constant. How gravitational constant emerges in TGD framework is not completely understood although one can deduce for it an estimate using dimensional analyses. In any case, gravitational constant is a parameter which characterizes GRT limit of TGD in which many-sheeted space-time is in long scales replaced with a piece of Minkowski space such that the classical gravitational fields and gauge potentials for sheets are summed. The physics behind this relies on the generalization of linear superposition of fields: the effects of different space-time sheets particle touching them sum up rather than fields.

(e) The counterpart for the boundary of $X^3$ appearing in the proposal for the geometrization of the entanglement entropy naturally corresponds to partonic 2-surface or a collection of them if strong form of holography holds true. There is however also another candidate to be considered! Partonic 2-surfaces are basic objects, and one expects that the entanglement between fundamental fermions associated with distinct partonic 2-surfaces has string world sheets as space-time correlates. Could the area of the string world sheet in the effective metric defined by the anticommutators of K-D gamma matrices at string world sheet provide a measure for entanglement entropy? If this conjecture is correct: the entanglement entropy would be proportional to Kähler action. Also negative values are possible for Kähler action in Minkowskian regions but in TGD framework number theoretic entanglement entropy having also negative values emerges naturally.

Which of these guesses is correct, if any? Or are they equivalent?
5.4 Surface Area As Geometric Representation Of Entanglement Entropy?

5.4.3 With what kind of systems 3-surfaces can entangle?

With what system $X^3$ is entangled/can entangle? There are several options to consider and they could correspond to the two TGD variants for the AdS/CFT correspondence.

(a) $X^3$ could correspond to a wormhole contact with Euclidian signature of induced metric. The entanglement would be between it and the exterior region with Minkowskian signature of the induced metric.

(b) $X^3$ could correspond to single sheet of space-time surface connected by wormhole contacts to a larger space-time sheet defining its environment. More precisely, $X^3$ and its complement would be obtained by throwing away the wormhole contacts with Euclidian signature of induce metric. Entanglement would be between these regions. In the generalization of the formula

$$S = \frac{A}{4\hbar G}$$

area $A$ would be replaced by the total area of partonic 2-surfaces and $G$ perhaps with $CP_2$ length scale squared.

(c) In ZEO the entanglement could also correspond to time-like entanglement between the 3-D ends of the space-time surface at opposite light-like boundaries of CD. M-matrix, which can be seen as the analog of thermal S-matrix, decomposes to a product of hermitian square root of density matrix and unitary S-matrix and this hermitian matrix could also define p-adic thermodynamics. Note that in ZEO quantum theory can be regarded as square root of thermodynamics.

5.4.4 Minimal surface property is not favored in TGD framework

Minimal surface property for the 3-surfaces $X^3$ at the ends of space-time surface looks at first glance strange but a proper generalization of this condition makes sense if one assumes strong form of holography. Strong form of holography realizes General Coordinate Invariance (GCI) in strong sense meaning that light-like parton orbits and space-like 3-surfaces at the ends of space-time surfaces are equivalent physically. As a consequence, partonic 2-surfaces and their 4-D tangent space data must code for the quantum dynamics.

The mathematical realization is in terms of conformal symmetries accompanying the symplectic symmetries of $\delta M_4^+ \times CP_2$ and conformal transformations of the light-like partonic orbit [K15]. The generalizations of ordinary conformal algebras correspond to conformal algebra, Kac-Moody algebra at the light-like parton orbits and to symplectic transformations $\delta M_4^+ \times CP_2$ acting as isometries of WCW and having conformal structure with respect to the light-like radial coordinate plus conformal transformations of $\delta M_4^+$, which is metrically 2-dimensional and allows extended conformal symmetries.

(a) If the conformal realization of the strong form of holography works, conformal transformations act at quantum level as gauge symmetries in the sense that generators with no-vanishing conformal weight are zero or generate zero norm states. Conformal degeneracy can be eliminated by fixing the gauge somehow. Classical conformal gauge conditions analogous to Virasoro and Kac-Moody conditions satisfied by the 3-surfaces at the ends of CD are natural in this respect. Similar conditions would hold true for the light-like partonic orbits at which the signature of the induced metric changes.

(b) What is also completely new is the hierarchy of conformal symmetry breakings associated with the hierarchy of Planck constants $h_{eff}/h = n$ [K5]. The deformations of the 3-surfaces which correspond to non-vanishing conformal weight in algebra or any sub-algebra with conformal weights vanishing modulo $n$ give rise to vanishing classical charges and thus do not affect the value of the Kähler action [K13]. The inclusion hierarchies of conformal sub-algebras are assumed to correspond to those for hyper-finite factors. There is obviously a precise analogy with quantal conformal
invariance conditions for Virasoro algebra and Kac-Moody algebra. There is also hierarchy of inclusions which corresponds to hierarchy of measurement resolutions. An attractive interpretation is that singular conformal transformations relate to each other the states for broken conformal symmetry. Infinitesimal transformations for symmetry broken phase would carry fractional conformal weights coming as multiples of $1/n$.

(c) Conformal gauge conditions need not reduce to minimal surface conditions holding true for all variations.

(d) Note that Kähler action reduces to Chern-Simons term at the ends of CD if weak form of electric magnetic duality holds true. The conformal charges at the ends of CD cannot however reduce to Chern-Simons charges by this condition since only the charges associated with $CP^2$ degrees of freedom would be non-trivial.

The way out of the problem is provided by the generalization of AdS/CFT conjecture. String area is estimated in the effective metric provided by the anti-commutator of K-D gamma matrices at string world sheet.

\section*{5.5 Related Ideas}

\subsection*{5.5.1 p-Adic variant of Bekenstein-Hawking law}

When the 3-surface corresponds to elementary particle, a direct connection with p-adic thermodynamics suggests itself and allows to answer the questions above. p-Adic thermodynamics could be interpreted as a description of the entanglement with environment. In ZEO the entanglement could also correspond to time-like entanglement between the 3-D ends of the space-time surface at opposite light-like boundaries of CD. M-matrix, which can be seen as the analog of thermal S-matrix, decomposes to a product of hermitian square root of density matrix and unitary S-matrix and this hermitian matrix could also define p-adic thermodynamics.

(a) p-Adic thermodynamics \cite{K16} would not be for energy but for mass squared (or scaling generator $L_0$) would describe the entanglement of the particle with environment defined by the larger space-time sheet. Conformal weights would come as positive powers of integers ($p L_0$ would replace $exp(-H/T)$ to guarantee the number theoretical existence and convergence of the Boltzmann weight: note that conformal invariance that is integer spectrum of $L_0$ is also essential).

(b) The interactions with environment would excite very massive $CP^2$ mass scale excitations (mass scale is about $10^{-4}$ times Planck mass) of the particle and give it thermal mass squared identifiable as the observed mass squared. The Boltzmann weights would be extremely small having p-adic norm about $1/p^n$, $p$ the p-adic prime: $M_{127} = 2^{127} - 1$ for electron.

(c) One of the first ideas inspired by p-adic vision was that p-adic entropy could be seen as a p-adic counterpart of Bekenstein-Hawking entropy \cite{K10}. $S = (R^2/\hbar^2) \times M^2$ holds true identically apart from numerical constant. Note that one could interpret $R^2M/\hbar$ as the counterpart of Schwartschild radius. Note that this radius is proportional to $1/\sqrt{p}$ so that the area $A$ would correspond to the area defined by Compton length. This is in accordance with the third option.

\subsection*{5.5.2 What is the space-time correlate for negentropic entanglement?}

The new element brought in by TGD framework is that number theoretic entanglement entropy is negative for negentropic entanglement assignable to unitary entanglement and
NMP states that this negentropy increases \textcolor{red}{\cite{K8}}. Since entropy is essentially number of energy degenerate states, a good guess is that the number \( n = \hbar_{eff}/\hbar \) of space-time sheets associated with \( \hbar_{eff} \) defines the negentropy. An attractive space-time correlate for the negentropic entanglement is braiding. Braiding defines unitary S-matrix between the states at the ends of braid and this entanglement is nentropic. This entanglement gives also rise to topological quantum computation.

6 Appendix: Hamilton-Jacobi Structure

In the following the definition of Hamilton-Jacobi structure is discussed in detail.

6.1 Hermitian And Hyper-Hermitian Structures

The starting point is the observation that besides the complex numbers forming a number field there are hyper-complex numbers. Imaginary unit \( i \) is replaced with \( e \) satisfying \( e^2 = 1 \).

Hyper-complex numbers appear naturally in 2-D Minkowski space since the solutions of a massless field equation can be written as \( f = g(u = t-\epsilon x) + h(v = t+\epsilon x) \) with \( \epsilon^2 = 1 \) realized by putting \( \epsilon = 1 \). Therefore Wick rotation relates sums of holomorphic and antiholomorphic functions to sums of hyper-holomorphic and anti-hyper-holomorphic functions. Note that \( u \) and \( v \) are hyper-complex conjugates of each other.

Complex n-dimensional spaces allow Hermitian structure. This means that the metric has in complex coordinates \((z_1, \ldots, z_n)\) the form in which the matrix elements of metric are non-vanishing only between \( z_i \) and complex conjugate of \( z_j \).

In 2-D case one obtains just \( ds^2 = g_{zz} dz d\bar{z} \). Note that in this case metric is conformally flat since line element is proportional to the line element \( ds^2 = dz d\bar{z} \) of plane. This form is always possible locally. For complex n-D case one obtains \( ds^2 = g_{zz} dz d\bar{z} \). \( g_{zz} = g_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \) guaranteeing the reality of \( ds^2 \). In 2-D case this condition gives \( g_{zz} = g_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} \).

How could one generalize this line element to hyper-complex n-dimensional case. In 2-D case Minkowski space \( M^2 \) one has \( ds^2 = g_{uv} du dv \). \( g_{uv} = 1 \). The obvious generalization would be the replacement \( ds^2 = g_{ui, vj} du^i dv^j \). Also now the analogs of reality conditions must hold with respect to \( u_i \leftrightarrow v_i \).

6.2 Hamilton-Jacobi Structure

Consider next the path leading to Hamilton-Jacobi structure.

4-D Minkowski space \( M^4 = M^2 \times E^2 \) is Cartesian product of hyper-complex \( M^2 \) with complex plane \( E^2 \), and one has \( ds^2 = du dv + dz d\bar{z} \) in standard Minkowski coordinates. One can also consider more general integrable decompositions of \( M^4 \) for which the tangent space \( TM^4 = M^4 \) at each point is decomposed to \( M^2(x) \times E^2(x) \). The physical analogy would be a position dependent decomposition of the degrees of freedom of massless particle to longitudinal ones \( (M^2(x): \text{light-like momentum is in this plane}) \) and transversal ones \( (E^2(x): \text{polarization vector is in this plane}) \). Cylindrical and spherical variants of Minkowski coordinates define two examples of this kind of coordinates (it is perhaps a good exercise to think what kind of decomposition of tangent space is in question in these examples).

An interesting mathematical problem highly relevant for TGD is to identify all possible decompositions of this kind for empty Minkowski space.

The integrability of the decomposition means that the planes \( M^2(x) \) are tangent planes for 2-D surfaces of \( M^4 \) analogous to Euclidian string world sheet. This gives slicing of \( M^4 \) to Minkowskian string world sheets parametrized by euclidian string world sheets. The question is whether the sheets are stringy in a strong sense: that is minimal surfaces. This is not the
case: for spherical coordinates the Euclidean string world sheets would be spheres which are not minimal surfaces. For cylindrical and spherical coordinates however \( M^2(x) \) integrate to plane \( M^2 \), which is minimal surface.

Integrability means in the case of \( M^2(x) \) the existence of light-like vector field \( J \) whose flow lines define a global coordinate. Its existence implies also the existence of its conjugate and together these vector fields give rise to \( M^2(x) \) at each point. This means that one has \( J = \Psi \nabla \Phi \). \( \Phi \) indeed defines the global coordinate along flow lines. In the case of \( M^2 \) either the coordinate \( u \) or \( v \) would be the coordinate in question. This kind of flows are called Beltrami flows. Obviously the same holds for the transversal planes \( E^2 \).

One can generalize this metric to the case of general 4-D space with Minkowski signature of metric. At least the elements \( g_{uv} \) and \( g_{z\bar{z}} \) are non-vanishing and can depend on both \( u, v \) and \( z, \bar{z} \). They must satisfy the reality conditions \( g_{z\bar{z}} = \overline{g_{\bar{z}z}} \) and \( g_{uv} = \overline{g_{vu}} \) where complex conjugation in the argument involves also \( u \leftrightarrow v \) besides \( z \leftrightarrow \bar{z} \).

The question is whether the components \( g_{uz} \), \( g_{vz} \), and their complex conjugates are non-vanishing if they satisfy some conditions. They can. The direct generalization from complex 2-D space would be that one treats \( u \) and \( v \) as complex conjugates and therefore requires a direct generalization of the hermiticity condition

\[
g_{uz} = \overline{g_{vz}} \quad g_{vz} = \overline{g_{uz}}
\]

This would give complete symmetry with the complex 2-D (4-D in real sense) spaces. This would allow the algebraic continuation of hermitian structures to Hamilton-Jacobi structures by just replacing \( i \) with \( e \) for some complex coordinates.
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