Bio-Systems as Super-Conductors: Part I

M. Pitkänen,

December 27, 2016

Email: matpitka@luukku.com.
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/.
Recent postal address: Karkinkatu 3 I 3, 00360, Karkkila, Finland.

Contents

Abstract

In this chapter various TGD based ideas related to the role of super-conductivity in bio-systems are studied. TGD inspired theory of consciousness provides several motivations for this.

1. Empirical evidence for high T_c superconductivity in bio-systems

There is evidence for super-conductivity in bio-systems. DNA should be insulator but under some circumstances it becomes conductor and perhaps even high T_c quantum critical super-conductor. Also evidence for Josephson effect has been reported. The so called ORMEs patented by Hudson are claimed to behave like superconductors: unfortunately the academic world has not taken these claims seriously enough to test them. The claimed properties of ORMEs conform with high quantum critical T_c super-conductivity and superfluidity. The strange findings about the strange quantal behavior of ionic currents through cell membranes suggest the presence of ionic supra currents.

2. Model for high T_c superconductivity

A model for high T_c super-conductivity as quantum critical phenomenon is developed. The relies on the notions of quantum criticality, dynamical quantized Planck constant requiring a generalization of the 8-D imbedding space to a book like structure, and many-sheeted space-time. In particular, the notion of magnetic flux tube as a carrier of supra current of central concept.

With a sufficient amount of twisting and weaving these basic ideas one ends up to concrete model for high T_c superconductors as quantum critical superconductors consistent with the qualitative facts that I am personally aware. The following minimal model looks the most realistic option found hitherto.

1. The general idea is that magnetic flux tubes are carriers of supra currents. In anti-ferromagnetic phases these flux tube structures form small closed loops so that the system behaves as an insulator. Some mechanism leading to a formation of long flux tubes must exist. Doping creates holes located around stripes, which become positively charged and attract electrons to the flux tubes.

2. The basic mechanism for the formation of Cooper pairs is simple. Magnetic flux tubes would be carriers of dark particles and magnetic fields would be crucial for super-conductivity. Two parallel flux tubes carrying magnetic fluxes in opposite directions is the simplest candidate for super-conducting system. This conforms with the observation that antiferromagnetism is somehow crucial for high temperature super-conductivity. The spin interaction energy is proportional to Planck constant and can be above thermal energy: if the hypothesis that dark cyclotron energy spectrum is universal is accepted, then the energies would be in bio-photon range and high temperature super-conductivity is obtained. If fluxes are parallel spin $S = 1$ Cooper pairs are stable. $L = 2$ states are in question since the members of the pair are at different flux tubes.

3. The higher critical temperature T_{c1} corresponds to a formation local configurations of parallel spins assigned to the holes of stripes giving rise to a local dipole fields with size scale of the order of the length of the stripe. Conducting electrons form Cooper pairs at the magnetic flux tube structures associated with these dipole fields. The elongated structure of the dipoles favors angular momentum $L = 2$ for the pairs. The presence of magnetic field favors Cooper pairs with spin $S = 1$.

4. Stripes can be seen as 1-D metals with delocalized electrons. The interaction responsible for the energy gap corresponds to the transversal oscillations of the magnetic flux tubes inducing oscillations of the nuclei of the stripe. These transverse phonons have spin and their exchange is a good candidate for the interaction giving rise to a mass gap. This could explain the BCS type aspects of high T_c super-conductivity.

5. Above T_c supra currents are possible only in the length scale of the flux tubes of the dipoles which is of the order of stripe length. The reconnections between neighboring flux tube structures induced by the transverse fluctuations give rise to longer flux tubes structures making possible finite conductivity. These occur with certain temperature dependent probability $p(T, L)$ depending on temperature and distance L between the stripes. By criticality $p(T, L)$ depends on the dimensionless variable $x = TL/\hbar$ only: $p = p(x)$. At critical temperature T_c transverse fluctuations have large amplitude and makes $p(x_c)$ so large that very long flux tubes are created and supra currents can run. The phenomenon is completely analogous to percolation.

6. The critical temperature $T_c = x_c\hbar/L$ is predicted to be proportional to $\hbar$ and inversely proportional to L (, which is indeed to be the case). If flux tubes correspond to a large value of $\hbar$, one can understand the high value of T_c. Both Cooper pairs and magnetic flux tube structures represent dark matter in TGD sense.

7. The model allows to interpret the characteristic spectral lines in terms of the excitation energy of the transversal fluctuations and gap energy of the Cooper pair. The observed 50 meV threshold for the onset of photon absorption suggests that below T_c also $S = 0$ Cooper pairs are possible and have gap energy about 9 meV whereas $S = 1$ Cooper pairs would have gap energy about 27 meV. The flux tube model indeed predicts that $S = 0$ Cooper pairs become stable below T_c since they cannot anymore transform to $S = 1$ pairs. Their presence could explain the BCS type aspects of high T_c super-conductivity. The estimate for $\hbar/\hbar_0 = r$ from critical temperature T_{c1} is about $r = 3$ contrary to the original expectations inspired by the model of of living system as a super-conductor suggesting much higher value. An unexpected prediction is that coherence length is actually r times longer than the coherence length predicted by conventional theory so that type I super-conductor could be in question with stripes serving as duals for the defects of type I super-conductor in nearly critical magnetic field replaced now by ferromagnetic phase.

   At qualitative level the model explains various strange features of high T_c superconductors. One can understand the high value of T_c and ambivalent character of high T_c super conductors, the existence of pseudogap and scalings laws for observables above T_c, the role of stripes and doping and the existence of a critical doping, etc...

3. The model for superconductivity in living matter

The model for high T_c superconductivity was inspired by the model of bio-superconductivity in which the flux tubes of magnetic fields are carriers of supra currents and the large value of Planck constant guarantees that gap energy and critical temperature are high enough. The transversal fluctuations of flux tubes provide the counterpart of phonons generating energy gap. Besides dark Cooper pairs also the Bose-Einstein condensates of dark bosonic ions define candidates for super-conducting phases provided that the gap energies in longitudinal and transversal magnetic degrees of freedom are high enough. High enough values of Planck constant can guarantee this.

1 Introduction

In this chapter various TGD based ideas related to high T_c superconductivity and to the role of super-conductivity in bio-systems are studied. TGD inspired theory of consciousness provides several motivations for this.

1. Supra currents and Josephson currents provide excellent tools of bio-control allowing large space-time sheets to control the smaller space-time sheets. The predicted hierarchy of dark matter phases characterized by a large value of $\hbar$ and thus possessing scaled up Compton and de Broglie wavelengths allows to have quantum control of short scales by long scales utilizing de-coherence phase transition. Quantum criticality is the basic property of TGD Universe and quantum critical super-conductivity is therefore especially natural in TGD framework. The competing phases could be ordinary and large $\hbar$ phases and supra currents would flow along the boundary between the two phases.

2. It is possible to make a tentative identification of the quantum correlates of the sensory qualia quantum number increments associated with the quantum phase transitions of various macroscopic quantum systems [K11] and various kind of Bose-Einstein condensates and super-conductors are the most relevant ones in this respect.

3. The state basis for the fermionic Fock space spanned by N creation operators can be regarded as a Boolean algebra consisting of statements about N basic statements. Hence fermionic degreees of freedom could correspond to the Boolean mind whereas bosonic degrees of freedom would correspond to sensory experiencing and emotions. The integer valued magnetic quantum numbers (a purely TGD based effect) associated with the defect regions of super conductors of type I provide a very robust information storage mechanism and in defect

regions fermionic Fock basis is natural. Hence not only fermionic super-conductors but also their defects are biologically interesting [K12, K22, K6].

1.1 General Ideas About Super-Conductivity In Many-Sheeted Space-Time

The notion of many-sheeted space-time alone provides a strong motivation for developing TGD based view about superconductivity and I have developed various ideas about high T_c superconductivity [D26] in parallel with ideas about living matter as a macroscopic quantum system. A further motivation and a hope for more quantitative modelling comes from the discovery of various non-orthodox super-conductors including high T_c superconductors [D26, D30, D2]. heavy fermion super-conductors and ferromagnetic superconductors [D25, D16, D11]. The standard BCS theory does not work for these super-conductors and the mechanism for the formation of Cooper pairs is not understood. There is experimental evidence that quantum criticality [D36] is a key feature of many non-orthodox super-conductors. TGD provides a conceptual framework and bundle of ideas making it possible to develop models for non-orthodox superconductors.

1.1.1 Quantum criticality, hierarchy of dark matters, and dynamical $\hbar$

Quantum criticality is the basic characteristic of TGD Universe and quantum critical superconductors provide an excellent test bed to develop the ideas related to quantum criticality into a more concrete form. The hypothesis that Planck constants in CD (causal diamond defined as the intersection of the future and past directed light-cones of M^4) and CP_2 degrees of freedom are dynamical possessing quantized spectrum given as integer multiples of minimum value of Planck constant [K8, K7] adds further content to the notion of quantum criticality.

After several alternatives I ended with the conjecture that the value of $\hbar$ is in the general case given by $\hbar = n \times \hbar_0$. Integer n characterizes a sub-algebra of super-symplectic algebra or related algebra with conformal structure characterized by the property that conformal weights are n-multiples of those of the full algebra. The sub-algebra is isomorphic with the full algebra so that a fractal hierarchy of sub-algebras is obtained. One obtains an infinite hierarchy of conformal gauge symmetry breaking hierarchies defined by the sequences of integers n_i dividing n_{i+1}.

The identification in terms of hierarchies of inclusions of hyper-finite factors of type II_1 is natural. Also the interpretation in terms of finite measurement resolution makes sense. As n increases the sub-algebra acting as conformal gauge symmetries is reduced so that some gauge degrees of freedom are transformed to physical ones. The transitions increasing n occur spontaneously since criticality is reduced. A good metaphor for TGD Universe is as a hill at the top of a hill at the top.... In biology this interpretation is especially interesting since living systems can be seen as systems doing their best to stay at criticality using metabolic energy feed as a tool to achieve this. Ironically, the increase of $\hbar$ would mean increase of measurement resolution and evolution!

The only coupling constant of the theory is Kähler coupling constant $\alpha_K = g_K^2/4\pi\hbar$, which appears in the definition of the Kähler function K characterizing the geometry of the configuration space of 3-surfaces (the "world of classical worlds"). The exponent of K defines vacuum functional analogous to the exponent of Hamiltonian in thermodynamics. The allowed value of $\alpha_K = g_K^2/4\pi\hbar$ should be analogous to critical temperature and determined by quantum criticality requirement. There are two possible interpretations for the hierarchy of Planck constants.

1. The actual value of $\hbar$ is always its standard value and value of $\alpha_K = g_K 2/4\pi\hbar$ is always its maximal value $\alpha_K(n = 1$ but there are n space-time sheets contributing the same value of Kähler action effectively scaling up the value of $\hbar_0$ to $n\hbar_0$ scaling down the value of $\alpha_K(1)$ to $\alpha_K(1)/n$. The n sheets would belong to n different conformal gauge equivalence classes of space-time surfaces connecting fixed 3-surfaces at opposite boundaries of CD. This interpretation is analogous to the introduction of the singular covering space of imbedding space.

   One can of course ask whether all values $0 < m \leq n$ for the number of "actualized" sheets are possible. A possible interpretation would be in terms of charge fractionization.

2. One could also speak of genuine hierarchy of Planck constants $\hbar = n\hbar_0$ predicting a genuine hierarchy of Kähler coupling strengths $\alpha_K(n) = \alpha_K(n = 1)/n$. In thermodynamical analogy

zero temperature is an accumulation of critical temperatures behaving like $1/n$. Intriguingly, in p-adic thermodynamics p-adic temperature is quantized for purely number theoretical reasons as $1/n$ multiples of the maximal p-adic temperature. Note that Kähler function is the analog of free energy. In this interpretation the n sheets are identified.

Phases with different values n behave like dark matter with respect to each other in the sense that they do not have direct interactions except at criticality for the phase transition changing the value of n to its multiple or divisor. In large $\hbar(CD)$ phases various quantum time and length scales are scaled up which means macroscopic and macro-temporal quantum coherence.

Number theoretic complexity argument favors the hypothesis that the integers n corresponding to Fermat polygons constructible using only ruler and compass and given as products $n_F = 2^k \prod_s F_s$, where $F_s = 2^{2^s} + 1$ are distinct Fermat primes, might be favored. The reason would be that quantum phase $q = exp(i\pi/n)$ is in this case expressible using only iterated square root operation by starting from rationals. The known Fermat primes correspond to $s = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ so that the hypothesis is very strong and predicts that p-adic length scales have satellite length scales given as multiples of n_F of fundamental p-adic length scale.

Contrary to the original hypothesis inspired by the requirement that gravitational coupling is renormalization group invariant, α_K does not seem to depend on p-adic prime whereas gravitational constant is proportional to L_p^2. The situation is saved by the assumption that gravitons correspond to the largest non-super-astrophysical Mersenne prime M_{127} so that gravitational coupling is effectively RG invariant in p-adic coupling constant evolution [K1].

$\hbar(CD)$ appears in the commutation and anti-commutation relations of various superconformal algebras. Kähler function codes for radiative corrections to the classical action, which makes possible to consider the possibility that higher order radiative corrections to functional integral vanish as one might expect at quantum criticality. For a given p-adic length scale space-time sheets with all allowed values of Planck constants are possible. Hence the spectrum of quantum critical fluctuations could in the ideal case correspond to the spectrum of Planck constants coding for the scaled up values of Compton lengths and other quantal lengths and times. If so, large $\hbar$ phases could be crucial for understanding of quantum critical superconductors, in particular high T_c superconductors.

A further great idea is that the transition to large $\hbar$ phase occurs when perturbation theory based on the expansion in terms of gauge coupling constant ceases to converge: Mother Nature would take care of the problems of theoretician. The transition to large $\hbar$ phase obviously reduces gauge coupling strength α so that higher orders in perturbation theory are reduced whereas the lowest order "classical" predictions remain unchanged. A possible quantitative formulation of the criterion is that maximal 2-particle gauge interaction strength parameterized as $Q_1Q_2\alpha$ satisfies the condition $Q_1Q_2\alpha \simeq 1$.

TGD thus predicts an infinite hierarchy of phases behaving like dark or partially dark matter with respect to the ordinary matter and each other [K10] and the value of $\hbar$ is only one characterizer of these phases. These phases, especially so large $\hbar$ phase, seem to be essential for the understanding of even ordinary hadronic, nuclear and condensed matter physics [K10, K24, K7]. This strengthens the motivations for finding whether dark matter might be involved with quantum critical superconductivity.

Cusp catastrophe serves as a metaphor for criticality. In the case of high T_c superconductivity temperature and doping are control variables and the tip of cusp is at maximum value of T_c. Critical region correspond to the cusp catastrophe. Quantum criticality suggests the generalization of the cusp to a fractal cusp. Inside the critical lines of cusp there are further cusps which corresponds to higher levels in the hierarchy of dark matters labeled by increasing values of $\hbar$ and they correspond to a hierarchy of subtle quantum coherent dark matter phases in increasing length scales. The proposed model for high T_c super-conductivity involves only single value of Planck constant but it might be that the full description involves very many values of them.

1.1.2 Many-sheeted space-time concept and ideas about macroscopic quantum phases

Many-sheeted space-time leads to obvious ideas concerning the realization of macroscopic quantum phases.

1. The dropping of particles to larger space-time sheets is a highly attractive mechanism of super-conductivity. If space-time sheets are thermally isolated, the larger space-time sheets could be at extremely low temperature and super-conducting.

2. The possibility of large $\hbar$ phases allows to give up the assumption that space-time sheets characterized by different p-adic length scales are thermally isolated. The scaled up versions of a given space-time sheet corresponding to a hierarchy of values of $\hbar$ are possible such that the scale of kinetic energy and magnetic interaction energy remain same for all these space-time sheets. For the scaled up variants of space-time sheet the critical temperature for superconductivity could be higher than room temperature.

3. The idea that wormhole contacts can form macroscopic quantum phases and that the interaction of ordinary charge carriers with the wormhole contacts feeding their gauge fluxes to larger space-time sheets could be responsible for the formation of Cooper pairs, have been around for a decade [K27]. The rather recent realization that wormhole contacts can be actually regarded as space-time correlates for Higgs particles suggests also a new view about the photon massivation in super-conductivity.

4. Quantum classical correspondence has turned out be a very powerful idea generator. For instance, one can ask what are the space-time correlates for various notions of condensed matter such as phonons, BCS Cooper pairs, holes, etc...

1.2 TGD Inspired Model For High T_c Superconductivity

The TGD inspired model for high T_c super-conductivity relies on the notions of quantum criticality, dynamical quantized Planck constant requiring a generalization of the 8-D imbedding space to a book like structure, and many-sheeted space-time. In particular, the notion of magnetic flux tube as a carrier of supra current of central concept.

With a sufficient amount of twisting and weaving these basic ideas one ends up to concrete models for high T_c superconductors as quantum critical superconductors consistent with the qualitative facts that I am personally aware. The following minimal model looks the most realistic option found hitherto.

1. The general idea is that magnetic flux tubes are carriers of supra currents. In anti-ferromagnetic phases these flux tube structures form small closed loops so that the system behaves as an insulator. Some mechanism leading to a formation of long flux tubes must exist. Doping creates holes located around stripes, which become positively charged and attract electrons to the flux tubes.

2. Usually magnetic field tends to destroy Cooper pairs since it tends to flip the spins of electrons of pair to same direction. In TGD flux quantization comes in rescue and magnetic fields favor the formation of Cooper pairs. If one has two parallel flux tubes with opposite directions of magnetic fluxes with large value of $h_{eff} = nh$, $S = 0$ Cooper pairs with even $L \geq 2$ are favored. This situation is encountered in systems near antiferromagnetic phase transition in small scales leading to formation of sequences of flux loops carrying Cooper pairs. Macroscopic super-conductivity results when the loops are reconnected to two long flux tubes with opposite fluxes. If the magnetic fluxes have same sign, $S = 1$ Cooper pairs with odd $L \geq 1$ are favored.

3. The higher critical temperature T_{c1} corresponds to a formation local configurations of parallel spins assigned to the holes of stripes giving rise to a local dipole fields with size scale of the order of the length of the stripe. Conducting electrons form Cooper pairs at the magnetic flux tube structures associated with these dipole fields. The presence of magnetic field favors Cooper pairs with spin $S = 1$. It took long time to realize that pairs of large h_{eff} magnetic flux tubes with fluxes in opposite directions are ideal for carrying Cooper pairs with members of the pair at the different flux tubes. Large spin interaction energy with magnetic field proportional to $h_{eff} = nh$ stablizes the pair.

4. Stripes can be seen as 1-D metals with de-localized electrons. The interaction responsible for the energy gap corresponds to the transversal oscillations of the magnetic flux tubes inducing oscillations of the nuclei of the stripe. These transverse phonons have spin and their exchange is a good candidate for the interaction giving rise to a mass gap. This could explain the claimed BCS type aspects of high T_c super-conductivity. Another interpretation is as spin density waves now known to be important for high temperature superconductivity.

5. Above T_c supra currents are possible only in the length scale of the flux tubes of the dipoles which is of the order of stripe length. The reconnections between neighboring flux tube structures induced by the transverse fluctuations give rise to longer flux tubes structures making possible finite conductivity. These occur with certain temperature dependent probability $p(T, L)$ depending on temperature and distance L between the stripes. By criticality $p(T, L)$ depends on the dimensionless variable $x = TL/\hbar$ only: $p = p(x)$. At critical temperature T_c transverse fluctuations have large amplitude and makes $p(x_c)$ so large that very long flux tubes are created and supra currents can run. The phenomenon is completely analogous to percolation [D3].

6. The critical temperature $T_c = x_c\hbar/L$ is predicted to be proportional to $\hbar$ and inversely proportional to L (, which is indeed to be the case). If flux tubes correspond to a large value of $\hbar$, one can understand the high value of T_c. Both Cooper pairs and magnetic flux tube structures represent dark matter in TGD sense.

7. The model allows to interpret the characteristic spectral lines in terms of the excitation energy of the transversal fluctuations and gap energy of the Cooper pair. The observed 50 meV threshold for the onset of photon absorption suggests that below T_c also $S = 0$ Cooper pairs are possible and have gap energy about 9 meV whereas $S = 1$ Cooper pairs would have gap energy about 27 meV. The flux tube model indeed predicts that $S = 0$ Cooper pairs become stable below T_c since they cannot anymore transform to $S = 1$ pairs. Their presence could explain the BCS type aspects of high T_c super-conductivity. The estimate for $\hbar/\hbar_0 = r$ from critical temperature T_{c1} is about $r = 3$ contrary to the original expectations inspired by the model of of living system as a super-conductor suggesting much higher value. An unexpected prediction is that coherence length is actually r times longer than the coherence length predicted by conventional theory so that type I super-conductor could be in question with stripes serving as duals for the defects of type I super-conductor in nearly critical magnetic field replaced now by ferromagnetic phase.

8. TGD suggests preferred values for $r = \hbar/\hbar_0$ and the applications to bio-systems favor powers of $r = 2^{11}$. $r = 2^{11}$ predicts that electron Compton length is of order atomic size scale. Bio-superconductivity could involve electrons with $r = 2^{22}$ having size characterized by the thickness of the lipid layer of cell membrane.

At qualitative level the model explains various strange features of high T_c superconductors. One can understand the high value of T_c and ambivalent character of high T_c super conductors, the existence of pseudogap and scalings laws for observables above T_c, the role of stripes and doping and the existence of a critical doping, etc...

The model explains the observed ferromagnetic super-conductivity at quantum criticality [D25]. Since long flux tubes already exist, the overcritical transverse of fluctuations of the magnetic flux tubes inducing reconnections are now not responsible for the propagation of the super currents now. The should however provide the binding mechanism of $S = 1, L = 2$ Cooper pairs via the coupling of the fluctuations to excitation in the direction of flux tubes. I have considered effectively one-dimensional phonons in the direction of flux tubes as a candidates for this excitation. Spin density waves looks however a more realistic possibility. Also a modulated ferromagnetic phase consisting of stripes of opposite magnetization direction allows superconductivity [D25] and could be understood in terms of $S = 0$ Cooper pairs with electrons of the pair located at the neighboring stripes (flux tubes in TGD model.

1.3 Empirical Evidence For High T_c Superconductivity In Bio-Systems

There is evidence for super-conductivity in bio-systems. DNA should be insulator but under some circumstances it becomes conductor [I2] and perhaps even high T_c quantum critical super-conductor. Also evidence for Josephson effect has been reported [D14]. The so called ORMEs patented by Hudson [H1] are claimed to behave like superconductors: unfortunately the academic world has not taken these claims seriously enough to test them. The claimed properties of ORMEs conform with high quantum critical T_c super-conductivity and superfluidity. The strange findings about the strange quantal behavior of ionic currents through cell membranes [I4] suggest the presence of ionic supra currents. This evidence is discussed in the next chapter [K3].

2 General TGD Based View About Super-Conductivity

Today super-conductivity includes besides the traditional low temperature super-conductors many other non-orthodox ones [D35]. These unorthodox super-conductors carry various attributes such as cuprate, organic, dichalcogenide, heavy fermion, bismute oxide, ruthenate, antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic. Mario Rabinowitz has proposed a simple phenomenological theory of super-fluidity and super-conductivity which helps non-specialist to get a rough quantitative overall view about super-conductivity [D35].

2.1 Basic Phenomenology Of Super-Conductivity

The following provides the first attempt by a non-professional to form an overall view about super-conductivity.

2.1.1 Basic phenomenology of super-conductivity

The transition to super-conductivity occurs at critical temperature T_c and involves a complete loss of electrical resistance. Super-conductors expel magnetic fields (Meissner effect) and when the external magnetic field exceeds a critical value H_c super-conductivity is lost either completely or partially. In the transition to super-conductivity specific heat has singularity. For long time magnetism and super-conductivity were regarded as mutually exclusive phenomena but the discovery of ferromagnetic super-conductors [D25, D11] has demonstrated that reality is much more subtle.

The BCS theory developed by Bardeen, Cooper, and Schrieffer in 1957 provides a satisfactory model for low T_c super-conductivity in terms of Cooper pairs. The interactions of electrons with the crystal lattice induce electron-electron interaction binding electrons to Cooper pairs at sufficiently low temperatures. The electrons of Cooper pair are at the top of Fermi sphere (otherwise they cannot interact to form bound states) and have opposite center of mass momenta and spins. The binding creates energy gap E_g determining the critical temperature T_c. The singularity of the specific heat in the transition to super-conductivity can be understood as being due to the loss of thermally excitable degrees of freedom at critical temperature so that heat capacity is reduced exponentially. BCS theory has been successful in explaining the properties of low temperature super conductors but the high temperature super-conductors discovered in 1986 and other non-orthodox superconductors discovered later remain a challenge for theorists.

The reasons why magnetic fields tend to destroy super-conductivity is easy to understand. Lorentz force induces opposite forces to the electrons of Cooper pair since the momenta are opposite. Magnetic field tends also to turn the spins in the same direction. The super-conductivity is destroyed in fields for which the interaction energy of magnetic moment of electron with field is of the same order of magnitude as gap energy $E_g \sim T_c$: $e\hbar H_c/2m \sim T_c$.

If spins are parallel, the situation changes since only Lorentz force tends to destroy the Cooper pair. In high T_c super-conductors this is indeed the case: electrons are in spin triplet state ($S = 1$) and the net orbital angular momentum of Cooper pair is $L = 2$. The fact that orbital state is not $L = 0$ state makes high T_c super-conductors much more fragile to the destructive effect of impurities than conventional super-conductors (due to the magnetic exchange force between electrons responsible for magnetism). Also the Cooper pairs of ^3He superfluid are in spin triplet state but have $S = 0$.

The observation that spin triplet Cooper pairs might be possible in ferro-magnets stimulates the question whether ferromagnetism and super-conductivity might tolerate each other after all, and the answer is affirmative [D11]. The article [D25] provides an enjoyable summary of experimental discoveries.

2.1.2 Basic parameters of super-conductors from universality?

Super conductors are characterized by certain basic parameters such as critical temperature T_c and critical magnetic field H_c, densities n_c and n of Cooper pairs and conduction electrons, gap energy E_g, correlation length ξ and magnetic penetration length λ. The super-conductors are highly complex systems and calculation of these parameters from BCS theory is either difficult or impossible.

It has been suggested [D35] that these parameters might be more or less universal so that they would not depend on the specific properties of the interaction responsible for the formation of Cooper pairs. The motivation comes from the fact that the properties of ordinary Bose-Einstein condensates do not depend on the details of interactions. This raises the hope that these parameters might be expressible in terms of some basic parameters such as T_c and the density of conduction electrons allowing to deduce Fermi energy E_F and Fermi momentum k_F if Fermi surface is sphere. In [D35] formulas for the basic parameters are indeed suggested based on this of argumentation assuming that Cooper pairs form a Bose-Einstein condensate.

1. The most important parameters are critical temperature T_c and critical magnetic field H_c in principle expressible in terms of gap energy. In [D35] the expression for T_c is deduced from the condition that the de Broglie wavelength λ must satisfy in supra phase the condition

$$\lambda \geq 2d = 2(\frac{n_c}{g})^{-1/D} \tag{2.1}$$

guaranteeing the quantum overlap of Cooper pairs. Here n_c is the density of Bose-Einstein condensate of Cooper pairs and g is the number of spin states and D the dimension of the condensate. This condition follows also from the requirement that the number of particles per energy level is larger than one (Bose-Einstein condensation).

Identifying this expression with the de Broglie wavelength $\lambda = \hbar/\sqrt{2mE}$ at thermal energy $E = (D/2)T_c$, where D is the number of degrees of freedom, one obtains

$$T_c \leq \frac{h^2}{4Dm}(\frac{n_c}{g})^{2/D} . \tag{2.2}$$

m denotes the effective mass of super current carrier and for electron it can be even 100 times the bare mass of electron. The reason is that the electron moves is somewhat like a person trying to move in a dense crowd of people, and is accompanied by a cloud of charge carriers increasing its effective inertia. In this equation one can consider the possibility that Planck constant is not the ordinary one. This obviously increases the critical temperature unless n_c is scaled down in same proportion in the phase transition to large $\hbar$ phase.

2. The density of n_c Cooper pairs can be estimated as the number of fermions in Fermi shell at E_F having width Δk deducible from kT_c. For $D = 3$-dimensional spherical Fermi surface one has

$$\begin{aligned} n_c &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{4\pi k_F^2 \Delta k}{\frac{4}{3}\pi k_F^3} n , \\ kT_c &= E_F - E(k_F - \Delta k) \simeq \frac{h^2 k_F \Delta k}{m} . \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Analogous expressions can be deduced in $D = 2$- and $D = 1$-dimensional cases and one has

$$n_c(D) = \frac{D}{2}\frac{T_c}{E_F}n(D) \ . \qquad (2.4)$$

The dimensionless coefficient is expressible solely in terms of n and effective mass m. In [D35] it is demonstrated that the inequality 3.2 replaced with equality when combined with 3.4 gives a satisfactory fit for 16 super-conductors used as a sample.

Note that the Planck constant appearing in E_F and T_c in Eq. 3.4 must correspond to ordinary Planck constant $\hbar_0$. This implies that equations 3.2 and 3.4 are consistent within orders of magnitudes. For $D = 2$, which corresponds to high T_c superconductivity, the substitution of n_c from Eq. 3.4 to Eq. 3.2 gives a consistency condition from which n_c disappears completely. The condition reads as

$$n\lambda_F^2 = \pi = 4g \ .$$

Obviously the equation is not completely consistent.

3. The magnetic penetration length λ is expressible in terms of density n_c of Cooper pairs as

$$\lambda^{-2} = \frac{4\pi e^2 n_c}{m_e} \ . \qquad (2.5)$$

The ratio $\kappa \equiv \frac{\lambda}{\xi}$ determines the type of the super conductor. For $\kappa < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ one has type I super conductor with defects having negative surface energy. For $\kappa \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ one has type II super conductor and defects have positive surface energy. Super-conductors of type I this results in complex stripe like flux patterns maximizing their area near criticality. The super-conductors of type II have $\kappa > 1/\sqrt{2}$ and the surface energy is positive so that the flux penetrates as flux quanta minimizing their area at lower critical value H_{c_1} of magnetic field and completely at higher critical value H_{c_2} of magnetic field. The flux quanta contain a core of size ξ carrying quantized magnetic flux.

4. Quantum coherence length ξ can be roughly interpreted as the size of the Cooper pair or as the size of the region where it is sensible to speak about the phase of wave function of Cooper pair. For larger separations the phases of wave functions are un-correlated. The values of ξ vary in the range $10^3 - 10^4$ Angstrom for low T_c super-conductors and in the range $5 - 20$ Angstrom for high T_c super-conductors (assuming that they correspond to ordinary $\hbar$!) the ratio of these coherence lengths varies in the range $[50 - 2000]$, with upper bound corresponding to $n_F = 2^{11}$ for $\hbar$. This would give range $1 - 2$ microns for the coherence lengths of high T_c super-conductors with lowest values of coherence lengths corresponding to the highest values of coherence lengths for low temperatures super conductors.

Uncertainty Principle $\delta E\delta t = \hbar/2$ using $\delta E = E_g \equiv 2\Delta$, $\delta t = \xi/v_F$, gives an order of magnitude estimate for ξ differing only by a numerical factor from the result of a rigorous calculation given by

$$\xi = \frac{4\hbar v_F}{E_g} \ . \qquad (2.6)$$

E_g is apart from a numerical constant equal to T_c: $E_g = nT_c$. Using the expression for v_F and T_c in terms of the density of electrons, one can express also ξ in terms of density of electrons.

For instance, BCS theory predicts $n = 3.52$ for metallic super-conductors and $n = 8$ holds true for cuprates [D35]. For cuprates one obtains $\xi = 2n^{-1/3}$ [D35]. This expression can be criticized since cuprates are Mott insulators and it is not at all clear whether a description as Fermi gas makes sense. The fact that high T_c super-conductivity involves breakdown of anti-ferromagnetic order might justify the use of Fermi gas description for conducting holes resulting in the doping.

For large $\hbar$ the value of ξ would scale up dramatically if deduced theoretically from experimental data using this kind of expression. If the estimates for ξ are deduced from v_F and T_c purely calculationally as seems to be the case, the actual coherence lengths would be scaled up by a factor $\hbar/\hbar_0 = n_F$ if high T_c super-conductors correspond to large $\hbar$ phase. As also found that this would also allow to understand the high critical temperature.

2.2 Universality Of The Parameters In TGD Framework

Universality idea conforms with quantum criticality of TGD Universe. The possibility to express everything in terms of density of critical temperature coding for the dynamics of Cooper pair formation and the density charge carriers would make it also easy to understand how p-adic scalings and transitions to large $\hbar$ phase affect the basic parameters. The possible problem is that the replacement of inequality of Eq. 3.2 with equality need not be sensible for large $\hbar$ phases. It will be found that in many-sheeted space-time T_c does not directly correspond to the gap energy and the universality of the critical temperature follows from the p-adic length scale hypothesis.

2.2.1 The effect of p-adic scaling on the parameters of super-conductors

p-Adic fractality expresses as $n \propto 1/L^3(k)$ would allow to deduce the behavior of the various parameters as function of the p-adic length scale and naive scaling laws would result. For instance, E_g and T_c would scale as $1/L^2(k)$ if one assumes that the density n of particles at larger space-time sheets scales p-adically as $1/L^3(k)$. The basic implication would be that the density of Cooper pairs and thus also T_c would be reduced very rapidly as a function of the p-adic length scale. Without thermal isolation between these space-time sheets and hight temperature space-time sheets there would not be much hopes about high T_c super-conductivity.

In the scaling of Planck constant basic length scales scale up and the overlap criterion for super-conductivity becomes easy to satisfy unless the density of electrons is reduced too dramatically. As found, also the critical temperature scales up so that there are excellent hopes of obtain high T_c super-conductor in this manner. The claimed short correlation lengths are not a problem since they are calculational quantities.

It is of interest to study the behavior of the various parameters in the transition to the possibly existing large $\hbar$ variant of super-conducting electrons. Also small scalings of $\hbar$ are possible and the considerations to follow generalize trivially to this case. Under what conditions the behavior of the various parameters in the transition to large $\hbar$ phase is dictated by simple scaling laws?

1. Scaling of T_c and E_g

T_c and E_g remain invariant if E_g corresponds to a purely classical interaction energy remaining invariant under the scaling of $\hbar$. This is not the case for BCS super-conductors for which the gap energy E_g has the following expression.

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_g &= \hbar\omega_c exp(-1/X) \ , \\
X &= n(E_F)U_0 = \frac{3}{2}N(E_F)\frac{U_0}{E_F} \ , \\
n(E_F) &= \frac{3}{2}\frac{N(E_F)}{E_F} \ . \\
\omega_c &= \omega_D = (6\pi^2)^{1/3}c_s n_n^{1/3} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}
$$

Here ω_c is the width of energy region near E_F for which "phonon" exchange interaction is effective. n_n denotes the density of nuclei and c_s denotes sound velocity.

$N(E_F)$ is the total number of electrons at the super-conducting space-time sheet. U_0 would be the parameter characterizing the interaction strength of of electrons of Cooper pair and should not depend on $\hbar$. For a structure of size $L \sim 1\ \mu$ m one would have $X \sim n_a 10^{12}\frac{U_0}{E_F}$, n_a being the number of exotic electrons per atom, so that rather weak interaction energy U_0 can give rise to $E_g \sim \omega_c$.

The expression of ω_c reduces to Debye frequency ω_D in BCS theory of ordinary super conductivity. If c_s is proportional to thermal velocity $\sqrt{T_c/m}$ at criticality and if n_n remains invariant in the scaling of $\hbar$, Debye energy scales up as $\hbar$. This can imply that $E_g > E_F$ condition making scaling non-sensible unless one has $E_g \ll E_F$ holding true for low T_c super-conductors. This kind of situation would *not* require large $\hbar$ phase for electrons. What would be needed that nuclei and phonon space-time sheets correspond to large $\hbar$ phase.

What one can hope is that E_g scales as $\hbar$ so that high T_c superconductor would result and the scaled up T_c would be above room temperature for $T_c > .15$ K. If electron is in ordinary phase X is automatically invariant in the scaling of $\hbar$. If not, the invariance reduces to the invariance of U_0 and E_F under the scaling of $\hbar$. If n scales like $1/\hbar^D$, E_F and thus X remain invariant. U_0 as a simplified parameterization for the interaction potential expressible as a tree level Feynman diagram is expected to be in a good approximation independent of $\hbar$.

It will be found that in high T_c super-conductors, which seem to be quantum critical, a high T_c variant of phonon mediated superconductivity and exotic superconductivity could be competing. This would suggest that the phonon mediated superconductivity corresponds to a large $\hbar$ phase for nuclei scaling ω_D and T_c by a factor $r = \hbar/\hbar_0$.

Since the total number $N(E_F)$ of electrons at larger space-time sheet behaves as $N(E_F) \propto E_F^{D/2}$, where D is the effective dimension of the system, the quantity $1/X \propto E_F/n(E_F)$ appearing in the expressions of the gap energy behaves as $1/X \propto E_F^{-D/2+1}$. This means that at the limit of vanishing electron density $D = 3$ gap energy goes exponentially to zero, for $D = 2$ it is constant, and for $D = 1$ it goes zero at the limit of small electron number so that the formula for gap energy reduces to $E_g \simeq \omega_c$. These observations suggests that the super-conductivity in question should be 2- or 1-dimensional phenomenon as in case of magnetic walls and flux tubes.

2. Scaling of ξ and λ

If n_c for high T_c super-conductor scales as $1/\hbar^D$ one would have $\lambda \propto \hbar^{D/2}$. High T_c property however suggests that the scaling is weaker. ξ would scale as $\hbar$ for given v_F and T_c. For $D = 2$ case the this would suggest that high T_c super-conductors are of type I rather than type II as they would be for ordinary $\hbar$. This conforms with the quantum criticality which would be counterpart of critical behavior of super-conductors of type I in nearly critical magnetic field.

3. Scaling of H_c and B

The critical magnetization is given by

$$H_c(T) = \frac{\Phi_0}{\sqrt{8}\pi\xi(T)\lambda(T)} , \tag{2.8}$$

where Φ_0 is the flux quantum of magnetic field proportional to $\hbar$. For $D = 2$ and $n_c \propto \hbar^{-2}$ $H_c(T)$ would not depend on the value of $\hbar$. For the more physical dependence $n_c \propto \hbar^{-2+\epsilon}$ one would have $H_c(T) \propto \hbar^{-\epsilon}$. Hence the strength of the critical magnetization would be reduced by a factor $2^{-11\epsilon}$ in the transition to the large $\hbar$ phase with $n_F = 2^{-11}$.

Magnetic flux quantization condition is replaced by

$$\int 2eBdS = n\hbar 2\pi . \tag{2.9}$$

B denotes the magnetic field inside super-conductor different from its value outside the super-conductor. By the quantization of flux for the non-super-conducting core of radius ξ in the case of super-conductors of type II $eB = \hbar/\xi^2$ holds true so that B would become very strong since the thickness of flux tube would remain unchanged in the scaling.

2.3 Quantum Criticality And Super-Conductivity

The notion of quantum criticality has been already discussed in introduction. An interesting prediction of the quantum criticality of entire Universe also gives naturally rise to a hierarchy of macroscopic quantum phases since the quantum fluctuations at criticality at a given level can give rise to higher level macroscopic quantum phases at the next level. A metaphor for this is a fractal cusp catastrophe for which the lines corresponding to the boundaries of cusp region reveal new cusp catastrophes corresponding to quantum critical systems characterized by an increasing length scale of quantum fluctuations.

Dark matter hierarchy could correspond to this kind of hierarchy of phases and long ranged quantum slow fluctuations would correspond to space-time sheets with increasing values of $\hbar$ and size. Evolution as the emergence of modules from which higher structures serving as modules at the next level would correspond to this hierarchy. Mandelbrot fractal with inversion analogous to a transformation permuting the interior and exterior of sphere with zooming revealing new worlds in Mandelbrot fractal replaced with its inverse would be a good metaphor for what quantum criticality would mean in TGD framework.

2.3.1 How the quantum criticality of superconductors relates to TGD quantum criticality

There is empirical support that super-conductivity in high T_c super-conductors and ferromagnetic systems [D25, D16] is made possible by quantum criticality [D36]. In the experimental situation quantum criticality means that at sufficiently low temperatures quantum rather than thermal fluctuations are able to induce phase transitions. Quantum criticality manifests itself as fractality and simple scaling laws for various physical observables like resistance in a finite temperature range and also above the critical temperature. This distinguishes sharply between quantum critical super conductivity from BCS type super-conductivity. Quantum critical super-conductivity also exists in a finite temperature range and involves the competition between two phases.

The absolute quantum criticality of the TGD Universe maps to the quantum criticality of subsystems, which is broken by finite temperature effects bringing dissipation and freezing of quantum fluctuations above length and time scales determined by the temperature so that scaling laws hold true only in a finite temperature range.

Reader has probably already asked what quantum criticality precisely means. What are the phases which compete? An interesting hypothesis is that quantum criticality actually corresponds to criticality with respect to the phase transition changing the value of Planck constant so that the competing phases would correspond to different values of $\hbar$. In the case of high T_c super-conductors (anti-ferromagnets) the fluctuations can be assigned to the magnetic flux tubes of the dipole field patterns generated by rows of holes with same spin direction assignable to the stripes. Below T_c fluctuations induce reconnections of the flux tubes and a formation of very long flux tubes and make possible for the supra currents to flow in long length scales below T_c. Percolation type phenomenon is in question. The fluctuations of the flux tubes below $T_{c1} > T_c$ induce transversal phonons generating the energy gap for $S = 1$ Cooper pairs. $S = 0$ Cooper pairs are predicted to stabilize below T_c.

2.3.2 Scaling up of de Broglie wave lengths and criterion for quantum overlap

Compton lengths and de Broglie wavelengths are scaled up by an integer n, whose preferred values correspond to $n_F = 2^k \prod_s F_s$, where $F_s = 2^{2^s} + 1$ are distinct Fermat primes. In particular, $n_F = 2^{k11}$ seem to be favored in living matter. The scaling up means that the overlap condition $\lambda \geq 2d$ for the formation of Bose-Einstein condensate can be satisfied and the formation of Cooper pairs becomes possible. Thus a hierarchy of large $\hbar$ super-conductivities would be associated with to the dark variants of ordinary particles having essentially same masses as the ordinary particles.

Unless one assumes fractionization, the invariance of $E_F \propto \hbar_{eff}^2 n^{2/3}$ in $\hbar$ increasing transition would require that the density of Cooper pairs in large $\hbar$ phase is scaled down by an appropriate factor. This means that supra current intensities, which are certainly measurable quantities, are also scaled down. Of course, it could happen that E_F is scaled up and this would conform with the scaling of the gap energy.

2.3.3 Quantum critical super-conductors in TGD framework

For quantum critical super-conductivity in heavy fermions systems, a small variation of pressure near quantum criticality can destroy ferromagnetic (anti-ferromagnetic) order so that Curie (Neel) temperature goes to zero. The prevailing spin fluctuation theory [D8] assumes that these transitions are induced by long ranged and slow spin fluctuations at critical pressure P_c. These fluctuations make and break Cooper pairs so that the idea of super-conductivity restricted around critical point is indeed conceivable.

Heavy fermion systems, such as cerium-indium alloy $CeIn_3$ are very sensitive to pressures and a tiny variation of density can drastically modify the low temperature properties of the systems. Also other systems of this kind, such as $CeCu_2Ge_2$, $CeIn_3$, $CePd_2Si_2$ are known [D25, D11]. In these cases super-conductivity appears around anti-ferromagnetic quantum critical point.

The last experimental breakthrough in quantum critical super-conductivity was made in Grenoble [D16]. URhGe alloy becomes super-conducting at $T_c = .280$ K, loses its super-conductivity at $H_c = 2$ Tesla, and becomes again super-conducting at $H_c = 12$ Tesla and loses its super-conductivity again at $H = 13$ Tesla. The interpretation is in terms of a phase transition changing the magnetic order inducing the long range spin fluctuations.

TGD based models of atomic nucleus [K24] and condensed matter [K7] assume that weak gauge bosons with Compton length of order atomic radius play an essential role in the nuclear and condensed matter physics. The assumption that condensed matter nuclei possess anomalous weak charges explains the repulsive core of potential in van der Waals equation and the very low compressibility of condensed matter phase as well as various anomalous properties of water phase, provide a mechanism of cold fusion and sono-fusion, etc. [K7, K5]. The pressure sensitivity of these systems would directly reflect the physics of exotic quarks and electro-weak gauge bosons. A possible mechanism behind the phase transition to super-conductivity could be the scaling up of the sizes of the space-time sheets of nuclei.

Also the electrons of Cooper pair (and only these) could make a transition to large $\hbar$ phase. This transition would induce quantum overlap having geometric overlap as a space-time correlate. The formation of flux tubes between neighboring atoms would be part of the mechanism. For instance, the criticality condition $4n^2\alpha = 1$ for BE condensate of n Cooper pairs would give $n = 6$ for the size of a higher level quantum unit possibly formed formed from Cooper pairs. If one does not assume invariance of energies obtained by fractionization of principal quantum number, this transition has dramatic effects on the spectrum of atomic binding energies scaling as $1/\hbar^2$ and practically universal spectrum of atomic energies would result [K5] not depending much on nuclear charge. It seems that this prediction is non-physical.

Quantum critical super-conductors resemble superconductors of type I with $\lambda \ll \xi$ for which defects near thermodynamical criticality are complex structures looking locally like stripes of thickness λ. These structures are however dynamical in super-conducting phase. Quite generally, long range quantum fluctuations due to the presence of two competing phases would manifest as complex dynamical structures consisting of stripes and their boundaries. These patterns are dynamical rather than static as in the case of ordinary spin glass phase so that quantum spin glass or 4-D spin glass is a more appropriate term. The breaking of classical non-determinism for vacuum extremals indeed makes possible space-time correlates for quantum non-determinism and this makes TGD Universe a 4-dimensional quantum spin glass.

2.3.4 Could quantum criticality make possible new kinds of high T_c super-conductors?

The transition to large $\hbar = r\hbar_0$ phase increases various length scales by r and makes possible long range correlations even at high temperatures. Hence the question is whether large $\hbar$ phase could correspond to ordinary high T_c super-conductivity. If this were the case in the case of ordinary high T_c super-conductors, the actual value of coherence length ξ would vary in the range $5 - 20$ Angstrom scaled up by a factor r. For effectively D-dimensional super-conductor The density of Cooper pairs would be scaled down by an immensely small factor $1/r^D$ from its value deduced from Fermi energy.

Large $\hbar$ phase for some nuclei might be involved and make possible large space-time sheets of size at least of order of ξ at which conduction electrons forming Cooper pairs would topologically condense like quarks around hadronic space-time sheets (in [K7] a model of water as a partially dark matter with one fourth of hydrogen ions in large $\hbar$ phase is developed).

Consider for a moment the science fictive possibility that super conducting electrons for some quantum critical super-conductors to be discovered or already discovered correspond to large $\hbar$ phase with $\hbar = r\hbar_0$ keeping in mind that this affects only quantum corrections in perturbative approach but not the lowest order classical predictions of quantum theory. For $r \simeq n2^{k11}$ with $(n, k) = (1, 1)$ the size of magnetic body would be $L(149) = 5$ nm, the thickness of the lipid layer of cell membrane. For $(n, k) = (1, 2)$ the size would be $L(171) = 10$ μm, cell size. If the density of Cooper pairs is of same order of magnitude as in case of ordinary super conductors, the critical temperature is scaled up by 2^{k11}. Already for $k = 1$ the critical temperature of 1 K would be scaled up to $4n^2 \times 10^6$ K if n_c is not changed. This assumption is not consistent with the assumption that Fermi energy remains non-relativistic. For $n = 1$ $T_c = 400$ K would be achieved for $n_c \to 10^{-6} n_c$, which looks rather reasonable since Fermi energy transforms as $E_F \to 8 \times 10^3 E_F$ and remains non-relativistic. H_c would scale down as $1/\hbar$ and for $H_c = .1$ Tesla the scaled down critical field would be $H_c = .5 \times 10^{-4}$ Tesla, which corresponds to the nominal value of the Earth's magnetic field.

Quantum critical super-conductors become especially interesting if one accepts the identification of living matter as ordinary matter quantum controlled by macroscopically quantum coherent dark matter. One of the basic hypothesis of TGD inspired theory of living matter is that the magnetic flux tubes of the Earth's magnetic field carry a super-conducting phase and the spin triplet Cooper pairs of electrons in large $\hbar$ phase might realize this dream. That the value of Earth's magnetic field is near to its critical value could have also biological implications.

2.4 Space-Time Description Of The Mechanisms OfSuper-Conductivity

The application of ideas about dark matter to nuclear physics and condensed matter suggests that dark color and weak forces should be an essential element of the chemistry and condensed matter physics. The continual discovery of new super-conductors, in particular of quantum critical superconductors, suggests that super-conductivity is not well understood. Hence super-conductivity provides an obvious test for these ideas. In particular, the idea that wormhole contacts regarded as parton pairs living at two space-time sheets simultaneously, provides an attractive universal mechanism for the formation of Cooper pairs and is not so far-fetched as it might sound first.

2.4.1 Leading questions

It is good to begin with a series of leading questions. The first group of questions is inspired by experimental facts about super-conductors combined with TGD context.

1. The work of Rabinowitch [D35] suggests that that the basic parameters of super-conductors might be rather universal and depend on T_c and conduction electron density only and be to a high degree independent of the mechanism of super-conductivity. This is in a sharp contrast to the complexity of even BCS model with its somewhat misty description of the phonon exchange mechanism.
   Questions: Could there exist a simple universal description of various kinds of super-conductivities?

2. The new super-conductors possess relatively complex chemistry and lattice structure.
   Questions: Could it be that complex chemistry and lattice structure makes possible something very simple describable in terms of quantum criticality. Could it be that the transversal oscillations magnetic flux tubes allow to understand the formation of Cooper pairs at T_{c1} and their reconnections generating very long flux tubes the emergence of supra currents at T_c?

3. The effective masses of electrons in ferromagnetic super-conductors are in the range of 10-100 electron masses [D25] and this forces to question the idea that ordinary Cooper pairs are current carriers.
   Questions: Can one consider the possibility that the p-adic length scale of say electron can vary so that the actual mass of electron could be large in condensed matter systems? For quarks and neutrinos this seems to be the case [K16, K17]. Could it be that the Gaussian Mersennes $(1+i)^k - 1$, $k = 151, 157, 163, 167$ spanning the p-adic lengthscale range 10 nm-2.5 μm very relevant from the point of view of biology correspond to p-adic length especially relevant for super-conductivity?

Second group of questions is inspired by quantum classical correspondence.

1. Quantum classical correspondence in its strongest form requires that bound state formation involves the generation of flux tubes between bound particles. The weaker form of the

principle requires that the particles are topologically condensed at same space-time sheet. In the case of Cooper pairs in ordinary superconductors the length of join along boundaries bonds between electrons should be of order $10^3 - 10^4$ Angstroms. This looks rather strange and it seems that the latter option is more sensible.
Questions: Could quantum classical correspondence help to identify the mechanism giving rise to Cooper pairs?

2. Quantum classical correspondence forces to ask for the space-time correlates for the existing quantum description of phonons.
Questions: Can one assign space-time sheets with phonons or should one identify them as oscillations of say space-time sheets at which atoms are condensed? Or should the microscopic description of phonons in atomic length scales rely on the oscillations of wormhole contacts connecting atomic space-time sheets to these larger space-time sheets? The identification of phonons as wormhole contacts would be completely analogous to the similar identification of gauge bosons except that phonons would appear at higher levels of the hierarchy of space-time sheets and would be emergent in this sense. As a matter fact, even gauge bosons as pairs of fermion and anti-fermion are emergent structures in TGD framework and this plays fundamental role in the construction of QFT limit of TGD in which bosonic part of action is generated radiatively so that all coupling constants follow as predictions [K19, K9]. Could Bose-Einstein condensates of wormhole contacts be relevant for the description of super-conductors or more general macroscopic quantum phases?

The third group of questions is inspired by the new physics predicted or by TGD.

1. TGD predicts a hierarchy of macroscopic quantum phases with large Planck constant.
Questions: Could large values of Planck constant make possible exotic electronic super-conductivities? Could even nuclei possess large $\hbar$ (super-fluidity)?

2. TGD predicts that classical color force and its quantal counterpart are present in all length scales.
Questions: Could color force, say color magnetic force which play some role in the formation of Cooper pair. The simplest model of pair is as a space-time sheet with size of order ξ so that the electrons could be "outside" the background space-time. Could the Coulomb interaction energy of electrons with positively charged wormhole throats carrying parton numbers and feeding em gauge flux to the large space-time sheet be responsible for the gap energy? Could wormhole throats carry also quark quantum numbers. In the case of single electron condensed to single space-time sheet the em flux could be indeed fed by a pair of $u\overline{u}$ and $\overline{d}d$ type wormhole contacts to a larger space-time sheet. Could the wormhole contacts have a net color? Could the electron space-time sheets of the Cooper pair be connected by long color flux tubes to give color singlets so that dark color force would be ultimately responsible for the stability of Cooper pair?

3. Suppose that one takes seriously the ideas about the possibility of dark weak interactions with the Compton scale of weak bosons scaled up to say atomic length scale so that weak bosons are effectively massless below this length scale [K7].
Questions: Could the dark weak length scale which is of order atomic size replace lattice constant in the expression of sound velocity? What is the space-time correlate for sound velocity?

2.4.2 Photon massivation, coherent states of Cooper pairs, and wormhole contacts

The existence of wormhole contacts is one of the most stunning predictions of TGD. First I realized that wormhole contacts can be regarded as parton-antiparton pairs with parton and antiparton assignable to the light-like causal horizons accompanying wormhole contacts. Then came the idea that Higgs particle could be identified as a wormhole contact. It was soon followed by the identification all bosonic states as wormhole contacts [K14]. Finally I understood that this applies also to their super-symmetric partners, which can be also fermion [K9]. Fermions and their super-partners would in turn correspond to wormhole throats resulting in the topological condensation of small deformations of CP_2 type vacuum extremals with Euclidian signature of metric to the background space-time sheet. This framework opens the doors for more concrete models of also super-conductivity involving the effective massivation of photons as one important aspect in the case of ordinary super-conductors.

There are two types of wormhole contacts. Those of first type correspond to elementary bosons. Wormhole contacts of second kind are generated in the topological condensation of space-time sheets carrying matter and form a hierarchy. Classical radiation fields realized in TGD framework as oscillations of space-time sheets would generate wormhole contacts as the oscillating space-time sheet develops contacts with parallel space-time sheets (recall that the distance between space-time sheets is of order CP_2 size). This realizes the correspondence between fields and quanta geometrically. Phonons could also correspond to wormhole contacts of this kind since they mediate acoustic oscillations between space-time sheets and the description of the phonon mediated interaction between electrons in terms of wormhole contacts might be useful also in the case of super-conductivity. Bose-Einstein condensates of wormhole contacts might be highly relevant for the formation of macroscopic quantum phases. The formation of a coherent state of wormhole contacts would be the counterpart for the vacuum expectation value of Higgs.

The notions of coherent states of Cooper pairs and of charged Higgs challenge the conservation of electromagnetic charge. The following argument however suggests that coherent states of wormhole contacts form only a part of the description of ordinary super-conductivity. The basic observation is that wormhole contacts with vanishing fermion number define space-time correlates for Higgs type particle with fermion and anti-fermion numbers at light-like throats of the contact.

The ideas that a genuine Higgs type photon massivation is involved with super-conductivity and that coherent states of Cooper pairs really make sense are somewhat questionable since the conservation of charge and fermion number is lost for coherent states. A further questionable feature is that a quantum superposition of many-particle states with widely different masses would be in question. These interpretational problems can be resolved elegantly in zero energy ontology [K4] in which the total conserved quantum numbers of quantum state are vanishing. In this picture the energy, fermion number, and total charge of any positive energy state are compensated by opposite quantum numbers of the negative energy state in geometric future. This makes possible to speak about superpositions of Cooper pairs and charged Higgs bosons separately in positive energy sector.

If this picture is taken seriously, super-conductivity can be seen as providing a direct support for both the hierarchy of scaled variants of standard model physics and for the zero energy ontology.

2.4.3 Space-time correlate for quantum critical superconductivity

The explicit model for high T_c super-conductivity relies on quantum criticality involving long ranged quantum fluctuations inducing reconnection of flux tubes of local (color) magnetic fields associated with parallel spins associated with stripes to form long flux tubes serving as wires along which Cooper pairs flow. Essentially [D3] [D3] type phenomenon would be in question. The role of the doping by holes is to make room for Cooper pairs to propagate by the reconnection mechanism: otherwise Fermi statistics would prevent the propagation. Too much doping reduces the number of current carriers, too little doping leaves too little room so that there exists some optimal doping. In the case of high T_c super-conductors quantum criticality corresponds to a quite wide temperature range, which provides support for the quantum criticality of TGD Universe. The probability $p(T)$ for the formation of reconnections is what matters and exceeds the critical value at T_c.

2.5 Super-Conductivity At Magnetic Flux Tubes

Super-conductivity at the magnetic flux tubes of magnetic flux quanta is one the basic hypothesis of the TGD based model of living matter. There is also evidence for magnetically mediated super-conductivity in extremely pure samples [D17]. The magnetic coupling was only observed at lattice densities close to the critical density at which long-range magnetic order is suppressed. Quantum criticality that long flux tubes serve as pathways along which Cooper pairs can propagate. In anti-ferromagnetic phase these pathways are short-circuited to closed flux tubes of local magnetic fields.

Almost the same model as in the case of high T_c and quantum critical super-conductivity applies to the magnetic flux tubes. Now the flux quantum contains BE condensate of exotic Cooper pairs interacting with wormhole contacts feeding the gauge flux of Cooper pairs from the magnetic flux quantum to a larger space-time sheet. The interaction of spin 1 Cooper pairs with the magnetic field of flux quantum orients their spins in the same direction. Large value of $\hbar$ guarantees thermal stability even in the case that different space-time sheets are not thermally isolated.

The understanding of gap energy is not obvious. The transversal oscillations of magnetic flux tubes generated by spin flips of electrons define the most plausible candidate for the counterpart of phonons. In this framework phonon like states identified as wormhole contacts would be created by the oscillations of flux tubes and would be a secondary phenomenon.

Large values of $\hbar$ allow to consider not only the Cooper pairs of electrons but also of protons and fermionic ions. Since the critical temperature for the formation of Cooper pairs is inversely proportional to the mass of the charge carrier, the replacement of electron with proton or ion would require a scaling of $\hbar$. If T_{c1} is proportional to $\hbar^2$, this requires scaling by $(m_p/m_e)^{1/2}$. For $T_{c1} \propto \hbar$ scaling by $m_p/m_e \simeq 2^{11}$ is required. This inspired idea that powers of 2^{11} could define favored values of $\hbar/\hbar_0$. This hypothesis is however rather ad hoc and turned out to be too restrictive.

Besides Cooper pairs also Bose-Einstein condensates of bosonic ions are possible in large $\hbar$ phase and would give rise to super-conductivity. TGD inspired nuclear physics predicts the existence of exotic bosonic counterparts of fermionic nuclei with given (A, Z) [L1], [L1].

2.5.1 Superconductors at the flux quanta of the Earth's magnetic field

Magnetic flux tubes and magnetic walls are the most natural candidates for super-conducting structures with spin triplet Cooper pairs. Indeed, experimental evidence relating to the interaction of ELF em radiation with living matter suggests that bio-super-conductors are effectively 1- or 2-dimensional. $D \leq 2$-dimensionality is guaranteed by the presence of the flux tubes or flux walls of, say, the magnetic field of Earth in which charge carries form bound states and the system is equivalent with a harmonic oscillator in transversal degrees of freedom.

The effect of Earth's magnetic field is completely negligible at the atomic space-time sheets and cannot make super conductor 1-dimensional. At cellular sized space-time sheets magnetic field makes possible transversal the confinement of the electron Cooper pairs in harmonic oscillator states but does not explain energy gap which should be at the top of 1-D Fermi surface. The critical temperature extremely low for ordinary value of $\hbar$ and either thermal isolation between space-time sheets or large value of $\hbar$ can save the situation.

An essential element of the picture is that topological quantization of the magnetic flux tubes occurs. In fact, the flux tubes of Earth's magnetic field have thickness of order cell size from the quantization of magnetic flux. The observations about the effects of ELF em fields on bio-matter [J3] suggest that similar mechanism is at work also for ions and in fact give very strong support for bio-super conductivity based on the proposed mechanism.

2.5.2 Energy gaps for superconducting magnetic flux tubes and walls

Besides the formation of Cooper pairs also the Bose-Einstein condensation of charge carriers to the ground state is needed in order to have a supra current. The stability of Bose-Einstein condensate requires an energy gap $E_{g,BE}$ which must be larger than the temperature at the magnetic flux tube.

Several energies must be considered in order to understand $E_{g,BE}$.

1. The Coulombic binding energy of Cooper pairs with the wormhole contacts feeding the em flux from magnetic flux tube to a larger space-time sheet defines an energy gap which is expected to be of order $E_{g,BE} = \alpha/L(k)$ giving $E_g \sim 10^{-3}$ eV for $L(167) = 2.5$ μm giving a rough estimate for the thickness of the magnetic flux tube of the Earth's magnetic field $B = .5 \times 10^{-4}$ Tesla.

2. In longitudinal degrees of freedom of the flux tube Cooper pairs can be described as particles in a one-dimensional box and the gap is characterized by the length L of the magnetic flux tube and the value of $\hbar$. In longitudinal degrees of freedom the difference between $n = 2$ and $n = 1$ states is given by $E_0(k_2) = 3h^2/4m_eL^2(k_2)$. Translational energy gap $E_g = 3E_0(k_2) = 3h^2/4m_eL^2(k_2)$ is smaller than the effective energy gap $E_0(k_1) - E_0(k_2) = h^2/4m_eL^2(k_1) - h^2/4m_eL^2(k_2)$ for $k_1 > k_2+2$ and identical with it for $k_1 = k_2+2$. For $L(k_2 =$

151) the zero point kinetic energy is given by $E_0(151) = 20.8$ meV so that $E_{g,BE}$ corresponds roughly to a temperature of 180 K. For magnetic walls the corresponding temperature would be scaled by a factor of two to 360 K and is above room temperature.

3. Second troublesome energy gap relates to the interaction energy with the magnetic field. The magnetic interaction energy E_m of Cooper pair with the magnetic field consists of cyclotron term $E_c = n\hbar eB/m_e$ and spin-interaction term which is present only for spin triplet case and is given by $E_s = \pm\hbar eB/m_e$ depending on the orientation of the net spin with magnetic field. In the magnetic field $B_{end} = 2B_E/5 = .2$ Gauss ($B_E = .5$ Gauss is the nominal value of the Earth's magnetic field) explaining the effects of ELF em fields on vertebrate brain, this energy scale is $\sim 10^{-9}$ eV for $\hbar_0$ and $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ eV for $\hbar = 2^{14} \times \hbar_0$.

The smallness of translational and magnetic energy gaps in the case of Cooper pairs at Earth's magnetic field could be seen as a serious obstacle.

1. Thermal isolation between different space-time sheets provides one possible resolution of the problem. The stability of the Bose-Einstein condensation is guaranteed by the thermal isolation of space-time if the temperature at the magnetic flux tube is below E_m. This can be achieved in all length scales if the temperature scales as the zero point kinetic energy in transversal degrees of freedom since it scales in the same manner as magnetic interaction energy.

2. The transition to large $\hbar$ phase could provide a more elegant way out of the difficulty. The criterion for a sequence of transitions to a large $\hbar$ phase could be easily satisfied if there is a large number of charge Cooper pairs at the magnetic flux tube. Kinetic energy gap remains invariant if the length of the flux tube scales as $\hbar$. If the magnetic flux is quantized as a multiple of $\hbar$ and flux tube thickness scales as $\hbar^2$, B must scale as $1/\hbar$ so that also magnetic energy remains invariant under the scaling. This would allow to have stability without assuming low temperature at magnetic flux tubes.

2.5.3 A new phase of matter in the temperature range between pseudo gap temperature and T_c?

Kram sent a link to a Science Daily popular article titled High-Temperature Superconductor Spills Secret: A New Phase of Matter? (see alsothis). For more details see the article in Science [D15].

Zhi-Xun Shen of the Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Science (SIMES), a joint institute of the Department of Energy's SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory and Stanford University, led the team of researchers, which discovered that in the temperature region between the pseudo gap temperature and genuine temperature for the transition to super-conducting phase there exists a new phase of matter. The new phase would not be super-conducting but would be characterized by an order of its own which remains to be understood. This phase would be present also in the super-conducting phase.

The announcement does not come as a complete surprise for me. A new phase of matter is what TGD inspired model of high T_c superconductivity indeed predicts. This phase would consist of Cooper pairs of electrons with a large value of Planck constant but associated with magnetic flux tubes with short length so that no macroscopic supra currents would be possible.

The transition to super-conducting phase involves long range fluctuations at quantum criticality and the analog of a phenomenon known as percolation [D3]. For instance, the phenomenon occurs for the filtering of fluids through porous materials. At critical threshold the entire filter suddenly wets as fluid gets through the filter. Now this phenomenon would occur for magnetic flux tubes carrying the Cooper pairs. At criticality the short magnetic flux tubes fuse by reconnection to form long ones so that supra currents in macroscopic scales become possible.

It is not clear whether this prediction is consistent with the finding of Shen and others. The simultaneous presence of short and long flux tubes in macroscopically super-conducting phase is certainly consistent with TGD prediction. The situation depends on what one means with super-conductivity. Is super-conductivity super-conductivity in macroscopic scales only or should one call also short scale super-conductivity not giving rise to macroscopic super currents as super-conductivity. In other words: do the findings of Shen's team prove that the electrons above gap temperature do not form Cooper pairs or only that there are no macroscopic supra currents?

Whether the model works as such or not is not a life and death question for the TGD based model. One can quite well imagine that the first phase transition increasing $\hbar$ does not yet produce electron Compton lengths long enough to guarantee that the overlap criterion for the formation of Cooper pairs is satisfied. The second phase transition increasing $\hbar$ would do this and also scale up the lengths of magnetic flux tubes making possible the flow of supra currents as such even without reconnections. Also reconnections making possible the formation of very long flux tubes could be involved and would be made possible by the increase in the length of flux tubes.

2.5.4 21-Micrometer mystery

21 micrometer radiation from certain red giant stars have perplexed astronomers for more than a decade [D5]. Emission forms a wide band (with width about 4 micrometers) in the infrared spectrum, which suggests that it comes form a large complex molecule or a solid or simple molecules found around starts. Small molecules are ruled out since they produce narrow emission lines. The feature can be only observed in very precise evolutionary state, in the transition between red giant phase and planetary nebular state, in which star blows off dust that is rich in carbon compounds. There is no generally accepted explanation for 21-micrometer radiation.

One can consider several explanations based on p-adic length scale hypothesis and some explanations might relate to the wormhole based super-conductivity.

1. 21 micrometers corresponds to the photon energy of 59 meV which is quite near to the zero point point kinetic energy 61.5 meV of proton Cooper pair at $k = 139$ space-time sheet estimated from the formula

$$\Delta E(2m_p, 139) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi^2}{(2m_p)L(139)^2} = \frac{1}{8}\Delta E(m_p, 137) \simeq 61.5\ meV \ .$$

   Here the binding energy of the Cooper pair tending to reduce this estimate is neglected, and this estimate makes sense only apart from a numerical factor of order unity. This energy is liberated when a Cooper pair of protons at $k = 139$ space-time sheet drops to the magnetic flux tube of Earth's magnetic field (or some other sufficiently large space-time sheet). This energy is rather near to the threshold value about 55 meV of the membrane potential.

2. 21 micrometer radiation could also result when electrons at $k = 151$ space-time sheet drop to a large enough space-time sheet and liberate their zero point kinetic energy. Scaling argument gives for the zero point kinetic energy of electron at $k = 151$ space-time sheet the value $\Delta(e, 151) \simeq 57.5$ meV which is also quite near to the observed value. If electron is bound to wormhole with quantum numbers of $\overline{d}$ Coulombic binding energy changes the situation.

3. A possible explanation is as a radiation associated with the transition to high T_c super conducting phase. There are two sources of photons. Radiation could perhaps result from the de-excitations of wormhole BE condensate by photon emission. $\lambda = 20.5$ micrometers is precisely what one expects if the space-time sheet corresponds to $p \simeq 2^k$, $k = 173$ and assumes that excitation energies are given as multiples of $E_w(k) = 2\pi/L(k)$. This predicts excitation energy $E_w(173) \simeq 61.5$ meV. Unfortunately, this radiation should correspond to a sharp emission line and cannot explain the wide spectrum.

The appendix of the book gives a summary about basic concepts of TGD with illustrations. There are concept maps about topics related to the contents of the chapter prepared using CMAP realized as html files. Links to all CMAP files can be found at `http://tgdtheory.fi/cmaphtml.html` [L3]. Pdf representation of same files serving as a kind of glossary can be found at `http://tgdtheory.fi/tgdglossary.pdf` [L4]. The topics relevant to this chapter are given by the following list.

- Magnetic body [L6]
- Basic Mechanisms associated with magnetic body [L2]

- Pollack's observations [L7]
- High temperature superconductivity [L5]

3 General TGD Based View About Super-Conductivity

Today super-conductivity includes besides the traditional low temperature super-conductors many other non-orthodox ones [D35]. These unorthodox super-conductors carry various attributes such as cuprate, organic, dichalcogenide, heavy fermion, bismute oxide, ruthenate, antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic. Mario Rabinowitz has proposed a simple phenomenological theory of super-fluidity and super-conductivity which helps non-specialist to get a rough quantitative overall view about super-conductivity [D35].

3.1 Basic Phenomenology Of Super-Conductivity

The following provides the first attempt by a non-professional to form an overall view about super-conductivity.

3.1.1 Basic phenomenology of super-conductivity

The transition to super-conductivity occurs at critical temperature T_c and involves a complete loss of electrical resistance. Super-conductors expel magnetic fields (Meissner effect) and when the external magnetic field exceeds a critical value H_c super-conductivity is lost either completely or partially. In the transition to super-conductivity specific heat has singularity. For long time magnetism and super-conductivity were regarded as mutually exclusive phenomena but the discovery of ferromagnetic super-conductors [D25, D11] has demonstrated that reality is much more subtle.

The BCS theory developed by Bardeen, Cooper, and Schrieffer in 1957 provides a satisfactory model for low T_c super-conductivity in terms of Cooper pairs. The interactions of electrons with the crystal lattice induce electron-electron interaction binding electrons to Cooper pairs at sufficiently low temperatures. The electrons of Cooper pair are at the top of Fermi sphere (otherwise they cannot interact to form bound states) and have opposite center of mass momenta and spins. The binding creates energy gap E_g determining the critical temperature T_c. The singularity of the specific heat in the transition to super-conductivity can be understood as being due to the loss of thermally excitable degrees of freedom at critical temperature so that heat capacity is reduced exponentially. BCS theory has been successful in explaining the properties of low temperature super conductors but the high temperature super-conductors discovered in 1986 and other non-orthodox superconductors discovered later remain a challenge for theorists.

The reasons why magnetic fields tend to destroy super-conductivity is easy to understand. Lorentz force induces opposite forces to the electrons of Cooper pair since the momenta are opposite. Magnetic field tends also to turn the spins in the same direction. The super-conductivity is destroyed in fields for which the interaction energy of magnetic moment of electron with field is of the same order of magnitude as gap energy $E_g \sim T_c$: $e\hbar H_c/2m \sim T_c$.

If spins are parallel, the situation changes since only Lorentz force tends to destroy the Cooper pair. In high T_c super-conductors this is indeed the case: electrons are in spin triplet state ($S = 1$) and the net orbital angular momentum of Cooper pair is $L = 2$. The fact that orbital state is not $L = 0$ state makes high T_c super-conductors much more fragile to the destructive effect of impurities than conventional super-conductors (due to the magnetic exchange force between electrons responsible for magnetism). Also the Cooper pairs of ^3He superfluid are in spin triplet state but have $S = 0$.

The observation that spin triplet Cooper pairs might be possible in ferro-magnets stimulates the question whether ferromagnetism and super-conductivity might tolerate each other after all, and the answer is affirmative [D11]. The article [D25] provides an enjoyable summary of experimental discoveries.

3.1.2 Basic parameters of super-conductors from universality?

Super conductors are characterized by certain basic parameters such as critical temperature T_c and critical magnetic field H_c, densities n_c and n of Cooper pairs and conduction electrons, gap energy E_g, correlation length ξ and magnetic penetration length λ. The super-conductors are highly complex systems and calculation of these parameters from BCS theory is either difficult or impossible.

It has been suggested [D35] that these parameters might be more or less universal so that they would not depend on the specific properties of the interaction responsible for the formation of Cooper pairs. The motivation comes from the fact that the properties of ordinary Bose-Einstein condensates do not depend on the details of interactions. This raises the hope that these parameters might be expressible in terms of some basic parameters such as T_c and the density of conduction electrons allowing to deduce Fermi energy E_F and Fermi momentum k_F if Fermi surface is sphere. In [D35] formulas for the basic parameters are indeed suggested based on this of argumentation assuming that Cooper pairs form a Bose-Einstein condensate.

1. The most important parameters are critical temperature T_c and critical magnetic field H_c in principle expressible in terms of gap energy. In [D35] the expression for T_c is deduced from the condition that the de Broglie wavelength λ must satisfy in supra phase the condition

$$\lambda \geq 2d = 2(\frac{n_c}{g})^{-1/D} \tag{3.1}$$

guaranteeing the quantum overlap of Cooper pairs. Here n_c is the density of Bose-Einstein condensate of Cooper pairs and g is the number of spin states and D the dimension of the condensate. This condition follows also from the requirement that the number of particles per energy level is larger than one (Bose-Einstein condensation).

Identifying this expression with the de Broglie wavelength $\lambda = \hbar/\sqrt{2mE}$ at thermal energy $E = (D/2)T_c$, where D is the number of degrees of freedom, one obtains

$$T_c \leq \frac{h^2}{4Dm}(\frac{n_c}{g})^{2/D} \ . \tag{3.2}$$

m denotes the effective mass of super current carrier and for electron it can be even 100 times the bare mass of electron. The reason is that the electron moves is somewhat like a person trying to move in a dense crowd of people, and is accompanied by a cloud of charge carriers increasing its effective inertia. In this equation one can consider the possibility that Planck constant is not the ordinary one. This obviously increases the critical temperature unless n_c is scaled down in same proportion in the phase transition to large $\hbar$ phase.

2. The density of n_c Cooper pairs can be estimated as the number of fermions in Fermi shell at E_F having width Δk deducible from kT_c. For $D = 3$-dimensional spherical Fermi surface one has

$$\begin{aligned} n_c &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{4\pi k_F^2 \Delta k}{\frac{4}{3}\pi k_F^3} n \ , \\ kT_c &= E_F - E(k_F - \Delta k) \simeq \frac{h^2 k_F \Delta k}{m} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Analogous expressions can be deduced in $D = 2$- and $D = 1$-dimensional cases and one has

$$n_c(D) = \frac{D}{2}\frac{T_c}{E_F} n(D) \ . \tag{3.4}$$

The dimensionless coefficient is expressible solely in terms of n and effective mass m. In [D35] it is demonstrated that the inequality 3.2 replaced with equality when combined with 3.4 gives a satisfactory fit for 16 super-conductors used as a sample.

Note that the Planck constant appearing in E_F and T_c in Eq. 3.4 must correspond to ordinary Planck constant $\hbar_0$. This implies that equations 3.2 and 3.4 are consistent within orders of magnitudes. For $D = 2$, which corresponds to high T_c superconductivity, the substitution of n_c from Eq. 3.4 to Eq. 3.2 gives a consistency condition from which n_c disappears completely. The condition reads as

$$n\lambda_F^2 = \pi = 4g \quad .$$

Obviously the equation is not completely consistent.

3. The magnetic penetration length λ is expressible in terms of density n_c of Cooper pairs as

$$\lambda^{-2} = \frac{4\pi e^2 n_c}{m_e} \quad . \tag{3.5}$$

The ratio $\kappa \equiv \frac{\lambda}{\xi}$ determines the type of the super conductor. For $\kappa < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ one has type I super conductor with defects having negative surface energy. For $\kappa \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ one has type II super conductor and defects have positive surface energy. Super-conductors of type I this results in complex stripe like flux patterns maximizing their area near criticality. The super-conductors of type II have $\kappa > 1/\sqrt{2}$ and the surface energy is positive so that the flux penetrates as flux quanta minimizing their area at lower critical value H_{c_1} of magnetic field and completely at higher critical value H_{c_2} of magnetic field. The flux quanta contain a core of size ξ carrying quantized magnetic flux.

4. Quantum coherence length ξ can be roughly interpreted as the size of the Cooper pair or as the size of the region where it is sensible to speak about the phase of wave function of Cooper pair. For larger separations the phases of wave functions are un-correlated. The values of ξ vary in the range $10^3 - 10^4$ Angstrom for low T_c super-conductors and in the range $5 - 20$ Angstrom for high T_c super-conductors (assuming that they correspond to ordinary $\hbar$!) the ratio of these coherence lengths varies in the range $[50 - 2000]$, with upper bound corresponding to $n_F = 2^{11}$ for $\hbar$. This would give range $1 - 2$ microns for the coherence lengths of high T_c super-conductors with lowest values of coherence lengths corresponding to the highest values of coherence lengths for low temperatures super conductors.

Uncertainty Principle $\delta E \delta t = \hbar/2$ using $\delta E = E_g \equiv 2\Delta$, $\delta t = \xi/v_F$, gives an order of magnitude estimate for ξ differing only by a numerical factor from the result of a rigorous calculation given by

$$\xi = \frac{4\hbar v_F}{E_g} \quad . \tag{3.6}$$

E_g is apart from a numerical constant equal to T_c: $E_g = nT_c$. Using the expression for v_F and T_c in terms of the density of electrons, one can express also ξ in terms of density of electrons.

For instance, BCS theory predicts $n = 3.52$ for metallic super-conductors and $n = 8$ holds true for cuprates [D35]. For cuprates one obtains $\xi = 2n^{-1/3}$ [D35]. This expression can be criticized since cuprates are Mott insulators and it is not at all clear whether a description as Fermi gas makes sense. The fact that high T_c super-conductivity involves breakdown of anti-ferromagnetic order might justify the use of Fermi gas description for conducting holes resulting in the doping.

For large $\hbar$ the value of ξ would scale up dramatically if deduced theoretically from experimental data using this kind of expression. If the estimates for ξ are deduced from v_F and T_c purely calculationally as seems to be the case, the actual coherence lengths would be scaled up by a factor $\hbar/\hbar_0 = n_F$ if high T_c super-conductors correspond to large $\hbar$ phase. As also found that this would also allow to understand the high critical temperature.

3.2 Universality Of The Parameters In TGD Framework

Universality idea conforms with quantum criticality of TGD Universe. The possibility to express everything in terms of density of critical temperature coding for the dynamics of Cooper pair formation and the density charge carriers would make it also easy to understand how p-adic scalings and transitions to large $\hbar$ phase affect the basic parameters. The possible problem is that the replacement of inequality of Eq. 3.2 with equality need not be sensible for large $\hbar$ phases. It will be found that in many-sheeted space-time T_c does not directly correspond to the gap energy and the universality of the critical temperature follows from the p-adic length scale hypothesis.

3.2.1 The effect of p-adic scaling on the parameters of super-conductors

p-Adic fractality expresses as $n \propto 1/L^3(k)$ would allow to deduce the behavior of the various parameters as function of the p-adic length scale and naive scaling laws would result. For instance, E_g and T_c would scale as $1/L^2(k)$ if one assumes that the density n of particles at larger space-time sheets scales p-adically as $1/L^3(k)$. The basic implication would be that the density of Cooper pairs and thus also T_c would be reduced very rapidly as a function of the p-adic length scale. Without thermal isolation between these space-time sheets and hight temperature space-time sheets there would not be much hopes about high T_c super-conductivity.

In the scaling of Planck constant basic length scales scale up and the overlap criterion for super-conductivity becomes easy to satisfy unless the density of electrons is reduced too dramatically. As found, also the critical temperature scales up so that there are excellent hopes of obtain high T_c super-conductor in this manner. The claimed short correlation lengths are not a problem since they are calculational quantities.

It is of interest to study the behavior of the various parameters in the transition to the possibly existing large $\hbar$ variant of super-conducting electrons. Also small scalings of $\hbar$ are possible and the considerations to follow generalize trivially to this case. Under what conditions the behavior of the various parameters in the transition to large $\hbar$ phase is dictated by simple scaling laws?

1. *Scaling of T_c and E_g*

T_c and E_g remain invariant if E_g corresponds to a purely classical interaction energy remaining invariant under the scaling of $\hbar$. This is not the case for BCS super-conductors for which the gap energy E_g has the following expression.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_g &= \hbar\omega_c exp(-1/X) \ , \\
X &= n(E_F)U_0 = \frac{3}{2}N(E_F)\frac{U_0}{E_F} \ , \\
n(E_F) &= \frac{3}{2}\frac{N(E_F)}{E_F} \ . \\
\omega_c &= \omega_D = (6\pi^2)^{1/3}c_s n_n^{1/3} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Here ω_c is the width of energy region near E_F for which "phonon" exchange interaction is effective. n_n denotes the density of nuclei and c_s denotes sound velocity.

$N(E_F)$ is the total number of electrons at the super-conducting space-time sheet. U_0 would be the parameter characterizing the interaction strength of of electrons of Cooper pair and should not depend on $\hbar$. For a structure of size $L \sim 1\ \mu$ m one would have $X \sim n_a 10^{12}\frac{U_0}{E_F}$, n_a being the number of exotic electrons per atom, so that rather weak interaction energy U_0 can give rise to $E_g \sim \omega_c$.

The expression of ω_c reduces to Debye frequency ω_D in BCS theory of ordinary super conductivity. If c_s is proportional to thermal velocity $\sqrt{T_c/m}$ at criticality and if n_n remains invariant in the scaling of $\hbar$, Debye energy scales up as $\hbar$. This can imply that $E_g > E_F$ condition making scaling non-sensible unless one has $E_g \ll E_F$ holding true for low T_c super-conductors. This kind of situation would *not* require large $\hbar$ phase for electrons. What would be needed that nuclei and phonon space-time sheets correspond to large $\hbar$ phase.

What one can hope is that E_g scales as $\hbar$ so that high T_c superconductor would result and the scaled up T_c would be above room temperature for $T_c > .15$ K. If electron is in ordinary phase

X is automatically invariant in the scaling of $\hbar$. If not, the invariance reduces to the invariance of U_0 and E_F under the scaling of $\hbar$. If n scales like $1/\hbar^D$, E_F and thus X remain invariant. U_0 as a simplified parameterization for the interaction potential expressible as a tree level Feynman diagram is expected to be in a good approximation independent of $\hbar$.

It will be found that in high T_c super-conductors, which seem to be quantum critical, a high T_c variant of phonon mediated superconductivity and exotic superconductivity could be competing. This would suggest that the phonon mediated superconductivity corresponds to a large $\hbar$ phase for nuclei scaling ω_D and T_c by a factor $r = \hbar/\hbar_0$.

Since the total number $N(E_F)$ of electrons at larger space-time sheet behaves as $N(E_F) \propto E_F^{D/2}$, where D is the effective dimension of the system, the quantity $1/X \propto E_F/n(E_F)$ appearing in the expressions of the gap energy behaves as $1/X \propto E_F^{-D/2+1}$. This means that at the limit of vanishing electron density $D = 3$ gap energy goes exponentially to zero, for $D = 2$ it is constant, and for $D = 1$ it goes zero at the limit of small electron number so that the formula for gap energy reduces to $E_g \simeq \omega_c$. These observations suggests that the super-conductivity in question should be 2- or 1-dimensional phenomenon as in case of magnetic walls and flux tubes.

2. Scaling of ξ and λ

If n_c for high T_c super-conductor scales as $1/\hbar^D$ one would have $\lambda \propto \hbar^{D/2}$. High T_c property however suggests that the scaling is weaker. ξ would scale as $\hbar$ for given v_F and T_c. For $D = 2$ case the this would suggest that high T_c super-conductors are of type I rather than type II as they would be for ordinary $\hbar$. This conforms with the quantum criticality which would be counterpart of critical behavior of super-conductors of type I in nearly critical magnetic field.

3. Scaling of H_c and B

The critical magnetization is given by

$$H_c(T) = \frac{\Phi_0}{\sqrt{8}\pi\xi(T)\lambda(T)} , \quad (3.8)$$

where Φ_0 is the flux quantum of magnetic field proportional to $\hbar$. For $D = 2$ and $n_c \propto \hbar^{-2}$ $H_c(T)$ would not depend on the value of $\hbar$. For the more physical dependence $n_c \propto \hbar^{-2+\epsilon}$ one would have $H_c(T) \propto \hbar^{-\epsilon}$. Hence the strength of the critical magnetization would be reduced by a factor $2^{-11\epsilon}$ in the transition to the large $\hbar$ phase with $n_F = 2^{-11}$.

Magnetic flux quantization condition is replaced by

$$\int 2eBdS = n\hbar 2\pi . \quad (3.9)$$

B denotes the magnetic field inside super-conductor different from its value outside the super-conductor. By the quantization of flux for the non-super-conducting core of radius ξ in the case of super-conductors of type II $eB = \hbar/\xi^2$ holds true so that B would become very strong since the thickness of flux tube would remain unchanged in the scaling.

3.3 Quantum Criticality And Super-Conductivity

The notion of quantum criticality has been already discussed in introduction. An interesting prediction of the quantum criticality of entire Universe also gives naturally rise to a hierarchy of macroscopic quantum phases since the quantum fluctuations at criticality at a given level can give rise to higher level macroscopic quantum phases at the next level. A metaphor for this is a fractal cusp catastrophe for which the lines corresponding to the boundaries of cusp region reveal new cusp catastrophes corresponding to quantum critical systems characterized by an increasing length scale of quantum fluctuations.

Dark matter hierarchy could correspond to this kind of hierarchy of phases and long ranged quantum slow fluctuations would correspond to space-time sheets with increasing values of $\hbar$ and size. Evolution as the emergence of modules from which higher structures serving as modules at the next level would correspond to this hierarchy. Mandelbrot fractal with inversion analogous to a transformation permuting the interior and exterior of sphere with zooming revealing new worlds in Mandelbrot fractal replaced with its inverse would be a good metaphor for what quantum criticality would mean in TGD framework.

3.3.1 How the quantum criticality of superconductors relates to TGD quantum criticality

There is empirical support that super-conductivity in high T_c super-conductors and ferromagnetic systems [D25, D16] is made possible by quantum criticality [D36]. In the experimental situation quantum criticality means that at sufficiently low temperatures quantum rather than thermal fluctuations are able to induce phase transitions. Quantum criticality manifests itself as fractality and simple scaling laws for various physical observables like resistance in a finite temperature range and also above the critical temperature. This distinguishes sharply between quantum critical super conductivity from BCS type super-conductivity. Quantum critical super-conductivity also exists in a finite temperature range and involves the competition between two phases.

The absolute quantum criticality of the TGD Universe maps to the quantum criticality of subsystems, which is broken by finite temperature effects bringing dissipation and freezing of quantum fluctuations above length and time scales determined by the temperature so that scaling laws hold true only in a finite temperature range.

Reader has probably already asked what quantum criticality precisely means. What are the phases which compete? An interesting hypothesis is that quantum criticality actually corresponds to criticality with respect to the phase transition changing the value of Planck constant so that the competing phases would correspond to different values of $\hbar$. In the case of high T_c super-conductors (anti-ferromagnets) the fluctuations can be assigned to the magnetic flux tubes of the dipole field patterns generated by rows of holes with same spin direction assignable to the stripes. Below T_c fluctuations induce reconnections of the flux tubes and a formation of very long flux tubes and make possible for the supra currents to flow in long length scales below T_c. Percolation type phenomenon is in question. The fluctuations of the flux tubes below $T_{c1} > T_c$ induce transversal phonons generating the energy gap for $S = 1$ Cooper pairs. $S = 0$ Cooper pairs are predicted to stabilize below T_c.

3.3.2 Scaling up of de Broglie wave lengths and criterion for quantum overlap

Compton lengths and de Broglie wavelengths are scaled up by an integer n, whose preferred values correspond to $n_F = 2^k \prod_s F_s$, where $F_s = 2^{2^s} + 1$ are distinct Fermat primes. In particular, $n_F = 2^{k11}$ seem to be favored in living matter. The scaling up means that the overlap condition $\lambda \geq 2d$ for the formation of Bose-Einstein condensate can be satisfied and the formation of Cooper pairs becomes possible. Thus a hierarchy of large $\hbar$ super-conductivities would be associated with to the dark variants of ordinary particles having essentially same masses as the ordinary particles.

Unless one assumes fractionization, the invariance of $E_F \propto \hbar_{eff}^2 n^{2/3}$ in $\hbar$ increasing transition would require that the density of Cooper pairs in large $\hbar$ phase is scaled down by an appropriate factor. This means that supra current intensities, which are certainly measurable quantities, are also scaled down. Of course, it could happen that E_F is scaled up and this would conform with the scaling of the gap energy.

3.3.3 Quantum critical super-conductors in TGD framework

For quantum critical super-conductivity in heavy fermions systems, a small variation of pressure near quantum criticality can destroy ferromagnetic (anti-ferromagnetic) order so that Curie (Neel) temperature goes to zero. The prevailing spin fluctuation theory [D8] assumes that these transitions are induced by long ranged and slow spin fluctuations at critical pressure P_c. These fluctuations make and break Cooper pairs so that the idea of super-conductivity restricted around critical point is indeed conceivable.

Heavy fermion systems, such as cerium-indium alloy $CeIn_3$ are very sensitive to pressures and a tiny variation of density can drastically modify the low temperature properties of the systems. Also other systems of this kind, such as $CeCu_2Ge_2$, $CeIn_3$, $CePd_2Si_2$ are known [D25, D11]. In these cases super-conductivity appears around anti-ferromagnetic quantum critical point.

The last experimental breakthrough in quantum critical super-conductivity was made in Grenoble [D16]. URhGe alloy becomes super-conducting at $T_c = .280$ K, loses its super-conductivity at $H_c = 2$ Tesla, and becomes again super-conducting at $H_c = 12$ Tesla and loses its super-conductivity again at $H = 13$ Tesla. The interpretation is in terms of a phase transition changing the magnetic order inducing the long range spin fluctuations.

TGD based models of atomic nucleus [K24] and condensed matter [K7] assume that weak gauge bosons with Compton length of order atomic radius play an essential role in the nuclear and condensed matter physics. The assumption that condensed matter nuclei possess anomalous weak charges explains the repulsive core of potential in van der Waals equation and the very low compressibility of condensed matter phase as well as various anomalous properties of water phase, provide a mechanism of cold fusion and sono-fusion, etc. [K7, K5]. The pressure sensitivity of these systems would directly reflect the physics of exotic quarks and electro-weak gauge bosons. A possible mechanism behind the phase transition to super-conductivity could be the scaling up of the sizes of the space-time sheets of nuclei.

Also the electrons of Cooper pair (and only these) could make a transition to large $\hbar$ phase. This transition would induce quantum overlap having geometric overlap as a space-time correlate. The formation of flux tubes between neighboring atoms would be part of the mechanism. For instance, the criticality condition $4n^2\alpha = 1$ for BE condensate of n Cooper pairs would give $n = 6$ for the size of a higher level quantum unit possibly formed formed from Cooper pairs. If one does not assume invariance of energies obtained by fractionization of principal quantum number, this transition has dramatic effects on the spectrum of atomic binding energies scaling as $1/\hbar^2$ and practically universal spectrum of atomic energies would result [K5] not depending much on nuclear charge. It seems that this prediction is non-physical.

Quantum critical super-conductors resemble superconductors of type I with $\lambda \ll \xi$ for which defects near thermodynamical criticality are complex structures looking locally like stripes of thickness λ. These structures are however dynamical in super-conducting phase. Quite generally, long range quantum fluctuations due to the presence of two competing phases would manifest as complex dynamical structures consisting of stripes and their boundaries. These patterns are dynamical rather than static as in the case of ordinary spin glass phase so that quantum spin glass or 4-D spin glass is a more appropriate term. The breaking of classical non-determinism for vacuum extremals indeed makes possible space-time correlates for quantum non-determinism and this makes TGD Universe a 4-dimensional quantum spin glass.

3.3.4 Could quantum criticality make possible new kinds of high T_c super-conductors?

The transition to large $\hbar = r\hbar_0$ phase increases various length scales by r and makes possible long range correlations even at high temperatures. Hence the question is whether large $\hbar$ phase could correspond to ordinary high T_c super-conductivity. If this were the case in the case of ordinary high T_c super-conductors, the actual value of coherence length ξ would vary in the range $5-20$ Angstrom scaled up by a factor r. For effectively D-dimensional super-conductor The density of Cooper pairs would be scaled down by an immensely small factor $1/r^D$ from its value deduced from Fermi energy.

Large $\hbar$ phase for some nuclei might be involved and make possible large space-time sheets of size at least of order of ξ at which conduction electrons forming Cooper pairs would topologically condense like quarks around hadronic space-time sheets (in [K7] a model of water as a partially dark matter with one fourth of hydrogen ions in large $\hbar$ phase is developed).

Consider for a moment the science fictive possibility that super conducting electrons for some quantum critical super-conductors to be discovered or already discovered correspond to large $\hbar$ phase with $\hbar = r\hbar_0$ keeping in mind that this affects only quantum corrections in perturbative approach but not the lowest order classical predictions of quantum theory. For $r \simeq n2^{k11}$ with $(n,k) = (1,1)$ the size of magnetic body would be $L(149) = 5$ nm, the thickness of the lipid layer of cell membrane. For $(n,k) = (1,2)$ the size would be $L(171) = 10$ μm, cell size. If the density of Cooper pairs is of same order of magnitude as in case of ordinary super conductors, the critical temperature is scaled up by 2^{k11}. Already for $k = 1$ the critical temperature of 1 K would be scaled up to $4n^2 \times 10^6$ K if n_c is not changed. This assumption is not consistent with the assumption that Fermi energy remains non-relativistic. For $n = 1$ $T_c = 400$ K would be achieved for $n_c \rightarrow 10^{-6}n_c$, which looks rather reasonable since Fermi energy transforms as $E_F \rightarrow 8 \times 10^3 E_F$ and remains non-relativistic. H_c would scale down as $1/\hbar$ and for $H_c = .1$ Tesla the scaled down critical field would be $H_c = .5 \times 10^{-4}$ Tesla, which corresponds to the nominal value of the Earth's magnetic field.

Quantum critical super-conductors become especially interesting if one accepts the identification of living matter as ordinary matter quantum controlled by macroscopically quantum coherent dark matter. One of the basic hypothesis of TGD inspired theory of living matter is that the magnetic flux tubes of the Earth's magnetic field carry a super-conducting phase and the spin triplet Cooper pairs of electrons in large $\hbar$ phase might realize this dream. That the value of Earth's magnetic field is near to its critical value could have also biological implications.

3.4 Space-Time Description Of The Mechanisms OfSuper-Conductivity

The application of ideas about dark matter to nuclear physics and condensed matter suggests that dark color and weak forces should be an essential element of the chemistry and condensed matter physics. The continual discovery of new super-conductors, in particular of quantum critical superconductors, suggests that super-conductivity is not well understood. Hence super-conductivity provides an obvious test for these ideas. In particular, the idea that wormhole contacts regarded as parton pairs living at two space-time sheets simultaneously, provides an attractive universal mechanism for the formation of Cooper pairs and is not so far-fetched as it might sound first.

3.4.1 Leading questions

It is good to begin with a series of leading questions. The first group of questions is inspired by experimental facts about super-conductors combined with TGD context.

1. The work of Rabinowitch [D35] suggests that that the basic parameters of super-conductors might be rather universal and depend on T_c and conduction electron density only and be to a high degree independent of the mechanism of super-conductivity. This is in a sharp contrast to the complexity of even BCS model with its somewhat misty description of the phonon exchange mechanism.
Questions: Could there exist a simple universal description of various kinds of super-conductivities?

2. The new super-conductors possess relatively complex chemistry and lattice structure.
Questions: Could it be that complex chemistry and lattice structure makes possible something very simple describable in terms of quantum criticality. Could it be that the transversal oscillations magnetic flux tubes allow to understand the formation of Cooper pairs at T_{c1} and their reconnections generating very long flux tubes the emergence of supra currents at T_c?

3. The effective masses of electrons in ferromagnetic super-conductors are in the range of 10-100 electron masses [D25] and this forces to question the idea that ordinary Cooper pairs are current carriers.
Questions: Can one consider the possibility that the p-adic length scale of say electron can vary so that the actual mass of electron could be large in condensed matter systems? For quarks and neutrinos this seems to be the case [K16, K17]. Could it be that the Gaussian Mersennes $(1+i)^k - 1$, $k = 151, 157, 163, 167$ spanning the p-adic lengthscale range 10 nm-2.5 μm very relevant from the point of view of biology correspond to p-adic length especially relevant for super-conductivity?

Second group of questions is inspired by quantum classical correspondence.

1. Quantum classical correspondence in its strongest form requires that bound state formation involves the generation of flux tubes between bound particles. The weaker form of the principle requires that the particles are topologically condensed at same space-time sheet. In the case of Cooper pairs in ordinary superconductors the length of join along boundaries bonds between electrons should be of order $10^3 - 10^4$ Angstroms. This looks rather strange and it seems that the latter option is more sensible.
Questions: Could quantum classical correspondence help to identify the mechanism giving rise to Cooper pairs?

2. Quantum classical correspondence forces to ask for the space-time correlates for the existing quantum description of phonons.
   Questions: Can one assign space-time sheets with phonons or should one identify them as oscillations of say space-time sheets at which atoms are condensed? Or should the microscopic description of phonons in atomic length scales rely on the oscillations of wormhole contacts connecting atomic space-time sheets to these larger space-time sheets? The identification of phonons as wormhole contacts would be completely analogous to the similar identification of gauge bosons except that phonons would appear at higher levels of the hierarchy of space-time sheets and would be emergent in this sense. As a matter fact, even gauge bosons as pairs of fermion and anti-fermion are emergent structures in TGD framework and this plays fundamental role in the construction of QFT limit of TGD in which bosonic part of action is generated radiatively so that all coupling constants follow as predictions [K19, K9]. Could Bose-Einstein condensates of wormhole contacts be relevant for the description of super-conductors or more general macroscopic quantum phases?

The third group of questions is inspired by the new physics predicted or by TGD.

1. TGD predicts a hierarchy of macroscopic quantum phases with large Planck constant.
   Questions: Could large values of Planck constant make possible exotic electronic super-conductivities? Could even nuclei possess large $\hbar$ (super-fluidity)?

2. TGD predicts that classical color force and its quantal counterpart are present in all length scales.
   Questions: Could color force, say color magnetic force which play some role in the formation of Cooper pair. The simplest model of pair is as a space-time sheet with size of order ξ so that the electrons could be "outside" the background space-time. Could the Coulomb interaction energy of electrons with positively charged wormhole throats carrying parton numbers and feeding em gauge flux to the large space-time sheet be responsible for the gap energy? Could wormhole throats carry also quark quantum numbers. In the case of single electron condensed to single space-time sheet the em flux could be indeed fed by a pair of $u\overline{u}$ and $\overline{d}d$ type wormhole contacts to a larger space-time sheet. Could the wormhole contacts have a net color? Could the electron space-time sheets of the Cooper pair be connected by long color flux tubes to give color singlets so that dark color force would be ultimately responsible for the stability of Cooper pair?

3. Suppose that one takes seriously the ideas about the possibility of dark weak interactions with the Compton scale of weak bosons scaled up to say atomic length scale so that weak bosons are effectively massless below this length scale [K7].
   Questions: Could the dark weak length scale which is of order atomic size replace lattice constant in the expression of sound velocity? What is the space-time correlate for sound velocity?

3.4.2 Photon massivation, coherent states of Cooper pairs, and wormhole contacts

The existence of wormhole contacts is one of the most stunning predictions of TGD. First I realized that wormhole contacts can be regarded as parton-antiparton pairs with parton and antiparton assignable to the light-like causal horizons accompanying wormhole contacts. Then came the idea that Higgs particle could be identified as a wormhole contact. It was soon followed by the identification all bosonic states as wormhole contacts [K14]. Finally I understood that this applies also to their super-symmetric partners, which can be also fermion [K9]. Fermions and their super-partners would in turn correspond to wormhole throats resulting in the topological condensation of small deformations of CP_2 type vacuum extremals with Euclidian signature of metric to the background space-time sheet. This framework opens the doors for more concrete models of also super-conductivity involving the effective massivation of photons as one important aspect in the case of ordinary super-conductors.

There are two types of wormhole contacts. Those of first type correspond to elementary bosons. Wormhole contacts of second kind are generated in the topological condensation of space-time sheets carrying matter and form a hierarchy. Classical radiation fields realized in TGD framework as oscillations of space-time sheets would generate wormhole contacts as the oscillating space-time sheet develops contacts with parallel space-time sheets (recall that the distance between space-time sheets is of order CP_2 size). This realizes the correspondence between fields and quanta geometrically. Phonons could also correspond to wormhole contacts of this kind since they mediate acoustic oscillations between space-time sheets and the description of the phonon mediated interaction between electrons in terms of wormhole contacts might be useful also in the case of super-conductivity. Bose-Einstein condensates of wormhole contacts might be highly relevant for the formation of macroscopic quantum phases. The formation of a coherent state of wormhole contacts would be the counterpart for the vacuum expectation value of Higgs.

The notions of coherent states of Cooper pairs and of charged Higgs challenge the conservation of electromagnetic charge. The following argument however suggests that coherent states of wormhole contacts form only a part of the description of ordinary super-conductivity. The basic observation is that wormhole contacts with vanishing fermion number define space-time correlates for Higgs type particle with fermion and anti-fermion numbers at light-like throats of the contact.

The ideas that a genuine Higgs type photon massivation is involved with super-conductivity and that coherent states of Cooper pairs really make sense are somewhat questionable since the conservation of charge and fermion number is lost for coherent states. A further questionable feature is that a quantum superposition of many-particle states with widely different masses would be in question. These interpretational problems can be resolved elegantly in zero energy ontology [K4] in which the total conserved quantum numbers of quantum state are vanishing. In this picture the energy, fermion number, and total charge of any positive energy state are compensated by opposite quantum numbers of the negative energy state in geometric future. This makes possible to speak about superpositions of Cooper pairs and charged Higgs bosons separately in positive energy sector.

If this picture is taken seriously, super-conductivity can be seen as providing a direct support for both the hierarchy of scaled variants of standard model physics and for the zero energy ontology.

3.4.3 Space-time correlate for quantum critical superconductivity

The explicit model for high T_c super-conductivity relies on quantum criticality involving long ranged quantum fluctuations inducing reconnection of flux tubes of local (color) magnetic fields associated with parallel spins associated with stripes to form long flux tubes serving as wires along which Cooper pairs flow. Essentially [D3] [D3] type phenomenon would be in question. The role of the doping by holes is to make room for Cooper pairs to propagate by the reconnection mechanism: otherwise Fermi statistics would prevent the propagation. Too much doping reduces the number of current carriers, too little doping leaves too little room so that there exists some optimal doping. In the case of high T_c super-conductors quantum criticality corresponds to a quite wide temperature range, which provides support for the quantum criticality of TGD Universe. The probability $p(T)$ for the formation of reconnections is what matters and exceeds the critical value at T_c.

3.5 Super-Conductivity At Magnetic Flux Tubes

Super-conductivity at the magnetic flux tubes of magnetic flux quanta is one the basic hypothesis of the TGD based model of living matter. There is also evidence for magnetically mediated super-conductivity in extremely pure samples [D17]. The magnetic coupling was only observed at lattice densities close to the critical density at which long-range magnetic order is suppressed. Quantum criticality that long flux tubes serve as pathways along which Cooper pairs can propagate. In anti-ferromagnetic phase these pathways are short-circuited to closed flux tubes of local magnetic fields.

Almost the same model as in the case of high T_c and quantum critical super-conductivity applies to the magnetic flux tubes. Now the flux quantum contains BE condensate of exotic Cooper pairs interacting with wormhole contacts feeding the gauge flux of Cooper pairs from the magnetic flux quantum to a larger space-time sheet. The interaction of spin 1 Cooper pairs with the magnetic field of flux quantum orients their spins in the same direction. Large value of $\hbar$ guarantees thermal stability even in the case that different space-time sheets are not thermally isolated.

The understanding of gap energy is not obvious. The transversal oscillations of magnetic flux tubes generated by spin flips of electrons define the most plausible candidate for the counterpart of phonons. In this framework phonon like states identified as wormhole contacts would be created by the oscillations of flux tubes and would be a secondary phenomenon.

Large values of $\hbar$ allow to consider not only the Cooper pairs of electrons but also of protons and fermionic ions. Since the critical temperature for the formation of Cooper pairs is inversely proportional to the mass of the charge carrier, the replacement of electron with proton or ion would require a scaling of $\hbar$. If T_{c1} is proportional to $\hbar^2$, this requires scaling by $(m_p/m_e)^{1/2}$. For $T_{c1} \propto \hbar$ scaling by $m_p/m_e \simeq 2^{11}$ is required. This inspired idea that powers of 2^{11} could define favored values of $\hbar/\hbar_0$. This hypothesis is however rather ad hoc and turned out to be too restrictive.

Besides Cooper pairs also Bose-Einstein condensates of bosonic ions are possible in large $\hbar$ phase and would give rise to super-conductivity. TGD inspired nuclear physics predicts the existence of exotic bosonic counterparts of fermionic nuclei with given (A, Z) [L1], [L1].

3.5.1 Superconductors at the flux quanta of the Earth's magnetic field

Magnetic flux tubes and magnetic walls are the most natural candidates for super-conducting structures with spin triplet Cooper pairs. Indeed, experimental evidence relating to the interaction of ELF em radiation with living matter suggests that bio-super-conductors are effectively 1- or 2-dimensional. $D \leq 2$-dimensionality is guaranteed by the presence of the flux tubes or flux walls of, say, the magnetic field of Earth in which charge carries form bound states and the system is equivalent with a harmonic oscillator in transversal degrees of freedom.

The effect of Earth's magnetic field is completely negligible at the atomic space-time sheets and cannot make super conductor 1-dimensional. At cellular sized space-time sheets magnetic field makes possible transversal the confinement of the electron Cooper pairs in harmonic oscillator states but does not explain energy gap which should be at the top of 1-D Fermi surface. The critical temperature extremely low for ordinary value of $\hbar$ and either thermal isolation between space-time sheets or large value of $\hbar$ can save the situation.

An essential element of the picture is that topological quantization of the magnetic flux tubes occurs. In fact, the flux tubes of Earth's magnetic field have thickness of order cell size from the quantization of magnetic flux. The observations about the effects of ELF em fields on bio-matter [J3] suggest that similar mechanism is at work also for ions and in fact give very strong support for bio-super conductivity based on the proposed mechanism.

3.5.2 Energy gaps for superconducting magnetic flux tubes and walls

Besides the formation of Cooper pairs also the Bose-Einstein condensation of charge carriers to the ground state is needed in order to have a supra current. The stability of Bose-Einstein condensate requires an energy gap $E_{g,BE}$ which must be larger than the temperature at the magnetic flux tube.

Several energies must be considered in order to understand $E_{g,BE}$.

1. The Coulombic binding energy of Cooper pairs with the wormhole contacts feeding the em flux from magnetic flux tube to a larger space-time sheet defines an energy gap which is expected to be of order $E_{g,BE} = \alpha/L(k)$ giving $E_g \sim 10^{-3}$ eV for $L(167) = 2.5$ μm giving a rough estimate for the thickness of the magnetic flux tube of the Earth's magnetic field $B = .5 \times 10^{-4}$ Tesla.

2. In longitudinal degrees of freedom of the flux tube Cooper pairs can be described as particles in a one-dimensional box and the gap is characterized by the length L of the magnetic flux tube and the value of $\hbar$. In longitudinal degrees of freedom the difference between $n = 2$ and $n = 1$ states is given by $E_0(k_2) = 3h^2/4m_eL^2(k_2)$. Translational energy gap $E_g = 3E_0(k_2) = 3h^2/4m_eL^2(k_2)$ is smaller than the effective energy gap $E_0(k_1) - E_0(k_2) = h^2/4m_eL^2(k_1) - h^2/4m_eL^2(k_2)$ for $k_1 > k_2+2$ and identical with it for $k_1 = k_2+2$. For $L(k_2 = 151)$ the zero point kinetic energy is given by $E_0(151) = 20.8$ meV so that $E_{g,BE}$ corresponds roughly to a temperature of 180 K. For magnetic walls the corresponding temperature would be scaled by a factor of two to 360 K and is above room temperature.

3. Second troublesome energy gap relates to the interaction energy with the magnetic field. The magnetic interaction energy E_m of Cooper pair with the magnetic field consists of cyclotron

term $E_c = n\hbar eB/m_e$ and spin-interaction term which is present only for spin triplet case and is given by $E_s = \pm\hbar eB/m_e$ depending on the orientation of the net spin with magnetic field. In the magnetic field $B_{end} = 2B_E/5 = .2$ Gauss ($B_E = .5$ Gauss is the nominal value of the Earth's magnetic field) explaining the effects of ELF em fields on vertebrate brain, this energy scale is $\sim 10^{-9}$ eV for $\hbar_0$ and $\sim 1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ eV for $\hbar = 2^{14} \times \hbar_0$.

The smallness of translational and magnetic energy gaps in the case of Cooper pairs at Earth's magnetic field could be seen as a serious obstacle.

1. Thermal isolation between different space-time sheets provides one possible resolution of the problem. The stability of the Bose-Einstein condensation is guaranteed by the thermal isolation of space-time if the temperature at the magnetic flux tube is below E_m. This can be achieved in all length scales if the temperature scales as the zero point kinetic energy in transversal degrees of freedom since it scales in the same manner as magnetic interaction energy.

2. The transition to large $\hbar$ phase could provide a more elegant way out of the difficulty. The criterion for a sequence of transitions to a large $\hbar$ phase could be easily satisfied if there is a large number of charge Cooper pairs at the magnetic flux tube. Kinetic energy gap remains invariant if the length of the flux tube scales as $\hbar$. If the magnetic flux is quantized as a multiple of $\hbar$ and flux tube thickness scales as $\hbar^2$, B must scale as $1/\hbar$ so that also magnetic energy remains invariant under the scaling. This would allow to have stability without assuming low temperature at magnetic flux tubes.

4 TGD Based Model For High T_c Super Conductors

High T_c superconductors are quantum critical and involve in an essential magnetic structures, they provide an attractive application of the general vision for the model of super-conductivity based on magnetic flux tubes.

4.1 Some Properties Of High T_c Super Conductors

Quite generally, high T_c super-conductors are cuprates with CuO layers carrying the supra current. The highest known critical temperature for high T_c superconductors is 164 K and is achieved under huge pressure of 3.1×10^5 atm for LaBaCuO. High T_c super-conductors are known to be super conductors of type II.

This is however a theoretical deduction following from the assumption that the value of Planck constant is ordinary. For $\hbar = 2^{14}\hbar_0$ (say) ξ would be scaled up accordingly and type I super-conductor would be in question. These super-conductors are characterized by very complex patterns of penetrating magnetic field near criticality since the surface area of the magnetic defects is maximized. For high T_c super-conductors the ferromagnetic phase could be regarded as an analogous to defect and would indeed have very complex structure. Since quantum criticality would be in question the stripe structure would fluctuate with time too in accordance with 4-D spin glass character.

The mechanism of high T_c super conductivity is still poorly understood [D29, D31].

1. It is agreed that electronic Cooper pairs are charge carriers. It is widely accepted that electrons are in relative d-wave state rather than in s-wave (see [D24] and the references mentioned in [D29]). Cooper pairs are believed to be in spin triplet state and electrons combine to form $L = 2$ angular momentum state. The usual phonon exchange mechanism does not generate the attractive interaction between the members of the Cooper pair having spin. There is also a considerable evidence for BCS type Cooper pairs and two kinds of Cooper pairs could be present.

2. High T_c super conductors have spin glass like character [D27]. High T_c superconductors have anomalous properties also above T_c suggesting quantum criticality implying fractal scaling of various observable quantities such as resistivity. At high temperatures cuprates are anti-ferromagnets and Mott insulators meaning freezing of the electrons. Superconductivity

and conductivity are believed to occur along dynamical stripes which are antiferromagnetic defects.

3. These findings encourage to consider the interpretation in terms of quantum criticality in which some new form of super conductivity which is not based on quasiparticles is involved. This super-conductivity would be assignable with the quantum fluctuations destroying antiferromagnetic order and replacing it with magnetically disordered phase possibly allowing phonon induced super-conductivity.

4. The doping of the super-conductor with electron holes is essential for high T_c superconductivity, and there is a critical doping fraction $p = .14$ at which T_c is highest. The interpretation is that holes make possible for the Cooper pairs to propagate. There is considerable evidence that holes gather on one-dimensional stripes with thickness of order few atom sizes and lengths in the range 1-10 nm [D31], which are fluctuating in time scale of 10^{-12} seconds. These stripes are also present in non-superconducting state but in this case they do not fluctuate appreciably. The most plausible TGD based interpretation is in terms of fluctuations of magnetic flux tubes allowing for the formation of long connected flux tubes making super-conductivity possible. The fact that the fluctuations would be oscillations analogous to acoustic wave and might explain the BCS type aspects of high T_c super-conductivity.

5. T_c is inversely proportional to the distance L between the stripes. A possible interpretation would be that full super-conductivity requires de-localization of electrons also with respect to stripes so that T_c would be proportional to the hopping probability of electron between neighboring stripes expected to be proportional to $1/L$ [D31].

4.1.1 From free fermion gas to Fermi liquids to quantum critical systems

The article of Jan Zaanen [D30] gives an excellent non-technical discussion of various features of high T_c super-conductors distinguishing them from BCS super-conductors. After having constructed a color flux tube model of Cooper pairs I found it especially amusing to learn that the analogy of high T_c super-conductivity as a quantum critical phenomenon involving formation of dynamical stripes to QCD in the vicinity of the transition to the confined phase leading to the generation of string like hadronic objects was emphasized also by Zaanen.

BCS super-conductor behaves in a good approximation like quantum gas of non-interacting electrons. This approximation works well for long ranged interactions and the reason is Fermi statistics plus the fact that Fermi energy is much larger than Coulomb interaction energy at atomic length scales.

For strongly interacting fermions the description as Fermi liquid (a notion introduced by Landau) has been dominating phenomenological approach. ^{3}He provides a basic example of Fermi liquid and already here a paradox is encountered since low temperature collective physics is that of Fermi gas without interactions with effective masses of atoms about 6 times heavier than those of real atoms whereas short distance physics is that of a classical fluid at high temperatures meaning a highly correlated collective behavior.

It should be noticed that many-sheeted space-time provides a possible explanation of the paradox. Space-time sheets containing join along boundaries blocks of ^3He atoms behave like gas whereas the ^3He atoms inside these blocks form a liquid. An interesting question is whether the ^3He atoms combine to form larger units with same spin as ^3He atom or whether the increase of effective mass by a factor of order six means that $\hbar$ as a unit of spin is increased by this factor forcing the basic units to consist of Bose-Einstein condensate of 3 Cooper pairs.

High T_c super conductors are neither Fermi gases nor Fermi liquids. Cuprate superconductors correspond at high temperatures to doped Mott insulators for which Coulomb interactions dominate meaning that electrons are localized and frozen. Electron spin can however move and the system can be regarded as an anti-ferromagnet. CuO planes are separated by highly oxidic layers and become super-conducting when doped. The charge transfer between the two kinds of layers is what controls the degree of doping. Doping induces somehow a de-localization of charge carriers accompanied by a local melting of anti-ferromagnet.

Collective behavior emerges for high enough doping. Highest T_c results with 15 per cent doping by holes. Current flows along electron stripes. Stripes themselves are dynamical and this is essential for both conductivity and superconductivity. For completely static stripes super-conductivity disappears and quasi-insulating electron crystal results.

Dynamical stripes appear in mesoscopic time and length scales corresponding to 1-10 nm length scale and picosecond time scale. The stripes are in a well-defined sense dual to the magnetized stripe like structures in type I super-conductor near criticality, which suggests analog of type I super-conductivity. The stripes are anti-ferromagnetic defects at which neighboring spins fail to be antiparallel. It has been found that stripes are a very general phenomenon appearing in insulators, metals, and super-conducting compounds [D10].

4.1.2 Quantum criticality is present also above T_c

Also the physics of Mott insulators above T_c reflects quantum criticality. Typically scaling laws hold true for observables. In particular, resistivity increases linearly rather than transforming from T^2 behavior to constant as would be implied by quasi-particles as current carriers. The appearance of so called pseudo-gap [D34] at $T_{c1} > T_c$ conforms with this interpretation. In particular, the pseudo-gap is non-vanishing already at T_{c_1} and stays constant rather than starting from zero as for quasi-particles.

4.1.3 Results from optical measurements and neutron scattering

Optical measurements and neutron scattering have provided especially valuable microscopic information about high T_c superconductors allowing to fix the details of TGD based quantitative model.

Optical measurements of copper oxides in non-super-conducting state have demonstrated that optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ is surprisingly featureless as a function of photon frequency. Below the critical temperature there is however a sharp absorption onset at energy of about 50 meV [D20]. The origin of this special feature has been a longstanding puzzle. It has been proposed that this absorption onset corresponds to a direct generation of an electron-hole pair. Momentum conservation implies that the threshold for this process is $E_g + E$, where E is the energy of the "gluon" which binds electrons of Cooper pair together. In the case of ordinary super-conductivity E would be phonon energy.

Soon after measurements, it was proposed that in absence of lattice excitations photon must generate two electron-hole pairs such that electrons possess opposite momenta [D20]. Hence the energy of the photon would be $2E_g$. Calculations however predicted soft rather than sharp onset of absorption since pairs of electron-hole pairs have continuous energy spectrum. There is something wrong with this picture.

Second peculiar characteristic [D22, D18, D12] of high T_c super conductors is resonant neutron scattering at excitation energy $E_w = 41$ meV of super conductor. This scattering occurs only below the critical temperature, in spin-flip channel and for a favored momentum exchange $(\pi/a, \pi/a))$, where a denotes the size of the lattice cube [D22, D18, D12]. The transferred energy is concentrated in a remarkably narrow range around E_w rather than forming a continuum.

In [D6] is is suggested that e-e resonance with spin one gives rise to this excitation. This resonance is assumed to play the same role as phonon in the ordinary super conductivity and e-e resonance is treated like phonon. It is found that one can understand the dependence of the second derivative of the photon conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ on frequency and that consistency with neutron scattering data is achieved. The second derivative of $\sigma(\omega)$ peaks near 68 meV and assuming $E = E_g + E_w$ they found nearly perfect match using $E_g = 27$ meV. This would suggest that the energy of the excitations generating the binding between the members of the Cooper pair is indeed 41 meV, that two electron-hole pairs and excitation of the super conductor are generated in photon absorption above threshold, and that the gap energy of the Cooper pair is 27 meV. Of course, the theory of Carbotte *et al* does not force the "gluon" to be triplet excitation of electron pair. Also other possibilities can be considered. What comes in mind are spin flip waves of the spin lattice associated with stripe behaving as spin 1 waves.

In TGD framework more exotic options become possible. The transversal fluctuations of stripes- or rather of the magnetic flux tubes associated with the stripes- could define spin 1 excitations analogous to the excitations of a string like objects. Gauge bosons are identified as wormhole contacts in quantum TGD and massive gauge boson like state containing electron-positron pair or quark-antiquark pair could be considered.

4.2 TGD Inspired Vision About High T_c Superconductivity

The following general view about high T_c super-conductivity as quantum critical phenomenon suggests itself. It must be emphasized that this option is one of the many that one can imagine and distinguished only by the fact that it is the minimal option.

4.2.1 The interpretation of critical temperatures

The two critical temperatures T_c and $T_{c_1} > T_c$ are interpreted as critical temperatures. The recent observation that there exists a spectroscopic signature of high T_c super-conductivity, which prevails up to T_{c_1} [D4], supports the interpretation that Cooper pairs exist already below T_{c1} but that for some reason they cannot form a coherent super-conducting state.

One can imagine several alternative TGD based models but for the minimal option is the following one.

1. T_{c_1} would be the temperature for the formation of two-phase system consisting of ordinary electrons and of Cooper pairs with a large value of Planck constant explaining the high critical temperature.

2. Magnetic flux tubes are assumed to be carriers of supra currents. These flux tubes are very short in in anti-ferromagnetic phase. The holes form stripes making them positively charged so that they attract electrons. If the spins of holes tend to form parallel sequences along stripes, they generate dipole magnetic fields in scales of order stripe length at least. The corresponding magnetic flux tubes are assumed to be carriers of electrons and Cooper pairs. The flux tube structures would be closed so that the supra currents associated with these flux tubes would be trapped in closed loops above T_c.

3. Below T_{c1} transversal fluctuations of the flux tubes structures occur and can induce reconnections giving rise to longer flux tubes. Reconnection can occur in two manners. Recall that upwards going outer flux tubes of the dipole field turn downwards and eventually fuse with the dipole core. If the two dipoles have opposite directions the outer flux tube of the first (second) dipole can reconnect with the inward going part of the flux tube of second (first) dipole. If the dipoles have same direction, the outer flux tubes of the dipoles reconnect with each other. Same applies to the inwards going parts of the flux tubes and the dipoles fuse to a single deformed dipole if all flux tubes reconnect. This alternative looks more plausible. The reconnection process is in general only partial since dipole field consists of several flux tubes.

4. The reconnections for the flux tubes of neighboring almost dipole fields occur with some probability $p(T)$ and make possible finite conductivity. At T_c the system the fluctuations of the flux tubes become large and also $p(T,L)$, where L is the distance between stripes, becomes large and the reconnection leads to a formation of long flux tubes of length of order coherence length at least and macroscopic supra currents can flow. One also expects that the reconnection occurs for practically all flux tubes of the dipole field. Essentially a percolation type phenomenon [D3] would be in question. Scaling invariance suggests $p_c(T,L) = p_c(TL/\hbar)$, where L is the distance between stripes, and would predict the observed $T_c \propto \hbar/L$ behavior. Large value of $\hbar$ would explain the high value of T_c.

This model relates in an interesting manner to the vision of Zaanen [D33] expressed in terms of the highway metaphor visualizing stripes as quantum highways along which Cooper pairs can move. In antiferromagnetic phase the traffic is completely jammed. The doping inducing electron holes allows to circumvent traffic jam due to the Fermi statistics generates stripes along which the traffic flows in the sense of ordinary conductivity. In TGD framework highways are replaced with flux tubes and the topology of the network of highways fluctuates due to the possibility of reconnections. At quantum criticality the reconnections create long flux tubes making possible the flow of supra currents.

4.2.2 The interpretation of fluctuating stripes in terms of 1-D phonons

In TGD framework the phase transition to high T_c super-conductivity would have as a correlate fluctuating stripes to which supra currents are assigned. Note that the fluctuations occur also for $T > T_c$ but their amplitude is smaller. Stripes would be parallel to the dark magnetic flux tubes along which dark electron current flows above T_c. The fluctuations of magnetic flux tubes whose amplitude increases as T_c is approached induce transverse oscillations of the atoms of stripes representing 1-D transverse phonons.

The transverse fluctuations of stripes have naturally spin one character in accordance with the experimental facts. They allow identification as the excitations having 41 meV energy and would propagate in the preferred diagonal direction $(\pi/a, \pi/a)$. Dark Cooper pairs would have a gap energy of 27 meV. Neutron scattering resonance could be understood as a generation of these 1-D phonons and photon absorption a creation of this kind of phonon and breaking of dark Cooper pair. The transverse oscillations could give rise to the gap energy of the Cooper pair below T_{c1} and for the formation of long flux tubes below T_c but one can consider also other mechanisms based on the new physics predicted by TGD.

Various lattice effects such as superconductivity-induced phonon shifts and broadenings, possible isotope effects in T_c (questionable), the penetration depth, infrared and photoemission spectra have been observed in the cuprates [D2]. A possible interpretation is that ordinary phonons are replaced by 1-D phonons defined by the transversal excitations of stripes but do not give rise to the binding of the electrons of the Cooper pair but to to reconnection of flux tubes. An alternative proposal which seems to gain experimental support is that spin waves appearing near antiferromagnetic phase transitions replace phonons.

4.2.3 More precise view about high T_c superconductivity taking into account recent experimental results

There are more recent results allowing to formulate more precisely the idea about transition to high T_c super-conductivity as a percolation type phenomenon. Let us first summarize the recent picture about high T_c superconductors.

1. 2-dimensional phenomenon is in question. Supra current flows along preferred lattice planes and type II super-conductivity in question. Proper sizes of Cooper pairs (coherence lengths) are ξ =1-3 nm. Magnetic length λ is longer than $\xi/\sqrt{2}$.

2. Mechanism for the formation of Cooper pairs is the same water bed effect as in the case of ordinary superconductivity. Phonons are only replaced with spin-density waves for electrons with periodicity in general not that of the underlying lattice. Spin density waves relate closely to the underlying antiferromagnetic order. Spin density waves appear near phase transition to antiferromagnetism.

3. The relative orbital angular mentum of Cooper pair is L=2 ($x^2 - y^2$ wave), and vanishes at origin unlike for ordinary s wave SCs. The spin of the Cooper pair vanishes.

Consider now the translation of this picture to TGD language. Basic notions are following.

1. Magnetic flux tubes and possibly also dark electrons forming Cooper pairs.

2. The appearence of spin waves means sequences of electrons with opposite spins. The magnetic field associated with them can form closed flux tube containing both spins. Assume that spins-are orthogonal to the lattice plane in which supracurrent flows. Assume that the flux tube branches associated with electron with given spin branches so that it is shared with both neighboring electrons.

3. Electrons of opposite spins at the two portions of the closed flux tube have magnetic interaction energy. The total energy is minimal when the spins are in opposite directions. Thus the closed flux tube tends to favor formation of Cooper pairs.

4. Since magnetic interaction energy is proportional to $h_{eff} = n \times h$, it is expected stabilize the Cooper pairs at high temperatures. For ordinary super-conducti- vity magnetic fields tends to de-stabilize the pairs by trying to force the spins of spin singlet pair to the same direction.

5. This does not yet give super-conductivity. The closed flux tubes associated with paired spins can however reconnect so that longer flux closed flux tubes are formed. If this occurs for entire sequences, one obtains two flux tubes containing electrons with opposite spins forming Cooper pairs: this would be the "highway" and percolation would corresponds to this process. The pairs would form supracurrents in longer scales.

6. The phase phase transitions generating the reconnections could be percolation type phase transition.

This picture might apply also in TGD based model of bio-superconductivity.

1. The stability of dark Cooper pairs assume to reside at magnetic flux tubes is a problem also now. Fermi statistics favors opposite spins but this means that magnetic field tends to spit the pairs if the members of the pair are at the same flux tube.

2. If the members of the pair are at different flux tubes, the situation changes. One can have $L = 1$ and $S = 1$ with parallel spins (ferromagnetism like situation) or $L = 2$ and $S = 0$ state (anti-ferromagnetism like situation). $L > 0$ is necessary since electrons must reside at separate flux tubes.

4.2.4 Nematics and high T_c superconductors

Waterloo physicists discover new properties of superconductivity is the title of article (see http://tinyurl.com/jfz3145) popularizing the work of David Hawthorn, Canada Research Chair Michel Gingras, doctoral student Andrew Achkar and post-doctoral student Zhihao Hao published in Science [D13] (see http://tinyurl.com/zycahrx). There is a dose of hype involved. As a matter of fact, it has been known for years that electrons flow along stripes, kind of highways in high T_c superconductors.

This effect is known as nematicity and means that electron orbitals break lattice symmetries and align themselves like a series of rods. Nematicity in long length scales occurs a temperatures below the critical point for super-conductivity. In the above mentioned work cuprate CuO_2 is studied. For non-optimal doping the critical temperature for transition to macroscopic superconductivity is below the maximal critical temperature. Long length scale nematicity is observed in these phases.

In the article by Rosenthal et al [D21] (see http://tinyurl.com/h34347f) it is however reported that nematicity is in fact preserved above critical temperature as a local order -at least up to the upper critical temperature, which is not easy to understand in the BCS theory of superconductivity. One can say that the stripes are short and short-lived so that genuine super-conductivity cannot take place.

These two observations lend further support for the TGD inspired model of high T_c superconductivity and bio-superconductivity. It is known that antiferromagnetism is essential for the phase transition to superconductivity but Maxwellian view about electromagnetism and standard quantum theory do not make it easy to understand how. Magnetic flux tube is the first basic new notion provided by TGD. Flux tubes carry dark electrons with scaled up Planck constant $h_{eff} = n \times h$: this is second new notion. This implies scaling up of quantal length scales and in this manner makes also super-conductivity possible.

Magnetic flux tubes in antiferromagnetic materials form short loops. At the upper critical point they however reconnect with some probability to form loops with look locally like parallel flux tubes carrying magnetic fields in opposite directions. The probability of reverse phase transition is so large than there is a competion. The members of Cooper pairs are at parallel flux tubes and have opposite spins so that the net spin of pair vanishes: $S = 0$. At the first critical temperature the average length and lifetime of flux tube highways are too short for macroscopic super-conductivity. At lower critical temperature all flux tubes re-connect permantently average length of pathways becomes long enough.

This phase transition is mathematically analogous to percolation in which water seeping through sand layer wets it completely. The competion between the phases between these two temperatures corresponds to quantum criticality in which phase transitions $h_{eff}/h = n_1 \leftrightarrow n_2$ take place in both directions ($n_1 = 1$ is the most plausible first guess). Earlier I did not fully realize that Zero Energy Ontology provides an elegant description for the situation [L10] [K29]. The reason was that

I thought that quantum criticality occurs at single critical temperature rather than temperature interval. Nematicity is indeed detected locally below upper critical temperature and in long length scales below lower critical temperature.

year=2016

4.2.5 Explanation for the spectral signatures of high T_c superconductor

The model should explain various spectral signatures of high T_c super-conductors. It seems that this is possible at qualitative level at least.

1. Below the critical temperature there is a sharp absorption onset at energy of about $E_a = 50$ meV.

2. Second characteristic [D22, D18, D12] of high T_c super conductors is resonant neutron scattering at excitation energy $E_w = 41$ meV of super conductor also visible only below the critical temperature.

3. The second derivative of $\sigma(\omega)$ peaks near 68 meV and assuming $E = E_g + E_w$ they found nearly perfect match using $E_g = 27$ meV for the energy gap.

$E_g = 27$ meV has a natural interpretation as energy gap of spin 1 Cooper pair. $E_w = 41$ meV can be assigned to the transversal oscillations of magnetic flux tubes inducing 1-D transversal photons which possibly give rise to the energy gap. $E_a = 50$ meV can be understood if also $S = 0$ Cooper pair for which electrons of the pair reside dominantly at the "outer" dipole flux tube and inner dipole core. The presence of this pair might explain the BCS type aspects of high T_c super-conductivity. This identification would predict the gap energy of $S = 0$ Cooper pair to be $E_g(S = 0) = 9$ meV. Since the critical absorption onset is observed only below T_c these Cooper pairs would become thermally stable at T_c and the formation of long flux tubes should somehow stabilize them. For very long flux tubes the distance of a point of "outer" flux tube from the nearby point "inner" flux tube becomes very long along dipole flux tube. Hence the transformation of $S = 0$ pairs to $S = 1$ pairs is not possible anymore and $S = 0$ pairs are stabilized.

4.2.6 Model for Cooper pairs

The TGD inspired model for Cooper pairs of high T_c super-conductor involves several new physics aspects: large $\hbar$ phases, the notion of magnetic flux tubes. One can also consider the possibility that color force predicted by TGD to be present in all length scales is present.

1. One can consider two options for the topological quantization of the dipole field. It could decompose to a flux tube pattern with a discrete rotational symmetry Z_n around dipole axis or to flux sheets identified as walls of finite thickness invariant under rotations around dipole axis. Besides this there is also inner the flux tube corresponding to the dipole core. For the flux sheet option one can speak about eigenstates of L_z. For flux tube option the representations of Z_n define the counterparts of the angular momentum eigenstates with a cutoff in L_z analogous to a momentum cutoff in lattice. The discretized counterparts of spherical harmonics make sense. The counterparts of the relative angular momentum eigenstates for Cooper pair must be defined in terms of tensor products of these rather than using spherical harmonics assignable with the relative coordinate $r_1 - r_2$. The reconnection mechanism makes sense only for the flux tube option so that it is the only possibility in the recent context.

2. Exotic Cooper pair is modeled as a pair of large $\hbar$ electrons with zoomed up size at space-time representing the dipole field pattern associated with a sequence of holes with same spin. If the members of the pair are at diametrically opposite flux tubes or at the "inner" flux tube (dipole core) magnetic fluxes flow in same direction for electrons and spin 1 Cooper pair is favored. If they reside at the "inner" flux tube and outer flux tube, spin zero state is favored. This raises the question whether also $S = 0$ variant of the Cooper pair could be present.

3. Large $\hbar$ is needed to explain high critical temperature. By the general argument the transition to large $\hbar$ phase occurs in order to reduce the value of the gauge coupling strength - now fine structure constant- and thus guarantee the convergence of the perturbation theory. The generation or positive net charge along stripes indeed means strong electromagnetic interactions at stripe.

Color force in condensed matter length scales is a new physics aspect which cannot be excluded in the case that transverse oscillations of flux tubes do not bind the electrons to form a Cooper pair. Classically color forces accompany any non-vacuum extremal of Kähler action since a non-vanishing induced Kähler field is accompanied by a classical color gauge field with Abelian holonomy. Induced Kähler field is always non-vanishing when the dimension of the CP_2 projection of the space-time surface is higher than 2. One can imagine too alternative scenarios.

1. Electromagnetic flux tubes for which induced Kähler field is non-vanishing carry also classical color fields. Cooper pairs could be color singlet bound states of color octet excitations of electrons (more generally leptons) predicted by TGD and explaining quite impressive number of anomalies [K25]. These states are necessarily dark since the decay widths of gauge bosons do not allow new light fermions coupling to them. The size of these states is of order electron size scale $L(127)$ for the standard value of Planck constant. For the non-standard value of Planck constant it would be scaled up correspondingly. For $r = \hbar/\hbar_0 = 2^{14}$ the size would be around 3.3 Angströms and for $r = 2^{24}$ of order 10 nm. Color binding could be responsible for the formation of the energy gap in this case and would distinguish between ordinary two-electron states and Cooper pair. The state with minimum color magnetic energy corresponds to spin triplet state for two color octed fermions whereas for colored fermion and anti-fermion it corresponds to spin singlet (pion like state in hadron physics).

2. A more complex variant of this picture served as the original model for Cooper pairs. Electrons at given space-time sheet feed their gauge flux to large space-time sheet via wormhole contacts. If the wormhole throats carry quantum numbers of quark and antiquark one can say that in the simplest situation the electron space-time sheet is color singlet state formed by quark and antiquark associated with the upper throats of the wormhole contacts carrying quantum numbers of u quark and $\overline{d}$ quark. It can also happen that the electronic space-time sheets are not color singlet but color octet in which case the situation is analogous to that above. Color force would bind the two electronic space-time sheets to form a Cooper pair. The neighboring electrons in stripe possess parallel spins and could form a pair transforming to a large $\hbar$ Cooper pair bound by color force. The Coulombic binding energy of the charged particles with the quarks and antiquarks assignable to the two wormhole throats feeding the em gauge flux to Y^4 and color interaction would be responsible for the energy gap.

4.2.7 Estimate for the gap energy

If transverse oscillations are responsible for the binding of the Cooper pairs, one expects similar expression for the gap energy as in the case of BCS type super conductors. The 3-D formula for the gap energy reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
E_g &= \hbar\omega_D exp(-1/X) \ , \\
\omega_D &= (6\pi^2)^{1/3} c_s n^{1/3} \\
X &= n(E_F)U_0 = \frac{3}{2}N(E_F)\frac{U_0}{E_F} \ , \\
n(E_F) &= \frac{3}{2}\frac{N(E_F)}{E_F} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

X depends on the details of the binding mechanism for Cooper pairs and U_0 parameterizes these details.

Since only stripes contribute to high T_c super-conductivity it is natural to replace 3-dimensional formula for Debye frequency in 1-dimensional case with

$$E_g = \hbar\omega exp(-1/X) \ ,$$
$$\omega = kc_s n \ . \quad (4.2)$$

where n is the 1-dimensional density of Cooper pairs and k a numerical constant. X would now correspond to the binding dynamics at the surface of 1-D counterpart of Fermi sphere associated with the stripe.

There is objection against this formula. The large number of holes for stripes suggests that the counterpart of Fermi sphere need not make sense, and one can wonder whether it could be more advantageous to talk about the counterpart of Fermi sphere for holes and treat Cooper pair as a pair of vacancies for this "Fermi sphere". High T_c super conductivity would be 1-D conventional super-conductivity for bound states of vacancies. This would require the replacement of n with the linear density of holes along stripes, which is essentially that of nuclei.

From the known data one can make a rough estimate for the parameter X. If $E_w = hf = 41$ meV is assigned with transverse oscillations the standard value of Planck constant would give $f = f_0 = 9.8 \times 10^{12}$ Hz. In the general case one has $f = f_0/r$. If one takes the 10^{-12} second length scale of the transversal fluctuations at a face value one obtains $r = 10$ as a first guess. $E_g = 27$ meV gives the estimate

$$exp(-1/X) = \frac{E_g}{E_w} \quad (4.3)$$

giving $X = 2.39$.

The interpretation in terms of transversal oscillations suggests the dispersion relation

$$f = \frac{c_s}{L} \ .$$

L is the length of the approximately straight portion of the flux tube. The length of the "outer" flux tube of the dipole field is expected be longer than that of stripe. For $L = x$ nm and $f_D \sim 10^{12}$ Hz one would obtain $c_s = 10^3 x$ m/s.

4.2.8 Estimate for the critical temperatures and for $\hbar$

One can obtain a rough estimate for the critical temperature T_{c1} by following simple argument.

1. The formula for the critical temperature proposed in the previous section generalize in 1-dimensional case to the following formula

$$T_{c1} \leq \frac{h^2}{8m_e}(\frac{n_c}{g})^2 \ . \quad (4.4)$$

   g is the number of spin degrees of freedom for Cooper pair and n_c the 1-D density of Cooper pairs. The effective one-dimensionality allows only single $L = 2$ state localized along the stripe.The $g = 3$ holds true for $S = 1$.

2. By parameterizing n_c as $n_c = (1 - p_h)/a$, $a = x$ Angstrom, and substituting the values of various parameters, one obtains

$$T_{c1} \simeq \frac{r^2(1-p_h)^2}{9x^2} \times 6.3\ meV \ . \quad (4.5)$$

3. An estimate for p_h follows from the doping fraction p_d and the fraction p_s of parallel atomic rows giving rise to stripes one can deduce the fraction of holes for a given stripe as

$$p_h = \frac{p_d}{p_s} \ . \quad (4.6)$$

One must of course have $p_d \leq p_s$. For instance, for $p_s = 1/5$ and $p_d = 15$ per cent one obtains $p_h = 75$ per cent so that a length of four atomic units along row contains one Cooper pair on the average. For $T_{c1} = 23$ meV (230 K) this would give the rough estimate $r = 23.3$: $r = 24$ satisfies the Fermat polygon constraint. Contrary to the first guess inspired by the model of bio-superconductivity the value of $\hbar$ would not be very much higher than its standard value. Notice however that the proportionality $T_c \propto r^2$ makes it difficult to explain T_{c1} using the standard value of $\hbar$.

4. One $p_h \propto 1/L$ whereas scale invariance for reconnection probability ($p = p(x = TL/\hbar)$) predicts $T_c = x_c\hbar/L = x_c p_s \hbar/a$. This implies

$$\frac{T_c}{T_{c1}} = 32\pi^2 \frac{m_e a}{\hbar_0} x^2 g^2 \frac{p_s}{(1-(p_d/p_s)^2)^2} \frac{x_c}{r} \ . \quad (4.7)$$

This prediction allows to test the proposed admittedly somewhat ad hoc formula. For $p_d << p_s$ T_c/T_{c1} does behaves as $1/L$. One can deduce the value of x_c from the empirical data.

5. Note that if the reconnection probability p is a universal function of x as quantum criticality suggests and thus also x_c is universal, a rather modest increase of $\hbar$ could allow to raise T_c to room temperature range.

The value of $\hbar$ is predicted to be inversely proportional to the density of the Cooper pairs at the flux tube. The large value of $\hbar$ needed in the modelling of living system as magnetic flux tube super-conductor could be interpreted in terms of phase transitions which scale up both the length of flux tubes and the distance between the Cooper pairs so that the ratio rn_c remains unchanged.

4.2.9 Coherence lengths

The coherence length for high T_c super conductors is reported to be 5-20 Angstroms. The naive interpretation would be as the size of Cooper pair. There is however a loophole involved. The estimate for coherence length in terms of gap energy is given by $\xi = \frac{4\hbar v_F}{E_g}$. If the coherence length is estimated from the gap energy, as it seems to be the case, then the scaling up of the Planck constant would increase coherence length by a factor $r = \hbar/\hbar_0$. $r = 24$ would give coherence lengths in the range $12 - 48$ nm.

The interpretation of the coherence length would be in terms of the length of the connected flux tube structure associated with the row of holes with the same spin direction which can be considerably longer than the row itself. As a matter fact r would characterize the ratio of size scales of the "magnetic body" of the row and of row itself. The coherence lengths could relate to the p-adic length scales $L(k)$ in the range $k = 151, 152, .., 155$ varying in the range $(10, 40])$ nm. $k = 151$ correspond to thickness cell membrane.

4.2.10 Why copper and what about other elements?

The properties of copper are somehow crucial for high T_c superconductivity since cuprates are the only known high T_c superconductors. Copper corresponds to $3d^{10}4s$ ground state configuration with one valence electron. This encourages the question whether the doping by holes needed to achieve superconductivity induces the phase transition transforming the electrons to dark Cooper pairs.

More generally, elements having one electron in s state plus full electronic shells are good candidates for doped high T_c superconductors. If the atom in question is also a boson the formation of atomic Bose-Einstein condensates at Cooper pair space-time sheets is favored. Superfluid would be in question. Thus elements with odd value of A and Z possessing full shells plus single s wave valence electron are of special interest. The six stable elements satisfying these conditions are ^{5}Li, ^{39}K, ^{63}Cu, ^{85}Rb, ^{133}Cs, and ^{197}Au.

4.2.11 A new phase of matter in the temperature range between pseudo gap temperature and T_c?

Kram sent a link to a Science Daily popular article titled High-Temperature Superconductor Spills Secret: A New Phase of Matter? (see alsothis). For more details see the article in Science [D15].

Zhi-Xun Shen of the Stanford Institute for Materials and Energy Science (SIMES), a joint institute of the Department of Energy's SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory and Stanford University, led the team of researchers, which discovered that in the temperature region between the pseudo gap temperature and genuine temperature for the transition to super-conducting phase there exists a new phase of matter. The new phase would not be super-conducting but would be characterized by an order of its own which remains to be understood. This phase would be present also in the super-conducting phase.

The announcement does not come as a complete surprise for me. A new phase of matter is what TGD inspired model of high T_c superconductivity indeed predicts. This phase would consist of Cooper pairs of electrons with a large value of Planck constant but associated with magnetic flux tubes with short length so that no macroscopic supra currents would be possible.

The transition to super-conducting phase involves long range fluctuations at quantum criticality and the analog of a phenomenon known as percolation [D3]. For instance, the phenomenon occurs for the filtering of fluids through porous materials. At critical threshold the entire filter suddenly wets as fluid gets through the filter. Now this phenomenon would occur for magnetic flux tubes carrying the Cooper pairs. At criticality the short magnetic flux tubes fuse by reconnection to form long ones so that supra currents in macroscopic scales become possible.

It is not clear whether this prediction is consistent with the finding of Shen and others. The simultaneous presence of short and long flux tubes in macroscopically super-conducting phase is certainly consistent with TGD prediction. The situation depends on what one means with super-conductivity. Is super-conductivity super-conductivity in macroscopic scales only or should one call also short scale super-conductivity not giving rise to macroscopic super currents as super-conductivity. In other words: do the findings of Shen's team prove that the electrons above gap temperature do not form Cooper pairs or only that there are no macroscopic supra currents?

Whether the model works as such or not is not a life and death question for the TGD based model. One can quite well imagine that the first phase transition increasing $\hbar$ does not yet produce electron Compton lengths long enough to guarantee that the overlap criterion for the formation of Cooper pairs is satisfied. The second phase transition increasing $\hbar$ would do this and also scale up the lengths of magnetic flux tubes making possible the flow of supra currents as such even without reconnections. Also reconnections making possible the formation of very long flux tubes could be involved and would be made possible by the increase in the length of flux tubes.

4.3 Speculations

4.3.1 21-Micrometer mystery

21 micrometer radiation from certain red giant stars have perplexed astronomers for more than a decade [D5]. Emission forms a wide band (with width about 4 micrometers) in the infrared spectrum, which suggests that it comes form a large complex molecule or a solid or simple molecules found around starts. Small molecules are ruled out since they produce narrow emission lines. The feature can be only observed in very precise evolutionary state, in the transition between red giant phase and planetary nebular state, in which star blows off dust that is rich in carbon compounds. There is no generally accepted explanation for 21-micrometer radiation.

One can consider several explanations based on p-adic length scale hypothesis and some explanations might relate to the wormhole based super-conductivity.

1. 21 micrometers corresponds to the photon energy of 59 meV which is quite near to the zero point point kinetic energy 61.5 meV of proton Cooper pair at $k = 139$ space-time sheet estimated from the formula

$$\Delta E(2m_p, 139) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\pi^2}{(2m_p)L_e(139)^2} = \frac{1}{8}\Delta E(m_p, 137) \simeq 61.5\ meV \quad .$$

Here the binding energy of the Cooper pair tending to reduce this estimate is neglected, and this estimate makes sense only apart from a numerical factor of order unity. This energy is liberated when a Cooper pair of protons at $k = 139$ space-time sheet drops to the magnetic flux tube of Earth's magnetic field (or some other sufficiently large space-time sheet). This energy is rather near to the threshold value about 55 meV of the membrane potential.

2. 21 micrometer radiation could also result when electrons at $k = 151$ space-time sheet drop to a large enough space-time sheet and liberate their zero point kinetic energy. Scaling argument gives for the zero point kinetic energy of electron at $k = 151$ space-time sheet the value $\Delta(e, 151) \simeq 57.5$ meV which is also quite near to the observed value. If electron is bound to wormhole with quantum numbers of $\overline{d}$ Coulombic binding energy changes the situation.

3. A possible explanation is as a radiation associated with the transition to high T_c super conducting phase. There are two sources of photons. Radiation could perhaps result from the de-excitations of wormhole BE condensate by photon emission. $\lambda = 20.5$ micrometers is precisely what one expects if the space-time sheet corresponds to $p \simeq 2^k$, $k = 173$ and assumes that excitation energies are given as multiples of $E_w(k) = 2\pi/L_e(k)$. This predicts excitation energy $E_w(173) \simeq 61.5$ meV. Unfortunately, this radiation should correspond to a sharp emission line and cannot explain the wide spectrum.

4.3.2 Are living systems high T_c superconductors?

The idea about cells and axons as superconductors has been one of the main driving forces in development of the vision about many-sheeted space-time. Despite this the realization that the supra currents in high T_c superconductors flow along structure similar to axon and having same crucial length scales came as a surprise. Axonal radius which is typically of order $r = .5$ μm. $r = 151 - 127 = 24$ favored by Mersenne hypothesis would predict $r = .4$ μm. The fact that water is liquid could explain why the radius differs from that predicted in case of high T_c superconductors.

Interestingly, Cu is one of the biologically most important trace elements [D1]. For instance, copper is found in a variety of enzymes, including the copper centers of cytochrome c-oxidase, the Cu-Zn containing enzyme superoxide dismutase, and copper is the central metal in the oxygen carrying pigment hemocyanin. The blood of the horseshoe crab, Limulus polyphemus uses copper rather than iron for oxygen transport. Hence there are excellent reasons to ask whether living matter might be able to build high T_c superconductors based on copper oxide.

4.3.3 Neuronal axon as a geometric model for current carrying "rivers"

Neuronal axons, which are bounded by cell membranes of thickness $L_e(151)$ consisting of two lipid layers of thickness $L_e(149)$ are good candidates for high T_c superconductors in living matter.

These flux tubes with radius .4 μm would define "rivers" along which conduction electrons and various kinds of Cooper pairs flow. Scaled up electrons have size $L_e(k_{eff} = 151)$ corresponding to 10 nm, the thickness of the lipid layer of cell membrane. Also the quantum fluctuating stripes of length 1-10 nm observed in high T_c super conductors might relate to the scaled up electrons with Compton length 10 nm, perhaps actually representing zoomed up electrons!

The original assumption that exotic *resp.* BCS type Cooper pairs reside at boundaries *resp.* interior of the super-conducting rivulet. It would however seem that the most natural option is that the hollow cylindrical shells carry all supra currents and there are no Cooper pairs in the interior. If exotic Cooper pairs reside only at the boundary of the rivulet or the Cooper pairs at boundary remain critical against exotic-BCS transition also below T_c, the time dependent fluctuations of the shapes of stripes accompanying high T_c super-conductivity can be understood as being induced by the fluctuations of membrane like structures. Quantum criticality at some part of the boundary is necessary in order to transform ordinary electron currents to super currents at the ends of rivulets. In biology this quantum criticality would correspond to that of cell membrane.

5 Quantitative Model Of High T_c Super-Conductivity And Bio-Super-Conductivity

I have developed already earlier [K2, K3, K20, K21] a rough model for high T_c super conductivity [D30, D32, D33, D10, D4, D34]. The members of Cooper pairs are assigned with parallel flux tubes carrying fluxes which have either same or opposite directions. The essential element of the model is hierarchy of Planck constants defining a hierarchy of dark matters.

1. In the case of ordinary high T_c super-conductivity bound states of charge carriers at parallel short flux tubes become stable as spin-spin interaction energy becomes higher than thermal energy.

   The transition to super-conductivity is known to occur in two steps: as if two competing mechanisms were at work. A possible interpretation is that at higher critical temperature Cooper pairs become stable but that the flux tubes are stable only below rather short scale: perhaps because the spin-flux interaction energy for current carriers is below thermal energy. At the lower critical temperature the stability would is achieved and supra-currents can flow in long length scales.

2. The phase transition to super-conductivity is analogous to a percolation process in which flux tube pairs fuse by a reconnection to form longer super-conducting pairs at the lower critical temperature. This requires that flux tubes carry anti-parallel fluxes: this is in accordance with the anti-ferro-magnetic character of high T_c super conductivity. The stability of flux tubes very probably correlates with the stability of Cooper pairs: coherence length could dictate the typical length of the flux tube.

3. A non-standard value of h_{eff} for the current carrying magnetic flux tubes is necessary since otherwise the interaction energy of spin with the magnetic field associated with the flux tube is much below the thermal energy.

There are two energies involved.

1. The spin-spin-interaction energy should give rise to the formation of Cooper pairs with members at parallel flux tubes at higher critical temperature. Both spin triplet and spin singlet pairs are possible and also their mixture is possible.

2. The interaction energy of spins with magnetic fluxes, which can be parallel or antiparallel contributes also to the gap energy of Cooper pair and gives rise to mixing of spin singlet and spin triplet. In TGD based model of quantum biology antiparallel fluxes are of special importance since U-shaped flux tubes serve as kind of tentacles allow magnetic bodies form pairs of antiparallel flux tubes connecting them and carrying supra-currents. The possibility of parallel fluxes suggests that also ferro-magnetic systems could allow super-conductivity.

   One can wonder whether the interaction of spins with magnetic field of flux tube could give rise to a dark magnetization and generate analogs of spin currents known to be coherent in long length scales and used for this reason in spintronics (`http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spintronics`). One can also ask whether the spin current carrying flux tubes could become stable at the lower critical temperature and make super-conductivity possible via the formation of Cooper pairs. This option does not seem to be realistic.

In the following the earlier flux tube model for high T_c super-conductivity and bio-super-conductivity is formulated in more precise manner. The model leads to highly non-trivial and testable predictions.

1. Also in the case of ordinary high T_c super-conductivity large value of $h_{eff} = n \times h$ is required.

2. In the case of high T_c super-conductivity two kinds of Cooper pairs, which belong to spin triplet representation in good approximation, are predicted. The average spin of the states vanishes for antiparallel flux tubes. Also super-conductivity associated with parallel flux tubes is predicted and could mean that ferromagnetic systems could become super-conducting.

3. One ends up to the prediction that there should be a third critical temperature T^{**} not lower than $T^{**}_{min} = 2T^*/3$, where T^* is the higher critical temperature at which Cooper pairs identifiable as mixtures of $S_z = \pm 1$ pairs emerge. At the lower temperature $S_z = 0$ states, which are mixtures of spin triplet and spin singlet state emerge. At temperature T_c the flux tubes carrying the two kinds of pairs become thermally stable by a percolation type process involving re-connection of U-shaped flux tubes to longer flux tube pairs and supra-currents can run in long length scales.

4. The model applies also in TGD inspired model of living matter. Now however the ratio of critical temperatures for the phase transition in which long flux tubes stabilize is roughly by a factor 1/50 lower than that in which stable Cooper pairs emerge and corresponds to thermal energy at physiological temperatures which corresponds also the cell membrane potential. The higher energy corresponds to the scale of bio-photon energies (visible and UV range).

5.1 A More Detailed Flux Tube Model For Super-Conductivity

The following little calculations support the above vision and lead to quite predictive model.

5.2 Simple Quantitative Model

It is best to proceed by building a quantitative model for the situation.

1. Spin-spin interaction energy for electron pair with members de-localized at parallel magnetic flux tubes must be deduced from the standard expression for the magnetic field created by the second charge and from the expression for the magnetic interaction energy of magnetic moment with external magnetic field.

   The magnetic field created by dipole μ outside the dipole is given by

$$B = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi a^3} \times (3nn\cdot\mu - \mu) \ . \qquad (5.1)$$

   The factor $\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}$ can be taken equal to $1/4\pi$ as unity in the units in which $\mu_0 = \epsilon_0 = c = 1$ holds true. n is direction vector associated with the relative position vector a.

   The magnetic interaction energy reads as $E = -\mu \cdot B$ and in the case of identical magnetic moments reads as

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi a^3} \times (-3\mu_1\cdot n\mu_2\cdot n + \mu_1\cdot\mu_2) \ . \qquad (5.2)$$

2. The magnetic dipole moment of electron is $\mu = -(ge/2m)S$, $S = \hbar/2$, $g \simeq 2$. For proton analogous expression holds with Lande factor $g = 5.585694713(46)$.

A simple model is obtained by assuming that the distance between the members of Cooper pair is minimal so that the relative position vector is orthogonal to the flux tubes.

1. This gives for the spin-spin interaction Hamiltonian the expression

$$H_{s-s} = \tfrac{1}{4\pi a^3} \times (\tfrac{ge\hbar}{2m})^2 \times O \ , \quad O = -3(m_1)_x(m_2)_x + m_1\cdot m_2 \ . \qquad (5.3)$$

   m_i refers to spin in units of $\hbar$. x referes to the direction in the plane defined by flux tubes and orthogonal to them. m_x can be expressed in terms of spin raising and lowering operators as $m_x = (1/2)(m_+ + m_-)$, $m_\pm = m_x \pm im_y$. This gives

$$(m_1)_x(m_2)_x = \tfrac{1}{4}\textstyle\sum_{i=\pm,j=\pm}(m_i)_1(m_j)_2 \ . \qquad (5.4)$$

$m_1 \cdot m_2$ can be expressed as $(1/2) \times [(m_1+m_2)^2 - m_1^2 - m_2^2]$. In the case of spin 1/2 particles one can have spin singlet and spin triplet and the value of $m_1 \cdot m_2$ is in these cases given by $m_1 \cdot m_2(singlet) = -3/4$ and $m_1 \cdot m_2(triplet) = 1/4$

The outcome is an expression for the spin-spin interaction Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} &H_{s-s} = E_{s-s} \times O \ , \quad E_{s-s} = \frac{1}{4\pi a^3} \times (ge\hbar/2m)^2 \times O \ , \\ &O = O_1 + O_2(S) \ , \quad O_1 = -\frac{3}{4}\sum_{i=\pm,j=\pm}(m_i)_1(m_j)_2 \ , \\ &O_2(singlet) = -\frac{3}{4} \ , \quad O_2(triplet) = \frac{1}{4} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

2. The total interaction Hamiltonian of magnetic moment with the magnetic field of flux tube can be deduced as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{s-flux} &= -(\mu_Z)_1 B_1 - (\mu_Z)_2 B_2 = \frac{ge}{\hbar 2m}(m_1)_z B_1 + (m_2)_z B_2 \\ &= E_{s-flux} \times ((m_1)_z + \epsilon (m_2)_z) \ , \quad E_{s-flux} = \frac{ge\hbar B}{2m} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

3. For the diagonalization of spin-spin interaction Hamiltonian the eigenbasis of S_z is a natural choice. In this basis the only non-diagonal terms are O_1 and E_{s-flux}. O_1 does not mix representations with different total spin and is diagonal for the singlet representation. Also the $S_z(tot) = 0$ state of triplet representation is diagonal with respect to O_1: this is clear from the explicit representation matrices of spin raising and lowering operators (the non-vanishing elements in spin 1/2 representation are equal to 1). $S_z(tot) = 0$ states are eigenstates of O_1 with eigenvalue $+3/4$ for singlet and $-3/4$ for triplet. For singlet one therefore has eigenvalue $o = 0$ and for triplet eigenvalue $o = -1/2$. Singlet does not allow bound state whereas triplet does.

   $S_z(tot) = 1$ and $S_z(tot) = -1$ states are mixed with each other. In this case the O_1 has non-diagonal matrix elements equal to $O_1(1,-1) = O_1(-1,1) = 1$ so that the matrix representing O is given by

$$O = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 1 \\ 1 & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{5.7}$$

   The eigenvalues are $o_+ = 5/4$ and $o_- = -3/4$. Cooper pairs states are linear combinations of $S_z = \pm 1$ states with coefficients with have either same or opposite sign so that a maximal mixing occurs and the average spin of the pair vanishes.

   To sum up, there are two bound states for mere spin-spin interaction corresponding to $o = -1/2$ spin 0 triplet state and $o = -3/4$ state for which spin 1 and spin -1 states are mixed.

4. For spin singlet at parallel flux tubes the spin-flux interaction vanishes: $H(para, singlet) = 0$. Same holds true for $S_z = \pm 1$ states at biologically especially interesting antiparallel flux tubes: $H(anti, S_z = \pm 1) = 0$. For antiparallel flux tubes $S_z = 0$ states in singlet and triplet are mixed by $H(anti, S_z = 0)$. The two resulting states must have negative binding energy so that one obtains 3 bound states altogether and only one state remains unbound. The amount of mixing and thermal stability of possibly slightly perturbed singlet state is determined by the ratio x of the scale parameters of H_{s-flux} and H_{s-s}.

   The explicit form of $H(anti, S_z = 0)$ is

$$H(anti, S_z = 0) = -\frac{E_{s-s}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$x = -\frac{4E_{s-flux}}{E_{s-s}} = -32\pi\frac{ma^3}{ge\hbar B} \ ,$$
$$E_{s-s} = \frac{1}{8\pi}(\frac{ge\hbar}{2m})^2\frac{1}{a^3} \ . \quad (5.8)$$

The eigenvalues $H(anti, S_z = 0)$

$$E_{\pm} = -\frac{E_{s-s}}{4}(1 \pm \sqrt{1+4x^2}) \ . \quad (5.9)$$

What is remarkable is that both parallel antiparallel flux tubes give rise to 2 bound states assignable to spin triplet. Singlet does not allow bound states.

5. The Planck constant appearing in the formulas can be replaced with $\hbar_{eff} = n\hbar$. Note that the value of the parameter x is inversely proportional to h_{eff} so that singlet approximation improves for large values of h_{eff}.

5.3 Fermionic Statistics And Bosons

What about fermionic statistics and bosons?

1. The total wave function must be antisymmetric and the manner to achieve this for spin triplet state is anti-symmetrization in longitudinal degrees of freedom. In 3-D model for Cooper pairs spatial antis-ymmetrization implies $L = 1$ spatial wave function in the relative coordinate and one obtains $J = 0$ and $J = 2$ states. Now the state could be antisymmetric under the exchange of longitudinal momenta of fermions. Longitudinal momenta cannot be identical and Fermi sphere is replaced by its 1-dimensional variant. In 3-D model for Cooper pairs spatial anti-symmetrization implies $L = 1$ spatial wave function in the relative coordinate. Antisymmetry with respect to longitudinal momenta would be the analog for the odd parity of this wave function. Ordinary super-conductivity is located at the boundary of Fermi sphere in a narrow layer with thickness defined by the binding energy. The situation is same now and the thickness should correspond now to the spin-flux interaction energy.

2. Second possibility is more exotic and could be based on antisymmetric entanglement in discrete dark degrees of freedom defined by the sheets of the singular covering assignable to the integer $n = h_{eff}/h$. For $n = 2m$ one can decompose the n discrete degrees of freedom to the discrete analogs of m spatial coordinates q_i and m canonical momenta p_i and assume that the entanglement matrix proportional to a unitary matrix (negentropic entanglement) is proportional to the standard antisymmetric matrix defining symplectic structure and expressible as a direct sum of 2×2 permutation symbols ϵ_{ij}. $J_{p_i,q_i} = -J_{q_ip_i} = 1/\sqrt{2m}$. This matrix is antisymmetric and unitary in standard sense and quaternionic sense.

3. What about bosons? I have proposed that bosonic ions (such as Ca^{++}) associated with single flux tube form cyclotron Bose Einstein condensates giving rise to spontaneous dark magnetization. Bosonic supra currents can indeed run independently along single flux tube as spin currents. Also now the thermal stability of cyclotron states require large h_{eff}. The supra-currents (spin currents) of bosonic ions could be associated with flux tubes and fermionic supra-currents with their pairs. Even dark photons could give rise to spin currents.

   At the formal level the model applies in the case of bosons too. Symmetrization/anti-symmetrization for spin singlets/triplets would be replaced with anti-symmetrization/symmetrization. The analog of Fermi sphere would be obtained for spin singlet states requiring anti-symmetrization in longitudinal degrees of freedom.

5.4 Interpretation In The Case Of High T_c Super-Conductivity

It is interesting to try to interpret the results in terms of high T_c super-conductivity (`http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/High-temperature_superconductivity`).

1. The four eigen values of total Hamiltonian are

$$E = E_{s-s} \times \lambda \ ,$$
$$\lambda \in \{\frac{5}{4}, -\frac{3}{4}, -\frac{1}{4}(1 \pm \sqrt{1+4x^2})\} \ . \tag{5.10}$$

   Two bound states with different binding energies are obtained which should be an empirically testable prediction in the case of the ordinary high T_c superconductivity since it predicts two critical temperatures. Cooper pairs are apart from possible small mixing with singlet state triplet states. The average spin is however vanishing also for $S_z = \pm 1$ states-

2. Two phase transitions giving rise to Cooper pairs are predicted. The simplest interpretation would be that super-conductivity in short scales is already present below the higher critical temperature and corresponds to the currents carries forming a mixture of $S_z = \pm 1$ states. These supra currents would stabilize flux tubes below some rather short scale. At the lower critical temperature the super-conductivity assignable to $S_z = 0$ spin triplets slightly mixed with singlet would become possible and the scale in which supra-currents can run would increase due to the occurrence of the percolation phenomenon. Below the lower critical temperature the interaction with flux tubes is indeed involved in an essential manner as a mixing of singlet and triplet states. One could perhaps say that $S_z = 0$ states stabilize the flux tube pair.

3. The critical temperatures for the stability of Cooper pairs are predicted to be in ratio $3/1 + \sqrt{1+4x^2}$ roughly equal the upper bound 3/2 for small x. The critical temperatures are identical for $x = \sqrt{63/4} \simeq 4$. In the ordinary high T_c super-conductivity in cuprates the two critical temperatures are around $T^* = 300$K and $T_c = 80K$. The ratio $T^*/T_c = 3.75$ fails to be consistent with the upper bound 3/2.

4. If one takes the model deadly seriously despite its strong simplifying assumptions one is forced to consider a more complex interpretation. What comes in mind is that both kind of Cooper pairs appear first and super-conductivity becomes possible at T_c. T^* would correspond to the emergence of $S_z = \pm 1$ mixtures. The critical temperature T^{**} for the emergence $S_z = 0$ pairs would not be lower than $T^{**}_{min} = (2/3) \times 300 = 200$ K. At temperature T_c the flux tubes carrying the two kinds of pairs become thermally stable by a percolation type process involving re-connection of U-shaped flux tubes to longer flux tube pairs and supra-currents can run in long length scales. This model conforms with the interpretation of pseudo-gap in terms o pre-formed Cooper pairs not able to form coherent supra-currents (`http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pseudogap`).

One ends up to the prediction that there should be a third critical temperature T^{**} not lower than $T^{**}_{min} = 2T^*/3$, where T^* is the higher critical temperature at which Cooper pairs identifiable as mixtures of $S_z = \pm 1$ pairs emerge. At the lower temperature $S_z = 0$ states, which are mixtures of spin triplet and spin singlet state emerge.

5.5 Quantitative Estimates In The Case Of TGD Inspired Quantum Biology

Using the formulas obtained above one can make rough quantitative estimates and get grasp about bio-super-conductivity as predicted by the model.

1. To get grasp to the situation it is good to consider as starting point electron with nanometer scale $a = a_0 = 1$ nm taken as the distance between flux tubes. For $h_{eff} = n \times h$ value of Planck constant one obtains $E_{s-s} = n^2(a/a_0)^3 \times E_0$. $E_0 = 1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ eV.

   Taking $B = 1$ Tesla one obtains for E_{s-flux} $E_{s-flux} = n \times E_{s-flux,0}$, $E_{s-flux,0} = 6.2 \times 10^{-7}$ eV. For $B = B_{end} = .2$ Gauss suggested as an important value of dark endogenous magnetic field one obtains $E_{s-flux,0} = 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ eV.

2. It seems reasonable to require that the two interaction energies are of same order of magnitude. Spin-flux interaction energy is rather small. For instance, for B=1 Tesla its magnitude for electron is about $E_{s-flux,0} = 6.2 \times 10^{-7}$ eV so that a large value of h_{eff} seems to be necessary.

3. The hypothesis that bio-photons result in the transformations of dark photons to ordinary photons suggests that the energy scale is in the range of visible and UV photons and therefore above eV. This suggests for electron $h_{eff}/h = n \geq 10^7$. The condition that the value of E_{s-s} is also in the same range requires that a scales like $n^{1/3}$. This would give scaling, which is larger than $10^{7/3} \simeq 215$: this would mean $a \geq 2 \times 10^{-7}$ m which belongs to the range of biologically most important length scales between cell membrane thickness and nucleus size.

4. The hypothesis $\hbar_{eff} = n \times \hbar = \hbar_{gr} = GMm/v_0$ [K30, K29] implies that cyclotron energy spectrum is universal (no dependence on the mass of the charged particle. Same would hold true for the spin-flux interaction energy. Spin spin interaction energy is proportional to h_{eff}^2/m^2a^3, where a is minimum distance between members of the Cooper pair. It is invariant under the simultaneous scaling of h_{eff} and m so that all charged particles can form Cooper pairs and spin currents for flux tubes with same distance and same magnetic field strength. This would correspond to the universality of the bio-photons [K28]. This would be also consistent with the earlier explanation for the finding of Hu and Wu [J6] that proton spin-spin interaction frequency for the distance defined by cell membrane thickness is in ELF frequency scale. The proposal was that dark proton sequences are involved at both sides of the membrane.

   Universality of Cooper pair binding energies implies universality of super-conductivity all fermionic ions can form superconducting Cooper pairs as has been assumed in the models for strange effects of ELF em fields on vertebrate brain, for cell membrane as Josephson junction, and for EEG [K6], and in the model for nerve pulse [K23]. As found, Bose-Einstein condensates of bosonic ions could give rise to spontaneous dark magnetization and spin currents along single flux tube so that bosons would be associated with flux tubes and fermions with pairs of them.

   The value of h_{eff} for proton would satisfy $n \geq 2 \times 10^{10}$. This would guarantee that proton cyclotron frequency for $B = B_{end}$ corresponds to thermal energy 2.5×10^{-2} eV at room temperature.

   Note that I have considered also the option that the values of h_{eff} are such that the universal cyclotron energy scale in magnetic field of $B \simeq .2$ Gauss is in the range of bio-photon energies so that h_{eff} would be by a factor of order 50 higher than in the estimate coming from spin temperature.

5. This observation raises the question whether there are two widely different energy scales present in living matter. The first scale would be associated with spin-spin interaction and would correspond to the energy scale of bio-photons. Second scale would be associated with spin-flux interaction and correspond to the energy scale of resting potential just above the thermal energy at physiological temperatures.

   If this is the case, the parameter x would be of order $x \simeq 10^{-2}$ and spin-spin interaction energy would dominate. The somewhat paradoxical earlier prediction was that Cooper pairs in bio-super-conductivity would be stable at temperatures corresponding to energy of eV or even higher but organisms do not survive above physiological temperatures. The critical temperature for living matter could be however understood in terms of the temperature sensitivity of the dark magnetization at magnetic flux tubes. Although the binding energies of Cooper pairs are in bio-photon energy range this does not help since the quantum wires along, which they can propagate are unstable above room temperatures.

6. From the estimate of order 10^{-7} eV for energy scales for $a = 1$ nm and $B = 1$ Tesla and from the binding energy of Cooper pairs of order 10^{-2} eV it is clear that ordinary high T_c super-conductivity cannot correspond to the standard value of Planck constant: $h_{eff}/h \simeq 10^5$ is required. The interpretation would be that at the higher critical temperature Cooper pairs become stable but flux tubes are not stable. At the lower critical temperature also flux tubes become stable. This would correspond to the percolation model that I have proposed earlier.

   These two energy scales would be the biological counterparts of the two much lower energy scales in the ordinary high T_c super-conductivity. There ratio of these scales would be roughly 50.

5.6 Does Also Low Tc Superconductivity Rely On Magnetic Flux Tubes In TGD Universe?

Discussions with Hans Geesink have inspired sharpening of the TGD view about bio-superconductivity (bio-SC), high Tc superconductivity (SC) and relate the picture to standard descriptions in a more detailed manner. In fact, also standard low temperature super-conductivity modelled using BCS theory could be based on the same universal mechanism involving pairs of magnetic flux tubes possibly forming flattened square like closed flux tubes and members of Cooper pairs residing at them.

5.6.1 A brief summary about strengths and weakness of BCS theory

First I try to summarize basics of BCS theory.

1. BCS theory is successful in 3-D superconductors and explains a lot: supracurrent, diamagnetism, and thermodynamics of the superconducting state, and it has correlated many experimental data in terms of a few basic parameters.

2. BCS theory has also failures.

   (a) The dependence on crystal structure and chemistry is not well-understood: it is not possible to predict, which materials are super-conducting and which are not.

   (b) High-Tc SC is not understood. Antiferromagnetism is known to be important. The quite recent experiment demonstrates conductivity- maybe even conductivity - in topological insulator in presence of magnetic field [L8]. This is compete paradox and suggests in TGD framework that the flux tubes of external magnetic field serve as the wires [L8].

3. BCS model based on crystalline long range order and k-space (Fermi sphere). BCS-difficult materials have short range structural order: amorphous alloys, SC metal particles 0-down to 50 Angstroms (lipid layer of cell membrane) transition metals, alloys, compounds. Real space description rather than k-space description based on crystalline order seems to be more natural. Could it be that the description of electrons of Cooper pair is not correct? If so, k-space and Fermi sphere would be only appropriate description of ordinary electrons needed to model the transition to to super-conductivity? Super-conducting electrons could require different description.

4. Local chemical bonding/real molecular description has been proposed. This is of course very natural in standard physics framework since the standard view about magnetic fields does not provide any ideas about Cooper pairing and magnetic fields are only a nuisance rather than something making SC possible. In TGD framework the situation is different.

5.6.2 TGD based view about SC

TGD proposal for high Tc SC and bio-SC relies on many-sheeted space-time and TGD based view about dark matter as $h_{eff} = n \times h$ phase of ordinary matter emerging at quantum criticality [K21].

Pairs of dark magnetic flux tubes would be the wires carrying dark Cooper pairs with members of the pair at the tubes of the pair. If the members of flux tube pair carry opposite B:s, Cooper pairs have spin 0. The magnetic interaction energy with the flux tube is what determines the critical temperature. High Tc superconductivity, in particular the presence of two critical temperatures can be understood. The role of anti-ferromagnetism can be understood.

TGD model is clearly x-space model: dark flux tubes are the x-space concept. Momentum space and the notion of Fermi sphere are certainly useful in understanding the transformation ordinary lattice electrons to dark electrons at flux tubes but the super conducting electron pairs at flux tubes would have different description.

Now come the heretic questions.

1. Do the crystal structure and chemistry define the only fundamental parameters in SC? Could the notion of magnetic body - which of course can correlate with crystal structure and chemistry - equally important or even more important notion?

2. Could also ordinary BCS SC be based on magnetic flux tubes? Is the value of $h_{eff} = n \times h$ only considerably smaller so that low temperatures are required since energy scale is cyclotron energy scale given by E= $h_{eff} = n \times f_c$, $f_c = eB/m_e$. High Tc SC would only have larger h_{eff} and bio-superconductivity even larger h_{eff}!

3. Could it be that also in low Tc SC there are dark flux tube pairs carrying dark magnetic fields in opposite directions and Cooper pairs flow along these pairs? The pairs could actually form closed loops: kind of flattened O:s or flattened squares.

One must be able to understand Meissner effect. Why dark SC would prevent the penetration of the ordinary magnetic field inside superconductor?

1. Could B_{ext} actually penetrate SC at its own space-time sheet. Could opposite field B_{ind} at its own space-time sheet effectively interfere it to zero? In TGD this would mean generation of space-time sheet with $B_{ind} = -B_{ext}$ so that test particle experiences vanishing B. This is obviously new. Fields do not superpose: only the effects caused by them superpose.

   Could dark or ordinary flux tube pairs carrying B_{ind} be created such that the first flux tube portion B_{ind} in the interior cancels the effect of B_{ext} on charge carriers. The return flux of the closed flux tube of B_{ind} would run outside SC and amplify the detected field B_{ext} outside SC. Just as observed.

2. What happens, when B_{ext} penetrates to SC? $h_{eff} \to h$ must take place for dark flux tubes whose cross-sectional area and perhaps also length scale down by h_{eff} and field strength increases by h_{eff}. If also the flux tubes of B_{ind} are dark they would reduce in size in the transition $h_{eff} \to h$ by $1/h_{eff}$ factor and would remain inside SC! B_{ext} would not be screened anymore inside superconductor and amplified outside it! The critical value of B_{ext} would mean criticality for this $h_{eff} \to h$ phase transition.

3. Why and how the phase transition destroying SC takes place? Is it energetically impossible to build too strong B_{ind}? So that effective field $B_{eff} = B_{dark} + B_{ind} + B_{ext}$ experienced by electrons is reduced so that also the binding energy of Cooper pair is reduced and it becomes thermally unstable. This in turn would mean that Cooper pairs generating the dark B_{dark} disappear and also B_{dark} disappears. SC disappears.

Wee after writing the above text came the newest news concerning high Tc superconductivity. Hydrogen sulfide - the compound responsible for the smell of rotten eggs - conducts electricity with zero resistance at a record high temperature of 203 Kelvin (70 degrees C), reports a paper published in Nature. This super-conductor however suffers from a serious existential crisis: it behaves very much like old fashioned super-conductor for which superconductivity is believed to be caused by lattice vibrations and is therefore not allowed to exist in the world of standard physics! To be or not to be!

TGD Universe allows however all flowers to bloom: the interpretation is that the mechanism is large enough value of $h_{eff} = n \times h$ implying that critical temperature scales up. Perhaps it is not a total accident that hydrogen sulfide H2S - chemically analogous to water - results from the bacterial breakdown of organic matter, which according to TGD is high temperature superconductor at room temperature and mostly water, which is absolutely essential for the properties of living matter in TGD Universe.

As a matter fact, H_2S is used by some bacteria living in deep ocean volcanic vents as a nutrient and also in our own gut: chemically this means that H_2S acts as electron donor in primitive photosynthesis like process to give ATP. That sulphur is essential for growth and physical functioning of plants might be due to the fact that it preceded oxygen based life [?]. For instance, Cys and met containing sulphur are very important amino-acids.

5.6.3 Indications for high T_c superconductivity at 373 K with $h_{eff}/h = 2$

Some time ago I learned about a claim of Ivan Kostadinov [D28] about superconductivity at temperature of 373 K (100 C) (see http://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01482v1.pdf). There is also claims by E. Joe Eck about superconductivity: the latest at 400 K [D9] (see http://www.superconductors.org/400K_SC.htm). I am not enough experimentalist to be able to decide whether to take the claims seriously or not.

The article of Kostadinov provides a detailed support for the claim. Evidence for diamagnetism (induced magnetization tends to reduce the external magnetic field inside superconductor) is represented: at 242 transition reducing the magnitude of negative susceptibility but keeping it negative takes place. Evidence for gap energy of 15 mV was found at 300 K temperature: this energy is same as thermal energy $T/2 = 1.5$ eV at room temperature. Tape tests passing 125 A through superconducting tape supported very low resistance (for Copper tape started burning after about 5 seconds).

I-V curves at 300 K are shown to exhibit Shapiro steps (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Josephson_voltage_standard) with radiation frequency in the range [5 GHz, 21 THz]. Already Josephson discovered what - perhaps not so surprisingly - is known as Josephson effect (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Josephson_effect). As one drives super-conductor with an alternating current, the voltage remain constant at certain values. The difference of voltage values between subsequent jumps are given by Shapiro step $\Delta V = hf/Ze$. The interpretation is that voltage suffers a kind of phase locking at these frequencies and alternating current becomes Josephson current with Josephson frequency $f_J = ZeV/h$, which is integer multiple of the frequency of the current. This actually gives a very nice test for $h_{eff} = n \times h$ hypothesis: Shapiro step ΔV should be scaled up by $h_{eff}/h = n$. The obvious question is whether this occurs in the recent case or whether $n = 1$ explains the findings.

The data represented by Figs. 12, 13,14 of [D28] (see http://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01482v1.pdf) suggest $n = 2$ for $Z = 2$. The alternative explanation would be that the step is for some reason $\Delta V = 2hf/Ze$ corresponding to second harmonic or that the charge of the charge carrier is $Z = 1$. I have not been able to find any error in my calculation.

1. Fig 12 shows I-V curve at room temperature T=300 K. Shapiro step is now 45 mV. This would correspond to frequency $f = Ze\Delta V/h = 11.6$ THz. The figure text tells that the frequency is $f_R = 21.762$ THz giving $f_R/f \simeq 1.87$. This would suggest $h_{eff}/h = n \simeq f_R/f \simeq 2$.

2. Fig. 13 shows another at 300 K. Now Shapiro step is 4.0 mV and corresponds to a frequency 1.24 THz. This would give $f_R/f \simeq 1.95$ giving $h_{eff}/h = 2$.

3. Fig. 14 shows I-V curve with single Shapiro step equal to about .12 mV. The frequency should be 2.97 GHz whereas the reported frequency is 5.803 GHz. This gives $f_R/f \simeq 1.95$ giving $n = 2$.

Irrespectively of the fate of the claims of Kostadinov and Eck, Josephson effect could allow an elegant manner to demonstrate whether the hierarchy of Planck constants is realized in Nature.

5.6.4 Room temperature superconductivity for alkanes

Super conductivity with critical temperature of 231 C for n-alkanes containing n=16 or more carbon atoms in presence of graphite has been reported (see http://tinyurl.com/hnefqv9).

Alkanes (see http://tinyurl.com/6pm7mz6) can be linear (C_nH_{2n+2}) with carbon backbone forming a snake like structure, branched (C_nH_{2n+2}, $n \geq 2$) in which carbon backbone splits in one, or more directions or cyclic (C_nH_{2n}) with carbon backbone forming a loop. Methane CH_4 is the simplest alkane.

What makes the finding so remarkable is that alkanes serve as basic building bricks of organic molecules. For instance, cyclic alkanes modified by replacing some carbon and hydrogen atoms by other atoms or groups form aromatic 5-cycles and 6-cycles as basic building bricks of DNA. I have proposed that aromatic cycles are superconducting and define fundamental and kind of basic units of molecular consciousness and in case of DNA combine to a larger linear structure.

Organic high T_c superconductivity is one of the basic predictions of quantum TGD. The mechanism of super-conductivity would be based on Cooper pairs of dark electrons with non-standard value of Planck constant $h_{eff} = n \times h$ implying quantum coherence is length scales scaled up by n (also bosonic ions and Cooper pairs of fermionic ions can be considered).

The members of dark Cooper pair would reside at parallel magnetic flux tubes carrying magnetic fields with same or opposite direction: for opposite directions one would have $S = 0$ and for the same direction $S = 1$. The cyclotron energy of electrons proportional to h_{eff} would be scaled up and this would scale up the binding energy of the Cooper pair and make super-conductivity possible at temperatures even higher than room temperature [K21].

This mechanism would explain the basic qualitative features of high T_c superconductivity in terms of quantum criticality. Between gap temperature and T_c one one would have superconductivity in short scales and below T_c superconductivity in long length scales. These temperatures would correspond to quantum criticality at which large h_{eff} phases would emerge.

What could be the role of graphite? The 2-D hexagonal structure of graphite is expected to be important as it is also in the ordinary super-conductivity: perhaps graphite provides long flux tubes and n-alkanes provide the Cooper pairs at them. Either graphite, n-alkane as organic compound, or both together could induce quantum criticality. In living matter quantum criticality would be induced by different mechanism. For instance, in microtubules it would be induced by AC current at critical frequencies [L9].

5.6.5 How the transition to superconductive state could be induced by classical radiation?

Blog and Facebook discussions have turned out to be very useful and quite often new details to the existing picture emerge from them. We had interesting exchanges with Christoffer Heck in the comment section to "Are microtubules macroscopic quantum systems?" (see http://tinyurl.com/hwnnfcd) and this pleasant surprise occurred also now.

Recall that Bandyopadhyay's team claims to have detected the analog of superconductivity, when microtubules are subjected to AC voltage [J2, J4] (see http://tinyurl.com/ze366ny). The transition to a state resembling superconductivity would occur at certain critical frequencies. For the TGD inspired model see [?].

The TGD proposal for bio-superconductivity - in particular that appearing in microtubules - is same as that for high T_c superconductivity [K20, K21]. Quantum criticality, large $h_{eff}/h = n$ phases of Cooper pairs of electrons, and parallel magnetic flux tube pairs carrying the members of Cooper pairs for the essential parts of the mechanism. $S = 0$ ($S = 1$) Cooper pairs appear when the magnetic fields at parallel flux tubes have opposite (same) direction.

Cooper pairs would be present already below the gap temperature but possible super-currents could flow in short loops formed by magnetic flux tubes in ferromagnetic system. AC voltage at critical frequency would somehow induce transition to superconductivity in long length scales by inducing a phase transition of microtubules without helical symmetry to those with helical symmetry and fusing the conduction pathways with length of 13 tubulins associated with microtubules of type B to much longer ones associated with microtubules of type A by the reconnection of magnetic flux tubes parallel to the conduction pathways.

The phonon mechanism responsible for the formation of Cooper pair in ordinary superconductivity cannot be involved with high T_c superconductivity nor bio-superconductivity. There is upper bound of about 30 K for the critical temperature of BCS superconductors. Few days ago I learned about high T_c superconductivity around 500 K for n-alkanes (see `http://tinyurl.com/hwac9e9`) so that the mechanism for high T_c is certainly different [K21].

The question of Christoffer was following. Could microwave radiation for which photon energies are around 10^{-5} eV for the ordinary value of Planck constant and correspond to the gap energy of BCS superconductivity induce phase transition to BCS super-conductivity and maybe to microtubular superconductivity (if it exists at all)?

This inspires the question about how precisely the AC voltage at critical frequencies could induce the transition to high T_c - and bio-super-conductivity. Consider first what could happen in the transition to high T_c super-conductivity.

1. In high T_c super conductors such as copper-oxides the anti-ferromagnetism is known to be essential as also 2-D sub-lattice structures. Anti-ferromagnetism suggests that closed flux tubes form of squares with opposite directions of magnetic field at the opposite sides of square. The opposite sides of the square would carry the members of Cooper pair.

2. At quantum criticality these squares would reconnect to very long flattened squares by reconnection. The members of Cooper pairs would reside at parallel flux tubes forming the sides of the flattened square. Gap energy would consists interaction energies with the magnetic fields and the mutual interaction energy of magnetic moments.

   This mechanism does not work in standard QM since the energies involved are quite too low as compared to thermal energy. Large $h_{eff}/h = n$ would however scale up the magnetic energies by n. Note that the notion of gap energy should be perhaps replaced with collective binding energy per Cooper pair obtained from the difference of total energies for gap phase formed at higher temperature and for superconducting phase formed at T_c by dividing with the number of Cooper pairs.

   Another important distinction to BCS is that Cooper pairs would be present already below gap temperature. At quantum criticality the conduction pathways would become much longer by reconnection. This would be represent an example about "topological" condensed matter physics. Now hover space-time topology would be in question.

3. The analogs of phonons could be present as transversal oscillations of magnetic flux tubes: at quantum criticality long wave length "magneto-phonons" would be present. The transverse oscillations of flux tube squares would give rise to reconnection and formation of

If the irradiation or its generalization to high T_c works the energy of photon should be around gap energy or more precisely around energy difference per Cooper pair for the phases with long flux tubes pairs and short square like flux tubes.

1. To induce superconductivity one should induce formation of Cooper pairs in BCS superconductivity. In high T_c super-conductivity it should induce a phase transition in which small square shaped flux tube reconnect to long flux tubes forming the conducting pathways. The system should radiate away the energy difference for these phases: the counterpart of binding energy could be defined as the radiated energy per Cooper pair.

2. One could think the analog of stimulated emission (see). Assume that Cooper pairs have two states: the genuine Cooper pair and the non-superconducting Cooper pair. This is the case in high T_c superconductivity but not in BCS superconductivity, where the emergence of superconductivity creates the Cooper pairs. One can of course ask whether one could speak about the analog of stimulated emission also in this case.

3. Above T_c but below gap temperature one has the analog of inverted population: all pairs are in higher energy state. The irradiation with photon beam with energy corresponding to energy difference gives rise to stimulated emission and the system goes to superconducting state with a lower energy state with a lower energy.

This mechanism could explain the finding of Bandyopadhyay's team [J2, J4] that AC perturbation at certain critical frequencies gives rise to a ballistic state resembling superconductivity (no dependence of the resistance on the length of the wire so that the resistance must be located at its ends). The team used photons with frequency scales of MHz, GHz, and THz. The corresponding photon energy scales are about 10^{-8} eV, 10^{-5}, 10-2$eVfortheordinaryvalueofPlanckconstantandarebelowthermal$

In TGD classical radiation should have also large $h_{eff}/h = n$ photonic counterparts with much larger energies $E = h_{eff} \times f$ to explain the quantal effects of ELF radiation at EEG frequency range on brain [K18]. The general proposal is that h_{eff} equals to what I have called gravitational Planck constant $\hbar_{gr} = GMm/v_0$ [K29, K30]. This implies that dark cyclotron photons have universal energy range having no dependence on the mass of the charged particle. Bio-photons have energies in visible and UV range much above thermal energy and would result in the transition transforming dark photons with large $h_{eff} = h_{gr}$ to ordinary photons.

One could argue that AC field does not correspond to radiation. In TGD framework this kind of electric fields can be interpreted as analogs of standing waves generated when charged particle has contacts to parallel "massless extremals" representing classical radiation with same frequency propagating in opposite directions. The net force experienced by the particle corresponds to a standing wave.

Irradiation using classical fields would be a general mechanism for inducing bio-superconductivity. Superconductivity would be generated when it is needed. The findings of Blackman and other pioneers of bio-electromagnetism about quantal effects of ELF em fields on vertebrate brain stimulated the idea about dark matter as phases with non-standard value of Planck constant. The precise mechanism for how this happens has remained open. Also these finding could be interpreted as a generation of superconducting phase by this phase transition.

6 Evidence For Electronic Superconductivity In Bio-Systems

There exists some evidence for super-conductivity in bio-systems. DNA should be insulator but under some circumstances it becomes conductor [I2] and perhaps even high T_c super-conductor. Also evidence for Josephson effect has been reported [D14].

6.1 DNA As A Conductor?

Barton *et al* [I2] have done several experiments between 1993-1997 related to the conductivity properties of DNA double helix. The conclusion is that DNA double helix has the ability to do chemistry at distance: *"A DNA molecule with a chemical group artificially tethered to one end appears to mediate a chemical change far down the helix, causing a patch of damaged DNA to be mended."* .

What seems to occur is flow of electron current along DNA with very small resistance. Typically the experiments involve electron donator and acceptor separated by a long distance along DNA. When acceptor is radiated it goes to excited state and an electron current flows from donator to acceptor as a consequence. Standard wisdom tells that this should not be possible. The current should flow by quantum tunnelling between adjacent building units of DNA and it should diminish exponentially with distance. For proteins this is known to be the case. In experiments however no distance dependence was observed. Irradiation with visible light was also involved.

There exist a theory which assumes that the current could flow along the interior of double DNA, that is the region between the bases of strand and complementary strand. The electron would be de-localized in bases rings which would form a stack along DNA. The current would flow by tunnelling also now but the tunnelling probability would be so large that distance dependence would be weak. The critics of Barton argue that this model cannot explain all the experiments of Barton and that the model is not in accordance with basic organic chemistry and biology: ordinary sun light should have rather drastic effects on us. Barton admits that they do not understand the mechanism.

TGD suggests a possible explanation of phenomenon in terms of dark atoms or partially dark atoms for which valence electrons are dark.

1. The bases of DNA contain 5 or 6-cycles: both correspond to Fermat polygons. This symmetry suggests dark phase with $G_a \subset SU(2)$ having maximal cyclic group Z_5 or Z_6 so that one

would have $n_a = 5$ or $n_a = 6$ depending on the cycle. This identification would provide first principle explanation for why just these cycles appear in living matter. Most naturally organic atoms would be ordinary but some electrons would reside on dark space-time sheets corresponding to $n_a = 5$ or $n_a = 6$ and $n_b = 1$.

2. The scaled up size of the electronic orbital would be roughly $(n_a n^2/Z_{eff}^2)a_0$ and by a factor n_a^2 larger than the size of ordinary orbital. The large distance of valence electrons suggest $Z_{eff} = 1$ as a first guess, which would imply de-localization of electrons in the length scale $625a_0 \sim 312$ nm for Rb and $900a_0 \sim 45$ nm for Rh. For the estimate $Z_{eff} \sim 10$ deduced below the de-localization would occur in length scales 3 nm and 9 nm which is probably quite enough since there is one DNA triplet per one nanometer if the conduction occurs as a sequence of replacements of a hole with electron analogous to the falling down of domino pieces.

3. The fact that the ratio $6/5 = 1.2$ is rather near to the ratio $45/37 = 1.22$ of nuclear charges of Rh and Rb atoms would guarantee that the binding energy of the valence electron for Rh atom with $n_a = 6$ is reasonably near to that for Rb atom with $n_a = 5$. This encourages to think that the mechanism of conductivity involves the ionization of dark valence electron of acceptor atom so that it can receive the dark valence electron of the donor atom. Delocalization makes this process possible.

4. The DNA environment would induce the phase transition of Rh and Ru atoms to partially dark atoms. The binding energy of the dark valence electron is reduced to $E = (n_b/n_a)^2 Z_{eff}^2 E_0/n^2$, where Z_{eff} is the screened nuclear charge seen by valence electrons, $n = 5$ the principal quantum number for the valence electron in the recent case, and $E_0 = 13.6$ eV the ground state energy of hydrogen atom. $Z_{eff} = 1$ would give.02 eV binding energy which is quite too small. If the binding energy reduces to that of a visible photon parameterized as $E = x$ eV one obtains the condition

$$Z_{eff} = n_a n\sqrt{E/E_0} \simeq 5n_a\sqrt{x/13.6} \ .$$

For Rh $x = 2$ would give $Z_{eff} = 11.5$ and $Z_{eff} = 9.6$ for Rb.

6.2 DNA As A Super-Conductor?

Also in the model of ORMEs as dark matter led to $n_a = 6, n_b = 1$ in super-conducting phase. This suggests DNA super-conductivity is based on the same mechanism as the explanation of superconductivity assigned with ORMEs. In particular, the energy $E = .05$ eV associated with the critical potential of neuronal membrane could correspond to the gap energy of the DNA super-conductor and this could relate directly to the activation of DNA. As found, the dark variant of a conventional super-conductor with gap energy around 10 K would give rise to a dark superconductor with a gap energy around room temperature. The estimate $E_g = E/n_a^2$ gives 14 K for $n_a = 6$ and 20 K for $n_a = 5$ for the gap energy. DNA carries -2 units of electric charge per single nucleotide and the interpretation could be as one dark Cooper pair per nucleotide. $n_a = 6$ would give the higher critical temperature.

The fact that there is a twist $\pi/10$ per single nucleotide in DNA double strand led to the proposal that DNA pr RNA might serve as a minimal topological quantum computer with computation based on braiding S-matrix and characterized by $n_a = 5$ [K26]. Perhaps dark Cooper pairs having $n_a = 5$ with charge fractionized to five identical fractions along 5-cycles could relate to the topological quantum computation.

DNA strand and its conjugate could form a pair of weakly coupled super-conductors forming kind of a scaled down version for the pairs formed by the inner and outer lipid layers of the axonal membrane or cell interior and exterior. Both DNA strand and double strand corresponds to the secondary p-adic length scale $L_e(71,2) \simeq 4.4$ Angstroms. The soliton sequences associated with the phase differences of super-conducting order parameter over the Josephson junctions connecting DNA strands, and idealizable as a continuous one-dimensional Josephson junction, could serve as a quantum control mechanism. Josephson junctions could correspond to MEs which propagate with very low effective phase velocity along the DNA strand. The mathematics would be essentially that of a gravitational pendulum [K23]. Soliton like structures associated with DNA have been proposed also by Peter Gariaev [I3].

6.2.1 Aromatic rings and large $\hbar$ phases

Aromatic rings contain odd number of π de-localized electron pairs with atoms in the same plane. The de-localization of π electrons in the ring is used to explain the stability of these compounds [I1]. Benzene is the classical example of this kind of structure. Delocalization and DNA conductivity suggest interpretation in terms $n_a = 5$ or $n_a = 6$ phase and raises the question whether the de-localization of electrons could occur also in the orthogonal direction and whether it could give rise to Cooper pairs.

Aromatic rings consisting of 5 or 6 carbons are very common in biology. DNA basis have been already mentioned. Carbohydrates consist of monosaccharide sugars of which most contain aromatic ring (glucose used as metabolic fuel are exception). Monoamine neurotransmitters are neurotransmitters and neuromodulators that contain one amino group that is connected to an aromatic ring by a two-carbon chain (-CH2-CH2-). The neurotransmitters known a monoamines are derived from the four aromatic amino acids phenylalanine, tyrosine, histidine, tryptophan. Also norepinephrine, dopamine, and serotonin involve aromatic rings As a rule psychoactive drugs involve aromatic rings: for instance, LSD contains four rings.

These observations inspire the question whether the compounds containing aromatic rings serve as junctions connecting pre- and postsynaptic neurons and induce Josephson currents between them. If Josephson radiation codes for the mental images communicated to the magnetic body, the psychoactive character of these compounds could be understood. One can also ask whether these compounds induce quantum criticality making possible generation of large $\hbar$ phases?

6.2.2 Graphene as another example of dark electron phase?

The behavior of electrons in graphene, which is two-dimensional hexagonal carbon crystal with a thickness of single atomic layer, is very strange [D19]. Electrons behave as massless particles but move with a velocity which is 1/300 of light velocity. Graphene is an excellent conductor. TGD can provide a model for these peculiar properties.

1. One can regard graphene as a giant molecule and the hexagonal ring structure suggests that M^4 Planck constant is scaled up by a factor of 6 and that dark free electron pairs are associated with the ring structures. If also CP_2 Planck is scaled up with the same factor, chemistry is not affected although the size scale of electron wave functions is scaled up by a factor of 6. Just as in the case of DNA, the rings containing de-localized free electron pairs could be responsible for the anomalously high conductivity of graphene. If quantum critical super-conductor is in question, the super-conductivity could become possible in lower temperature.

2. Consider now the explanation for the vanishing of the rest mass. The general mass formula predicted by p-adic thermodynamics [K14] states that particle mass squared is given by the thermal average of the conformal weight and that conformal weight and thus also mass squared is additive in bound states:

$$(\sum_i p_i)^2 = \sum_i m_i^2 \tag{6.1}$$

The assumption $p_i^2 = m_i^2$ makes sense only for massless partons moving collinearly. In the QCD based model of hadrons only longitudinal momenta and transverse momentum squared are used as labels of parton states, which would suggest that one has

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i,||}^2 &= m_i^2 \ , \\ -\sum_i p_{i,\perp}^2 + 2\sum_{i,j} p_i \cdot p_j &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

The masses would be reduced in bound states: $m_i^2 \rightarrow m_i^2 - (p_T^2)_i$. This could explain why massive quarks can behave as nearly massless quarks inside hadrons. In the recent case electrons would become massless if one has hadron like many electron states (free electron pairs?) with $p_T^2 = m_e^2$.

3. TGD also predicts the possibility of anomalous time dilation in the absence of gravitational field implying also reduction of light velocity. The simplest example are vacuum extremals corresponding to the warped imbedding $\phi = \omega t$ to $M^4 \times S^1$, S^1 a geodesic sphere of CP_2, which have induced metric for which time component of metric is $g_{tt} = 1 - R^2\omega^2$ instead of $g_{tt} = 1$. Light velocity defined from the time taken to get from point A to B is reduced by a factor $\sqrt{g_{tt}}$ from its maximal value. If the space-time sheets carrying the electrons have $g_{tt} = 1/300$, one can understand the reduction of light velocity.

6.3 Conducting DNA And Metabolism

Besides charge transfer also energy transfer along DNA could be of importance in living systems.

6.3.1 Could metabolism involve electronic visible-dark phase transitions at DNA level?

If the dark valence electron associated with an ordinary atom is transformed to ordinary electron, the binding energy of the electron increases which means a liberation of a considerable amount of energy. This phase transition could liberate a large amount of metabolic energy in a coherent manner and might be involved with metabolism at molecular level.

6.3.2 Could the transfer of electrons along DNA make possible energy transfer?

One important function made possible by the assumed dropping of electrons to larger space-time sheets is the transfer of not only charge but also energy through long distances and metabolism might well use this mechanism. The typical energy liberated when ATP molecule is used is about .5 eV. In the model of ATP [K13] it is suggested that energy metabolism involves the circulation of protons between atomic ($k = 137$) space-time sheets and magnetic flux tubes of Earth. The dropping of proton from $k = 137$ atomic space-time sheet to much larger space-time sheet liberates this energy as zero point kinetic energy and generation of ATP molecule involves kicking of three protons back to the atomic space-time sheets by using metabolic energy.

In many-sheeted space-time particles topologically condense at all space-time sheets having projection to given region of space-time so that this option makes sense only near the boundaries of space-time sheet of a given system. Also p-adic phase transition increasing the size of the space-time sheet could take place and the liberated energy would correspond to the reduction of zero point kinetic energy. Particles could be transferred from a portion of magnetic flux tube portion to another one with different value of magnetic field and possibly also of Planck constant h_{eff} so that cyclotron energy would be liberated.

In the following early version of the model assigning metabolic energy quantum to the dropping of protons is considered. In [K21] a model of metabolism associating the metabolic energy quantum to the change of cyclotron energy is discussed.

ATP might provide only the mechanism responsible for the energy transfer over short distances. The dropping of any ion from any space-time sheet to a larger space-time sheet is possible and liberates a definite amount of usable energy. When the smaller space-time sheet corresponds to a super-conducting space-time sheet, the ions or their Cooper pairs can be rapidly transferred as dissipation free supra currents to the region, where the energy is needed. This long distance energy transfer mechanism could be associated with all kinds of linear structures: DNA, proteins, microfilaments, microtubules, axons etc... The magnitude of the energy quantum released would be fixed by the p-adic length scale hypothesis and the mass of the ion or of the Cooper pair. The acceleration in endogenous electric fields provides a mechanism kicking the ions back to the smaller space-time sheets.

Because of their low mass, electrons are exceptional. The dropping of an electronic Cooper pair from $k = 139$ some space-time sheet presumably associated with the hydrogen bonds of length about 3 nm connecting the nucleotides of different DNA strands would liberate a huge energy of about 120 eV. The corresponding UV photon has frequency not far from the miracle frequency associated with $k = 151$ p-adic length scale, which is the first of the four subsequent p-adic miracle length scales corresponding to Gaussian Mersennes. The dropping of electron Cooper pair from the space-time sheet of the DNA strand of thickness of order $4-5$ Angstroms, which presumably corresponds to the secondary electron Compton length $L_e(71,2) \simeq 4.4$ Angstroms, liberates energy of about 15 eV, which in turn corresponds to the p-adic miracle length scale $L_e(157)$. This would mean that all miracle length scales would correspond to some energy unit of energy metabolism [K13] !

An interesting question relates to the possible function of this UV photon. The wavelength $\lambda = L_e(151)$ corresponds to the thickness of the cell membrane. It is also to the minimal length of DNA sequence (10 DNA triplets) with the property that the net winding is a multiple of 2π ($3\times 2\pi$). By its reflection symmetry this helical sequence might serve as a subunit of DNA sequence. The ends of this subunit could act as mirrors connected by MEs carrying Bose-Einstein condensed photons propagating back and worth between the mirrors. The energy liberated by the electron as an UV photon could BE condense to this kind of ME.

At least in the case of monocellulars having DNA at cell membrane, the photon could also be reflected between the outer and inner boundary of the cell membrane.

6.4 Some Empirical Evidence For Super Conductivity In Bio-Systems

There is indirect evidence for electronic super conductivity in bio-systems. The basic signatures are photon emission and absorption with energies coming as multiples of the potential difference between two weakly coupled super conductors and voltage-current characteristics of Josephson current. The evidence is related to the tunnelling of electrons between a weakly coupled pair of super conductors.

According to [D7], for several biological systems involving nerve or growth processes the square of the activation energy is a linear function of temperature over a moderate range of physiological temperatures. This behavior may be predicted from the hypothesis that the rate of biological process is controlled by single electron tunnelling between micro-regions of super-conductivity. In TGD framework natural candidates for this kind of regions are the lipid layers of cell membranes and cells themselves.

Positive experimental evidence for Josephson effect is reported and discussed in [D14]. The evidence is based on the observation of voltage-current characteristic typical to the Josephson current flowing between weakly coupled super conductors, which are identified as neighboring cells. Also the radiation of photons with energies which are multiples of the potential difference between the weakly coupled super conductors is used as an empirical signature. The potential difference is about 15 nV and in completely different range as the potential difference of order .05 V between the lipid layers of the cell membrane. Various species of organisms can detect weak magnetic fields from.1 to 5 gauss and this is in accordance with the existence of Josephson junction in systems, which are super conductors of type II in critical region between H_{c_1} and H_{c_2}. The detection of magnetic fields could be based on the same mechanism as the operation of SQUIDs.

6.5 Microtubular Space-Time Sheets As Super Conductors?

Microtubules are fashionable candidate for a macroscopic quantum system. Microtubules are the basic structural units of cytoskeleton and it has been suggested that cytoskeleton might play the role of nervous system at single cell level and provide the key element for understanding bio-systems as macroscopic quantum systems [J5]. Microtubules are hollow cylindrical tubes with inner and outer radii of 14 nm and 25 nm respectively so that the thickness of the cylinder corresponds roughly to the length scale $\hat{L}(151)$. Microtubules consist of dimers of α and β tubulines having at least two conformations: the position of electron centrally placed in the α-tubulin-β-tubulin juncture probably determines the conformation. Tubulin dimers have size ~ 8 nm not far from the length scale $\hat{L}(157)$. There are 13 columns of tubulin dimers along the microtubule. The skew hexagonal pattern of microbutules exhibits pattern made up of 5 right handed and 8 left handed helical arrangements.

For left handed arrangement 2π rotation corresponds to a distance ~ 64 $nm \sim \hat{L}(163)$ along the length of the microtubule [J7, J1]. It has been suggested [J5] that the electric dipole moments of tubulin dimers form a macroscopic quantum system analogous to a spin system. An alternative possibility is that microtubules might be super conducting. The cylindrical geometry is ideal for the creation of constant magnetic fields inside the tube by helical supercurrents flowing along the surface of the microtubule. The electrons determining the conformation of the tubulin dimer are the most obvious candidates for Cooper pairs. Perhaps the electrons corresponding to a given conformation of tubulin could form de-localized Cooper pairs.

The numbers 5 and 8 correspond to Fermat polygons which suggests that G_a with $n_a = 5\times 8 = 40$ defining order of maximal cyclic subgroup is involved. $n_a = 40$ was also obtained from the requirement that the 20 amino-acids can be coded by the many-electron states of dark N-hydrogen atom having $n_b = 1$ [K7]. Super-conductivity would correspond to $n_b = 1$ so that by the previous argument the critical temperature would be scaled up by a factor $n_a^2 = 1600$ from that of a conventional super-conductor. The possible problems relate to the thermal stability of light atoms if also nuclei are dark, which is however not expected.

The hypothesis that microtubules are infrared quantum antennas with average length giving rise to .1 eV infrared photon fits nicely with the super conductor idea. The fact that .1 eV is the basic energy scale of wormhole atomic physics explains the average length of microtubules. In case of Cooper pairs there is natural coupling to the Josephson currents related to Josephson junctions between lipid layers of the cell membrane. The coupling of wormhole supra currents to coherent photons contains two contributions. The first contribution is the coupling of the wormhole current to the difference of the gauge potentials describing topologically condensed coherent photons on the two space-time sheets. The second contribution is proportional to the difference of dielectric constants on the two space-time sheets and is non-vanishing even when the topological condensates of coherent photons are identical.

6.6 Are Living Systems High T_c Superconductors?

The idea about cells and axons as superconductors has been one of the main driving forces in development of the vision about many-sheeted space-time. Despite this the realization that the supra currents in high T_c superconductors flow along structure similar to axon and having same crucial length scales came as a surprise. Axonal radius which is typically of order $r = .5$ μm. $r = 151 - 127 = 24$ favored by the hypothesis that Mersennes and their Gaussian counterparts defined preferred p-adica length scales and their dark variants would predict $r = .4$ μm. The fact that water is liquid could explain why the radius differs from that predicted in case of high T_c superconductors.

Interestingly, Cu is one of the biologically most important trace elements [D1]. For instance, copper is found in a variety of enzymes, including the copper centers of cytochrome c-oxidase, the Cu-Zn containing enzyme superoxide dismutase, and copper is the central metal in the oxygen carrying pigment hemocyanin. The blood of the horseshoe crab, Limulus polyphemus uses copper rather than iron for oxygen transport. Hence there are excellent reasons to ask whether living matter might be able to build high T_c superconductors based on copper oxide.

6.6.1 What are the preferred values of $\hbar$ for bio-superconductors?

The observed stripes would carry large $\hbar$ electrons attracted to them by hole charge. The basic question concerns the value of $\hbar$ which in the general case is given by $\hbar = x_a x_b$. a refers to CD and b to CP_2. $x_i = n_i$ holds true for singular coverings and $x_i = 1/n_i$ for singular factor spaces. n is is the order of maximal cyclic subgroup $Z_n \subset G$, where G defines singular covering or factor space. Number theoretic vision suggests that the integers n_i, which correspond to a n-polygon constructible using only ruler and compass are physically favored. Thus n_i would be a product containing only different Fermat primes $2^{2^n}+1$ (3, 5, 17, 257, $2^{16}+1$) and some power of 2.

The first question concerns the value of the Planck constant assignable to electron.

1. The secondary Compton time scale assignable to the CD of electron is scaled up from $T_e(2,127) \simeq .1$ seconds (actually fundamental biorhythm) to $rT_e(2,127)$, $r = \hbar/\hbar_0$. The corresponding p-adic length scale is $\sqrt{r}L_e(127) = \sqrt{r}\times 2.4\times 10^{-12}$ m.

2. The appearance of 50 meV energy scale which can be interpreted in terms of Josephson energy for cell membrane at criticality for nerve pulse generation is too intriguing signal to

be dismissed and forces to ask whether the Compton scales $L_e(k)$, $k = 149, 151$ associated with the lipid layer of cell membrane and membrane itself are involved also with non-biological high T_c super-conductivity.

The model for living matter raises the question whether the favored values of $r = n_a n_b$ correspond to 2^{k_d}, where k_d is difference of integers k_i defining Mersenne primes or Gaussian Mersennes. This hypothesis can be tested.

1. $r = 2^{14}$ $(127-113 = 14)$ would predict effective p-adic length scale $L_e(127+14) = 141) = 3.3$ Angstrom so that dark electrons would have atomic size scale. The thickness of the stripes is few atomic sizes and the members of spin 1 Cooper pair in high T_c super-conductors would naturally have distance given by atomic length scale if they are correspond to nearest neighbors in the lattice. This gives rise to a large Coulomb repulsion between electrons which suggests that the electrons at the magnetic flux tube tend to have as large distance as possible.

2. $r = 2^{24}$ $(151 - 127 = 24)$ would give $L_e(127 + 24 = 151) = 10nm$ so that dark electron would have size which corresponds to the thickness of the cell membrane. Bio-superconductivity could correspond to this value of $\hbar$. The minimum option is that only the exotic Cooper pairs making possible super-conductivity above T_c and broken by quantum criticality against transition to ordinary electron need have size of order $L_e(151) = 10$ nm. The length of stripes is in the range 1-10 nm and this forces to ask whether this length scale could correspond to the size of Cooper pairs also for high T_c super-conductors.

6.6.2 Neuronal axon as a geometric model for current carrying "rivers"

Neuronal axons, which are bounded by cell membranes of thickness $L_e(151)$ consisting of two lipid layers of thickness $L_e(149)$ are good candidates for high T_c superconductors in living matter.

These flux tubes with radius .4 μm would define "rivers" along which conduction electrons and various kinds of Cooper pairs flow. Scaled up electrons have size $L_e(k_{eff} = 151)$ corresponding to 10 nm, the thickness of the lipid layer of cell membrane. Also the quantum fluctuating stripes of length 1-10 nm observed in high T_c super conductors might relate to the scaled up electrons with Compton length 10 nm, perhaps actually representing zoomed up electrons!

The original assumption that exotic *resp.* BCS type Cooper pairs reside at boundaries *resp.* interior of the super-conducting rivulet. It would however seem that the most natural option is that the hollow cylindrical shells carry all supra currents and there are no Cooper pairs in the interior. If exotic Cooper pairs reside only at the boundary of the rivulet or the Cooper pairs at boundary remain critical against exotic-BCS transition also below T_c, the time dependent fluctuations of the shapes of stripes accompanying high T_c super-conductivity can be understood as being induced by the fluctuations of membrane like structures. Quantum criticality at some part of the boundary is necessary in order to transform ordinary electron currents to super currents at the ends of rivulets. In biology this quantum criticality would correspond to that of cell membrane.

7 Exotic Atoms, Wormhole Super Conductivity And Wormhole Magnetic Fields

Exotic atom, wormhole super conductivity and wormhole magnetic fields are purely TGD based concepts and it seems that these concepts might be involved with the transition from organic chemistry to biochemistry. There is certainly much more involved, in particular the long range color and weak forces discussed in [K7].

7.1 Exotic Atoms

For ordinary atoms all electrons are condensed on the "atomic" condensation level. One could however think the possibility that some electrons, most probably some valence electrons with high value of principal quantum number n, condense to the lower condensation level, at which atom itself is condensed. This process would give rise to exotic atoms. The exotic counterpart of atom with charge Z would behave chemically as element with $Z - n(val)$, where $n(val)$ is the number of exotic valence electrons. The energy levels of electron at the exotic condensate level should depend only very weakly on the nuclear charge of the parent atom: only the number of valence electrons is what matters. In particular, "electronic" alchemy becomes in principle possible by dropping some electrons on the lower condensate level. One can consider two options depending on whether the dropped electrons are ordinary or dark.

1. Dropped electrons are not dark

The model to be represented is the first version about exotic super-conductivity which was based on the idea about wormhole contact as a counterpart of phonon. Much later it became obvious that charged wormhole contacts can be in fact be identified as counterparts for charged Higgs field making photons massive. This aspect is not discussed below.

The exotic electrons see the Coulomb field of nucleus with effective charge $n(val)$. This charge and gravitational flux flows from the atomic condensate level via the tiny wormhole contacts located near the boundaries of atomic condensate level. If the electric flux of the wormhole is quantized with proton charge as unit there are $n(val)$ wormhole contacts, with each wormhole carrying one unit of electric charge. Note that the minimal unit of flux is naturally 1/3 of elementary charge and the detection of electric flux of this size would be a triumph of the theory. In order to be able to evaluate the energy levels of this pseudo hydrogen atom one must know something about the mass of the wormhole contacts. The following physical considerations give estimate for the mass.

p-Adic length scale hypothesis states that physically most interesting length/mass scales are in one-one- correspondence with p-adic primes p near prime powers of two ($p \simeq 2^k$, k prime) and p-adic mass scale is given by $m \sim 1/L_e(p)$, where $L_e(p)$ is p-adic length scale expressible in terms of Planck length as $L_e(p) \simeq 10^4\sqrt{p}\sqrt{G}$. The representation of wormhole contact as parton pair suggests that apart from effects related to the binding of wormhole throats to single unit, the inertial mass is just the sum of contributions of parton and antiparton associated with the throats carrying opposite gauge quantum numbers. If the time orientations of the space-time sheets involved are opposite, the energies can sum up to zero and the wormhole contact carries no mass. Otherwise the mass is sum of the two masses and the dominant contribution to their mass is determined by the length scale associated with the smaller space-time sheet and thus proportional to $1/\sqrt{p_1}$. In atomic length scales this would give mass of order 10^4 eV and in the length scale corresponding to room temperature mass would be of order 10^{-2} eV. Atoms ($k = 137$) can feed they electromagnetic gauge fluxes directly to "lower" p-adic condensate levels (such as $k = 149$) rather than $k = 139$ to minimize the contribution of wormhole masses to energy.

The small mass of wormhole implies that for atoms with sufficiently high Z it could be energetically favorable to drop electrons to the lower condensate level. Very light wormhole contacts are described by d'Alembert operator associated with the induced metric of the 3-dimensional surface describing the boundary of atomic surface and having one time like direction.

Wormhole contacts are free to move along the boundary of the atomic 3-surface. If wormhole contacts are very light but not exactly massless, it is clear that wormhole contacts behave as bosons restricted to this surface and that state they condense on ground state. For very light but not massless wormhole contacts the lowest state has energy equal to rest mass of the wormhole and next state has energy of order $\pi/a \sim 10^4\ eV$, where a is the radius of atom. Therefore very light wormhole contacts BE condense on the ground state and give rise to a constant charge distribution on the spherical shell surrounding atom. For exactly massless wormhole contacts the zero energy state is not possible and localization of massless wormhole contacts on surface of atomic size would require energy of order $10^4\ eV$. In the interior of this shell electrons are free and in exterior they move in the field of this charge distribution and form bound states. The energies of the electrons at "lower" space-time sheet depend only weakly on the value of Z (only via the dependence of the size of atomic 3-surface on Z) so that the spectral lines associated with the exotic atoms should be in certain sense universal.

The dropping of electrons of heavy atoms, such as Gold or Pb, to the lower space-time sheet, might be energetically favorable or require only a small energy and be induced by, say, absorption of a visible light. Once single electron is dropped it becomes more favorable for second electron to drop since the potential well in the final state is now deeper. The fact, that wormhole contacts form BE Einstein condensate, gives transition probability proportional to N^2 instead of N, N being the number of wormhole contacts already present. In this manner even cascade like process could become possible leading to drop of all valence electrons to the lower space-time sheet. One could even end up from heavy metal such as lead to pseudo-Xenon noble gas evaporating instantaneously!

2. Could exotic valence electrons be dark?

The basic objection against the proposed model is that the proposed wormhole mechanism has no experimental support. If temperature is same at the space-time sheets carrying the dropped electrons, it is not possible to have high T_c super-conductivity for conventional mechanisms.

The valence electrons could however be also dark, which would mean that at some radius atomic electric gauge fluxes flow to a dark space-time sheet and is shared to n_b sub-fluxes so that the each sheet carries flux n_{val}/n_b. For $n_a/n_b > 1$ the fractionization of the radial electric gauge flux could make the states of valence electrons thermally unstable. $n_a/n_b > 1$ would however favor the formation of Cooper pairs and thus high T_c variant of conventional super-conductivity with critical temperature scaled up by n_a^2.

The presence of Ca, Na and K ions in cells and their importance for the functioning of cell membrane could be also due to the fact that these ions are formed when some of the valence electrons transform to dark electrons and become super-conducting. An alternative explanation is that also the nuclei in question are dark and n_a/n_b is so high that atomic binding energies for valence electrons are below thermal threshold and cold plasma of dark ions is formed. These electrons could form Cooper pairs for large enough n_a/n_b. Magnetic flux sheets are excellent candidates for these space-time sheets. The observed ions would result via a phase transition of these ions to ordinary ones. Chemically the resulting elements would behave like noble gas. This kind of mechanism might be involved also with the formation of high T_c super-conductors.

7.2 Mono-Atomic Elements As Dark Matter And High T_c Super-Conductors?

The ideas related to many-sheeted space-time began to develop for a decade ago. The stimulation came from a contact by Barry Carter who told me about so called mono-atomic elements, typically transition metals (precious metals), including Gold. According to the reports these elements, which are also called ORMEs ("orbitally rearranged monoatomic elements") or ORMUS, have following properties.

1. ORMEs were discovered and patented by David [H1] [H1] are peculiar elements belonging to platinum group (platinum, palladium, rhodium, iridium, ruthenium and osmium) and to transition elements (gold, silver, copper, cobalt and nickel).

2. Instead of behaving as metals with valence bonds, ORMEs have ceramic like behavior. Their density is claimed to be much lower than the density of the metallic form.

3. They are chemically inert and poor conductors of heat and electricity. The chemical inertness of these elements have made their chemical identification very difficult.

4. One signature is the infra red line with energy of order .05 eV. There is no text book explanation for this behavior. Hudson also reports that these elements became visible in emission spectroscopy in which elements are posed in strong electric field after time which was 6 times longer than usually.

The pioneering observations of David Hudson [H1] - if taken seriously - suggest an interpretation as an exotic super-conductor at room temperature having extremely low critical magnetic fields of order of magnetic field of Earth, which of course is in conflict with the standard wisdom about super-conductivity. After a decade and with an impulse coming from a different contact related to ORMEs, I decided to take a fresh look on Hudson's description for how he discovered ORMEs [H1] with dark matter in my mind. From experience I can tell that the model to be proposed is probably not the final one but it is certainly the simplest one.

There are of course endless variety of models one can imagine and one must somehow constrain the choices. The key constraints used are following.

1. Only valence electrons determining the chemical properties appear in dark state and the model must be consistent with the general model of the enhanced conductivity of DNA assumed to be caused by large $\hbar$ valence electrons with $r = \hbar/\hbar_0 = n$, $n = 5, 6$ assignable

Table 1: Boiling temperatures of elements appearing in the samples of Hudson.

Element	Ca	Fe	Si	Al	Pd	Rh
$T_B/^oC$	1420	1535	2355	2327	>2200	2500
Element	Ru	Pt	Ir	Os	Ag	Au
$T_B/^oC$	4150	4300	> 4800	> 5300	1950	2600

with aromatic rings. $r = 6$ for valence electrons would explain the report of Hudson about anomalous emission spectroscopy.

2. This model cannot explain all data. If ORMEs are assumed to represent very simple form of living matter also the presence electrons having $\hbar/\hbar_0 = 2^{k11}$, $k = 1$, can be considered and would be associated with high T_c super-conductors whose model predicts structures with thickness of cell membrane. This would explain the claims about very low critical magnetic fields destroying the claimed superconductivity.

Below I reproduce Hudson's own description here in a somewhat shortened form and emphasize that must not forget professional skepticism concerning the claimed findings.

7.2.1 Basic findings of Hudson

Hudson was recovering gold and silver from old mining sources. Hudson had learned that something strange was going on with his samples. In molten lead the gold and silver recovered but when "I held the lead down, I had nothing". Hudson tells that mining community refers to this as "ghost-gold", a non-assayable, non-identifiable form of gold.

Then Hudson decided to study the strange samples using emission spectroscopy. The sample is put between carbon electrodes and arc between them ionizes elements in the sample so that they radiate at specific frequencies serving as their signatures. The analysis lasts 10-15 seconds since for longer times lower electrode is burned away. The sample was identified as Iron, Silicon, and Aluminium. Hudson spent years to eliminate Fe, Si, and Al. Also other methods such as Cummings Microscopy, Diffraction Microscopy, and Fluorescent Microscopy were applied and the final conclusion was that there was nothing left in the sample in spectroscopic sense.

After this Hudson returned to emission spectroscopy but lengthened the time of exposure to electric field by surrounding the lower Carbon electrode with Argon gas so that it could not burn. This allowed to reach exposure times up to 300 s. The sample was silent up to 90 s after which emission lines of Palladium (Pd) appeared; after 110 seconds Platinum (Pt); at 130 seconds Ruthenium (Ru); at about 140-150 seconds Rhodium; at 190 seconds Iridium; and at 220 seconds Osmium appeared. This is known as fractional vaporization.

Hudson reports the boiling temperatures for the metals in the sample having in mind the idea that the emission begins when the temperature of the sample reaches boiling temperature inspired by the observation that elements become visible in the order which is same as that for boiling temperatures.

The boiling temperatures for the elements appearing in the sample are given by **Table 1**.

Hudson experimented also with commercially available samples of precious metals and found that the lines appear within 15 seconds, then follows a silence until lines re-appear after 90 seconds. Note that the ratio of these time scales is 6. The presence of some exotic form of these metals suggests itself: Hudson talks about mono-atomic elements.

Hudson studied specifically what he calls mono-atomic gold and claims that it does not possess metallic properties. Hudson reports that the weight of mono-atomic gold, which appears as a white powder, is 4/9 of the weight of metallic gold. Mono-atomic gold is claimed to behave like super-conductor.

Hudson does not give a convincing justification for why his elements should be mono-atomic so that in following this attribute will be used just because it represents established convention. Hudson also claims that the nuclei of mono-atomic elements are in a high spin state. I do not understand the motivations for this statement.

7.2.2 Claims of Hudson about ORMEs as super conductors

The claims of Hudson that ORMES are super conductors [H1] are in conflict with the conventional wisdom about super conductors.

1. The first claim is that ORMEs are super conductors with gap energy about $E_g = .05$ eV and identifies photons with this energy resulting from the formation of Cooper pairs. This energy happens to correspond one of the absorption lines in high T_c superconductors.

2. ORMEs are claimed to be super conductors of type II with critical fields H_{c1} and H_{c2} of order of Earth's magnetic field having the nominal value $.5 \times 10^{-4}$ Tesla [H1]. The estimates for the critical parameters for the ordinary super conductors suggests for electronic super conductors critical fields, which are about .1 Tesla and thus by a factor $\sim 2^{12}$ larger than the critical fields claimed by Hudson.

3. It is claimed that ORME particles can levitate even in Earth's magnetic field. The latter claim looks at first completely nonsensical. The point is that the force giving rise to the levitation is roughly the gradient of the would-be magnetic energy in the volume of levitating super conductor. The gradient of average magnetic field of Earth is of order B/R, R the radius of Earth and thus extremely small so that genuine levitation cannot be in question.

7.2.3 Minimal model

Consider now a possible TGD inspired model for these findings assuming for definiteness that the basic Hudson's claims are literally true.

1. In what sense mono-atomic elements could be dark matter?

The simplest option suggested by the applicability of emission spectroscopy and chemical inertness is that mono-atomic elements correspond to ordinary atoms for which valence electrons are dark electrons with large value of $r = \hbar/\hbar_0$. Suppose that the emission spectroscopy measures the energies of dark photons from the transitions of dark electrons transforming to ordinary photons before the detection by de-coherence increasing the frequency by r. The size of dark electrons and temporal duration of basic processes would be zoomed up by r.

Since the time scale after which emission begins is scaled up by a factor 6, there is a temptation to conclude that $r = 6$ holds true. Note that $n = 6$ corresponds to Fermat polygon and is thus preferred number theoretically in TGD based model for preferred values of $\hbar$ [K8]. The simplest possibility is that the group G_b is trivial group and $G_a = A_6$ or D_6 so that ring like structures containing six dark atoms are suggestive.

This brings in mind the model explaining the anomalous conductivity of DNA by large $\hbar$ valence electrons of aromatic rings of DNA. The zooming up of spatial sizes might make possible exotic effects and perhaps even a formation of atomic Bose-Einstein condensates of Cooper pairs. Note however that in case of DNA $r = 6$ not gives only rise to conductivity but not super-conductivity and that $r = 6$ cannot explain the claimed very low critical magnetic field destroying the super-conductivity.

2. Loss of weight

The claimed loss of weight by a factor $p \simeq 4/9$ is a very significant hint if taken seriously. The proposed model implies that the density of the partially dark phase is different from that of the ordinary phase but is not quantitative enough to predict the value of p. The most plausible reason for the loss of weight would be the reduction of density induced by the replacement of ordinary chemistry with $r = 6$ chemistry for which the Compton length of valence electrons would increase by this factor.

3. Is super-conductivity possible?

The overlap criterion is favorable for super-conductivity since electron Compton lengths would be scaled up by factor $n_a = 6, n_b = 1$. For $r = \hbar/\hbar_0 = n_a = 6$ Fermi energy would be scaled up by $n_a^2 = 36$ and if the same occurs for the gap energy, T_c would increase by a factor 36 from that predicted by the standard BCS theory. Scaled up conventional super-conductor having $T_c \sim 10$ K would be in question (conventional super-conductors have critical temperatures below 20 K). 20

K upper bound for the critical temperature of these superconductors would allow 660 K critical temperature for their dark variants!

For large enough values of r the formation of Cooper pairs could be favored by the thermal instability of valence electrons. The binding energies would behave as $E = r^2 Z_{eff}^2 E_0/n^2$, where Z_{eff} is the screened nuclear charge seen by valence electrons, n the principal quantum number for the valence electron, and E_0 the ground state energy of hydrogen atom. This gives binding energy smaller than thermal energy at room temperature for $r > (Z_{eff}/n)\sqrt{2E_0/3T_{room}} \simeq 17.4 \times (Z_{eff}/n)$. For $n = 5$ and $Z_{eff} < 1.7$ this would give thermal instability for $r = 6$.

Interestingly, the reported .05 eV infrared line corresponds to the energy assignable to cell membrane voltage at criticality against nerve pulse generation, which suggests a possible connection with high T_c superconductors for which also this line appears and is identified in terms of Josephson energy. .05 eV line appears also in high T_c superconductors. This interpretation does not exclude the interpretation as gap energy. The gap energy of the corresponding BCS super-conductor would be scaled down by $1/r^2$ and would correspond to 14 K temperature for $r = 6$.

Also high T_c super-conductivity could involve the transformation of nuclei at the stripes containing the holes to dark matter and the formation of Cooper pairs could be due to the thermal instability of valence electrons of Cu atoms (having $n = 4$). The rough extrapolation for the critical temperature for cuprate superconductor would be $T_c(Cu) = (n_{Cu}/n_{Rh})^2 T_c(Rh) = (25/36)T_c(Rh)$. For $T_c(Rh) = 300$ K this would give $T_c(Cu) = 192$ K: accoding to Wikipedia cuprate perovskite has the highest known critical temperature which is 138 K. Note that quantum criticality suggests the possibility of several values of (n_a, n_b) so that several kinds of super-conductivities might be present.

7.2.4 ORMEs as partially dark matter, high T_c super conductors, and high T_c superfluids

The appearance of .05 eV photon line suggest that same phenomena could be associated with ORMEs and high T_c super-conductors. The strongest conclusion would be that ORMEs are T_c super-conductors and that the only difference is that Cu having single valence electron is replaced by a heavier atom with single valence electron. In the following I shall discuss this option rather independently from the minimal model.

1. ORME super-conductivity as quantum critical high T_c superconductivity

ORMEs are claimed to be high T_c superconductors and the identification as quantum critical superconductors seems to make sense.

1. According to the model of high T_c superconductors as quantum critical systems, the properties of Cooper pairs should be more or less universal so that the observed absorption lines discussed in the section about high T_c superconductors should characterize also ORMEs. Indeed, the reported 50 meV photon line corresponds to a poorly understood absorption line in the case of high T_c cuprate super conductors having in TGD framework an interpretation as a transition in which exotic Cooper pair is excited to a higher energy state. Also Copper is a transition metal and is one of the most important trace elements in living systems [D1]. Thus the Cooper pairs could be identical in both cases. ORMEs are claimed to be superconductors of type II and quantum critical superconductors are predicted to be of type II under rather general conditions.

2. The claimed extremely low value of H_c is also consistent with the high T_c superconductivity. The supra currents in the interior of flux tubes of radius of order $L_w = .4$ μm are BCS type supra currents with large $\hbar$ so that T_c is by a factor 2^{14} ($127 - 113 = 14$ is inspired by the Mersenne hypothesis for the preferred p-adic length scales) higher than expected and H_c is reduced by a factor 2^{-10}. This indeed predicts the claimed order of magnitude for the critical magnetic field.

3. The problem is that $r = 2^{14}$ is considerably higher that $r = 6$ suggested by the minimum model explaining the emission spectroscopic results of Hudson. Of course, several values of $\hbar$ are possible so that internal consistency would be achieved if ORMEs are regarded as a very simple form of living matter with relatively small value of r and giving up the claim about the low value of critical magnetic field.

4. The electronic configurations of Cu and Gold are chemically similar. Gold has electronic configuration $[Xe, 4f^{14}5d^{10}]6s$ with one valence electron in s state whereas Copper corresponds to $3d^{10}4s$ ground state configuration with one valence electron. This encourages to think that the doping by holes needed to achieve superconductivity induces the dropping of these electrons to $k = 151$ space-time sheets and gives rise to exotic Cooper pairs. Also this model assumes the phase transition of some fraction of Cu nuclei to large $\hbar$ phase and that exotic Cooper pairs appear at the boundary of ordinary and large $\hbar$ phase.

   More generally, elements having one electron in s state plus full electronic shells are good candidates for doped high T_c superconductors. Both Cu and Au atoms are bosons. More generally, if the atom in question is boson, the formation of atomic Bose-Einstein condensates at Cooper pair space-time sheets is favored. Thus elements with odd value of A and Z possessing full shells plus single s wave valence electron are of special interest. The six stable elements satisfying these conditions are ^{5}Li, ^{39}K, ^{63}Cu, ^{85}Rb, ^{133}Cs, and ^{197}Au.

2. "Levitation" and loss of weight

The model of high T_c superconductivity predicts that some fraction of Cu atoms drops to the flux tube with radius $L_w = .4\ \mu$m and behaves as a dark matter. This is expected to occur also in the case of other transition metals such as Gold. The atomic nuclei at this space-time sheet have high charges and make phase transition to large $\hbar$ phase and form Bose-Einstein condensate and superfluid behavior results. Electrons in turn form large $\hbar$ variant of BCS type superconductor. These flux tubes are predicted to be negatively charged because of the Bose-Einstein condensate of exotic Cooper pairs at the boundaries of the flux tubes having thickness $L_e(151)$. The average charge density equals to the doping fraction times the density of Copper atoms.

The first explanation would be in terms of super-fluid behavior completely analogous to the ability of ordinary superfluids to defy gravity. Second explanation is based on the electric field of Earth which causes an upwards directed force on negatively charged BE condensate of exotic Cooper pairs and this force could explain both the apparent levitation and partial loss of weight. The criterion for levitation is $F_e = 2eE/x \geq F_{gr} = Am_pg$, where $g \simeq 10\ \mathrm{m}^2/\mathrm{s}$ is gravitational acceleration at the surface of Earth, A is the atomic weight and m_p proton mass, E the strength of electric field, and x is the number of atoms at the space-time sheet of a given Cooper pair. The condition gives $E \geq 5 \times 10{-}10Ax$ V/m to be compared with the strength $E = 10^2 - 10^4$ V/m of the Earths electric field.

An objection against the explanation for the effective loss of weight is that it depends on the strength of electric field which varies in a wide range whereas Hudson claims that the reduction factor is constant and equal to 4/9. A more mundane explanation would be in terms of a lower density of dark Gold. This explanation is quite plausible since there is no atomic lattice structure since nuclei and electrons form their own large $\hbar$ phases.

4. The effects on biological systems

Some monoatomic elements such as White Gold are claimed to have beneficial effects on living systems [H1]. 5 per cent of brain tissue of pig by dry matter weight is claimed to be Rhodium and Iridium. Cancer cells are claimed to be transformed to healthy ones in presence of ORMEs. The model for high T_c super conductivity predicts that the flux tubes along which interior and boundary supra currents flow has same structure as neuronal axons. Even the basic length scales are very precisely the same. On basis of above considerations ORMEs are reasonable candidates for high T_c superconductors and perhaps even super fluids.

The common mechanism for high T_c, ORME- and bio- super-conductivities could explain the biological effects of ORMEs.

1. In unhealthy state superconductivity might fail at the level of cell membrane, at the level of DNA or in some longer length scales and would mean that cancer cells are not anymore able to communicate. A possible reason for a lost super conductivity or anomalously weak super conductivity is that the fraction of ORME atoms is for some reason too small in unhealthy tissue.

2. The presence of ORMEs could enhance the electronic bio- superconductivity which for some reason is not fully intact. For instance, if the lipid layers of cell membrane are, not only

wormhole-, but also electronic super conductors and cancer involves the loss of electronic super-conductivity then the effect of ORMEs would be to increase the number density of Cooper pairs and make the cell membrane super conductor again. Similar mechanism might work at DNA level if DNA: s are super conductors in “active” state.

5. Is ORME super-conductivity associated with the magnetic flux tubes of dark magnetic field $B_d = 0.2$ *Gauss?*

The general model for the ionic super-conductivity in living matter, which has developed gradually during the last few years and will be discussed in detail later, was originally based on the assumption that super-conducting particles reside at the super-conducting magnetic flux tubes of Earth's magnetic field with the nominal value $B_E = .5$ Gauss. It became later clear that the explanation of ELF em fields on vertebrate brain requires $B_d = .2$ Gauss rather than $B_E = .5$ Gauss [K6]. The interpretation was as dark magnetic field $B_d = .2$ Gauss. The model of EEG led also to the hypothesis that Mersenne primes and their Gaussian counterparts define preferred p-adic length scales and their dark counterparts. This hypothesis replaced the earlier $r = 2^{11k}$ hypothesis.

For $r = 2^{127-113=14}$ the predicted radius $L_w = .4\ \mu$m is consistent with the radius of neuronal axons. If one assumes that the radii of flux tubes are given by this length scale irrespective of the value of r, one must replace the quantization condition for the magnetic flux with a more general condition in which the magnetic flux is compensated by the contribution of the supra current flowing around the flux tube: $\oint (p - eA) \cdot dl = n\hbar$ and assume $n = 0$. The supra currents would be present inside living organism but in the faraway region where flux quanta from organism fuse together, the quantization conditions $e \int B \cdot dS = n\hbar$ would be satisfied.

The most natural interpretation would be that these flux tubes topologically condense at the flux tubes of B_E. Both bosonic ions and the Cooper pairs of electrons or of fermionic ions can act as charge carriers so that actually an entire zoo of super-conductors is predicted. There is even some support for the view that even molecules and macromolecules can drop to the magnetic flux tubes [K13].

7.2.5 Nuclear physics anomalies and ORMEs

At the homepage of Joe Champion [H2] information about claimed nuclear physics anomalies can be found.

1) The first anomaly is the claimed low temperature cold fusion mentioned at the homepage of Joe Champion. For instance, Champion claims that Mercury (Z=80), decays by emission of proton and neutrons to Gold with Z=79 in the electrochemical arrangement described in [H2].

2) Champion mentions also the anomalous production of Cadmium isotopes electrochemically in presence of Palladium reported by Tadahiko Mizuno.

The simplest explanation of the anomalies would be based on genuine nuclear reactions. The interaction of dark nuclei with ordinary nuclei at the boundary between the two phases would make possible genuine nuclear transmutations since the Coulomb wall hindering usually cold fusion and nuclear transmutations would be absent (Trojan horse mechanism). Both cold fusion and reported nuclear transmutations in living matter could rely on this mechanism as suggested in [K24, L1, K5].

7.2.6 Possible implications

The existence of exotic atoms could have far reaching consequences for the understanding of bio-systems. If Hudson's claims about super-conductor like behavior are correct, the formation of exotic atoms in bio-systems could provide the needed mechanism of electronic super-conductivity. One could even argue that the formation of exotic atoms is the magic step transforming chemical evolution to biological evolution.

Equally exciting are the technological prospects. If the concept works it could be possible to manufacture exotic atoms and build room temperature super conductors and perhaps even artificial life some day. It is very probable that the process of dropping electron to the larger space-time sheet requires energy and external energy feed is necessary for the creation of artificial life. Otherwise the Earth and other planets probably have developed silicon based life for long time ago. Ca, K and Na ions have central position in the electrochemistry of cell membranes. They could actually correspond to exotic ions obtained by dropping some valence electrons from $k = 137$ atomic space-time sheet to larger space-time sheets. For instance, the $k = 149$ space-time sheet of lipid layers could be in question.

The status of ORMEs is far from certain and their explanation in terms of exotic atomic concept need not be correct. The fact is however that TGD predicts exotic atoms: if they are not observed TGD approach faces the challenge of finding a good explanation for their non-observability.

7.3 Wormholes And Super-Conductors

7.3.1 Charged wormhole contacts behave like super conductor

Wormhole contacts are bosons and suffer Bose-Einstein condensation to the ground state at sufficiently low temperatures. Their masses are very small and they are mobile in the directions tangential to the surface of atom. Very light but not exactly massless wormhole contacts look therefore ideal candidates for super conducting charge carriers. The em current of wormhole contacts at the "lower" space-time sheet however corresponds to opposite current on the atomic space-time sheet so that actually motion of dipoles is in question (dipole moment is extremely small). Kind of "apparent" super conductivity is in question, which looks real, when one restricts attention to either space-time sheet only. It should be noticed that the dropping of electrons to lower space-time sheets is not absolutely necessarily for wormhole super conductivity since wormhole contacts can appear as genuine particles. For instance, magnetic fields created by rotating wormhole contacts on the boundaries of magnetic flux tubes are possible.

What is required for macroscopic wormhole super conductivity is the formation of a join along boundaries/flux tube condensate at the atomic space-time sheet: JABs would be replaced also with magnetic flux tubes in the case that Kähler does not allow boundaries. This implies that wormhole contacts move freely in the outer surfaces defined by this condensate. Wormhole contacts condense on ground state since there is large energy gap: for very light wormholes and condensate of size L the order of magnitude for the gap is about π/L. Wormhole contacts can appear as super conducting "charge carriers" also at lower condensate levels. The energy gap allows objects with size of order $10^{-5} - 10^{-4}$ meters in room temperature: later it will be suggested that the largest macroscopic quantum systems in brain are of this size. If the thermalization time for between degrees of freedom associated with different space-time sheets is long, wormhole contacts can form metastable BE condensates also in longer length scales.

It has recently become clear that wormhole contacts can be seen as space-time counterparts for Higgs type particles [K14] so that nothing genuinely new would be involved. Coherent states of wormhole contacts could appear also in the description of the ordinary super-conductivity in terms of coherent states of Cooper pairs and charged Higgs type particles making sense in the zero energy ontology [K4]. Mathematically the coherent states of wormholes and Cooper pairs are very similar so that one can indeed speak about wormhole super-conductivity. For instance, both states are described by a complex order parameter. One can of course ask whether charged wormhole contacts and Cooper pairs could be seen as dual descriptions of super-conductivity. This need not be the case since standard Higgs mechanism provides an example of a presence of only wormhole contact Bose-Einstein condensate.

7.3.2 Wormhole magnetic fields as templates for bio-structures?

Wormhole magnetic fields are structures consisting of two space-time sheets connected by wormhole contacts (a more detailed treatment will be found in later chapters). The space-time sheets do not contain ordinary matter and the rotating wormhole contacts near the boundaries of the space-time sheets create magnetic fields of same strength but of opposite sign at the two space-time sheets involved. An attractive possibility is that not only ordinary but also wormhole magnetic fields could correspond to defects in bio super conductors and that they serve as templates for the formation of living matter. DNA and the hollow microtubular surfaces consisting of tubulin molecules are excellent examples of structures formed around defects of type II super conductor. The stripe like regions associated with the defects of superconductor could in turn correspond to wormhole magnetic or Z^0 magnetic fields serving as templates for the formation of cell membranes, epithelial cell sheets and larger structures of same kind.

Super conducting space-time sheets indeed form p-adic hierarchy and same holds true for the sizes of defects characterized by the coherence length ξ in case of super conductors of type II and by the magnetic penetration depth λ in case of super conductors of type I. The assumption that defects correspond to wormhole magnetic fields means that defect is a two-sheeted structure with wormhole magnetic field at larger sheet k cancelling the original magnetic field in the region of defect whereas the upper sheet contains the field as such. If upper sheet k_1 is super-conductor and the penetrating field is below the critical field $B_c(k)$, the field can penetrate only to the sheet k in the region near boundaries of the higher level space-time sheet such that the field strength is so large (by flux conservation) that it exceeds the critical value. This is achieved by the presence of supra currents near the boundaries of the smaller space-time sheet k.

In the case of super conductor of type II penetration occurs as flux tubes in the entire space-time sheet k_1, when the field strength is in the critical range (H_{c_1}, H_{c_2}). This hierarchical penetration in principle continues up to atomic length scales and once can say that defects decompose into smaller defects like Russian doll. It might well be that the fractal structure of defects is a basic architectural principle in bio-systems. Also the amplification of magnetic flux can take place: in this case two sheets contain magnetic fields having opposite directions.

Also defects formed by genuine wormhole magnetic fields are possible: in this case no external field is needed to create the defect. This kind of defects are especially interesting since their 3-space projections need not be closed flux tubes. Topologically these defects are closed as required by the conservation of magnetic flux since the magnetic flux flows from space-time sheet to another one at the ends of the defect behaving like magnetic monopoles.

In the case that the space-time sheets of wormhole magnetic field have opposite time orientations, the particles at the two space-time sheets have opposite inertial energies and it is in principle possible to generate these kind of states from vacuum. A possible interpretation for negative energy particles at the second sheet of the field quantum of wormhole magnetic field is as space-time correlates for holes.

An interesting working hypothesis is that wormhole magnetic fields serve as templates for the formation of bio-structures. The motivations are that defect regions could be regarded as realization for the reflective level of consciousness in terms of fermionic Fock state basis and that the surrounding 3-surface is in super conducting state so that also primitive sensory experiencing becomes possible. One could even say that defects formed by wormhole flux tubes are the simplest intelligent and living systems; that the type of super conductor (I or II) gives the simplest classification of living systems and that systems of type I are at higher level in evolution than systems of type II. A possible example of defects of type II are all linear bio-structures such as DNA, proteins, lipids in the cell membrane, microtubules, etc... Examples of defects of type I would be provided by cell membranes, epithelial sheets and the bilayered structures in the cortex.

7.3.3 How magnetic field penetrates in super conductor?

There are motivations for finding a mechanism for the amplification of magnetic fields although the original motivation coming from attempt to explain the claimed levitation of ORMEs in the Earth's magnetic field has disappeared.

1. Magnetic flux is channelled to flux tubes when it penetrates to super-conductors of type II and the strength of the magnetic field is scaled up roughly as λ/ξ in this process.

2. Cells are known to be sensitive for very weak magnetic fields.

3. TGD proposal for the information storage in terms of topological integers related to magnetic fields also requires that the weak magnetic macroscopic fields prevailing inside brain are somehow amplified to stronger fields in microscopic length scales.

The basic mechanism for the amplification is the current of wormhole contacts induced by external magnetic field at given condensate level, which in turn serves as a source for a secondary magnetic field at higher level. Since the mass of the wormhole contact is very small the resulting current of wormhole contacts and thus the induced secondary magnetic field is strong.

1. The relevant portion of the many sheeted space-time consists of "our" space-time sheet and many sheets above it and at the top is the atomic space-time sheet. At "our" space-time

sheet external magnetic field induces em surface current of wormhole contacts at this level. This current is concentrated on 2-dimensional surfaces, which corresponds to the boundaries of 3-surfaces at the previous level of the hierarchy. The interaction of wormhole contacts with the magnetic field is via the vector potential associated with the external magnetic field on "our" sheet. To get rid of unessential technicalities it is useful to assume cylindrical geometry at each space-time sheet: cylindrical surfaces with axis in same direction are considered and the radii of these surfaces get smaller in the higher levels of the topological condensate.

2. Let us study what happens to the wormhole contacts on the cylindrical surface in constant magnetic field in the direction of the cylinder of radius R, when the magnitude of the magnetic field increases gradually. One has to solve d'Alembert type wave equation for the scalar field (describing wormhole contacts on cylinder in the vector potential associated with the external magnetic field, which is constant on the cylinder and in direction of the azimutal coordinate ϕ: $A_\phi = BR/2$. Ground states correspond to the with minimum energy solutions. Vector potential gives just constant contribution to the d'Alembert equation and for small enough values of B the constant, non-rotating solution remains energy minimum. When the condition $eA_\phi = m$, $m = 1, 2, ...$ is satisfied one however gets rotating solution with angular momentum $L_z = m$ with same energy as the original vacuum solution! This implies that at the critical values

$$B_{cr,m} = \frac{(2m+1)}{eR^2} , \tag{7.1}$$

the solution with $L_z = m$ becomes unstable and is replaced with $L_z = m + 1$ to achieve energy minimum.

3. At the higher condensation level the current of wormhole contacts generate a surface current

$$\begin{aligned} K &= n(\#)ev , \\ v &= \frac{m}{RE} , \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

where $n(\#)$ is surface density of the wormhole contacts and $v = R\omega$ is the velocity of rotating wormhole contacts: v is quantized from the quantization of angular momentum. E is the energy of rotating wormhole. This surface current gives rise to axial magnetic field $B = n(\#)ev$ in the interior of the cylinder at the higher condensate level.

4. The magnetic field can penetrate also to the higher levels of the hierarchy via exactly the same mechanism. At higher levels the requirement that magnetic flux is quantized implies relativistic energies for wormhole contacts (see **Fig.** `http://tgdtheory.fi/appfigures/wormholecontact.jpg` or **Fig. ??** in the appendix of this book) and therefore one has $K = n(\#)ev \simeq n(\#)e$. The magnetic fields at various levels have quantized values not depending much on the original magnetic field!

5. In non-relativistic situation one has $v \simeq eBR/m(\#)$ and the relationship $B(higher) = K$ following from Maxwell equations gives

$$\begin{aligned} B(higher) &= \mu_R(p_1, p_2) B(lower) , \\ \mu_R(p_1, p_2) &= \frac{e^2 n(\#) R}{m(\#)} . \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Non-relativistic wormhole contacts amplify the magnetic field at the larger space-time sheet by a factor $\mu_R(p_1, p_2)$. $\mu_R(p_1, p_2) \sim 10^6$ is required to explain Hudson's claims if penetration takes place in single step: of course multistep process is also possible. It is useful to express the parameters m and R and $n(\#)$ at given p-adic condensation level in terms of the p-adic length scale $L_e(p)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
m(\#) &= \frac{m_0}{L_e(p)} \; m_0 << 1 \; , \\
R &= R_0 L_e(p) \; , \\
v &= \frac{m}{m_0 R_0} << 1 \; , \\
n(\#) &= \frac{n_0}{L^2(p)} \; .
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}
$$

By fractality the dimensionless numbers m_0, R_0, n_0. should not depend strongly on p-adic condensation level. The expression for the amplification factor $\mu_R(p_1, p_2)$ in non-relativistic case reads as

$$
\mu_R(p_1, p_2) = \frac{e^2 n_0 R_0}{m_0} \; . \tag{7.5}
$$

Situation of course becomes relativistic for suitably large values of integer m.

REFERENCES

Condensed Matter Physics

[D1] Copper. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Copper.

[D2] High temperature and other unconventional superconductors. Available at: http://www.fkf.mpg.de/metzner/research/hightc/hightc.html.

[D3] Percolation. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Percolation.

[D4] Scientists Detect 'Fingerprint' of High-temperature Superconductivity Above Transition Temperature. *Science Daily.* Available at: http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2009/08/090827141338.htm, 2009.

[D5] Hellemans A. Labs Hold the Key to the 21-Micrometer Mystery. *Science*, 284(5417):1113, 1999.

[D6] Basov DN Carbotte J, Schachinger E. *Nature*, 401:354–356, 1999.

[D7] Pennsylvania Center Development Air Naval laboratory Bio-chemistry US. Evidence from Activation Energies for Super-conductive Tunneling in Biological Systems at Physiological Temperatures. *Physiol Chem & Phys*, 3:403–410, 1971.

[D8] Springford M (ed). *Electron: A Centennary Volume.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[D9] Eck EJ. The first 200 K superconductor. Available at:http://www.superconductors.org/400K_SC.htm, 2015.

[D10] Tranquada JM Emery VJ, Kivelson SA. Stripe phases in high-temperature superconductors. *Perspective.* Available at: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/reprint/96/16/8814.pdf, 96(16), August 1999.

[D11] Aoki D et al. Coexistence of super-conductivity and ferromagnetism in URhGe. *Nature*, 413, 2001.

[D12] Fong HS et al. *Phys Rev*, 75, 1995.

[D13] Gingras JP et al. Nematicity in stripe-ordered cuprates probed via resonant x-ray scattering. *Science.* Available at:http://tinyurl.com/zycahrx, 351(6273), 2016.

[D14] Giudice Del E et al. Magnetic Flux Quantization and Josephson Behavior in Living Systems. *Phys*, 40:786–791, 1989.

[D15] Hua R-H et al. From a Single-Band Metal to a High-Temperature Superconductor via Two Thermal Phase Transitions. *Science*, 331(6024):1579–1583, March.

[D16] Levy F et al. Magnetic Field-Induced Super-conductivity in the Ferromagnet URhGe. *Science*, August 2005.

[D17] Mathur ND et al. Magnetic superglue promotes super-conductivity. *Physics Web*, 1998.

[D18] Moock HA et al. *Phys Rev*, 70, 1993.

[D19] Novoselov KS et al. Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene. *Nature*, 438, November 2005.

[D20] Orenstein J et al. In *Electronic properties of high T_c super conductors*, pages 254–259, Berlin, 1990. Springer.

[D21] Rosenthal EP et al. Visualization of electron nematicity and unidirectional antiferroic fluctuations at high temperatures in NaFeAs. *Nature Physics.* Available at:http://www.nature.com/nphys/journal/v10/n3/full/nphys2870.html, 10:225232, 2014.

[D22] Rossat-Mignot J et al. *Phys Rev*, 235:59, 1994.

[D23] Sebastian SE et al. Unconventional fermi surface in an insulating state. *Science.* Available at: http://www.sciencemag.org/content/early/2015/07/01/science.aaa7974, 349(6243):605–607, 2015.

[D24] Wollman DA et al. Experimental determinination of the super-conducting pairing state in YBCO from the phase coherence of YBCO-Pb dc SQUIDs. *Phys Rev*, 71, 1993.

[D25] Boudin A Flouquet J. Ferromagnetic super-conductors. *Physics Web*, 2002.

[D26] Burns G. *High Temperature Super Conductivity.* Academic Press, 1993.

[D27] Morgenstern I. *Spin-Glass behavior of high T_c super conductors.* Springer Verlag, 1990.

[D28] Kostadinov IZ. 373 K Superconductors. Available at:http://arxiv.org/pdf/1603.01482v1.pdf, 2016.

[D29] Orenstein J. High-temperature superconductivity: Electrons pair themselves. *Nature*, 401:333–335, 1999.

[D30] Zaanen J. Why high Tc is exciting? Available at: http://www.lorentz.leidenuniv.nl/research/jan_hitc.pdf, 2005.

[D31] Zaanen J. Superconductivity: Quantum Stripe Search. *Nature*, April 2006.

[D32] Zaanen J. Superconductivity: Quantum Stripe Search. *Nature* . Available at: http://www.lorentz.leidenuniv.nl/~jan/nature03/qustripes06.pdf, April 2006.

[D33] Zaanen J. Watching Rush Hour in the World of Electrons. *Science.* Available at: http://www.ilorentz.org/~jan/perspstripes.pdf, 2007.

[D34] Buchanan M. Mind the pseudogap. *Nature*, 409:8–11, January 2001.

[D35] Rabinowitz M. Phenomenological Theory of Superfluidity and Super-conductivity. Available at: http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0104/0104059, 2001.

[D36] Sachdev S. Quantum phase transitions (summary). *Physics World*, pages 33–38, April 1999.

Fringe Physics

[H1] Hudson D. Mono-atomic elements. Available at: http://www.halexandria.org/dward479.htm, 2003.

[H2] Nelson RA. *Transmutations of Ores.* Available at: http://www.levity.com/alchemy/nelson2_2.html.

Biology

[I1] Aromaticity. Available at: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aromatic_rings.

[I2] Barton K et al. *Sci*, 275, March 1997.

[I3] Gariaev P et al. *The DNA-wave biocomputer*, volume 10. CHAOS, 2001.

[I4] Pollack G. *Cells, Gels and the Engines of Life.* Ebner and Sons, 2000.

Neuroscience and Consciousness

[J1] What is Consciousness? Available at: http://www.quantumconsciousness.org/presentations/whatisconsciousness.html.

[J2] Bandyopadhyay A. Experimental Studies on a Single Microtubule (Google Workshop on Quantum Biology), 2011.

[J3] Yarrow D. Spin the tale of the dragon. Available at: http://www.ratical.org/reatvlle/RofD2.html, 1990.

[J4] Bandyopadhyay A Ghosh G, Sahu S. Evidence of massive global synchronization and the consciousness: Comment on "Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory" by Hameroff and Penrose. *Phys Life Rev*, 11:83–84, 2014.

[J5] Penrose R Hameroff SR. *Orchestrated reduction of quantum coherence in brain micro-tubules: A model for consciousness*, pages 507–540. MIT Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[J6] Wu M Hu H. Action Potential Modulation of Neural Spin Networks Suggests Possible Role of Spin. *NeuroQuantology* . Available at: http://cogprints.org/3458/1/SpinRole.pdf, 4:309–317, 2004.

[J7] Penrose R. *Shadows of the Mind.* Vintage, 1994.

Books related to TGD

[K1] Pitkänen M. Is it Possible to Understand Coupling Constant Evolution at Space-Time Level? In *Towards M-Matrix.* Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdquantum/tgdquantum.html#rgflow, 2006.

[K2] Pitkänen M. Bio-Systems as Super-Conductors: part I. In *Quantum Hardware of Living Matter.* Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/bioware/bioware.html#superc1, 2006.

[K3] Pitkänen M. Bio-Systems as Super-Conductors: part II. In *Quantum Hardware of Living Matter.* Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/bioware/bioware.html#superc2, 2006.

[K4] Pitkänen M. Construction of Quantum Theory: M-matrix. In *Towards M-Matrix.* Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdquantum/tgdquantum.html#towards, 2006.

[K5] Pitkänen M. Dark Forces and Living Matter. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#darkforces, 2006.

[K6] Pitkänen M. Dark Matter Hierarchy and Hierarchy of EEGs. In *TGD and EEG*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#eegdark, 2006.

[K7] Pitkänen M. Dark Nuclear Physics and Condensed Matter. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#exonuclear, 2006.

[K8] Pitkänen M. Does TGD Predict the Spectrum of Planck Constants? In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#Planck, 2006.

[K9] Pitkänen M. Does the QFT Limit of TGD Have Space-Time Super-Symmetry? In *Towards M-Matrix*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdquantum/tgdquantum.html#susy, 2006.

[K10] Pitkänen M. General Ideas about Many-Sheeted Space-Time: Part I. In *Physics in Many-Sheeted Space-Time*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdclass/tgdclass.html#topcond, 2006.

[K11] Pitkänen M. General Theory of Qualia. In *Bio-Systems as Conscious Holograms*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/hologram/hologram.html#qualia, 2006.

[K12] Pitkänen M. Genes and Memes. In *Genes and Memes*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/genememe/genememe.html#genememec, 2006.

[K13] Pitkänen M. Macroscopic Quantum Coherence and Quantum Metabolism as Different Sides of the Same Coin: Part I. In *Bio-Systems as Conscious Holograms*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/hologram/hologram.html#metab, 2006.

[K14] Pitkänen M. Massless states and particle massivation. In *p-Adic Physics*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/padphys/padphys.html#mless, 2006.

[K15] Pitkänen M. Nuclear String Hypothesis. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#nuclstring, 2006.

[K16] Pitkänen M. p-Adic Particle Massivation: Elementary Particle Masses. In *p-Adic Physics*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/padphys/padphys.html#mass2, 2006.

[K17] Pitkänen M. p-Adic Particle Massivation: Hadron Masses. In *p-Adic Length Scale Hypothesis and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/padphys/padphys.html#mass3, 2006.

[K18] Pitkänen M. Quantum Control and Coordination in Bio-Systems: Part II. In *Bio-Systems as Self-Organizing Quantum Systems*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/bioselforg/bioselforg.html#qcococII, 2006.

[K19] Pitkänen M. Quantum Field Theory Limit of TGD from Bosonic Emergence. In *Towards M-Matrix*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdquantum/tgdquantum.html#emergence, 2006.

[K20] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model for Bio-Superconductivity: I. In *TGD and EEG*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#biosupercondI, 2006.

[K21] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model for Bio-Superconductivity: II. In *TGD and EEG*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#biosupercondII, 2006.

[K22] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model for Hearing. In *TGD and EEG*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#hearing, 2006.

[K23] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model for Nerve Pulse. In *TGD and EEG*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdeeg/tgdeeg.html#pulse, 2006.

[K24] Pitkänen M. TGD and Nuclear Physics. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#padnucl, 2006.

[K25] Pitkänen M. The Recent Status of Lepto-hadron Hypothesis. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#leptc, 2006.

[K26] Pitkänen M. Topological Quantum Computation in TGD Universe. In *Genes and Memes*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/genememe/genememe.html#tqc, 2006.

[K27] Pitkänen M. Wormhole Magnetic Fields. In *Quantum Hardware of Living Matter*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/bioware/bioware.html#wormc, 2006.

[K28] Pitkänen M. Are dark photons behind biophotons. In *TGD based view about living matter and remote mental interactions*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/tgdlian/tgdlian.html#biophotonslian, 2013.

[K29] Pitkänen M. Criticality and dark matter. In *Hyper-finite Factors and Dark Matter Hierarchy*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/neuplanck/neuplanck.html#qcritdark, 2014.

[K30] Pitkänen M. Quantum gravity, dark matter, and prebiotic evolution. In *Genes and Memes*. Onlinebook. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/genememe/genememe.html#hgrprebio, 2014.

Articles about TGD

[L1] Pitkänen M. Further Progress in Nuclear String Hypothesis. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/articles/nuclstring.pdf, 2007.

[L2] Pitkänen M. Basic Mechanisms associated with magnetic body.Available at: http://www.tgdtheory.fi/webCMAPs/BasicMechanismsassociatedwithmagneticbody.html. 2014.

[L3] Pitkänen M. CMAP representations about TGD. Available at: http://www.tgdtheory.fi/cmaphtml.html, 2014.

[L4] Pitkänen M. CMAP representations about TGD, and TGD inspired theory of consciousness and quantum biology. Available at: http://www.tgdtheory.fi/tgdglossary.pdf, 2014.

[L5] Pitkänen M. High temperature superconductivity.Available at: http://www.tgdtheory.fi/webCMAPs/Hightemperaturesuperconductivity.html. 2014.

[L6] Pitkänen M. Magnetic body.Available at: http://www.tgdtheory.fi/webCMAPs/Magneticbody.html. 2014.

[L7] Pitkänen M. Pollack's observations.Available at: http://www.tgdtheory.fi/webCMAPs/Pollack'sobservations.html. 2014.

[L8] Pitkänen M. Does the physics of SmB_6 make the fundamental dynamics of TGD directly visible? Available at: `http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/SmB6.pdf`, 2015.

[L9] Pitkänen M. TGD based model for anesthetic action. Available at: `http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/anesthetes.pdf`, 2015.

[L10] Pitkänen M. Whats new in TGD inspired view about phase transitions? . Available at: `http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/phasetransitions.pdf`, 2016.